Inorganic Chemistry

Chalcogeno[bis(phosphaalkenyl)] Germanium and Tin Compounds

Tibor-Gabor Kocsor,^{†,‡} Dimitri Matioszek,[†] Gabriela Nemeş,^{*,‡} Annie Castel,^{*,†} Jean Escudié,[†] Petronela M. Petrar,[‡] Nathalie Saffon,[§] and Ionel Haiduc[‡]

[†]Université de Toulouse, UPS, CNRS, LHFA, UMR 5069, 118 Route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse cedex 09, France

[‡]Departamentul de Chimie Anorganică, Facultatea de Chimie și Inginerie Chimică, Universitatea Babeş-Bolyai, Str. M. Kogălniceanu, Nr: 1, RO-400084, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

[§]Université de Toulouse, UPS, Service Commun Rayons X, ICT-FR2599, 118 Route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse cedex 09, France

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The first diphosphaalkenylstannylene stabilized through complexation with a carbene NHC–Sn[C(Cl)= PMes*]₂ **1** (Mes* = 2,4,6-tri-*tert*-butylphenyl; NHC = $:C\{N(iPr)C(Me)\}_2$) was isolated and fully characterized including single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. Its reaction



with elemental sulfur rapidly gives the cyclic Sn_2S_2 (dithiadistannetanne) derivative 3, presumably formed by dimerization of a stannathione intermediate. By contrast, its germanium analogue NHC-Ge[C(Cl)=PMes*]₂ 7 leads to the corresponding monomeric germathione 4 and germaselenone 5. The germaselenone was more stable than the germathione and could be structurally characterized. An unusual thermal cyclization reaction of the last one occurs with an excess of selenium to give the Ge₂Se₃ (triselenadigermolane) ring derivative 6.

■ INTRODUCTION

Low-valent group 14 compounds have attracted much attention in fundamental chemistry because of their particular structures and high reactivities.¹ Since the discovery of the Arduengo-type carbene in 1991,² the rapid development of the chemistry of Nheterocyclic carbenes (NHC), their use in transition metal catalysis³ and more recently as organocatalysts⁴ have increased interest in their higher congeners of group 14 elements.⁵

Among the stabilization strategies of germylenes or stannylenes, the intermolecular coordination has aroused a great interest in the last decades particularly with the use of NHC as stabilizing coligand.⁶ In the germanium series, after the isolation of the first carbene-germanium(II) adducts, (NHC- GeI_2^7 and a NHC-heterocyclic germylene⁸), a large variety of transient germanium(II) species has been isolated by employ-ing electron-donating NHC.⁹ In contrast, there are few examples of tin analogues. Both carbene-tin complexes NHC-SnCl₂ and NHC-SnAr₂ (Ar = $2,4,6-iPr_3C_6H_2$) were prepared and structurally characterized in the same year.¹⁰ Later, a zwitterionic carbene-stannylene adduct was obtained by cleavage of a dibenzotetraazafulvalene by a diaza-stannylene.¹ To the best of our knowledge, there are only two examples of unstable entities stabilized by coordination to NHC: the tin(II) dihydride in the form of the carbene-tungsten bis-adduct NHC-SnH₂W(CO)₅¹² and the hypermetallyl stannylenes NHC-Sn $[M(SiMe_3)_3]_2$, (M = Si, Ge, Sn)].¹³ One of the most characteristic feature of group 14 divalent derivatives is their high potential as building blocks in organometallic chemistry; for example, their oxidation by elemental chalcogens constitutes an usual and clean route to double bonded species M=E (M = Si, Ge, Sn; E = S, Se, Te).¹⁴ Although numerous

germanium and tin compounds with double bond to chalcogens have been isolated using kinetic or thermodynamic stabilization,¹⁵ the examples of M=E stabilized by NHC are rare. To the best of our knowledge, only few derivatives of the type NHC–SiR₂=E (E = O, S, Se, Te) and NHC–GeR₂=O, stable at room temperature, were described.¹⁶

We have recently reported the synthesis of the bis-(phosphaalkenyl)germylene NHC-Ge[C(Cl)=PMes*]₂ (Mes* = 2,4,6-tri-*tert*-butylphenyl; NHC = :C{N(*i*Pr)C-(Me)}₂¹⁷), the first representative of a new class of germylenes using the phosphaalkenyl $-P=C\langle$ unit as ligands and the stabilizing coordination properties of the NHC; such a derivative with a C=PMes* moiety is particularly interesting since due to multiple possibilities of reaction or coordination (lone pair on phosphorus, divalent germanium atom and P==C double bonds) it can potentially present an unexpected and rich reactivity which was proved by its reaction with transition-metal complexes.¹⁸

