A Heterometallic (Ni^{II}–Cu^{II}) Decanuclear Cluster Containing Two Distorted Cubane-like Pentanuclear Cores: Synthesis, Structure, and Magnetic Properties

Anindita Chakraborty,[†] Barindra Kumar Ghosh,[‡] Jordi Ribas-Arino,[§] Joan Ribas,[⊥] and Tapas Kumar Maji*,†

† Molecular Materials Laborat[ory](#page-2-0), Chemistry and Physics of Materials Unit, Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research (JNCASR), Jakkur, Bangalore 560064, India

‡ Department of Chemistry, The University of Burdwan, Burdwan 713104, India

 $^{\$}$ Departament de Química Física and IQTCUB and $^{\perp}$ Departament de Quimica Inorganica, Universitat de Barcelona, Diagonal 645, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-2-0)STR[A](#page-2-0)CT: A [new](#page-2-0) [heterom](#page-2-0)etallic Ni^{II}−Cu^{II} decanuclear cluster, $\{[\text{Ni}_{4}\text{Cu}_{6}(\mu\text{-OH}_{2})_{2}(\text{dpkO}_{2})_{8}(\text{OAc})_{4}]\}$ $(H₂O)₄$ ²CH₃OH·17H₂O[}] (1), has been synthesized by self-assembly of the constituent metal ions and the precursor di-2-pyridylketone (dpk) of multinucleating ligand dpk O_2^{2-} and is structurally characterized. The cluster 1 is formed by the union of two symmetry-related distorted cubane-like pentanuclear cores. A magnetic study of 1 reveals strong antiferromagnetic interactions operating through the Ni−O−Ni pathway, which is independent of the assumption $D = 0$ or $D \neq 0$. The pentanuclear cores are ferromagnetically coupled, as supported by density functional theory calculations.

The chemistry of high-spin clusters or coordination
polymers is an area of extensive research because of the
large directive in intriguing attractures and notatial ambientions large diversity in intriguing structures and potential applications in the field of molecular magnetism.¹ Recently, enormous interest has been directed toward the study of polynuclear clusters to explore their importance in [bi](#page-2-0)oinorganic chemistry² and also as new molecule-based materials. 3 In the context of molecular magnetism, polynuclear heterometallic clusters ar[e](#page-2-0) promising candidates as single-molecule [m](#page-2-0)agnets $(SMMs)$,⁴ which have potential applications in high-density information storage, quantum computation, and spintronics.⁵ Alt[h](#page-2-0)ough there are some reports on small SMMs, consisting of heterometallic 3d−4f polynuclear clusters,⁶ a lar[ge](#page-2-0)r database is required to get insight into their magneto-structural correlations. However, the design and s[yn](#page-2-0)thesis of highernuclearity clusters based on two transition-metal ions with different coordination geometries remain a challenge because precise control over the nuclearity of the clusters is very difficult.⁷ To achieve the desired structure by self-assembly, it is necessary to conceive a rational approach based on the ligation[al](#page-2-0) motifs of organic spacers and a malleable coordination environment of metal ions. Polytopic ligands like di-2 pyridylketone (dpk) with well-defined and appropriately separated coordination compartments, in principle, have better control over the outcome of a self-assembly process to afford clusters with predefined nuclearities.^{7b} Moreover, dpk can be in situ translated into a new ligand in an aqueous or alcoholic medium through nucleophilic attac[k o](#page-2-0)n the keto group in the presence of metal ions.^{7c} This in situ generation of a new ligand renders further flexibility toward binding a larger number of metal centers. Here [we](#page-2-0) envisioned that the spontaneous selfassembly of dpk with two different 3d ions, Ni^{II} and Cu^{II} , would produce a heterometallic cluster through the subtle balance between a coordination algorithm of metal ions and flexible coordination motifs of the ligand. In this Communication, we report the synthesis, structure, and magnetic properties of a heterometallic decanuclear cluster, $\{\lceil Ni_4 Cu_6 (\mu OH₂$)₂(dpkO₂)₈(OAc)₄(H₂O)₄]·2CH₃OH·17H₂O} [1; $dpkO_2^{2-} = (C_5NH_4)_2CO_2$; Scheme S1 in the Supporting Information (SI)], formed by the self-assembly of Ni^{II} , Cu^{II} , and the ligand dpk. The two pentanuclear cores $[Ni_2Cu_3(\mu OH₂)(dpkO₂)₄(OAc)₂(H₂O)]$ are connected by a water bridge to form the decanuclear cluster, and to the best of our knowledge, this is the first example of such a heterometallic decanuclear cluster of Ni^{II} and Cu^{II}.

