Fe₂₀ Cluster Units Based Coordination Polymer from in Situ Ligand Conversion and Trapping of an Intermediate

Yong-Fei Zeng, Xin Hu, Li Xue, Sui-Jun Liu, Tong-Liang Hu, and Xian-He Bu*

Department of Chemistry and TKL of Metal- and Molecule-Based Material Chemistry, Nankai Un[ive](#page-2-0)rsity, Tianjin 300071, China

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-2-0)STRACT: [A](#page-2-0) [coordinat](#page-2-0)ion polymer based on an unprecedented $Fe₂₀$ core has been constructed by in situ ligand conversion, including trapping of an intermediate.

The investigation of high-nuclearity metal clusters continues to be of considerable interest because they represent piece grateme that grap hath quantum and classical represent nice systems that span both quantum and classic phenomena.¹ To date, Ag₄₉₀, Mo₃₆₈, Fe₁₆₈, La₇₆Ni₆₀, Mn₈₄, Er₆₀, $Cu_{17}Mn_{28}$, Co_{36} , and $Fe_{16}Ln_4$ are among the largest clusters to have been s[tu](#page-2-0)died.^{2,3} Other clusters, such as Mn_{12} , Mn_{32} , Fe₄, Co_{32} , Fe₁₂Ln₄, Ni₃₂La₂₀, Cu₃₆Ln₂₄, and Gd₃₆Ni₁₂ have been also reported, and so[me](#page-2-0) of them show single molecule magnet (SMM) behavior.^{4,5} Fe^{III} clusters are one of the largest families that has been explored, and among this family, Fe_{2-17} , 6,7 Fe_{18} wheel or chain, Fe_{19} cluster, e_{22} rod, Fe_{28} wheel, Fe_{64} cube, and Fe_{168} cage⁹ are some of largest Fe^{III} clusters that are [kn](#page-2-0)own. H[o](#page-2-0)wever, the synthesis of other large Fe^{III} clusters is still a challenging ta[sk](#page-2-0).

Hydro/solvothermal method is an effective tool for preparing complicated coordination systems, in which in situ ligand reaction may occasionally occur.¹⁰ Although there are drawbacks in the prediction of the final structures of crystalline products due to ligand variati[on,](#page-2-0) the in situ metal/ligand reaction has been extensively applied as a shortcut to obtaining novel or unexpected coordination systems.¹¹ So far, a variety of in situ reactions have been reported, and some typical examples have been also reviewed.¹² Unfortunately[, t](#page-2-0)he mechanism for such a reaction is not well understood because of the complexity of the reacti[on](#page-2-0) systems and the limitations of the reaction conditions, especially when the in situ reaction is not just one step. Thus, the capture of an intermediate product will not only benefit the construction of new materials with unusual architectures but also facilitate the precise clarification of their formation mechanism.¹²

Herein, an Fe^{III} coordination polymer, $\{[Fe_{20}(\mu_3\text{-O})_{14}]\}$ $(Tris)_2(Tris\text{-}CO)_2(C_6H_{11}CO_2)_{24}$ $(Tris)_2(Tris\text{-}CO)_2(C_6H_{11}CO_2)_{24}$ $(Tris)_2(Tris\text{-}CO)_2(C_6H_{11}CO_2)_{24}$. $2CH_3CN$ _n (1), with an icosanuclear $\mathrm{Fe^{III}}$ cluster as the building block, was synthesized under solvothermal conditions from the reaction of Fe^{III} precursor $[Fe₃O(C₆H₁₁CO₂)₆(H₂O)₃]\cdot C₆H₁₁CO₂ with 2-[bis-$ (2-hydroxyethyl)amino]-2-(hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol (Bis-Tris; Scheme 1). 13 During this course, the starting ligand of Bis-Tris suffers a two-step in situ transformation (Scheme S1 in the Supporting Inf[om](#page-2-0)ation, SI), and a cyclic ketal, namely, 4,4-bis(hydroxymethyl)oxazolidin-2-one (Tris-CO), seems to have [been generated from](#page-2-0) the intermediate 2-amino-2- (hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol (Tris). Notably, the interScheme 1. Chemical Structures of Bis-Tris, Tris, and Tris-CO

mediate of the in situ reaction was also trapped and involved in the final material.

