# Bis(amidinato)germylenerhodium Complexes: Synthesis, Structure, and Density Functional Theory Calculations

Dimitri Matioszek,† Nathalie Saffon,‡ Jean-Marc Sotiropoulos,§ Karinne Miqueu,§ Annie Castel,\*,† and Jean Escudi $\acute{\text e}^\dagger$ 

 $^\dagger$ Université de Toulouse, UPS, LHFA, 118 Route de Narbonne, and CNRS, LHFA UMR 5069, F-31062 Toulouse, France ‡ Universitéde Toulouse, UPS, Institut de Chimie de Toulouse, FR2599, 118 Route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse, France <sup>§</sup>Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, Hélioparc 2 Avenue du Président Angot, and CNRS, IPREM UMR 5254, F-64053 Pau, France

**S** Supporting Information

[ABSTRACT:](#page-4-0) The first monogermylenerhodium complexes stabilized by bulky amidinato ligands on the divalent germanium center have been synthesized and characterized by NMR spectroscopy and X-ray crystallography. Their stability strongly depends on the steric hindrance of the



amidinato ligand. With trimethysilyl groups on the nitrogen atoms of the amidinato ligand, only the germylene oxide rhodium complex could be obtained; by contrast, with t-Bu groups, the germylenerhodium complex was isolated. In both cases, the formation of amidinatorhodium complexes was observed. The donating ability of the germylene ligand has been assessed from the CO stretching frequency of the corresponding dicarbonylrhodium complex and was confirmed by density functional theory calculations.

# **ENTRODUCTION**

The investigation of the transition-metal chemistry of the heavier analogues of carbenes, particularly the group 14 diaminometallylenes (Si, Ge, Sn, and Pb), has attracted  $considerable$  interest<sup>1</sup> since the first isolation of germylenes and stannylenes by Lappert and co-workers.<sup>2</sup> The ability of these compounds to [c](#page-5-0)oordinate to transition metals is due to the presence of a stereochemically active lone [p](#page-5-0)air of electrons, allowing the formation of a coordinative donor bond. However, despite their early discovery, the use of these aminometallylenes as  $\sigma$ -donor ligands to transition metals<sup>1,3</sup> is less developed than that of the N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs).<sup>4</sup> In (NHC)-M derivatives, one of the most used t[ran](#page-5-0)sition metals (M) is rhodium because of multiple applications of [its](#page-5-0) complexes in catalytic processes.<sup>5</sup> The activity of the latter is highly dependent on the  $\sigma$ -donor ability of the carbenic unit L, which can be easi[ly](#page-5-0) determined from LRhCl(CO)<sub>2</sub> by IR experiments.<sup>6</sup> To date, the replacement of the carbene by one of its heavier analogues (silylene, germylene, or stannylene) has not been re[po](#page-5-0)rted, and it would be interesting to prepare such complexes and to evaluate their  $\sigma$ -donor character using the same method. However, there are few examples of rhodium complexes (Chart 1) containing two or four aminometallylenes. In the silicon series, cationic tetrasilylenerhodium $(I)$  complexes (I) have been prepared using various NHSi and  $[Rh(cod)_2]$ - $\text{BAT}_{F}$  {cod = 1,5-cyclooctadiene;  $\text{BAT}_{F}$  = tetrakis[3,5-bis-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]borate}.<sup>7</sup> The formation of mononuclear complexes II and III has also been reported using  $bis(amino)$  $bis(amino)$  $bis(amino)$ germylenes $8$  and -stannylenes. $9$  More recently, the bis(silylene)rhodium complex IV was isolated and structurally





characterized.<sup>10</sup> In our part, we showed that the chloroamidinatogermanium $(I\bar{I})$  compound  ${Me<sub>3</sub>SiNC(Ph)}$ -NSiMe3}GeCl [eas](#page-5-0)ily coordinated to transition-metal moieties such as  $W(CO)_4$  or  $Mo(CO)_4$ .<sup>11</sup> This prompted us to investigate the reactivity of amidinatogermylenes toward  $[RhCl(cod)]_2$ . In this paper, we [des](#page-5-0)cribe the straightforward synthesis of the first monogermylenerhodium complexes, their structural characterization, and density functional theory (DFT) calculations, allowing us to gain further insight into their bonding.

Received: July 24, 2012 Published: October 22, 2012

# ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Attempted reaction of the amidinato(chloro)germylene  ${Me<sub>3</sub>SiNC(Ph)NSiMe<sub>3</sub>}GeCl$  with  $[RhCl(cod)]<sub>2</sub>$  failed; only a mixture of unidentified products was obtained, and the expected germylene complex could not be evidenced. By contrast, when using the bis(amidinato)germylene  ${Me<sub>3</sub>SiNC-}$  $(Ph)$ NSiMe<sub>3</sub>}<sub>2</sub>Ge  $(Ta)$ ,<sup>11</sup> a rapid reaction takes place, leading to a mixture of the bis(rhodium germylene) oxide 3a and the amidinatorhodium co[mpl](#page-5-0)ex 4a (Scheme 1). The formation of

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Compounds  $2−4<sup>a</sup>$ 



<sup>a</sup>Compound 2a could not be isolated.

