# Phosphorus−Nitrogen Compounds. Part 24. Syntheses, Crystal Structures, Spectroscopic and Stereogenic Properties, Biological Activities, and DNA Interactions of Novel Spiro-ansa-spiro- and Ansaspiro-ansa-cyclotetraphosphazenes

Gamze Elmas (nee Egemen), $^\dagger$  Aytuğ Okumuş, $^\dagger$  Zeynel Kılıç, $^{*,\dagger}$  Tuncer Hökelek, $^\ddagger$  Leyla Açık, $^{\$}$ Hakan Dal,<sup>⊥</sup> Nagehan Ramazanoğlu,<sup>§</sup> and L.Yasemin Koç<sup>#</sup>

† Department of Chemistry, Ankara University, 06100 Ankara, Turkey ‡ Department of Physics, Hacettepe University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey § Department of Biology, Gazi University, 06500 Ankara, Turkey <sup>⊥</sup>Department of Chemistry, Anadolu University, 26470 Eskisehir, Turkey ̧ # Department of Biology, Ankara University, 06100 Ankara, Turkey

**S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-13-0)STRACT: [The reaction](#page-13-0)s of octachlorocyclotetraphosphazene,  $N_4P_4Cl_8$ , with  $N_2O_2$ donor-type aminopodands (1a, 1b, 1g, and 1h) afforded two kinds of derivatives, namely, spiro-ansa-spiro (sas) (2a, 2b, 2g, and 2h) and ansa-spiro-ansa (asa) (3a and 3b) phosphazenes. The partly substituted sas phosphazenes (2a and 2b) reacted with excess pyrrolidine and morpholine in tetrahydrofuran to produce the tetrapyrrolidino (2c and 2d) and morpholino (2e and 2f) derivatives. The reactions of the asa phosphazenes (3a and 3b) with excess pyrrolidine and morpholine produced gem-2-trans-6-dichloropyrrolidinophosphazenes (3c and 3d) and -morpholinophosphazenes (3e and 3f). However, the fully substituted products were not obtained in these solvents. In addition, the expected fully substituted compound was not obtained from the reaction of 3a with excess pyrrolidine by standard or microwave-assisted methods. The reaction of the long-chain starting compound  $(1g)$  with N<sub>4</sub>P<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>8</sub> gave sas  $(2g)$  and the interesting 2,6-ansa-spiro-bicyclo product (bicyclo-2,6-as; 4g), while the reaction of 1h with  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  yielded only sas (2h). The structural



investigations of the compounds were verified by elemental analyses, mass spectrometry, Fourier transform infrared, and DEPT, HSQC, HMBC,  $^1$ H,  $^{13}$ C, and  $^{31}$ P NMR techniques. The crystal structures of 2b, 3a, 3b, 3e, and 4g were determined by X-ray crystallography. Compounds 2a−2h, 3a−3f, and 4g had two stereogenic P atoms. Compound 3b had one enantiomer according to the Flack parameter, and 3f was a racemic mixture, as shown by chiral high-performance liquid chromatography and chiralsolvating-agent, (R)-(+)-2,2,2-trifluoro-1-(9′-anthryl)ethanol, experiments. Furthermore, compounds 2a, 2c, and 2d exhibited weak antibacterial activity against (G+) bacterium, and 3c and 3d displayed moderate antifungal activity against Candida tropicalis. Gel electrophoresis data demonstrated that 2e, 3c, and 3e promoted the formation of DNA cleavage. The prevention of BamHI digestion by 2a−2f and 3a−3f, except 2b and 2e, disclosed binding with GG nucleotides in DNA.