These results prompted us to investigate the synthesis of its tin analogue NHC-Sn $[C(Cl)=PMes^*]_2$ and to explore the reactivity of these new germylene and stannylene with elemental chalcogens; all of which is presented herein.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis and Structure of the Bis(phosphaalkenyl)tin(II) Compound 1. The addition of NHC–SnCl₂ to a freshly prepared solution of Mes*P=C(Li)Cl in THF at low temperature afforded the novel stannylene NHC–Sn[C(Cl)=

 Received:
 April 20, 2012

 Published:
 June 29, 2012

PMes^{*}]₂ 1, which was isolated as a light brown air-sensitive powder in a good yield. In the ³¹P NMR spectrum, only one signal appeared at 277.4 ppm indicating the formation of one isomer. As previously observed in the case of the germanium analogue,¹⁸ the free disubstituted stannylene 2, obtained from the dichlorostannylene SnCl₂, was not stable and decomposed after some hours in solution. However, it was unambiguously characterized by adding NHC to a freshly prepared solution in THF by formation of its carbene adduct 1 (Scheme 1).





The stannylene 1 is stable up to 60 °C in tetrahydrofuran or in toluene but a rapid decomposition is observed in dichloromethane or in chloroform. It was fully characterized by NMR spectroscopy and single-crystal X-ray structure analysis. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra show typical resonances expected for the carbenic moiety^{10a} and for the phosphaalkenyl group.¹⁸ The ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectrum displays a singlet at -130.8 ppm upfield-shifted compared to that of NHC-SnCl₂ (-59.4 ppm)^{10a} but in the range of three-coordinate tin(II) derivatives.¹³ The X-ray structure analysis shows an E/Econfiguration around the P=C double bond and a tricoordinate tin center which exhibits a flattened pyramidal geometry (Figure 1, Table 1). The $Sn-C_{carbene}$ distance (2.316(2) Å) suggests a similar strength as for the donor/ acceptor interaction in NHC-SnCl₂ (2.290(5) Å)^{10a} but weaker than the one in the recently reported coordinative derivative IPr-SnH₂W(CO)₅^{9h} (2.230(6) Å) (IPr = $(HCNAr')_2C_i$, $Ar' = 2,6-iPr_2C_6H_3$). We should note that the



Figure 1. Molecular structure of compound 1 in the solid state (50% probability level for the thermal ellipsoids). For clarity, hydrogen atoms are omitted and methyl/isopropyl/*t*-Bu groups are simplified.

Table 1. Selected Bond Lengths	(Å) and	Angles	(deg)	for
Compounds 1, 3, 5, and 6				

	1	3
Sn1-C1	2.230(2)	2.1384(15)
Sn1-C2	2.239(2)	2.1428(16)
$Sn1-C_{carbene}$	2.316(2)	
Sn1-S1		2.4134(4)
P1-C1	1.674(2)	1.6497(17)
P2-C2	1.672(2)	1.6512(17)
P1-S2		1.9187(6)
P2-S3		1.9350(6)
C1-Sn1-C2	98.24(8)	120.49(6)
C1-Sn1-S1		110.35(5)
C2-Sn1-S1		111.02(4)
	5	6
Ge1-C1	1.986(5)	1.945(4)
Ge1-C2	1.972(5)	1.956(4)
Ge2-C3		1.945(4)
Ge2-C4		1.953(4)
Ge1-C _{carbene}	2.048(5)	
Ge1-Se1	2.2426(7)	2.3482(6)
Ge1-Se2		2.3584(7)
Ge2-Se1		2.3497(7)
Ge2-Se3		2.3529(6)
Se2-Se3		2.3508(7)
P1-C1	1.673(5)	1.666(4)
P2-C2	1.660(5)	1.655(4)
P3-C3		1.668(4)
P4-C4		1.657(4)
C1-Ge1-C2	109.0(2)	110.52(15)
C3-Ge2-C4		111.70(15)
Se1-Ge1-Se2		108.87(2)
Se1-Ge2-Se3		108.61(2)

 $Sn-C_{carbene}$ distance is longer than the Sn1-C1 and Sn1-C2 bond lengths corresponding to simple covalent bonds.

Oxidation Reactions with Sulfur and Selenium. The reactivity of the stannylene 1 was studied toward chalcogens and compared to that of the corresponding germylene NHC– $Ge[C(Cl)=PMes^*]_2$ 7 previously prepared.¹⁸ Addition of an excess of sulfur to the stannylene 1 led exclusively to the dithiadistannetanne 3 with elimination of the carbene. The four-membered ring is probably obtained by dimerization of the transient stannathione S=Sn[C(Cl)=PMes^*]_2 and sulfur oxidative addition to the phosphorus atoms.