The reaction of $Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O$ and $Ni(OAc)₂·4H₂O$ with dpk in a 1:1:1 molar ratio in a $H₂O/MeOH$ medium results in a green solution, the slow evaporation of which affords green single crystals of 1 [an IR spectrum and a powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) pattern are given in Figures S1 and S2 in the SI]. The formulation and composition of Cu^{II} and Ni^{II} in 1 are confirmed from the inductively coupled plasma mass spe[ctr](#page-2-0)ometry (ICP) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) results (Figure S3 in the SI). A single-crystal XRD study reveals that 1 crystallizes in the monoclinic $P2₁/c$ space group and contains a decanuclear clus[ter](#page-2-0) with two symmetry-related pentanuclear cores (Figure 1). The pentanuclear core (Figure S4 in the SI) contains two crystallographically independent Ni^{II} centers and three crystallog[ra](#page-1-0)phically independent Cu^{II} centers with diff[ere](#page-2-0)nt coordination environments. The metal centers are bridged by three $dpkO₂$, doubly deprotonated anions of the gem-diol form (C_5NH_4) , $C(OH)$, formed by in situ reaction in

Received: April 12, 2012 Published: June 5, 2012

Figure 1. (a) View of the heterometallic Ni^{II}−Cu^{II} decanuclear core of 1. (b) Pentanuclear core showing metal−ligand bridging. Nonbonding atoms are omitted for clarity.

the presence of metal ions. The positions of the metal atoms were assigned on the basis of the coordination geometry and structure refinement parameters. Ni1 adopts a distorted octahedral geometry and is chelated to two different $dpkO₂$ ligands (O1, N1 and O3, N3 atoms); the other two coordination sites are furnished by the O7 atom of another $dpkO₂$ ligand and the O14 atom of a coordinated water molecule. Ni2 also locates itself in a distorted octahedral geometry. Three different dpkO₂ ligands $(O3, N4; O5, N6;$ and O7, N8 atoms) chelate the Ni2 center. Ni−O and Ni−N bond lengths are in the range of 2.001(8)−2.199(7) Å and 2.052(10)−2.114(10) Å, respectively. All of the Cu centers adopt a distorted square-pyramidal $(4 + 1)$ geometry.

Cu1 is coordinated to three different $dpkO₂$ ligands (ligated through N5, O5; O2; and O3) and one acetate ligand (O9 atom). Similarly for Cu2, three different $dpkO₂$ ligands and one acetate ligand furnish the coordinations sites (O7, N7; O1; and O5 and O11). Cu3 is ligated to one $dpkO₂$ ligand (O2 and N2), two bridging μ -OH₂ (O13 and O13 a), and one coordinated water molecule (O12w). The Cu−O/N equatorial bond lengths are in the range of 1.905(7)−2.035(10) Å, and the Cu−O apical bond lengths vary from 2.312(7) to 2.73(2) Å. Ni1, Ni2, Cu1, and Cu2 form a distorted cubane-like structure with one missing edge (Figure 1b). In the cubane core, μ_3 -O5, μ_3 -O3, and μ_3 -O7 atoms coming from three different dpkO₂ ligands bridge Cu1, Cu2, Ni2; Cu1, Ni1, Ni2; and Ni1, Ni2, Cu2, respectively, while Cu2 and Ni1 atoms are doubly bridged by the μ_2 -O1 atom. The μ_2 -O2 atom bridges Cu1 and Cu3, which results in the extension of this cubane to the pentanuclear core (Figure S4 in the SI). Two pentanuclear cores are further bridged by two water molecules $(\mu_2$ -O13 and μ_2 -O13_a) to form the novel decanucl[ear](#page-2-0) cluster (Figure 1a). The clusters are connected through hydrogen bonding via the O atoms of $dpkO₂(O4)$, coordinated water (O14), and guest water molecules (Figure S5 in the SI).