3a can only be explained by the preliminary formation of the germylenerhodium complex  ${Me<sub>3</sub>SiNC(Ph)NSiMe<sub>3</sub>}_{2}GeRhCl-$ (cod) (2a), which is very sensitive and further reacts with traces of moisture in solution.

Because it is well-known that stabilization of the amidinato group 14 low-valent species is generally governed by the nature of the substituents on the nitrogen atoms of the ligand, we tested the bis(amidinato)germylene  $1b^{12}$  having a t-Bu group on the nitrogen atoms instead of a trimethylsilyl group with the view to obtain stable and isolable [mo](#page-5-0)nogermylenerhodium complexes. Indeed, the addition of compound 1b to [RhCl-  $(cod)]_2$  at  $-78$  °C in diethyl ether led to the sole formation of the expected germylenerhodium complex 2b, which could be isolated as air- and moisture-sensitive orange crystals in 49% yield (Scheme 1).

Complex 2b was stable in the solid state under an inert atmosphere but slowly decomposed in solution, leading to the amidinatorhodium complex 4b. Both compounds 4a and 4b correspond to the transfer of the amidinato ligand to the rhodium center. Such a transfer has been previously observed in the reaction of bis(germavinylidene)  $[(Me<sub>3</sub>SiN=PPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C=$  $Ge \rightarrow Ge=C(PPh_2=NSiMe_3)_2$ ] with a carbonylmolybdenum complex.<sup>13</sup> Derivatives 4a and 4b could be easily prepared in 75−78% yield by reacting the corresponding lithium amidinate salts<sup>1c,14</sup> [w](#page-5-0)ith  $[RhCl(cod)]_2$  (Scheme 2). The coordination of amidinates toward metals from all blocks of the periodic table has [been](#page-5-0) extensively examined,<sup>15</sup> but despite this, there are few examples of structurally characterized rhodium(I) complexes incorporati[ng](#page-5-0) an N,N'-chelating amidinato ligand.<sup>16</sup>

Single crystals of 2b and 3a suitable for X-ray diffraction analyses were grown in diethyl ether and pentane, [re](#page-5-0)spectively. 2b crystallizes in the triclinic space group  $P\overline{1}$  and complex 3a in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1/n$  (Figures 1 and 2).<sup>17</sup> In both structures, the coordination sphere is square-planar at the rhodium(I) center. The rhodium−olefin bon[d](#page-5-0) lengths

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Amidinatorhodium Complexes 4a and 4b



Figure 1. Molecular structure of compound 2b in the solid state (ellipsoids set at 50% probability). For clarity, hydrogen atoms, the crystallization solvent (diethyl ether), and disorder on the two t-Bu groups of N4 and N2 atoms are omitted. Selected bond distances [Å] and bond angles [deg]: Ge1−N1 1.991(2), Ge1−N2 2.043(2), Ge1− N3 1.898(2), Ge1…N4 2.568(2), N1–C1 1.335(3), N2–C1 1.320(3), Ge1−Rh1 2.445(1), Cl1−Rh1 2.355(1), Rh1−C16 2.188(2), Rh1− C17 2.171(2), Rh1−C20 2.123(2), Rh1−C21 2.108(2); N1−Ge1− N2 65.12(7), N1−Ge1−Rh1 121.80(5), N2−Ge1−Rh1 106.75(5), N3−Ge1−Rh1 127.58(5), Cl1−Rh1−Ge1 86.934(17).



Figure 2. Molecular structure of compound 3a in the solid state (ellipsoids set at 50% probability). For clarity, hydrogen atoms are omitted. Selected bond distances [Å] and bond angles [deg]: Ge1−N1 1.971(3), Ge1−N2 1.979(3), O1−Ge1 1.734(1), Rh1−Ge1 2.351(1), N1−C1 1.330(4), N2−C1 1.328(4), Rh1−Cl1 2.357(1), Rh1−C14 2.099(4), Rh1−C15 2.107(4), Rh1−C18 2.200(4), Rh1−C19 2.219(4); N1−Ge1−N2 68.02(11), N1−Ge1−Rh1 121.71(8), N2− Ge1−Rh1 124.23(8), O1−Ge1−N1 102.13(8), O1−Ge1−N2 103.80(8), O1−Ge1−Rh1 123.154(18), N1−C1−N2 112.4(3). Symmetry code: (i)  $-x$ ,  $-y$ ,  $-z$ .

 $[2.188(2), 2.171(2)$  and  $2.108(2), 2.123(2)$  Å for 2b; 2.099(4), 2.107(4) and 2.200(4), 2.219(4) Å for 3a] are in the normal range as in analogous rhodium complexes. $4i,18$  In the cyclic amidinato moiety, the N−C bond distances  $[1.320(3)$  and  $1.335(3)$  Å in 2b and  $1.328(4)$  and  $1.330(4)$  $1.330(4)$  $1.330(4)$ 