The ³¹P NMR spectrum of 3 displayed only one signal at 189.2 ppm (${}^{2}J_{p-}{}^{117/119}{}_{Sn} = 258.8/270.6$ Hz) showing that the four phosphorus atoms are magnetically equivalent. The low field chemical shift is characteristic of a $\lambda^{5}\sigma^{3}$ phosphorus atom; similar chemical shifts have been reported for derivatives with a Mes*P(=S)==CGe moiety.¹⁹ The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data clearly indicate the absence of the carbenic moiety and the perfect symmetry of the molecule. In addition, a triplet of triplet at -95.3 ppm with two coupling constants (${}^{2}J_{Sn-P} = 270.7$ Hz and ${}^{4}J_{Sn-P} = 7.5$ Hz) was observed in the ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectrum in agreement with the formation of such metal-loheterocycles.

The structure of **3** was unambiguously determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure 2). Compound **3** has a dinuclear structure with bridging sulfur atoms in which the tin atom exhibits a distorded tetrahedral geometry. The four-membered ring is planar by symmetry and has an inversion

Table 2. Crystallographic Data for Compounds 1, 3, 5, and 6

	-	-	-	,
	1	3	5	6
formula	$C_{49}H_{78}Cl_2N_2P_2Sn$	$C_{76}H_{116}Cl_4P_4S_6Sn_2.C_7H_8$	$C_{49}H_{78}Cl_2GeN_2P_2Se.1.5(C_7H_8)$	$C_{76}H_{116}Cl_4Ge_2P_4Se_3.2(C_5H_{12})$
mol wt	946.66	1817.24	1117.73	1821.72
temp. (K)	193(2)	193(2)	193(2)	193(2)
λ (Mo K α) (Å)	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073
cryst syst	triclinic	triclinic	triclinic	triclinic
space group	$P\overline{1}$	$P\overline{1}$	$P\overline{1}$	$P\overline{1}$
a (Å)	12.8737(2)	12.4775(4)	9.9799(5)	11.6532(17)
b (Å)	15.0045(3)	13.8395(4)	22.0637(12)	19.582(4)
c (Å)	16.0067(3)	14.3456(4)	27.7366(15)	21.979(4)
α (deg)	66.4910(10)	94.8930(10)	87.142(3)	78.469(7)
β (deg)	69.4920(10)	97.332(2)	87.901(2)	89.317(6)
γ (deg)	73.1300(10)	110.1260(10)	82.048(2)	74.700(6)
V (Å ³)	2614.52(8)	2284.64(12)	6038.4(6)	4735.6(14)
Ζ	2	1	4	2
$d_{\rm calcd}~({\rm g/cm^3})$	1.202	1.321	1.229	1.278
$\mu \ (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$	0.684	0.910	1.288	2.007
F(000)	1000	946	2364	1900
cryst size (mm)	$0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.10$	$0.50 \times 0.38 \times 0.36$	$0.38 \times 0.06 \times 0.04$	$0.10 \times 0.08 \times 0.04$
θ range (deg.)	5.10 - 28.28	5.10 - 28.28	5.11 - 24.71	5.11 - 24.71
index range <i>h k l</i>	$-17 \le h \le 17$	$-16 \le h \le 16$	$-11 \le h \le 11$	$-13 \le h \le 13$
	$-19 \le k \le 19$	$-18 \le k \le 18$	$-25 \le k \le 25$	$-23 \le k \le 23$
	$-21 \le l \le 21$	$-19 \le l \le 19$	$-32 \le l \le 32$	$-25 \le l \le 25$
no of reflns collected/unique	35801/12828	36008/11261	100435/20176	70423/15831
	R(int) = 0.0441	R(int) = 0.0169	R(int) = 0.1033	R(int) = 0.0616
completeness to $\theta(\%)$	98.9	99.2	98.0	98.0
data/restraints/params	12828/0/529	11261/141/546	20176/783/1456	15831/324/1034
goodness-of-fit	1.007	1.049	1.046	1.013
R1, wR2 $(I > 2(\sigma)I)$	0.0360, 0.0813	0.0240, 0.0610	0.0597, 0.1084	0.0391, 0.0825
R1, wR2 (all data)	0.0534, 0.0899	0.0268, 0.0636	0.1285, 0.1282	0.0738, 0.0958
largest diff. peak, hole (eÅ ⁻³)	0.651, -0.322	0.888, -0.431	0.576, -0.488	0.682, -0.360

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Dithiadistannetanne 3



center at the midpoint of the ring. The phosphaalkenyl substituents are attached to each tin atom with one above and the other one below the ring and the chlorine and sulfur atoms are situated in a trans position relatively to the P=C double bond. The Sn1-S1 and Sn1-S1' bond lengths (2.4134(4) and 2.4196(4) Å) are comparable to those previously observed for sulfido-bridged dimers (average 2.41–2.47 Å).^{15c,20} By contrast, no reaction occurs at room temperature between 1 and selenium; heating the reaction mixture at 40 °C involved a slow decomposition of stannylene.