## ■ INTRODUCTION

Cyclophosphazenes are an important class of inorganic ring systems and exhibit very different physical and chemical properties depending on the types and properties of the substituents bonded to the P atoms.<sup>1</sup> The replacement reaction patterns of Cl atoms in  $N_3P_3Cl_6$  with mono-, di-, tri-, and tetrafunctional amines, such as d[ia](#page-13-0)minoalkanes, diaminopolyethers, spermidine, and spermine, have been extensively studied $^{2}$  and reported as chemotherapeutic agents and selective carriers for delivering anticancer drugs to malignant target cells.<sup>3</sup> [Al](#page-13-0)though a large number of  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  derivatives have also been prepared with mono- and difunctional ligands,<sup>4</sup> disc[us](#page-13-0)sions of the substitution reaction patterns with polyfunctional ligands are relatively limited in the literature.<sup>5</sup>  $N_4P_4Cl_8$ with bidentate and/or polydentate amines may produce spiro,

dispiro (2,4- and 2,6-), trispiro, tetraspiro, ansa, spiro-ansa (2,4 and 2,6-), spiro-ansa-spiro (sas), bino, spiro-bino, and di(spirobino) products depending on the reaction conditions.<sup>6</sup> Our group has focused on the reactions of  $N_2O_2$  donor-type tetradentate ligands with  $N_3P_3Cl_6$  and isolated [s](#page-14-0)as and spirobino-spiro (sbs) but not ansa-spiro-ansa (asa) products.<sup>7</sup> A review of the literature shows that there are no reports of the reactions of  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  with  $N_2O_2$  donor-type tetrade[nta](#page-14-0)te ligands. This paper is focused primarily on the chloride replacement reactions of  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  with  $N_2O_2$  tetradentate ligands with the aim of obtaining novel polyheterocyclotetraphosphazenes for comparison with cyclotriphosphazene

Received: August 7, 2012 Published: November 19, 2012 <span id="page-1-0"></span>Scheme 1. Phosphazene Derivatives Obtained from the Reactions of  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  with Aminopodands, Pyrrolidine, and Morpholine



derivatives and also exploring their biological activity. The stereogenic properties of cyclotriphosphazene derivatives are a new subject of interest.<sup>8</sup> The stereogenic properties of some of the cyclotriphosphazene derivatives have been investigated using <sup>31</sup>P NMR spe[ct](#page-14-0)roscopy in the presence of chiralsolvating-agent (CSA) and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) techniques.<sup>9</sup> Cyclotriphosphazenes have been extensively used as building blocks for the synthesis of phosphazenes, polymers, and [de](#page-14-0)ndrimers, and cyclophosphazenes may be useful as strong bases in the syntheses of coordination complexes. Chiral cyclophosphazenes are important compounds for the preparation of chiral complexes and polymers.<sup>10</sup> Organophosphazenes have various applications of technological and medicinal importance, such as the production of inflam[ma](#page-14-0)ble textile fibers,<sup>11</sup> hydraulic fluids,<sup>12</sup> lubricants,<sup>13</sup> ionic liquids,<sup>14</sup> liquid-crystalline materials,<sup>15</sup> advanced elastomers,<sup>16</sup> rechargeable lithi[um](#page-14-0) batteries,<sup>17</sup> a[nt](#page-14-0)icancer a[nd](#page-14-0) antimicrobial [a](#page-14-0)gents,<sup>18</sup> membranes,<sup>19</sup> and [syn](#page-14-0)thetic bones and

biomedical materials.<sup>20,21</sup> Octapyrrolidinocyclotetraphosphazene has significant anticancer activity.<sup>22</sup> The copper(II) complex of a fully [pheno](#page-14-0)xy-substituted star-branched cyclotetraphosphazene derivative is active in the [ox](#page-14-0)idative cleavage of  $DNA.<sup>23</sup>$ 

The choice of tetradentate symmetric ligands is very impo[rta](#page-14-0)nt for this study because stereochemically controlled reactions can be achieved with these ligands, leading to the arrangement of configurations of tetracoordinated P and tricoordinated N atoms, which form stereogenic centers. If the N atoms have three different substituents and restricted single-bond rotations about N atoms, atropisomerism may arise in cyclotetraphosphazene derivatives. This phenomenon is wellknown for some organic compounds.<sup>24</sup>