in 3a] are similar and between the expected ones for single and double bonds, in agreement with a significant degree of  $\pi$ electron delocalization along the N-C-N moiety.<sup>11,16</sup> The germanium atoms adopt a tetrahedral arrangement in both cases; the most remarkable difference between t[hese](#page-5-0) two structures probably lies in their torsion angles N−Ge−Rh−Cl. The amidinato ring is almost perpendicular to the coordination plane in 3a (89.28°), while the torsion angle is smaller (79.67°) in 2b. These values remain in the range of those often observed in NHC rhodium complexes.4l,18 The Ge−Rh bond distance  $[2.445(1)$  Å] in 2b is slightly longer than that in 3a  $[2.351(1)$ Å] and than those observe[d in](#page-5-0) the tetragermylenerhodium complex  $[2.3366(9)$  and  $2.3368(7)$  Å]<sup>8</sup> but shorter than the value reported for the Ge-Rh covalent bond in Ph3GeRh- $(CO)<sub>4</sub>$  $(CO)<sub>4</sub>$  $(CO)<sub>4</sub>$  (6) [2.5061(4) Å].<sup>19</sup> The Ge−O bond [1.734(1) Å] is comparable to those found in the bis(amidinatogermylene) oxide  $\{[\text{Bu}^t\text{C}(\text{NAr})_2]\text{Ge}\}_2\text{O}$  $\{[\text{Bu}^t\text{C}(\text{NAr})_2]\text{Ge}\}_2\text{O}$  $\{[\text{Bu}^t\text{C}(\text{NAr})_2]\text{Ge}\}_2\text{O}$   $[1.733(4)$  and  $1.766(5)$   $\text{\AA}\}^{20}$  and in the lower range of Ge−O single-bond lengths (1.75−1.85 Å).<sup>21</sup> The value of the Ge–O–Ge bond angle is exactl[y 1](#page-5-0)80<sup>°</sup> because of the presence of an inversion center located on the ox[yge](#page-5-0)n atom.

The X-ray crystal structures of the two amidinatorhodium(I) 4a (Figure 3) and 4b (Figure 4) are similar to those described



Figure 3. Molecular structure of compound 4a in the solid state (ellipsoids set at 50% probability). For clarity, only one of the two independent molecules of the asymmetric unit is shown and hydrogen atoms are omitted. Selected bond distances [Å] and bond angles [deg]: N1−C1 1.341(5), N2−C1 1.318(4), Rh1−N1 2.110(3), Rh1− N2 2.108(3), Rh1−C14 2.101(3), Rh1−C15 2.136(4), Rh1−C18 2.118(4), Rh1−C19 2.130(4); N1−C1−N2 114.4(3), N1−Rh1−N2  $63.98(11)$ .

for amidinato-<sup>16a</sup> and guanidinatorhodium<sup>16b</sup> complexes. The asymmetric unit of 4a contains two chemically identical but crystallographi[call](#page-5-0)y different molecules. In [each](#page-5-0) compound, the amidinato ligand is bonded in a N,N′-chelate fashion to the rhodium atom, which adopts a distorted square-planar geometry with Rh−N distances close to those previously observed. The C−N bond distances [1.318(4), 1.341(5) and 1.323(4), 1.325(4) Å in 4a and 1.336(2) Å, identical because of a mirror in 4b] are in agreement with a significant degree of delocalization on the NCN fragment.

In order to gain more insight into the  $\sigma$ -donor character of such germylenes, the rhodium complex derivative 2b was converted into the corresponding dicarbonyl compound 5 with carbon monoxide (CO; 3 atm) in tetrahydrofuran (THF) at −80 °C (Scheme 3). The <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum showed the complete replacement of cod by CO in less than 10 min.



Figure 4. Molecular structure of compound 4b in the solid state (ellipsoids set at 50% probability). For clarity, hydrogen atoms are omitted. Selected bond distances [Å] and bond angles [deg]: N1−C1 1.336(2), Rh1−N1 2.103(2), Rh1−C12 2.138(2), Rh1−C13 2.118(2), C12−C13 1.391(3); N1−Rh1−N1′ 63.14(9).

Scheme 3. Synthesis of the Germylenedicarbonylrhodium(I) Complex 5



Nonequivalent CO carbon atoms were observed in the  $^{13}$ C NMR spectrum at 185.55 ppm  $(^1J_{C-Rh} = 62.4 \text{ Hz})$  and 188.50 ppm  $({}^{1}J_{\text{C-Rh}} = 72.1 \text{ Hz})$ . Complexes of the general formula  $[LRh(CO)_2Cl]$  (L = 2e<sup>-</sup> donor) are often used to gauge the relative electron-donating ability by comparing the values of the  $\nu(CO)$  stretching frequencies.<sup>44</sup> The IR spectroscopy of complex 5 showed two CO vibrations of similar intensities (1971 and 2058 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ) with [a](#page-5-0) low average CO stretching frequency  $[\nu_{AV}(CO) = 2015 \text{ cm}^{-1}]$ . Using the average  $\nu_{AV}(CO)$  frequencies of cis-[LRh(CO)<sub>2</sub>Cl], Bertrand et al.<sup>22</sup> reported that the donor strength of the carbenic ligands follows the order cyclic carbodiphosphoranes  $(2001 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  >  $(\text{amino})$ -(ylidic) carbenes (2009−2017 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ) > NHCs (2039−2049 cm<sup>-1</sup>).<sup>6</sup> Compared with these values, the germylene 1b presents a strong electron-donor character probably because of the [i](#page-5-0)ntramolecular coordination of the nitrogen atom. All attempts to isolate this complex led to its fast decomposition.<sup>23</sup>