In the case of the Ge(II) compound 7, rapid reactions take place with both sulfur and selenium (Scheme 3). The ³¹P NMR spectra show the presence of a sole signal at 289.9 ppm for 4 and 291.3 ppm for 5, slightly downfield shifted in comparison with that of the starting derivative NHC-Ge[C(Cl)=PMes^{*}]₂ indicating that no oxidative reaction occurs at the phosphorus atom. This difference of behavior between the tin and germanium compounds could be explained by the larger steric hindrance in the case of the germanium derivatives which prevents both dimerization reactions and oxidation at the phosphorus atom.



Figure 2. Molecular structure of compound 3 (50% probability level for the thermal ellipsoids). For clarity, hydrogen atoms and cocrystallized solvent (toluene) are omitted and t-Bu groups are simplified.

The germathione 4 was characterized by ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy but decomposed to unidentified products after some hours in solution; contrary to its tin analogue, the headScheme 3. Synthesis of Chalcogeno (S, Se) Germanium Compounds



to-tail dimer sometimes formed from Ge=S compounds,²¹ was never obtained. By contrast, the germaselenone 5 was stable and could be isolated and characterized by NMR spectroscopy and by X-ray diffraction studies (Figure 3). Some germasele-



Figure 3. Molecular structure of compound 5 in the solid state (50% probability level for the thermal ellipsoids). For clarity, hydrogen atoms and cocrystallized solvent (toluene) are omitted and methyl/isopropyl/t-Bu groups are simplified. The asymmetric unit contains two independent molecules; only one is shown.

nones stabilized owing to extremely bulky groups on the germanium atom or by intramolecular complexation have previously been reported.^{15a,b,f,22} In our case, as the steric crowding close to the germanium atom is relatively small, the stabilization is probably due to the complexation by the carbene. The presence of the carbene is proved in the ¹³C NMR spectrum of 5 by a signal at the expected chemical shift for the carbonic carbon atom (149.94 ppm) coupled with the phosphorus atom (${}^{3}J_{C-P} = 10.0$ Hz). Although 4 could not be isolated due to its low stability, the fact that its ¹H NMR spectrum is very similar to that of 5 unambiguously proves its germathione structure. The ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum of 5 displays a singlet at -173.7 ppm that is in the range of the values observed for germaselenones stabilized by intramolecular complexation (-28.7 to -348 ppm).^{15f,22b} Figure 3 shows its molecular structure. The asymmetric unit contains two chemically similar but crystallographically different molecules of 5 in which the germanium atom is four-coordinated in a tetrahedral environment. The Ge-Se distance of 2.2426(7) Å is in good agreement with the values reported for Ge=Se bonds (2.199(1) and 2.223(9) Å).^{15f,22b} The Ge-C_{carbene} bond (2.048(5) Å) is about the same as that of the starting

germylene (2.087(2) Å),¹⁸ whereas the Ge–C(=P) bonds are slightly shorter (1.972(5) and 1.986(5) Å versus 2.011(2) and 2.014(2) Å).¹⁸

Heating the germaselenone NHC-Ge(=Se)[C(Cl)= PMes*]₂ **5** at 80 °C in the presence of selenium led to the new five-membered ring derivative **6** containing three selenium and two germanium atoms with elimination of the NHC. This compound is probably formed by an initial head-to-tail dimerization followed by selenium insertion. So far, only two similar heterocycles (tetradentate $[Ge_2Se_7]^{-4}$ anions) have been reported.²³ Compound **6** was fully characterized and the solid state structure has been determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction studies (Figure 4). As observed for tetraselenager-



Figure 4. Molecular structure of compound 6 in the solid state (50% probability level for the thermal ellipsoids). For clarity, hydrogen atoms and cocrystallized solvent (pentane) are omitted and t-Bu groups are simplified.

molanes,²⁴ compound **6** presents a distorted half-chair conformation in which the two germanium and two selenium atoms (Se1 and Se2) almost lie in the same plane with the third selenium (Se3) at 1.365 Å out of the mean plane. The Ge–Se distances (average 2.35 Å) are slightly shorter than in the $[Ge_2Se_7]^{-4}$ anions (2.41 Å)^{21a} and in a tetraselenagermolane (2.42 Å).^{23a,24} Let us note that even with an excess of selenium and under heating, the formation of compounds with a P=Se unit has not been observed, contrary to the case of the tin derivative **1** which reacts with sulfur to form P=S double bonded derivative. The ⁷⁷Se NMR spectrum reveals the presence of two singlets at -160.4 and -500.0 ppm.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the first bis(phosphaalkenyl)tin(II) compound stabilized by intramolecular coordination of N-heterocyclic carbene was isolated and fully characterized, including molecular structure determination by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. Its reactivity toward sulfur showed the oxidation of both tin and phosphorus atoms leading to a fourmembered Sn_2S_2 inorganic ring compound, probably by dimerization of a stannathione intermediate. A different behavior was observed for the germylene analogue with the sole oxidation of the germanium center and the formation of doubly bonded Ge=Y (Y = S, Se) compounds. The germaselenone was more stable than the germathione and could be structurally characterized. The slightly larger steric crowding around the Ge=Y than around the Sn=Y double bonds due to shorter M=Y and M-C distances can probably explain the nondimerization of the germathione and the germaselenone. An unusual thermal cyclization reaction of the germaselenone occurs with an excess of selenium to give a Ge₂Se₃ inorganic ring (triselenadigermolane) derivative.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedures. All manipulations were performed in a dry and oxygen-free atmosphere of argon by using standard Schlenk-line and glovebox techniques. Solvents were purified with the MBRAUN SBS-800 purification system. NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker Avance II 300 apparatus: ¹H (300.13 MHz), ¹³C (75.48 MHz), ³¹P (121.50 MHz), ¹¹⁹Sn (111.92 MHz), and with a Bruker Avance 400: ⁷⁷Se (76.27 MHz) at 298 K, in C₆D₆. Chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million with residual solvent signals as internal reference (¹H and ¹³C{¹H}) or with an external reference (H₃PO₄ for ³¹P, SnMe₄ for ¹¹⁹Sn, and Me₂Se for ⁷⁷Se). Melting points were measured in a sealed capillary using the Stuart automatic melting point SMP40 apparatus. The compounds NHC–SnCl₂^{10a} and Mes*P= CCl₂²⁵ were prepared according to literature procedures.