Complex 1 crystallizes in the $P2₁/c$ space group with a quite complicated asymmetric unit (Figure S1 in the SI) and consists of a 3+ oxidation state icosanuclear iron cluster (Table S1 in the SI). Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analys[is](#page-2-0) indicates that the complex has a 2D $(4,4)$ network structure (Figure 1a), whe[re](#page-2-0) the leaflike icosanuclear Fe^{III} cores are linked by singleatom O bridge[s](#page-1-0) (Figure 1b). The $Fe₂₀$ -cluster unit contains 12 six-coordinated, 6 five-coordinated, and 2 four-coordinated $\rm Fe^{III}$ ions, joined by 14 μ ₃-[O](#page-1-0) bridges, 24 cyclohexanecarboxylate ligands, and two Tris^{2−} and two Tris- CO^{2-} linkers. The length, width, and thickness of the Fe₂₀ unit are 28.01, 21.78, and 19.21 Å, respectively (Figure S2 in the SI). All of the Fe−O bond lengths are in the range of 1.85−2.25 Å, and the Fe−N bond lengths are 2.18 Å. The Fe₂₀ cluste[r ca](#page-2-0)n also be viewed as a Fe₁₀ wheel that is centered by an open dicubane $Fe₄$ core to form a $Fe₁₄$ disk and then connected by two O-centered Fe^{III} triangles via O^{2-} bridges (Figure 2).

In this case, the Fe^{III} centers exhibit three different coordination geometries[:](#page-1-0) a four-coordinated quasi-tetrahedron $(FeO₄)$, a five-coordinated slightly distorted square pyramid (FeO₅), and six-coordinated distorted octahedra (FeO₅N and FeO₆; Figure S3 in the SI). Although both Tris^{2−} and Tris-CO^{2−} act as tridentate ligands to join four Fe^{III} centers, their linking modes are differe[nt](#page-2-0) (Figure S4 in the SI). Two Tris^{2−} ligands connect four Fe^{III} centers to construct a Fe₄ open dicubane motif (Figure 2a), which connecte[d th](#page-2-0)e $Fe₁₀$ wheel (Figure 2b) built by 10 O²[−] bridges and 10 cyclohexanecarboxylate ligands to form a Fe_{14} disk (Figure 2d) through two extra O^{2-} lin[ke](#page-1-0)rs and six O^{2-} bridges in the wheel. Then, the Fe₁₄ disk is further linked to two O-centered Fe^{III} triangles (Figure

Received: May 9, 2012 Published: September 4, 2012

Figure 1. Structural diagrams for complex 1: 2D (4,4) network based on Fe₂₀ units (top); a leaflike Fe₂₀ core (bottom). H atoms and cyclohexanecarboxylate ligands are omitted for clarity.

2c) to complete the leaflike Fe_{20} structure via two cyclohexanecarboxylate and four O^{2−} bridges in the wheel (Figure 2e). Although large iron clusters, such as $Fe₁₆₈$, $Fe₆₄$, $Fe₂₈$, and Fe_{22} , have been documented,^{2c,9} to our knowledge, this is the first example of an icosanuclear Fe^{III} cluster. Compared with the different subunits in Fe₂₈ an[d Fe](#page-2-0)₁₆₈ (Fe₇ units connected by a three-atom bridge) and Fe_{64} (Fe₈ units connected by formate),^{2c,9a,b} the Fe₂₀ units in 1 are connected by singleatom O bridges, similar to that in Fe₂₂ reported before.^{9c} Interesti[ngly, t](#page-2-0)he 20 Fe III centers in 1 are arranged in a quasiplane pattern, which is usually observed in Fe^{III} clusters wi[th](#page-2-0) μ ¹ Finally, the ligand of Tris-CO^{2−} connects with lower nuclearity.^{6b,7b} Finally, the ligand of Tris-CO^{2−} connects three Fe^{III} centers (two from the wheel and one from the Ocentered Fe^{III} tri[angle](#page-2-0)) in one Fe₂₀ unit with a Fe^{III} center from the O-centered Fe III triangle in another Fe₂₀ core to build a 2D (4,4) network. Although coordination polymers based on $Fe₁₃$ cores have been reported, $\frac{7c}{n}$ complex 1 represents the unprecedented polymeric Fe^{III} system with high-nuclearity building blocks.