DFT calculations were performed with compounds 2b, 5, and  $6^{19}$  at the B3LYP/SDD (Rh) and 6-31G<sup>\*\*</sup> (other ato[ms\)](#page-5-0) levels coupled with natural bond orbital (NBO) calculations. The [opt](#page-5-0)imized geometries nicely match those determined by Xray studies in particular for the Ge−Rh bond length in 2b (2.494 Å). These calculations show a short Ge−Rh distance involving a strong donor−acceptor interaction. A polarized  $\sigma_{\text{Ge-Rh}}$  bond with 32.9% electron density on the germanium atom and 67.1% on the rhodium one is localized at the first order in NBO analysis. These results are close to those found for 6, a complex involving a strongly polarized Ge−Rh covalent bond (26.9% Ge and 73.1% Rh). Moreover, atoms-inmolecules (AIM) analyses for 2b and 6 show that a bond critical point exists between the germanium and rhodium atoms with an electron density  $[\rho(r)]$  of the same magnitude  $[\rho(r)$  =  $\sim$ 7 × 10<sup>-2</sup> e<sup>-</sup> bohr<sup>-3</sup>; Figure 5].

Compound 5 was analyzed in the same way, and the results from NBO and AIM calculati[on](#page-3-0)s are close to those calculated for compounds 2b and 6. The calculated Ge−Rh bond length

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Figure 5.** Laplacian plot of the electron density  $\nabla^2 \rho(r)$  with charge accumulation  $[\nabla^2 \rho(r) < 0]$  printed in blue and charge deplection  $[\nabla^2 \rho(r) > 0]$  printed in red for complexes 2b (a) and 6 (b).

(2.400 Å) is found to be shorter than that for 2b, and the electron density  $\rho(r)$  slightly higher  $[\rho(r) = \sim 8.3 \times 10^{-2} \text{ e}^{-1}]$ bohr<sup>−3</sup>], with a less polarized  $\sigma_{\rm Ge-Rh}$  bond (57.2% Ge and 42.8% Rh), coherent with the  $\pi$ -acceptor character of the CO substituents. The description of the bonding situation in complexes 2b and 6 is similar: the same nature of the Ge  $\rightarrow$  Rh interaction (localization of the  $\sigma_{\text{Ge-Rh}}$  bond in the NBO calculations at the first order) and the same value of the electron density  $\rho(r)$  using AIM analysis. Nevertheless, polarization of the Ge−Rh bond is found to be slightly different in 2b compared to 6. It means that the Ge−Rh bond in 2b presents a slightly less polarized covalent character than 6.

# **EN CONCLUDING REMARKS**

In summary, two monogermylenerhodium(I) complexes, 2b and 3a, have been prepared via a one-step route from bis(amidinato)germylenes 1a and 1b and  $[RhCl(cod)]_2$ ; they were fully characterized by NMR spectroscopy and X-ray crystallography. Their stability strongly depends on the nature of the ligand and particularly on the steric hindrance of the substituent on the nitrogen atoms. Whereas with trimethysilyl groups on the nitrogen atom only the germylene oxide rhodium complex could be obtained, with t-Bu groups on the amidinato ligand, the germylene rhodium complex was stable and isolable. In both cases, the formation of amidinatorhodium complexes was also observed. Complex 2b was completely converted to the corresponding dicarbonyl compound 5. The IR study showed that the starting germylene 1b is a strong electron donor comparable with the strongest electron-donating NHC. DFT calculations confirm that complexes 2b and 5 present a polarized covalent bond Ge−Rh, which leads to a short Ge−Rh distance.

## **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General Procedures. All manipulations with air-sensitive materials were performed in a dry and oxygen-free atmosphere of argon by using standard Schlenk-line and glovebox techniques. Solvents were purified with the MBRAUN SBS-800 purification system. NMR spectra were recorded in  $C_6D_6$  or THF- $d_8$  on a Bruker Avance II 300  $\left[$ <sup>1</sup>H (300.13 MHz), <sup>13</sup>C (74.48 MHz), and <sup>29</sup>Si (59.63 MHz) at 298 K] or on a Bruker Avance II 400 [<sup>1</sup>H (400.13 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C (100.61 MHz) at 193 K]. Chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million with residual solvent signals as the internal reference  $(^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C} \{^1\text{H}\})$ . Mass spectrometry (MS) spectra were measured with a Hewlett-Packard 5989A in electron impact mode (70 eV). High-resolution MS (HR-MS) spectra were measured with a GCT Premier Waters in DCI mode (CH4). IR spectra were measured on a Varian 640-IR FT-IR spectrometer. Melting points were measured with a sealed capillary using the Stuart automatic melting point SMP40 apparatus. The germanium(II) derivatives  $1a^{11}$  and  $1b^{12}$  and the lithium amidinate  $s$ alts<sup>1c,14</sup> were prepared according to literature procedures.