We report herein (i) the synthesis of novel sas  $(2a-2h)$ , asa (3a−3f), and 2,6-ansa-spiro-bicyclo ([bic](#page-14-0)yclo-2,6-as; 4g) cyclotetraphosphazenes with conventional methods and microwaveassisted preparations of  $3c$  (Scheme 1), (ii) the structural

determination of compounds by mass spectrometry (MS), Fourier transform infrared (FTIR), one-dimensional  $(1D)$  <sup>1</sup>H,  $^{13}$ C, and  $^{31}$ P NMR, distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer (DEPT), and two-dimensional (2D) heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC), and heteronuclear multiple-bond correlation (HMBC) techniques, (iii) the solid-state and molecular structures of 2b, 3a, 3b, 3e, and 4g, (iv) the stereogenic properties of 3f investigated by 31P NMR measurements in the presence of CSA and chiral HPLC, (v) the investigations of antibacterial and antifungal activities of 2a−2f and 3a−3f, and (vi) interactions between the compounds 2a−2f, 3a−3f, and pBR322 DNA.

### **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General Methods. Commercial-grade reagents were used without further purification. Solvents were dried and distilled by standard methods. All reactions were monitored using thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on Merck DC Alufolien Kiesegel 60 B<sub>254</sub> sheets. Column chromatography was performed on Merck Kiesegel 60 (230−400 mesh ATSM) silica gel. All reactions were carried out under an argon atmosphere. Melting points were measured on a Gallenkamp apparatus using a capillary tube.  ${}^{1}H$ ,  ${}^{13}C$ , and  ${}^{31}P$  NMR, HSQC, DEPT, and HMBC spectra were recorded on a Bruker DPX FT-NMR (500 MHz) spectrometer (SiMe<sub>4</sub> as internal and 85%  $H_3PO_4$  as external standards). The spectrometer was equipped with a 5 mm PABBO BB inverse-gradient probe. Standard Bruker pulse programs<sup>25</sup> were used. The numbering of the protons and carbons of all of the phosphazene derivatives is given in Scheme 1. IR spectra we[re](#page-14-0) recorded on a Mattson 1000 FTIR spectrometer in KBr disks and were reported in cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> units. Microanalyses were carried out by the microanalytical service of TUBITAK Turkey. AP[IE](#page-1-0)S-MS spectra were recorded on an Agilent 1100 MSD spectrometer. Microwave-assisted experiments have been performed with a Milestone Start S system by using a weflon magnet for tetrahydrofuran (THF), toluene, and oxylene. Experiments involving the CSA were carried out by the addition of small aliquots of a concentrated solution of CSA in the solvent used for NMR spectroscopy and the proton-decoupled <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum recorded at each addition. HPLC experiments were performed with an Agilent 1100 series HPLC system (Chemstation software) equipped with a G 1311A pump and a G 1315B diode-array detector monitoring the range of 220−360 nm. The detection wavelength was set at 254 nm for 3f. A reversible chiral column (R,R) whelk-01 (250  $\times$  4.6 mm) from Regis Tech. Inc. was used for HPLC. Antibacterial susceptibility testing was performed by the BACTEC MGIT 960 (Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD) system using the agarwell diffusion method<sup>26</sup> (section S1 in the Supporting Information, SI). The DNA binding abilities were examined using agarose gel electrophoresis (secti[on](#page-14-0) S2 in the SI).<sup>27,28</sup>

The starting c[om](#page-13-0)pounds  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  (a gift from [Otsuka](#page-13-0) [Chemical](#page-13-0) [Co.](#page-13-0) Ltd.), aliphatic amines (Fluka), py[rrolid](#page-14-0)ine (Fluka), morpholine (Fluka), and salicylaldehyde (Flu[ka\)](#page-13-0) were purchased. The CSA was obtained from Aldrich Chemical Co.