In this system, Bis-Tris (usually as a biological buffer) with five hydroxyl groups was chosen as the starting ligand¹⁴ to obtain high-nuclearity clusters, and two-step in situ ligand conversion may have occurred for Bis-Tris under solvoth[erm](#page-2-0)al conditions (Scheme S1 in the SI). This observation is quite rare,¹⁵ in spite of the fact that more than 10 types of hydro/ solvothermal in situ ligand react[ion](#page-2-0)s have been known.¹⁶ First, Bis-[Tr](#page-2-0)is might be transformed into Tris, which is a biochemical reagent an[d](#page-2-0) has been reported in metal clusters¹⁷ due to thermal decomposition. Interestingly, a portion of Tris links

Figure 2. Subunit of the Fe₂₀ core in 1: (a) Fe₄ core; (b) Fe₁₀ wheel; (c) O-centered Fe^{III} triangle; (d) Fe₁₄ disk; (e) leaflike Fe₂₀ core.

four Fe^{III} centers in a tridentate mode and is comprised of the Fe₄ core in the structural assembly of $1.^{15a}$ Then, the intermediate Tris is changed to a cyclic ketal ligand, Bis-CO, via a cyclization reaction, which is usual for p[rote](#page-2-0)ction of the $C=O$ group in organic synthesis. Notably, using Tris as the starting ligand, which can be converted into Tris-CO in just one step, to synthesize complex 1 was unsuccessful, revealing the critical role of Bis-Tris in this reaction system.

The temperature dependence of $\chi_{\rm m}T$ for complex 1 as a $\chi_{\rm m}T$ vs T plot (χ_m) is the molar magnetic susceptibility for 20 Fe^{III} ions) in the range of 2−300 K, under an applied field of 1 kOe, is shown in Figure S9 in the SI. The $\chi_\mathrm{m}T$ value at 300 K is 31.97 cm³ mol⁻¹ K, which is much smaller than the expected value of 87.5 cm³ K mol⁻¹ (20 isolat[ed](#page-2-0) Fe^{III} ions with $g = 2$ and $S = \frac{5}{2}$) and reveals the strong antiferromagnetic interactions in the $Fe₂₀$ unit that is bridged by 14 μ_3 -O^{2−} groups. This result is similar to many reported μ_3 -O²⁻-bridged Fe^{fII} complexes.^{5b,7c,8e,9b,18} Upon cooling, the $\chi_{\rm m}T$ value decreases monotonically to 12.52 cm³ K [mol](#page-2-0)^{-[1](#page-2-0)} at 6 K and then sharply to 11.57 cm³ mol⁻¹ [K at 2](#page-2-0) K, which further confirms the antiferromagnetic coupling within the Fe_{20} core. The large value at 2 K implies a nonzero ground state for 1. At 2 K, the field-dependent reduced magnetization per 20 Fe^{III} ions of 1 tends to a saturation value of 10.0 $N\beta$ at 5 T (Figure S9 in the SI), which reveals an S = 5 ground state for 1 that resulted from either spin frustration or some ferromagnetic interactions, in spite of some dominant a[n](#page-2-0)tiferromagnetic couplings between $\hat{\mathrm{Fe}}^{\mathrm{III}}$ $\hat{\mathrm{Fe}}^{\mathrm{III}}$ $\hat{\mathrm{Fe}}^{\mathrm{III}}$ ions. Compared to S = 0 for Fe₆₄, Fe₂₈, and Fe₂₂⁹ and an uncertain low-spin ground

state for $Fe₁₆₈$,^{2c} complex 1 is a rare example with a nonzerospin ground state for Fe^{III} clusters with nuclearity larger than 20, in spite of $S = \frac{33}{2}$ for SMMs Fe₁₉.^{8c} Alternating-current (ac) magnetic susceptibility was collected at different frequencies. $\chi'_{m}T$ is almost 14 cm³ mol⁻¹ K in the range of 15−2 K, indicating a low population of excited states in this temperature range. Extrapolation of the data from above 15 to 0 K gives about $13.5 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K} \text{ mol}^{-1}$, confirming an $S = 5$ ground state for 1. However, the lack of a positive signal in out-of-phase ac magnetic susceptibility precludes 1 from an SMM.