2b. A cooled  $(-78 °C)$  solution of 1b  $(0.14 g, 0.26 mmol)$  in diethyl ether (4 mL) was added to a solution of  $[RhCl(cod)]_2$  (0.07 g, 0.14 mmol) in diethyl ether (4 mL) at −78 °C. After 45 min, the solution was raised to room temperature and then stirred for a further 45 min. After filtration, the solvent was concentrated under reduced pressure to incipient crystallization. 2b was obtained as yellow crystals  $(0.10 \text{ g}, 49\%)$ . Mp: 91  $^{\circ}$ C.  $^{1}$ H NMR (THF- $d_{8}$ , 400.13 MHz, 193 K):  $\delta$ 1.22, 1.24, 1.42, 1.45 (4s, 4 × 9H, CH3), 1.74−1.89 (m, 4H, CH2), 2.30−2.46 (m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.58, 4.15, 4.86, 4.94 (4s, 4 × 1H, CH=), 7.33−7.40 (m, 5H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 7.45−7.48 (m, 5H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (THF- $d_8$ , 100.61 MHz, 193 K):  $\delta$  29.44, 29.55 (CH<sub>2</sub>); 32.80, 32.88, 33.65, 33.89 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 54.63, 55.04, 55.26, 57.34 (C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 93.73, 94.02 (CH=), 127.22, 128.17, 128.44, 128.92, 129.01, 129.49, 129.87, 130.56, 130.66, 130.77 ( $C_o$ ,  $C_m$ ,  $C_p$ ), 136.07, 139.53 ( $C_i$ ), 166.29, 166.86 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>C). MS:  $m/z$  551 (1%) ([M – C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>C[Nt-Bu]<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>), 536 (1%)  $([{C_6}H_5C[Nt-Bu]_2]_2Ge]^+$ ), 57 (100%)  $([t-Bu]^+)$ . Anal. Calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>58</sub>ClGeN<sub>4</sub>Rh: C, 58.37; H, 7.48; N, 7.17. Found: C, 57.62; H, 7.06; N, 6.55.

**3a.** A solution of 1a  $(0.20 \text{ g}, 0.33 \text{ mmol})$  in toluene  $(1.5 \text{ mL})$  at −70 °C was slowly added to a suspension of  $[RhCl(cod)]_2$  (0.08 g, 0.17 mmol) in toluene (1.5 mL) at −70 °C. After 2 h, the solution was raised to room temperature and then stirred for a further 1 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue treated with pentane. After filtration, the solution was cooled at 4 °C to yield crystals of 4a (80% NMR yield) and 3a (20% NMR yield). 3a was not isolated from the reaction mixture; the reaction was performed several times, leading to reproducible results. <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $C_6D_6$ , 300.13 MHz):  $\delta$  –0.15 (36H, SiCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.35 (s, 8H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.19–2.21 (m, 8H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.51 (s, 8H, CH=), 6.88–7.07 (m, 10H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 75.48 MHz):  $\delta$  2.45 (SiCH<sub>3</sub>), 33.61 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 96.03 (CH=), 126.43  $(C_0)$ , 128.14  $(C_m)$ , 128.62  $(C_p)$ , 139.03  $(C_i)$ , 163.42  $(C_6H_5C)$ . <sup>29</sup>Si NMR ( $C_6D_6$ , 59.63 MHz):  $\delta$  2.70. IR ( $C_6D_6$ ): 839 cm<sup>-1</sup> ( $\nu_{Ge-O}$ ).

**4a.** A solution of  $[\{Me<sub>3</sub>SiNC(Ph)CNSiMe<sub>3</sub>\}Li]$  (0.41 mmol) in diethyl ether was added to a solution of  $[Rh(cod)Cl]_2$  (0.10 g, 0.20 mmol) in diethyl ether (5 mL) at −78 °C. After 10 min, the solution was raised to room temperature and stirred for 2 h. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was treated with 8 mL of toluene. After filtration, the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to incipient crystallization. 4a was obtained as yellow crystals at −24 °C (0.11 g, 77%). Mp: 91 °C (dec). <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $C_6D_6$ , 300.13 MHz):  $\delta$  -0.15 (s, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>H-Si</sub> = 6.6 Hz, 18H, SiCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.50-1.57  $(m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.27–2.31$   $(m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.42$   $(s, 4H, CH=), 6.85–$ 6.94 (m, 3H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 7.03–7.06 (m, 2H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 75.48 MHz):  $\delta$  2.45 (<sup>1</sup>J<sub>C−Si</sub> = 56.6 Hz, SiCH<sub>3</sub>), 31.11 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 76.46 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>C</sub> + 12.6 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 76.46 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>C</sub> + 12.6 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 76.46 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>C</sub> + 12.6 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 76.46 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>C</sub> + 12.6 Hz, C<sub>1</sub>)  ${}^{1}J_{C-Rh}$  = 12.6 Hz, CH=), 126.34 (C<sub>o</sub>), 128.02 (C<sub>m</sub>), 128.32 (C<sub>p</sub>), 142.68 (d  ${}^{3}J_{\text{C-Rh}} = 2.5$  Hz, C<sub>i</sub>), 187.84 (d, <sup>2</sup> 142.68 (d<sup>3</sup>J<sub>C−Rh</sub> = 2.5 Hz, C<sub>i</sub>), 187.84 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>C−Rh</sub> = 5.0 Hz, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>C).<br><sup>29</sup>Si NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 59.63 MHz):  $\delta$  2.70 (<sup>2</sup>J<sub>Si−Rh</sub> = 0.9 Hz). MS: m/z 474  $(58%) ([M]<sup>+</sup>), 459 (29%) ([M – CH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>), 73 (100%) ([Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]).$ HR-MS for  $C_{21}H_{35}N_2RhSi_2$  ([M]<sup>+</sup>): calcd mass, 474.1394; measd mass, 474.1374.