The temperature-dependent magnetic studies have been performed for the polycrystalline [po](#page-2-0)wder sample of 1 in the temperature range 300−3 K (Figure 2). At room temperature, the $\chi_{\rm M}T$ value is 7.3 cm³ mol⁻¹ K, which is consistent with four

Figure 2. (a) Experimental (black points) $\chi_{\rm M}T$ versus T plot for 1. The red line indicates the best fit obtained considering D (for J and D values, see Table 1). (b) M versus H curve for 1 at 3 K.

quasi-isolated Ni^{II} ions and six quasi-isolated Cu^{II} ions; the corresponding theoretical value would be 6.25 cm³ mol⁻¹ K, assuming the lower theoretical limit of $g = 2.00$. The $\chi_M T$ value decreases monotonically up to 30 K; after that, there is a small increase of the $\chi_M T$ value, which finally shows a small decrease beyond 5 K with a value of 3.6 cm³ mol[−]¹ K, presumably because of the D parameter (zero-field splitting of the Ni^{II} ions) and interdecanuclear interactions through hydrogen bonding. The decanuclear structure is formed by the union of two symmetry-related pentanuclear cores via diaqua bridging ligands. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations (see below) have demonstrated that this coupling interaction is ferromagnetic. Indeed, from a qualitative point of view this interaction cannot be antiferromagnetic (with $H = -j\sum S_i S_j$), as in that case, the χ_{M} T of both pentanuclear moieties would be cancelled due to the presence of inversion center and the final $\chi_{\rm M}T$ would be zero, which is not the case here.

At 3 K, the reduced magnetization of 1 (Figure 2b) tends to $6M/N\beta$, corresponding to six unpaired electrons. This feature is consistent with the following assumption: the presence of antiferromagnetism in the $\chi_{\rm M}$ T versus T plot is due to the antiferromagnetic character of the Ni−O−Ni pathway, which is well documented in the literature with such kinds of geometries.⁸ Thus, once the spins of the Ni^H ions are canceled, it seems that there are six unpaired electrons arising from the six Cu^{II} io[ns](#page-2-0), which may be in isolated form or ferromagnetically coupled.

The fitting of the magnetic data for the decanuclear core has been performed using the MAGPACK program⁹ with or without the D parameter. The spin part of the Hamiltonian in [th](#page-2-0)is program is $H = -2J\sum S_iS_j$. The magnetic pathways are shown in Figure 1b and Scheme S2 in the SI, and the calculated J values with or without D are presented in Table 1. It is worth mentioning that there are only smal[l d](#page-2-0)ifferences in the calculations of the J parameters whether D is c[on](#page-2-0)sidered or not. In both cases, the interaction between Cu1 and Cu3 remains antiferromagnetic with a very small *J* value $\left(\left\langle -1\right\rangle$ cm[−]¹). Although a strong interaction is expected because of the Cu−O−Cu angle of magnitude 119.7(4)°, ¹⁰ the trigonalbipyramidal distortion of Cu1 coordination would reduce the magnitude of the interaction. The best fit ob[tai](#page-2-0)ned with D or without D are presented in Figure 2a and Figure S6 in SI, respectively. However, with invoking D the result is slightly better. It is also logical to assume that the D parameter is [not](#page-2-0) zero for Ni^{II} ions (see Table 1) with $S = 1$. The D parameter obtained with the fit is too high for standard Ni^{II} ions,¹¹ which may be a simple mathema[tic](#page-2-0)al artifact arising from other antiferromagnetic pathways. The intricate structure m[ay](#page-2-0) result in some spin frustrations among Cu^{II} and Ni^{II} ions (see Table

Table 1. J and D Values for the Decanuclear Entity Following the Labels of Figure 1b

^a Fixed from the value of the DFT calculations. ^bThe R factor is the agreement factor defined as $\sum_i [(\chi_m T)_{\text{obs}} - (\chi_m T)_{\text{calc}}]^2 / \sum_i [(\chi_m T)_{\text{obs}}]^2$.

"The parameter D contains z'J' (intermolecular interactions).

1). These findings indicate that the system has several analytical solutions, and the most reliable value should be assumed to be the one calculated with an average of those with appreciable D or with $D = 0$. Some of the coupling constants have been assumed to be negligible (see Table 1) to minimize the number of variables in the fitting process. The assumption of six unpaired electrons in the ground state, accounting for saturation of the magnetization curve at 3 K, which corresponds to six quasi-isolated Cu^{II} ions, is corroborated by calculations with $J_{\text{Cu1–Cu2}}$ and $J_{\text{Cu2–Cu3}}$ to be negligible (Table 1) and with $J_{\text{Cu1–Cu3}}$ to be almost zero.

The central magnetic pathway $Cu₂O₂$ should be ferromagnetic, as anticipated from the Cu3−O−Cu3_a angle of 95.4(3) ^{o 10} To understand the interaction between the pentanuclear cores, a computational study based on DFT was performed (see the SI). The water-bridged cluster comprised of two Cu^{II} centers has been considered to calculate the ground-state energy of the singlet and triplet states. The results show that the triplet state is more stable than the singlet state by 0.003371 eV (27.2 cm[−]¹), suggesting the dominant ferromagnetic exchange interaction between the two pentanuclear cores. The spin-density plot is given in Figure S7 in the SI.