Preparation of Compounds. 2,2'-[1,2-Ethanediylbis-(iminomethanediyl)]diphenol (1a), 2,2′-[1,3-propanediylbis- (iminomethanediyl)]diphenol (1b), 2,2′-[1,4-butanediylbis- (iminomethanediyl)]diphenol (1g), and 2,2′-[1,6-hexanediylbis- (iminomethanediyl)]diphenol (1h) have been synthesized according to the methods reported in the literature.<sup>29</sup>

sas 2a and asa 3a.  $K_2CO_3$  (3.00 g, 22.00 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 1a (1.50 g, 5.50 mmol) [in](#page-14-0) dry THF (200 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 4 h and cooled to ambient temperature. Afterward, a mixture of triethylamine (6.10 mL) and  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  (2.55 g, 5.50 mmol) in dry THF (100 mL) is added dropwise to the stirred solution of 1a at −10 °C for over 5 h. After the mixture had been allowed to warm to ambient temperature, it was stirred for 16 h under an argon atmosphere. The precipitated amine hydrochloride and excess  $K_2CO_3$  were filtered off, and the solvent was evaporated. The

products, 2a and 3a, were purified by column chromatography with toluene.

Compound 2a was crystallized from acetonitrile. Yield: 0.36 g (22%). Mp: 249 °C. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{16}H_{16}O_2N_6P_4Cl_4$ : C, 32.57; H, 2.73; N, 14.24. Found: C, 32.76; H, 2.77; N, 14.28. APIES-MS (fragments are based on  ${}^{35}$ Cl; Ir % designates the fragment abundance percentage):  $m/z$  589 ([MH]<sup>+</sup>, 87). FTIR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu$  3067 (asymm), 3027 (symm, C−H arom), 2920, 2851 (C−H aliph), 1579  $(C=C)$ , 1313 (asymm), 1180 (symm, P=N), 544 (asymm), 483 (symm, PCl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  7.26 (m, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 7.07 (m, 6H, H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>5</sub>), 4.62 (dd, 2H,  $^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 11.5$  Hz,  $^{3}J_{\text{PH}} = 15.5$ Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 4.02 (dd, 2H, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 11.5 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PH</sub> = 15.3 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.42, 3.31 (m, 4H, NCH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  150.61 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 5.0 Hz, C<sub>6</sub>), 128.96 (s, C<sub>4</sub>), 126.90 (s, C<sub>2</sub>), 123.63 (s, C<sub>3</sub>), 121.85 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 10.4 Hz, C<sub>1</sub>), 119.11 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 9.5 Hz,  $C_5$ ), 53.27 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 52.52 (s, NCH<sub>2</sub>).

Compound 3a was crystallized from acetonitrile. Yield: 1.12 g (69%). Mp: 302 °C. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{16}H_{16}O_2N_6P_4Cl_4$ : C, 32.57; H, 2.73; N, 14.24. Found: C, 32.61; H, 2.80; N, 14.16. APIES-MS (fragments are based on <sup>35</sup>Cl, Ir %):  $m/z$  589 ([MH]<sup>+</sup>, 68). FTIR (KBr, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ): ν 3077 (asymm), 3019 (symm, C−H arom), 2932, 2863 (C−H aliph), 1582 (C=C), 1283 (asymm), 1167 (symm, P=N), 539 (asymm), 492 (symm, PCl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  7.40 (m, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 7.35 (d, 2H, H<sub>5</sub>), 7.29 (m, 2H, H<sub>3</sub>), 7.26 (d, 2H,  $H_2$ ), 4.69 (dd, 2H, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 10.3 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PH</sub> = 14.9 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.68 (dd, 2H,  $^{2}$ J<sub>HH</sub> = 10.4 Hz,  $^{3}$ J<sub>PH</sub> = 15.4 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.31, 3.04 (m, 4H, NCH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  148.42 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 4.7 Hz, C<sub>6</sub>), 132.58 (s, C<sub>2</sub>), 130.19 (s, C<sub>4</sub>), 127.52 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 7.3 Hz, C<sub>1</sub>), 126.13 (s, C<sub>3</sub>), 123.50 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 6.7 Hz, C<sub>5</sub>), 44.32 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 12.0 Hz,  $NCH<sub>2</sub>$ ), 43.72 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N).

sas 2b and asa 3b. The workup procedure was similar to that of compounds  $2a$  and  $3a$ , using  $1b$  (1.50 g, 5.00 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (2.90 g, 21.00 mmol),  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  (2.43 g, 5.00 mmol), and triethylamine (5.90 mL). The products, 2b and 3b, were purified by column chromatography with toluene.