**4b.** A solution of  $[\{t\text{-BuNC(Ph)CNt-Bu}\}\text{Li}]^{\text{1c}}$  (0.40 mmol) was added to a solution of  $[Rh(cod)Cl]_2$  (0.10 g, 0.20 mmol) in diethyl ether (5 mL) at −78 °C. After 10 min, the soluti[on](#page-5-0) was raised to room temperature and stirred for 1 h and 30 min. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was treated with 8 mL of toluene. After filtration, the filtrate was evaporated under vacuum to yield 4b (0.13 g, 75%) as a yellow powder. Yellow crystals were obtained from a saturated solution of toluene at room temperature. Mp: 185 °C (dec). <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $\rm{C_6D_{67}}$  300.13 MHz):  $\delta$ 0.92 (s, 18H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.56−1.64, 2.30−2.35 (2m, 2 × 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.66 (s, 4H, CH=), 6.86−6.94 (m, 3H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>), 7.21−7.25 (m, 2H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>).  $^{13}$ C NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 75.48 MHz):  $\delta$  31.11 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 33.17 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 55.34 (d,  $J_{C-Rh}$  = 1.8 Hz,  $C(CH_3)_3$ ), 75.35 (d,  $J_{C-Rh}$  = 12.4 Hz, CH=), 126.74  $(C_o)$ , 128.05  $(C_p)$ , 130.37  $(C_m)$ , 139.67  $(d, {}^{3}J_{C-Rh} = 2.0$  Hz,  $C_i)$ , 179.76 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{C-Rh} = 1.0$  Hz,  $C_6H_5C$ ). MS:  $m/z$  442 (46%) ([M]<sup>+</sup>), 328 (22%) ([M – 2t-Bu]<sup>+</sup>), 57 (100%) ([t-Bu]<sup>+</sup>). HR-MS for  $C_{23}H_{35}N_2Rh$ ([M]<sup>+</sup> ): calcd mass, 442.1855; measd mass, 442.1874.

NMR-Scale Synthesis of 5. A solution of 2b (0.04 g, 0.05 mmol) in THF- $d_8$  was placed under an atmosphere of CO (3 atm, J. Young

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>Table 1. Crystallographic Data of Compounds 2b, 3a, 4a, and 4b



Valve NMR tube) at <sup>−</sup><sup>80</sup> °C. Ligand exchange was monitored using <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy; the reaction was completed in less than 10 min. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (THF- $d_8$ , 400.13 MHz, 193 K):  $\delta$  1.27, 1.31, 1.35, 1.37 (4s, 4  $\times$  9H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 7.31–7.54 (m, 10H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (THF- $d_8$ , 100.61 MHz, 193 K): δ 32.63, 32.74, 33.46, 33.98 (CH3), 55.04, 55.19, 56.32, 56.97  $(C(CH_3)_3)$ , 127.77, 128.42, 128.53, 128.83, 129.94, 130.46, 130.89, 130.96, 131.55  $(C_{\varphi}, C_{\pi}, C_{p})$ , 135.80, 136.37  $(C_{i})$ , 166.97, 168.92 ( $C_6H_5C$ ), 185.55 (d, <sup>1</sup> $C_{\text{e-Rh}} = 62.4 \text{ Hz}$ , CO), 188.50 (d, <sup>1</sup> $C_{\text{e-Rh}} = 72.1 \text{ Hz}$ , CO), IR (THE), 1971, 2058 cm<sup>-1</sup> (t(s)  $J_{C-Rh}$  = 72.1 Hz, CO). IR (THF): 1971, 2058 cm<sup>-1</sup> ( $\nu_{\text{CO}}$ ). Concentration of the reaction mixture resulted in decomposition of the expected product.<sup>23</sup>

Computational Information. Calculations were performed with the Gaussian 03 suit[e o](#page-5-0)f programs,<sup>24</sup> using the DFT method.<sup>25</sup> The hybrid exchange functional B3LYP set<sup>26</sup> was used. B3LYP is a threeparameter functional developed b[y B](#page-5-0)ecke that combines the [B](#page-5-0)ecke gradient-corrected exchange functiona[l a](#page-5-0)nd the Lee−Yang−Parr and Vosko−Wilk−Nusair correlation functionals with part of the exact Hartree−Fock exchange energy. The SDD basis set was used for rhodium and the 6-31 $G(d)$  basis set for other atoms.<sup>27</sup> Geometry optimizations were carried out without any symmetry restrictions. The electron density of the optimized structures was subje[cte](#page-5-0)d to AIM analysis (QTAIM analysis)<sup>28</sup> using  $AIMA11$  software.<sup>2</sup>

X-ray Structure Determinations. The data of the structures for compounds 2b, 3a, 4a, and [4](#page-5-0)b were collected on a Br[uk](#page-5-0)er-AXS APEX II diffractometer using a 30 W air-cooled microfocus source (ImS) with focusing multilayer optics at a temperature of 193(2) K, with graphite-monochromated Mo K $\alpha$  radiation (wavelength = 0.71073 Å) by using  $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans. The data were integrated with SAINT, and an empirical absorption correction with SADABS was applied.<sup>30</sup> The structures were solved by direct methods using  $SHELXS-97^{31}$  and refined using the least-squares method on  $F^2$ .<sup>32</sup> All non-h[ydr](#page-5-0)ogen . atoms were treated anisotropically. The hydrogen atoms wer[e](#page-5-0) fixed

geometrically and refined with a riding model. The hydrogen atoms were located by difference Fourier maps and refined with a riding model. Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center as CCDC 848154 (2b), 892930 (3a), 892931 (4a), and 848155 (4b). These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.uk/conts/retrieving. html (or from the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, U.K., fax (+44) 1223-336-033, or e-mail [deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk\). See also](www.ccdc.cam.uk/conts/retrieving.html) the Supporting Information.