In summary, a facile synthetic route for a new heterometallic decanuclear cluster has been perceived through the selfassembly of two different 3d ions, Ni^{II} and Cu^{II} , and the ligand dpk. Compound 1 represents the first example of a heterometallic decanuclear cluster based on Ni^{II} and Cu^{II}. The pentanuclear core shows dominant antiferromagnetic interactions between the metal centers, while ferromagnetic interaction operates between the two pentanuclear cores. Thus, the judicious choice of the blocking ligand with suitable coordination compartments and their self-assembly with metal ions with compatible geometry can lead to formation of the desired heterometallic cluster.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

Experimental procedure and crystallographic refinement details, IR, EDX, and DFT results for 1, as well as the scheme of the magnetic pathway of the pentanuclear moiety. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: tmaji@jncasr.ac.in.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no com](mailto:tmaji@jncasr.ac.in)peting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are thankful to Prof. S. K. Pati, Alex Andrew, and Arpan Hazra for valuable suggestions and Nitesh Kumar of JNCASR, Bangalore, India, for magnetic measurements. T.K.M. acknowledges the Sheikh Saqr Laboratory, ICMS, for a fellowship. J.R. acknowledges financial support from the Spanish government (Grant CTQ2009/07264). J.R.-A. acknowledges a Ramon y Cajal contract from the Spanish Government.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Ribas, J. Coordination Chemistry; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2008; Chapters 5 and 10 and references cited therein. (c) Chakraborty, A.; Gurunatha, K. L.; Muthulakshmi, A.; Dutta, S.; Maji, T. K. Dalton Trans. 2012, 41, 5879.

(2) Kraatz, H. B., Metzler-Nolte, N., Ed. Concepts and Models in Bioinorganic Chemistry; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2006.

(3) All articles in the special themed issue Molecular Based-Magnets: Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 3065.

(4) (a) Gatteschi, D.; Sessoli, R.; Villain, J. Molecular Nanomagnets; Oxford University Press: Oxford, U.K., 2006. (b) Sessoli, R.; Gatteschi, D.; Caneschi, A.; Novak, M. A. Nature 1993, 365, 141.

(5) (a) Urdampilleta, M.; Klyatskaya, S.; Cleuziou, J.; Ruben, M.; Wernsdofer, W. Nat. Mater. 2011, 10, 502. (b) Wernsdofer, W.; Bogani, L. Nat. Mater. 2008, 7, 179. (c) Miyasaka, H.; Yamashita, M. Dalton Trans. 2007, 399.

(6) Zou, L.; Zhao, L.; Guo, Y.; Yu, G.; Guo, Y.; Tang, J.; Li, Y. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 8659.

(7) (a) Zhao, J.; Hu, B.; Lloret, F.; Tao, J.; Yang, Q.; Zhang, X.; Bu, X. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 10390. (b) Wu, D.; Huang, W.; Hua, W.; Song, Y.; Duan, C.; Li, S.; Meng, Q. Dalton Trans. 2007, 1838. (c) Lalioti, N.; Raptopoulou, C.; Terzis, A.; Aliev, A.; Gerothanassis, I.; Manessi-Zoupa, E.; Perlepes, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3211. (d) Biswas, S.; Naiya, S.; Gomez-Garcia, C.; Ghosh, A. Dalton Trans. 2012, 41, 462.

(8) Palacios, M. A.; Mota, A. J.; Perea-Buceta, J. E.; White, F. J.; Brechin, E. K.; Colacio, E. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 10156.

(9) (a) Borras-Almenar, J. J.; Clemente, J.; Coronado, E.; Tsukerblat, ́ B. S. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 38, 6081. (b) Borrás-Almenar, J. J.; Clemente, J.; Coronado, E.; Tsukerblat, B. S. J. Comput. Chem. 2001, 22, 985.

(10) (a) Venegas-Yazigi, D.; Aravena, D.; Spodine, E.; Ruiz, E.; Alvarez, S. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2010, 254, 2086 and references cited therein. (b) Ruiz, E.; Alemany, P.; Alvarez, S.; Cano, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 1297. (c) Seppala, P.; Colacio, E.; Mota, A. J.; Sillanpaa, R. Dalton Trans. 2012, 41, 2648.

(11) (a) Boca, R. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2004, 248, 757. (b) Boca, R. Struct. Bonding (Berlin) 2006, 117, 1.