Data for 2b. Yield: 0.44 g (29%). Mp: 218 °C. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{17}H_{18}N_6O_2P_4Cl_4$ : C, 33.80; H, 3.00; N, 13.91. Found: C, 33.91; H, 3.12; N, 13.94. APIES-MS (fragments are based on  ${}^{35}$ Cl, Ir %):  $m/z$ 603 ([MH]<sup>+</sup>, 71). FTIR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): ν 3082 (asymm), 3021 (symm, C−H arom), 2947, 2850 (C−H aliph), 1588 (C=C), 1276 (asymm), 1186 (symm, P=N), 546 (asymm), 484 (symm, PCl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  7.25 (m, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 7.05 (m, 6H, H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>5</sub>), 4.46 (dd, 2H,  $\frac{2I_{\text{H}}}{I_{\text{HH}}}$  = 9.0 Hz,  $\frac{0}{9}$ <sub>PH</sub> = 15.3 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 4.14 (dd, 2H,  $\frac{2I_{\text{H}}}{2I_{\text{H}}}$  = 8.9 Hz,  $\frac{3I_{\text{H}}}{3I}$  = 15.2 Hz,  $\frac{4}{9}$ CH N), 3.41 (m, 4H, NCH), 1.81  $J_{\text{HH}}$  = 8.9 Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{PH}}$  = 15.2 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.41 (m, 4H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.81, 1.71 (m, 2H, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$ 151.05 (t, <sup>2</sup> $J_{PC}$  = 5.1 Hz, C<sub>6</sub>), 129.17 (s, C<sub>4</sub>), 126.81 (s, C<sub>2</sub>), 123.61 (s, C<sub>3</sub>), 123.27 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 9.4 Hz, C<sub>1</sub>), 119.23 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 8.7 Hz, C<sub>5</sub>), 50.54  $(s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N)$ , 45.90  $(s, NCH<sub>2</sub>)$ , 27.11  $(s, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>)$ .

Data for 3b. Yield: 0.95 g (63%). Mp: 267 °C. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{17}H_{18}N_6O_2P_4Cl_4$ : C, 33.80; H, 3.00; N, 13.91. Found: C, 33.77; H, 2.91; N, 13.97. APIES-MS (fragments are based on  $^{35}$ Cl, Ir %):  $m/z$ 603 ([MH]<sup>+</sup>, 85). FTIR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): ν 3092 (asymm), 3025 (symm, C−H arom), 2955, 2856 (C−H aliph), 1584 (C=C), 1291 (asymm), 1170 (symm, P=N), 576 (asymm), 480 (symm, PCl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  7.49 (m, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 7.32 (m, 2H, H<sub>5</sub>), 7.30 (d, 2H, H<sub>2</sub>), 7.28 (d, 2H, H<sub>3</sub>), 4.62 (dd, 2H, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 11.5 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PH</sub> = 15.3 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.71 (dd, 2H, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 13.4 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PH</sub> = 15.4 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.12 (m, 4H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.75 (m, 2H, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  148.09 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 4.8 Hz, C<sub>6</sub>), 132.12 (s,  $(C_2)$ , 130.31 (t,  ${}^{3}J_{PC}$  = 7.0 Hz,  $C_1$ ), 130.01 (s,  $C_4$ ), 126.52 (s,  $C_3$ ), 122.58 (t,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{PC}}$  = 6.9 Hz, C<sub>5</sub>), 48.60 (s, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 46.21 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{\text{PC}}$  = 3.3 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 26.13 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 7.2 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>).

sas 2g and bicyclo-2,6-as 4g. The workup procedure was similar to that of compounds 2a and 3a, using 1g (1.50 g, 5.00 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$ (2.46 g, 25.00 mmol),  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  (2.32 g, 5.00 mmol), and triethylamine (5.60 mL). The products, 2g and 4g, were purified by column chromatography with toluene.