Synthesis. Compound 1. A suspension of NHC-SnCl₂ (0.30 g, 0.82 mmol) in THF (3 mL) was added to a solution of Mes*P= $C(Li)Cl^{25,26}$ (1.64 mmol) freshly prepared in THF (6 mL) at -90 °C. After 1 h of stirring at low temperature, the solution was allowed to warm up to room temperature and was stirred for an additional one hour. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was extracted with toluene (12 mL). After filtration, the filtrate was evaporated under vacuum to yield 1 as a light brown powder (0.63 g, 82%). Crystallization from a saturated toluene solution at room temperature gave brown crystals suitable for X-ray studies. m.p.: 157 °C (dec). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ = 1.19 (d, ³J_{H-H} = 7.0 Hz, 12H, CHMe₂); 1.35 (s, 18H, C_pCMe₃); 1.51 (s, 6H, =CMe); 1.74 (s, 18H, C_oCMe_3 ; 1.78 (s, 18H, C_oCMe_3); 5.62 (sept, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 6.8$ Hz, 2H, CHMe₂); 7.64 (s, 4H, CH_{Ar}). ¹³C NMR (C_6D_6): $\delta = 10.12$ (=CMe); 22.16 (CHMe₂); 31.55 (C_pCMe₃); 32.64 and 32.73 (C_oCMe₃); 34.98 (CpCMe3); 38.11 and 38.26 (CoCMe3); 53.24 (CHMe2); 121.29 and 121.70 (C_m); 126.20 (NC=); 140.74 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{C-P} = 83.9 \text{ Hz}, {}^{5}J_{C-P} = 8.5$ Hz, C_iP); 149.22 (C_p); 153.46 (d, ${}^{2}J_{C-P} = 7.3$ Hz, C_o); 175.29 (t, ${}^{3}J_{C-P}$ = 14.4 Hz, C:); 205.13 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{C-P}$ = 108.2 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{C-P}$ = 19.2 Hz, C=P). ³¹P NMR (C₆D₆): δ = 277.4 (²J_P-^{117/119}Sn = 349.1/364.1 Hz). ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (C_6D_6): $\delta = -130.8$ (t, ${}^2J_{Sn-P} = 360.0$ Hz). Anal. Calcd for C49H78Cl2N2P2Sn (946.72): C, 62.16; H, 8.30; N, 2.96%. Found: C, 62.28; H, 8.25; N, 3.50%.

Compound **2**. A freshly prepared solution of Mes*P=C(Li)Cl (0.83 mmol) in THF (3 mL) was added to a suspension of SnCl₂ (0.08 g, 0.42 mmol) in THF (2 mL) at -90 °C. The solution was stirred for 15 min and then allowed to warm up to room temperature. The compound **2** was identified by ³¹P NMR spectrometry ($\delta = 264.0$ ppm). Addition of the carbene NHC directly into the NMR tube led to the formation of compound **1**.

Compound 3. A suspension of S₈ (0.02 g, 0.08 mmol) in toluene (2 mL) was added to a solution of 1 (0.10 g, 0.11 mmol) in toluene (1 mL) at -70 °C. After warming up to room temperature, the orange solution was stirred for 2 h. After filtration and evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure, derivative 3 was isolated as a yellow powder (0.11 g, 61%). Yellow crystals suitable for X-ray studies were obtained from toluene at -24 °C. m.p.: 180 °C. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ = 1.18 (s, 36H, C_pCMe₃); 1.86 (s, 72H, C_oCMe₃); 7.64 (d, ⁴J_{H-P} = 5.4 Hz, 8H, CH_{Ar}). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ = 30.85 (C_pCMe₃); 33.97 (C_oCMe₃); 35.29 (C_pCMe₃); 39.34 (C_oCMe₃); 122.71 (d, ¹J_{C-P} = 54.8 Hz, C_iP); 123.96 (d, ³J_{C-P} = 13.4 Hz, C_m); 135.69 (d, ¹J_{C-P} = 67.8 Hz, C=P); 154.94 (d, ⁴J_{C-P} = 3.3 Hz, C_p); 156.23 (d, ²J_{C-P} = 7.4 Hz, C_o). ³¹P NMR (C₆D₆): δ = 189.2 (²J_P-^{117/19}Sn = 258.8/270.7 Hz). ¹¹⁹Sn