In conclusion, a 2D coordination polymer based on unprecedented $Fe₂₀$ clusters has been successfully synthesized through the two-step in situ ligand reaction, which displays a ground state of $S = 5$.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

Crystallographic details (CIF), experimental section, supplementary structural figures, and additional characterizations. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ [AUTHOR INF](http://pubs.acs.org)ORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: buxh@nankai.edu.cn.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no comp](mailto:buxh@nankai.edu.cn)eting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the 973 Program (Grant 2012CB821700), National Science Foundation of China (Grants 21031002, 21003078, and 51073079), and the National Science Foundation of Tianjin, China (Grant 10JCZDJC22100).

■ REFERENCES

(1) For example, see: (a) Müller, A.; Henry, M. C. R. Chim. 2003, 6, 1201 and references cited therein. (b) Fenske, D.; Persau, C.; Dehnen, S.; Anson, C. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 305. (c) Bai, J.-F.; Virovets, A. V.; Scheer, M. Science 2003, 300, 781. (d) Wernsdorfer, W.; Aliaga-Alcalde, N.; Hendrickson, D. N.; Christou, G. Nature 2002, 416, 406. (e) Bogani, L.; Wernsdorfer, W. Nat. Mater. 2008, 7, 179.

(2) (a) Anson, C. E.; Eichhöfer, A.; Issac, I.; Fenske, D.; Fuhr, O.; Sevillano, P.; Persau, C.; Stalke, D.; Zhang, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1326. (b) Müller, A.; Beckmann, E.; Bögge, H.; Schmidtmann, M.; Dress, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1162. (c) Zhang, Z.-M.; Yao, S.; Li, Y.-G.; Clérac, R.; Lu, Y.; Su, Z.-M.; Wang, E.-B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 14600. (d) Kong, X.-J.; Long, L.-S.; Huang, R.-B.; Zheng, L.-S.; Harrisc, T. D.; Zheng, Z.-P. Chem. Commun. 2009, 4354. (e) Tasiopoulos, A. J.; Vinslava, A.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Abboud, K. A.; Christou, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 2117.

(3) (a) Kong, X.-J.; Wu, Y.-L.; Long, L.-S.; Zheng, L.-S.; Zheng, Z.-P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 6918. (b) Wang, W.-G.; Zhou, A.-J.; Zhang, W.-X.; Tong, M.-L.; Chen, X.-M.; Nakano, M.; Beedle, C. C.; Hendrickson, D. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 1014. (c) Alborés, P.; Rentschler, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9366. (d) Baniodeh, A.; Hewitt, I. J.; Mereacre, V.; Lan, Y.-H.; Novitchi, G.; Anson, C. E.; Powell, A. K. Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 4080.

(4) (a) Sessoli, R.; Gatteschi, D.; Caneschi, A.; Novak, M. A. Nature 1993, 365, 141. (b) Manoli, M.; Inglis, R.; Manos, M. J.; Nastopoulos, V.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Brechin, E. K.; Tasiopoulos, A. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 4441. (c) Barra, A. L.; Caneschi, A.; Cornia, A.; De Biani, F. F.; Gatteschi, D.; Sangregorio, C.; Sessoli, R.; Sorace, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 5302.

(5) (a) Bi, Y. F.; Wang, X. T.; Liao, W. P.; Wang, X. F.; Wang, X. W.; Zhang, H. J.; Gao, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 11650. (b) Zeng, Y.- F.; Xu, G.-C.; Hu, X.; Chen, Z.; Bu, X.-H.; Gao, S.; Sañ udo, E. C. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 9734. (c) Kong, X.-J.; Ren, Y.-P.; Long, L.-S.; Zheng, Z.-P.; Huang, R.-B.; Zheng, L.-S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 7016. (d) Leng, J.-D.; Liu, J.-L.; Tong, M.-L. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 5286. (e) Peng, J.-B.; Zhang, Q.-C.; Kong, X.-J.; Ren, Y.-P.; Long, L.-S.; Huang, R.-B.; Zheng, L.-S.; Zheng, Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 10649.

(6) (a) Miao, Y.-L.; Liu, J.-L.; Lin, Z.-J.; Ou, Y.-C.; Leng, J.-D.; Tong, M.-L. Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 4893. (b) Oshio, H.; Hoshino, N.; Ito, T.; Nakano, M.; Renz, F.; Gülich, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 223. (c) Graham, K.; Ferguson, A.; Douglas, F. J.; Thomas, L. H.; Murrie, M. Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 3125. (d) Stamatatos, T. C.; Christou, A. G.; Jones, C. M.; O'Callaghan, B. J.; Abboud, K. A.; O'Brien, T. A.; Christou, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 9840.