Data for 2g. Yield: 0.10 g (6%). Mp: 158 °C. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{18}H_{20}N_6O_2P_4Cl_4$ : C, 34.98; H, 3.26; N, 13.59. Found: C, 35.28; H, 3.26; N, 13.26. APIES-MS (fragments are based on <sup>35</sup>Cl, Ir %):  $m/z$ 

617 ([MH]<sup>+</sup> , 79). FTIR (KBr, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ): ν 3080 (asymm), 3022 (symm, C−H arom), 2952, 2853 (C−H aliph), 1587 (C=C), 1272 (asymm), 1185 (symm, P=N), 566 (asymm), 484 (symm, PCl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  7.20 (m, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 7.06 (m, 6H, H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>5</sub>), 4.46 (d, 4H,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{PH}}$  = 14.4 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.13 (m, 4H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.62 (m, 4H, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  150.08 (t, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 5.4 Hz,  $C_6$ ), 131.06 (s,  $C_4$ ), 129.98 (s,  $C_2$ ), 125.53 (s,  $C_3$ ), 123.60 (t,  $J_{\text{PC}}$  = 9.5 Hz, C<sub>1</sub>), 123.91 (t, <sup>3</sup> $J_{\text{PC}}$  = 8.8 Hz, C<sub>5</sub>), 51.13 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 47.94 (s, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 26.86 (s, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>).

Data for 4g. Yield: 0.26 g (17%). Mp: 262 °C. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{18}H_{21}N_6O_2P_4Cl_5$ : C, 33.03; H, 3.23; N, 12.84. Found: C, 33.13; H, 3.24; N, 12.93. APIES-MS (fragments are based on  $^{35}$ Cl, Ir %):  $m/z$ 653 ([MH]<sup>+</sup> , 35). FTIR (KBr, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ): ν 3327 (O−H), 3079 (asymm), 3026 (symm, C−H arom), 2947, 2848 (C−H aliph), 1585 (C=C), 1262 (asymm), 1173 (symm, P=N), 568 (asymm), 489 (symm, PCl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  7.91 (b, H, ArOH), 7.36 (m, H, H<sub>5</sub>), 7.30 (dd, H, H<sub>2</sub>), 7.18 (d, H, H'<sub>5</sub>), 7.15 (dd, H, H<sub>4</sub>), 7.09 (d, H,  $H'_{2}$ ), 7.03 (dd, H, H'<sub>4</sub>), 6.83 (dd, H, H<sub>3</sub>), 6.75 (dd, H, H'<sub>3</sub>), 4.32 (d,  $2H$ ,  $3J_{\text{PH}} = 12.5$  Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 4.24 (d, 2H,  $3J_{\text{PH}} = 8.6$  Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.12 (m, 4H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.59, 1.27 (m, 4H, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  155.81 (s, C'<sub>6</sub>), 150.22 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 12.1 Hz, C<sub>6</sub>), 132.72 (s, C'<sub>4</sub>), 130.12 (s, C<sub>4</sub>), 129.91 (d, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 2.2 Hz, C'<sub>2</sub>), 125.62  $(d, {}^{4}J_{PC} = 2.1 \text{ Hz}, \text{ C}_2)$ , 129.35  $(d, {}^{3}J_{PC} = 6.9 \text{ Hz}, \text{ C'}_1)$ , 123.10  $(d, {}^{3}J_{PC} = 1)$ 6.1 Hz, C<sub>1</sub>), 129.94 (s, C'<sub>5</sub>), 120.42 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 3.6 Hz, C<sub>5</sub>), 119.55 (s, C'<sub>3</sub>), 116.09 (s, C<sub>3</sub>), 48.31 (d<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 4.7 Hz, ArC'H<sub>2</sub>N), 45.82 (d<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 6.7 Hz, NC'H<sub>2</sub>), 44.36 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 6.0 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 42.72 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 7.9 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 27.63 (s, NCH<sub>2</sub>C'H<sub>2</sub>), 24.01 (s, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>).