Structural data are summarized [in Table 1.](mailto:deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk)

## [■](www.ccdc.cam.uk/conts/retrieving.html) ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### S Supporting Information

Crystallographic data in CIF format for 2b, 3a, 4a, and 4b and details of calculations for 2b, 5, and 6. Computational Information. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### ■ AUTH[OR INFORMATIO](http://pubs.acs.org)N

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: castel@chimie.ups-tlse.fr.

# Notes

The auth[ors declare no competin](mailto:castel@chimie.ups-tlse.fr)g financial interest.

## ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, the Université de Toulouse, and Grant ANR-08-BLAN-0105-01 are gratefully acknowledged for financial support. D.M. is grateful to the Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche for his Ph.D. grant.

## <span id="page-5-0"></span>■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Asay, M.; Jones, C.; Driess, M. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 354− 396. (b) Mandal, S. K.; Roesky, H. W. Chem. Commun. 2010, 6016− 6041. (c) Nagendran, S.; Sen, S. S.; Roesky, H. W.; Koley, D.; Grubmüller, H.; Pal, A.; Herbst-Irmer, R. Organometallics 2008, 27, 5459−5463. (d) Zabula, A. V.; Hahn, F. E. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 5165−5179. (e) Kü hl, O. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2004, 248, 411−427. (f) Lappert, M. F.; Rowe, R. S. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1990, 100, 267−292. (g) Petz, W. Chem. Rev. 1986, 86, 1019−1047.

(2) Davidson, F.; Harris, D. H.; Lappert, M. F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 2268−2274.

(3) (a) Cabeza, J. A.; Fernandez-Colinas, J. M.; Garcia-Alvarez, P.; Polo, D. Inorg. Chem. 2012, 51, 3896−3903. (b) Mansell, S. M.; Herber, R. H.; Nowik, I.; Ross, D. H.; Russell, C. A.; Wass, D. F. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 2252−2263. (c) Ullah, F.; Kü hl, O.; Bajor, G.; Veszpremi, T.; Jones, P. G.; Heinicke, J. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 221−229. (d) Yang, W.; Fu, H.; Wang, H.; Chen, M.; Ding, Y.; Roesky, H. W.; Jana, A. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 5058−5060. (e) Saur, I.; Rima, G.; Miqueu, K.; Gornitzka, H.; Barrau, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 672, 77−85. (f) Bazinet, P.; Yap, G. P. A.; Richeson, D. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 11162−11167. (g) Schmedake, T. A.; Haaf, M.; Paradise, B. J.; Millevolte, A. J.; Powell, D. R.; West, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 636, 17−25. (h) Jutzi, P.; Keitemeyer, S.; Neumann, B.; Stammler, H.-G. Organometallics 1999, 18, 4778−4784. (i) Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; Thomas, S. A.; Thorne, A. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 315, 27−44.

(4) (a) Kö cher, C.; Herrmann, W. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 532, 261−265. (b) Bildstein, B.; Malaun, M.; Kopacka, H.; Ongania, K.-H.; Wurst, K. J. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 572, 177−187. (c) Huang, J.; Stevens, E. D.; Nolan, S. P.; Petersen, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 2674−2678. (d) Weskamp, T.; Kohl, F. J.; Herrmann, W. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 582, 362−365. (e) Chianese, A. R.; Li, X.; Janzen, M. C.; Faller, J. W.; Crabtree, R. H. Organometallics 2003, 22, 1663−1667. (f) Cattoën, X.; Gornitzka, H.; Bourissou, D.; Bertrand, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 1342−1343. (g) Dorta, R.; Stevens, E. D.; Nolan, S. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5054−5055. (h) Arnold, P. L.; Pearson, S. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2007, 251, 596–609. (i) Khramov, D. M.; Lynch, V. M.; Bielawski, C. W. Organometallics 2007, 26, 6042−6049. (j) Pugh, D.; Danopoulos, A. A. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2007, 251, 610–641. (k) Hahn, F. E.; Jahnke, M. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3122−3172. (l) Song, G.; Zhang, Y.; Li, X. Organometallics 2008, 27, 1936−1943. (m) Jacobsen, H.; Correa, A.; Poater, A.; Costabile, C.; Cavallo, L. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2009, 253, 687−703. (n) Melaimi, M.; Soleilhavoup, M.; Bertrand, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 8810−8849. (o) Bierenstiel, M.; Cross, E. D. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2011, 255, 574−590.