(7) (a) Gorun, S. M.; Papaefthymiou, G. C.; Frankel, R. B.; Lippard, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3337. (b) Raptopoulou, C. P.; Tangoulis, V.; Devlin, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2386. (c) Murugesu, M.; Clérac, R.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Anson, C. E.; Powell, A. K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 6678. (d) Micklitz, W.; Lippard, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 6856.

(8) (a) Goodwin, J. C.; Sessoli, R.; Gatteschi, D.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Powell, A. K.; Heath, S. L. Dalton Trans. 2000, 1835. (b) Powell, A. K.; Heath, S. L.; Gatteschi, D.; Pardi, L.; Sessoli, R.; Spina, G.; Del Giallo, F.; Pieralli, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 2491. (c) King, P.; Stamatatos, T. C.; Abboud, K. A.; Christou, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7379. (d) Watton, S. P.; Fuhrmann, P.; Pence, L. E.; Caneschi, A.; Cornia, A.; Abbati, G. L.; Lippard, S. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 2774. (e) Taguchi, T.; Thompson, M. S.; Abboud, K. A.; Christou, G. Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 9131. (f) Bagai, R.; Abboud, K. A.; Christou, G. Chem. Commun. 2007, 3359.

(9) (a) Liu, T.; Zhang, Y.-J.; Wang, Z.-M.; Gao, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 10500. (b) Zhang, Z.-M.; Li, Y.-G.; Yao, S.; Wang, E.-B.; Clérac, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1581. (c) Foguet-Albiol, D.; Abboud, K. A.; Christou, G. Chem. Commun. 2005, 4282.

(10) (a) Xiong, R.-G.; Xue, X.; Zhao, H.; You, X.-Z.; Abrahams, B. F.; Xue, Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 3800 and references cited therein. (b) Zhang, X.-M.; Tong, M.-L.; Chen, X.-M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1029. (c) Liu, C.-M.; Gao, S.; Kou, H.-Z. Chem. Commun. 2001, 1670.

(11) (a) Blake, J.; Champness, N. R.; Chung, S. S. M.; Li, W.-S.; Schröder, M. *Chem. Commun*. **199**7, 1675. (b) Han, L.; Bu, X.; Zhang, Q.; Feng, P. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 5736. (c) Yang, F.-L.; Tao, J.; Huang, R.-B.; Zheng, L.-S. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 911.

(12) (a) Chen, X.-M.; Tong, M.-L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 162. (b) Zhao, H.; Qu, Z.-R.; Ye, H.-Y.; Xiong, R.-G. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 84. (c) Zhang, X.-M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2005, 249, 1201. (d) Zhu, H.-B.; Gou, S.-H. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2011, 255, 318.

(13) Weinland, R. F.; Herz, A. Ber. Deutsch. Chem. Ges. 1912, 45, 2662.

(14) (a) Ferguson, A.; Parkin, A.; Murrie, M. Dalton Trans. 2006, 3627. (b) Ferguson, A.; McGregor, J.; Parkin, A.; Murrie, M. Dalton Trans. 2008, 731. (c) Stamatatos, T. C.; Abboud, K. A.; Christou, G. Dalton Trans. 2009, 41.

(15) (a) Li, G.-B.; Liu, J.-M.; Yu, Z.-Q.; Wang, W.; Su, C.-Y. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 8659. (b) Mothe, S. R.; Kothandaraman, P.; Rao, W.; Chan, P. W. H. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 2521. (c) Wang, Q.-F.; Song, X.-K.; Chen, J.; Yan, C.-G. J. Comb. Chem. 2009, 11, 1007.

(16) Kong, X.-J.; Zhuang, G.-L.; Ren, Y.-P.; Long, L.-S.; Huang, R.-B.; Zheng, L.-S. Dalton Trans. 2009, 1707 and references cited therein.

(17) (a) Ferguson, A.; Parkin, A.; Sanchez-Benitez, J.; Kamenev, K. V.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Murrie, M. Chem. Commun. 2007, 33, 3473. (b) Mondal, K. C.; Song, Y.; Mukherjee, P. S. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 9736.

(18) Hoshino, N.; Ako, A. M.; Powell, A. K.; Oshio, H. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 48, 3396.