sas 2h. The workup procedure was similar to that of compounds 2a and 3a, using 1h (1.50 g, 4.50 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (2.53 g, 19.00 mmol),  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  (2.10 g, 4.50 mmol), and triethylamine (3.70 mL). The product 2h was purified by column chromatography with toluene. Yield: 0.12 g (4%). Mp: 203 °C. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{20}H_{24}N_6O_2P_4Cl_4$ : C, 37.18; H, 3.74; N, 13.01. Found: C, 37.47; H, 3.75; N, 13.08. APIES-MS (fragments are based on  $^{35}$ Cl, Ir %): *m/z* 645 ([MH] $^+$ , 37). FTIR (KBr, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ): ν 3078 (asymm), 3042 (symm, C−H arom), 2957, 2846 (C-H aliph), 1584 (C=C), 1280 (asymm), 1183 (symm, P=N), 570 (asymm), 489 (symm, PCl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  7.24 (m, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 7.05 (m, 6H, H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>5</sub>), 4.34 (d, 4H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PH</sub> = 14.8 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.18 (m, 4H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.61 (m, 8H, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> and NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  151.64 (t,  $^{2}J_{\text{PC}}$  = 6.9 Hz, C<sub>6</sub>), 128.79 (s, C<sub>4</sub>), 127.27 (s, C<sub>2</sub>), 123.20 (s, C<sub>3</sub>), 122.52 (t,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{PC}} = 11.2 \text{ Hz}, \text{ C}_1$ ), 119.15 (t,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{PC}} = 9.3 \text{ Hz}, \text{ C}_5$ ), 46.80 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 46.43 (s, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 27.43 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 6.1 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 23.44 (s, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>).

sas 2c. A solution of pyrrolidine (0.60 mL, 6.80 mmol) in dry THF (50 mL) was slowly added to a stirred solution of triethylamine (0.40 mL) and 2a (0.40 g, 0.70 mmol) in dry THF (100 mL) at room temperature. The solution was heated to reflux for 12 h under an argon atmosphere. The precipitated triethylamine hydrochloride was filtered off, and the solvent was evaporated. The product was purified by column chromatography with toluene−THF (1:1), and a white powder was crystallized from acetonitrile−THF (3:1). Yield: 0.36 g (73%). Mp: 203 °C. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{32}H_{48}O_2N_{10}P_4$ . H<sub>2</sub>O: C, 51.43; H, 6.70; N, 18.75. Found: C, 51.81; H, 6.39; N, 18.11. APIES-MS (fragments are based on <sup>35</sup>Cl, Ir %):  $m/z$  729 ([MH]<sup>+</sup>, 100). FTIR (KBr, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ): ν 3415 (O−H), 3064 (asymm), 3039 (symm, C−H arom), 2958, 2864 (C-H aliph), 1581 (C=C), 1295 (asymm), 1180 (symm, P=N), 571 (asymm), 503 (symm, PCl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, toluene, ppm):  $\delta$  6.93 (m, 4H, H<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>5</sub>), 6.77 (m, 4H, H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>), 3.54 (dd, 2H,  $^2J_{\text{HH}}$  = 10.7 Hz,  $^3J_{\text{PH}}$  = 14.7 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 4.56 (d, 2H,  $^3J_{\text{PH}}$  = 14.8 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.08, 2.80 [m, 4H, NCH<sub>2</sub> (ansa)], 3.22 [m, 16H,  $NCH_2$  (pyrr)], 1.65 [m, 16H, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (pyrr)]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  151.59 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 4.8 Hz, C<sub>6</sub>), 127.86 (s, C<sub>4</sub>), 126.58  $(s, C_2)$ , 122.96  $(t, \frac{3}{7}_{PC} = 8.2 \text{ Hz}, C_1)$ , 121.86  $(s, C_3)$ , 118.78  $(t, \frac{3}{7}, -9.2 \text{ Hz}, C)$ , 53.43  $(s, \text{ ArCH N})$ , 52.27  $[s, \text{ NCH (ans)}]$ , 46.76  ${}^{3}J_{\text{PC}}$  = 9.2 Hz, C<sub>5</sub>), 53.43 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 52.27 [s, NCH<sub>2</sub> (ansa)], 46.76, 46.65 [s, NCH<sub>2</sub> (pyrr)], 26.59 [s, <sup>3</sup> $J_{PC}$  = 9.7 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (pyrr)].