NMR (C_6D_6) : $\delta = -95.3$ (tt, ${}^2J_{Sn-P} = 270.7$ Hz; ${}^4J_{Sn-P} = 7.5$ Hz). Anal. Calcd for $C_{76}H_{116}Cl_4P_4S_6Sn_2$ (1725.25): C, 52.91; H, 6.78%. Found: C, 53.46; H, 7.24%.

Compound 4. A suspension of S₈ (0.03 g, 0.10 mmol) in toluene (2 mL) was added to a solution of germylene 7 (0.15 g, 0.17 mmol) in toluene (2 mL) at -80 °C. The mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature when the solution became clear brown. The solution was cooled again at low temperature (around -40 °C) and filtered to remove the excess of sulfur. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and 4 was obtained as a red-brown powder (0.13 g, 87%). Derivative 4 is stable in solution only for several hours, decomposing to unidentified products. M.p.: 97–98 °C. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ = 1.22 (d, ³J_{H-H} = 6.9 Hz, 12H, CHMe₂); 1.31 (s, 18H, C_pCMe₃); 1.54 (s, 6H, =CMe); 1.71 (s, 18H, C_oCMe₃); 1.76 (s, 18H, C_oCMe₃); 6.50–6.80 (m, 2H, CHMe₂); 7.62 (d, ⁴J_{H-P} = 6.3 Hz, 4H, CH_Ar). ³¹P NMR (C₆D₆): δ = 289.9.

Compound 5. A suspension of Se (0.03 g, 0.44 mmol) in toluene (3 mL) was added to a solution of germylene 7 (0.10 g, 0.11 mmol) in toluene (3 mL) at -70 °C. After 30 min of stirring at room temperature, the solution was filtered and the red filtrate was evaporated under low pressure. Derivative 5 was isolated as a orange powder (0.10 g, 96%), and crystallized from toluene at -24 °C. m.p.: 173 °C. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ = 1.23 (d, ³J_{H-H} = 6.9 Hz, 12H, CHMe₂); 1.32 (s, 18H, C_pCMe₃); 1.57 (s, 6H, ==CMe); 1.71 (s, 18H, C_oCMe₃); 1.78 (s, 18H, C_oCMe₃); 6.45-6.65 (m, 2H, CHMe₂); 7.62 (d, ⁴J_{H-P} = 6.6 Hz, 4H, CH_{Ar}). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ = 10.36 (==CMe); 22.02 (CHMe₂); 31.41 (C_pCMe₃); 33.25, 33.59 (C_oCMe₃); 34.98 (C_pCMe₃); 38.10 and 38.87 (C_oCMe₃); 51.37 (CHMe₂); 121.48 and 122.74 (C_m); 125.13 (C=); 137.04 (d, ¹J_{C-P} = 66.9 Hz, C_iP); 149.94 (t, ³J_{C-P} = 10.0 Hz, C:); 150.11 (C_p); 153.63, 154.98 (C_o); 172.29 (d, ¹J_{C-P} = 92.0 Hz, C=P). ³¹P NMR (C₆D₆): δ = 291.3. ⁷⁷Se NMR (C₆D₆): δ = -173.7. Anal. Calcd for C₄₉H₇₈Cl₂GeN₂P₂Se (979.61): C, 60.08; H, 8.03; N, 2.86%. Found: C, 60.60; H, 8.18; N, 3.31%.

Compound 6. A suspension of Se (0.05 g, 0.64 mmol) in toluene (2 mL), was added to a solution of 7 (0.14 g, 0.16 mmol) in toluene (4 mL) at -70 °C. The mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature; the ³¹P NMR spectrum showed the complete conversion to derivative 5. After heating for 2.5 h at 80 °C, the mixture was filtered and the filtrate was completely evaporated under low pressure to yield 6 as a red powder (0.16 g, 59%). Crystals suitable for X-ray studies were obtained from toluene at -24 °C. mp.: 92 °C. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 1.28$ (s, 36H, C_pCMe₃); 1.60 (s, 36H, C_oCMe₃); 1.62 (s, 36H, C_oCMe₃); 7.59 (d, ⁴J_{H-P} = 2.7 Hz, 8H, CH_{Ar}). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 31.30$ (C_pCMe₃); 122.35 (C_m); 134.91 (d, ¹J_{C-P} = 66.0 Hz, C_iP); 151.18 (C_p); 154.05 and 154.42 (C_o); 163.78 (dd, ¹J_{C-P} = 88.6 Hz, ⁵J_{C-P} = 8.0 Hz, C=P). ³¹P NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = -160.4$ and -500.0 ppm. Anal. Calcd for C₇₆H₁₁₆Cl₄Ge₂P₄Se₃ (1677.60): C, 54.41; H, 6.97%. Found: C, 54.94; H, 7.53%.