(5) (a) Gil, W.; Trzeciak, A. M.; Zioł́kowski, J. J. Organometallics 2008, 27, 4131–4138. (b) Gil, W.; Trzeciak, A. M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2011, 255, 473−483. (c) Gülcemal, S.; Daran, J.-C.; Çetinkaya, B. Inorg. Chim. Acta **2011**, 365, 264–268. (d) Türkmen, H.; Çetinkaya, B. Appl. Organomet. Chem. 2011, 25, 226−232.

(6) (a) Lavallo, V.; Mafhouz, J.; Canac, Y.; Donnadieu, B.; Schoeller, W. W.; Bertrand, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 8670−8671. (b) Martin, D.; Baceiredo, A.; Gornitzka, H.; Schoeller, W. W.; Bertrand, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1700–1703. (c) Türkmen, H.; Çetinkaya, B. J. Organomet. Chem. 2006, 691, 3749−3759. (d) Iglesias, M.; Beetstra, D. J.; Stasch, A.; Horton, P. N.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Coles, S. J.; Cavell, K. J.; Dervisi, A.; Fallis, I. A. Organometallics 2007, 26, 4800−4809. (e) Iglesias, M.; Beetstra, D. J.; Kariuki, B.; Cavell, K. J.; Dervisi, A.; Fallis, I. A. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 1913− 1919. (f) Scarborought, C. C.; Guzei, I. A.; Stahl, S. S. Dalton Trans. 2009, 2284−2286. (g) Tonner, R.; Frenking, G. Organometallics 2009, 28, 3901−3905. (h) Wolf, S.; Plenio, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 2009, 694, 1487−1492. (i) Drö ge, T.; Glorius, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 6940−6952.

(7) Neumann, E.; Pfaltz, A. Organometallics 2005, 24, 2008−2011.

(8) Veith, M.; Müller, A.; Stahl, L.; Nötzel, M.; Jarczyk, M.; Huch, V. Inorg. Chem. 1996, 35, 3848−3855.

(9) Veith, M.; Stahl, L.; Huch, V. Inorg. Chem. 1989, 28, 3278−3280.

(10) Al-Rafia, S. M. I.; Malcolm, A. C.; McDonald, R.; Ferguson, M. J.; Rivard, E. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 1308−1310.

(11) Matioszek, D.; Katir, N.; Saffon, N.; Castel, A. Organometallics 2010, 29, 3039−3046.

(12) Yeong, H.-X.; Zhang, S.-H.; Xi, H.-W.; Guo, J.-D.; Lim, K. H.; Nagase, S.; So, C.-W. Chem.-Eur. J. 2012, 18, 2685-2691.

(13) Leung, W.-P.; So, C.-W.; Wang, J.-Z.; Mak, T. C. W. Chem. Commun. 2003, 248−249.

(14) Edelmann, F. T. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1994, 137, 403−481.

(15) (a) Junk, P. C.; Cole, M. L. Chem. Commun. 2007, 1579−1590. (b) Edelmann, F. T. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 2008, 57, 183−352.

(c) Jones, C. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2010, 254, 1273−1289. (16) (a) Lahoz, F. J.; Tiripicchio, A.; Tiripicchio Camellini, M.; Oro, L. A.; Pinillos, M. P. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1985, 1487−1493. (b) Jones, C.; Mills, D. P.; Stasch, A. Dalton Trans. 2008, 4799−4804. (17) CCDC 848154 (2), 892930 (3a), 892931 (4a), and 848155 (4b) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge

Crystallographic Data Center via www.ccddc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/ cif.

(18) Herrmann, W. A.; Elison, M.; Fischer, J.; Kö[cher, C.; Artus, G.](www.ccddc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif) R. J. Chem.—Eur. J. 1996, 2, 772–780.

[\(1](www.ccddc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif)9) Adams, R. D.; Trufan, E. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 3029−3034.

(20) Zhang, S.-H.; So, C.-W. Organometallics 2011, 30, 2059−2062. (21) Baines, K. M.; Stibbs, W. G. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1995, 145, 157−

200. (22) Melaimi, M.; Soleilhavoup, M.; Bertrand, G. Angew. Chem., Int.

Ed. 2010, 49, 2−42.

(23) Sanderson, M. D.; Kamplain, J. W.; Bielawski, C. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 16514−16515.

(24) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. Gaussian 03, revision E.01; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 2003.

(25) Parr, R. G.; Yang, W. Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules; Breslow, R., Goodenough, J. B., Eds.; Oxford University Press: New York, 1989.

(26) (a) Becke, A. D. Phys. Rev. A 1988, 38, 3098−3100. (b) Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648−5652. (c) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785−789.

(27) Hariharan, P. C.; Pople, J. A. Theor. Chim. Acta 1973, 28, 213− 222.

(28) (a) Bader, R. F. W. Atoms in Molecules: A Quantum Theory; Oxford University Press: New York, 1990. (b) Bader, R. F. W. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 893−928.

(29) Keith, T. A. AIMAll, version 10.10.11; TK Gristmill Software: Overland Park, KS, 2010.

(30) (a) SAINT-NT; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, 2000. (b) SADABS; Program for data correction; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, 2000.

(31) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr. 1990, A46, 467−473.

(32) SHELXL-97; Program for Crystal Structure Refinement: Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 2008, 64, 112−122.