X-ray Structure Determinations. X-ray data for compounds 1, 3, 5, and 6 were collected at low temperature (193 K) on a Bruker-AXS SMART APEX II diffractometer (compound 1) and on a Bruker-AXS APEX II Quazar diffractometer using a 30 W air-cooled microfocus source (ImS) with focusing multilayer optics (compounds 3, 5 and 6) with graphite-monochromated MoK α radiation (wavelength = 0.71073 Å) by using phi- and omega-scans. The data were integrated with SAINT, and an empirical absorption correction with SADABS was applied.²⁷ The structures were solved by direct methods, using SHELXS-97²⁸ and refined using the least-squares method on $F^{2,29}$ All non-H atoms were treated anisotropically. The H atoms were located by difference Fourier maps and refined with a riding model. Crystallographic data are summarized in Table 1.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Crystallographic data in CIF format for compounds 1, 3, 5, and 6. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: sgabi@chem.ubbcluj.ro (G.N.); castel@chimie.upstlse.fr (A.C.).

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the CNRS (ANR-08-BLAN-0105-01) and Romanian Agency UEFISCDI (PCCE-140/2008) for financial support of this work. T. K. thanks also POSDRU (contract 88/ 1.5/S/60185 "Investing in people!").

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Satgé, J.; Massol, M.; Rivière, P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 56, 1–39. (b) Davidson, F.; Harris, D. H.; Lappert, M. F. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1976, 2268–2274. (c) Fjeldberg, T.; Hope, H.; Lappert, M. F.; Power, P. P.; Thorne, A. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 639–641. (d) Jutzi, P.; Becker, A.; Stammler, H. G.; Neumann, B. Organometallics 1991, 10, 1647–1648. (e) Neumann, W. P. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 311–334. (f) Weidenbruch, M. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 373–381.

(2) Arduengo, A. J., III; Harlow, R. L.; Kline, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 361–363.

(3) Glorius, F., N-Heterocyclic Carbenes in Transition Metal Catalysis, 1st ed.; Springer: Berlin, 2006.

(4) Marion, N.; Diez-Gonzales, S.; Nolan, S. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 2007, 46, 2988-3000.

(5) (a) Kühl, O. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2004, 248, 411-427.
(b) Nagendran, S.; Roesky, H. W. Organometallics 2008, 27, 457-492. (c) Mizuhata, Y.; Sasamori, T.; Tokitoh, N. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 3479-3511. (d) Mandal, S. K.; Roesky, H. W. Chem. Commun. 2010, 6016-6041. (e) Asay, M.; Jones, C.; Driess, M. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 354-396.

(6) (a) Herrmann, W. A.; Köcher, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.
1997, 36, 2162–2187. (b) Kuhn, N.; Al-Sheikh, A. Coord. Chem. Rev.
2005, 249, 829–857. (c) de Frémont, P.; Marion, N.; Nolan, S. P. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2009, 253, 862–892.

(7) Arduengo, A. J., III.; Dias, H. V. R.; Calabrese, J. C.; Davidson, F. *Inorg. Chem.* **1993**, *32*, 1541–1542.

(8) Gehrhus, B.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2000, 3094–3099.

(9) (a) Rupar, P. A.; Staroverov, V. N.; Ragogna, P. J.; Baines, K. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 15138–15139. (b) Rupar, P. A.; Jennings, M. C.; Baines, K. M. Organometallics 2008, 27, 5043–5051. (c) Rupar, P. A.; Staroverov, V. N.; Baines, K. M. Sciences 2008, 322, 1360–1363. (d) Sidiropoulos, A.; Jones, C.; Stasch, A.; Klein, S.; Frenking, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9701–9704. (e) Thimer, K. C.; Al-Rafia, S. M. I.; Ferguson, M. J.; McDonald, R.; Rivard, E. Chem. Commun. 2009, 7119–7121. (f) Filippou, A. C.; Chernov, O.; Blom, B.; Stumpf, K. W.; Schnakenburg, G. Chem.—Eur. J. 2010, 16, 2866–2872. (g) Ruddy, A. J.; Rupar, P. A.; Bladek, K. J.; Allan, C. J.; Avery, J. C.; Baines, K. M. Organometallics 2010, 29, 1362–1367. (h) Al-Rafia, S. M. I.; Malcolm, A. C.; Liew, S. K.; Ferguson, M. J.; Rivard, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 777–779. (i) Inoue, S.; Driess, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 5614–5615.

(10) (a) Kuhn, N.; Kratz, T.; Blaser, D.; Boese, R. *Chem. Ber.* **1995**, 128, 245–250. (b) Schäfer, A.; Weidenbruch, M.; Saak, W.; Pohl, S. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1995**, 1157–1158.

(11) Hahn, F. E.; Wittenbecher, L.; Kühn, M.; Lügger, T.; Fröhlich, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 617–618, 629–634.

(12) Al-Rafia, S. M. I.; Malcolm, A. C.; Liew, S. K.; Ferguson, M. J.; McDonald, R.; Rivard, E. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, 6987–6989.

(13) Katir, N.; Matioszek, D.; Ladeira, S.; Escudié, J.; Castel, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 5352–5355.

(14) (a) Power, P. P Chem. Rev. **1999**, 99, 3463–3504. (b) Tokitoh, N.; Okazaki, R. Adv. Organomet. Chem. **2001**, 47, 121–166.

(15) For selected recent examples of stable germanium- and tinchalcogen doubly bonded compounds see: (a) Matsumoto, T.; Tokitoh, N.; Okazaki, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 8811–8824.
(b) Foley, S. R.; Yap, G. P. A.; Richeson, D. S. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2000, 1663–1668. (c) Leung, W.-P.; Kwok, W.-H.; Zhou, Z.-Y.; Mak, T. C. W. Organometallics 2000, 19, 296–303. (d) Ding, Y.; Ma, Q.; Uson, I.; Roesky, H. W.; Noltemeyer, M.; Schmidt, H.-G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 8542–8543. (e) Ding, Y.; Ma, Q.; Roesky, H. W.; Uson, I.; Noltemeyer, M.; Schmidt, H.-G. Dalton Trans. 2003, 1094–1098. (f) Leung, W.-P.; Chong, K.-H.; Wu, Y.-S.; So, C.-W.; Chan, H.-S.; Mak, T. C. W. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 808–812.

(16) (a) Xiong, Y.; Yao, S.; Driess, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 7562–7563. (b) Yao, S.; Xiong, Y.; Driess, M. Chem. Commun. 2009, 6466–6468. (c) Yao, S.; Xiong, Y.; Driess, M. Chem.—Eur. J. 2010, 16, 1281–1288.

(17) Kuhn, N.; Kratz, T. Synthesis 1993, 561-562.

(18) Matioszek, D.; Kocsor, T.-G.; Castel, A.; Nemes, G.; Escudié, J.; Saffon, N. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 3629–3631.

(19) Petrar, P. M.; Nemes, G.; Silaghi-Dumitrescu, I.; Ranaivonjatovo, H.; Gornitzka, H.; Escudié, J. *Chem. Commun.* 2007, 4149-4151.

(20) (a) Matsuhashi, Y.; Tokitoh, N.; Okazaki, R. Organometallics 1993, 12, 2573–2583. (b) Hitchcock, P. B.; Jang, E.; Lappert, M. F. J. Chem. Soc.; Dalton Trans. 1995, 3179–3187.

(21) Sen, S. S.; Ghadwal, R. S.; Kratzert, D.; Stern, D.; Roesky, H. W.; Stalke, D. *Organometallics* **2011**, *30*, 1030–1033.

(22) (a) Ossig, G.; Meller, A.; Brönneke, C.; Müller, O.; Schäfer, M.; Herbst-Irmer, R. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 2116–2120. (b) Ding, Y.; Ma, Q.; Roesky, H. W.; Herbst-Irmer, R.; Uson, I.; Noltemeyer, M.; Schmidt, H.-G. *Organometallics* **2002**, *21*, 5216–5250.

(23) (a) van Almsick, T.; Loose, A.; Sheldrick, W. S. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2005, 631, 21–23. (b) Kromm, A.; Sheldrick, W. S. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2008, 634, 1005–1010.

(24) Tokitoh, N.; Matsumoto, T.; Okazaki, R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, 33, 2531–2534.

(25) Goede, S. J.; Bickelhaupt, F. Chem. Ber. 1991, 124, 2677–2684.
(26) (a) Niecke, E.; Nieger, M.; Schmidt, O.; Gudat, D.; Schoeller, W. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 519–527. (b) Yoshifuji, M.; Ito, S. Top. Curr. Chem. 2003, 223, 67–89. (c) Septelean, R; Nemes, G.; Escudié, J.; Silaghi-Dumitrescu, I.; Ranaivonjatovo, H.; Petrar, P. M.; Gornitzka, H.; Silaghi-Dumitrescu, L.; Saffon, N. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 628–634.

(27) (a) SAINT-NT; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, 2000.
(b) SADABS; Program for data correction; Bruker-AXS M.: Madison, WI, 2000.

(28) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr. 1990, A46, 467-473.

(29) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-97; Program for Crystal Structure Refinement; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 2008; Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 2008, 64, 112–122.