sas 2d. The workup procedure was similar to that of compound 2c, using 2b (0.50 g, 0.80 mmol), pyrrolidine (0.70 mL, 8.30 mmol), and triethylamine (0.45 mL). The oily residue was purified by column chromatography with benzene−THF (1:1) and crystallized from acetonitrile−THF (3:1). Yield: 0.43 g (70%). Mp: 180 °C. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{33}H_{50}O_2N_{10}P_4$ : C, 53.37; H, 6.79; N, 18.86. Found: C, 53.49; H, 6.70; N, 18.79. APIES-MS (fragments are based on <sup>35</sup>Cl, Ir %):  $m/z$ 743 ([MH]<sup>+</sup>, 100). FTIR (KBr, cm<sup>−1</sup>): *ν* 3077 (asymm), 3043 (symm, C−H arom), 2958, 2848 (C−H aliph), 1587 (C=C), 1281 (asymm), 1166 (symm, P=N), 566 (asymm), 489 (symm, PCl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  7.13 (m, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 7.01 (m, 2H, H<sub>2</sub>), 6.92 (m, 4H,  $H_3$ ,  $H_5$ ), 4.32 (dd, 2H, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 10.2 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PH</sub> = 15.6 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 4.18 (dd, 2H,  $^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 8.2 \text{ Hz}, \frac{3J_{\text{PH}}}{1.59 \text{ Hz}}, \text{ArCH}_2\text{N}$ ), 3.50, 3.31 [m, 4H, NCH<sup>2</sup> (ansa)], 3.11 [m, 16H, NCH<sup>2</sup> (pyrr)], 1.79 [m, 2H,  $NCH_2CH_2^2$ (ansa)], 1.62 [m, 16H,  $NCH_2CH_2^2$  (pyrr)]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  151.80 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 4.9 Hz, C<sub>6</sub>), 127.81 (s, C<sub>4</sub>), 126.55 (s, C<sub>2</sub>), 122.93 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 7.9 Hz, C<sub>1</sub>), 121.79 (s, C<sub>3</sub>), 118.83 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 7.2 Hz, C<sub>5</sub>), 50.51 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 46.37 [s, NCH<sub>2</sub> (ansa)], 46.29, 46.47 [s, NCH<sub>2</sub> (pyrr)], 27.61 [s, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (ansa)], 26.46 [t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 9.5 Hz,  $NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>$  (pyrr)].

sas 2e. A solution of morpholine (0.60 mL, 6.80 mmol) in dry THF (50 mL) was slowly added to a stirred solution of triethylamine (0.40 mL) and 2a (0.40 g, 0.70 mmol) in dry THF (100 mL) at room temperature. The solution was heated to reflux for 10 h with argon being passed over the mixture. The precipitated amine hydrochloride was filtered off, and the solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure. The oily residue was purified by column chromatography with toluene−THF (1:1) and crystallized from acetonitrile−THF (3:1). Yield: 0.36 g (67%). Mp: 197 °C. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{32}H_{48}O_6N_{10}P_4C_7H_8$ (toluene): C, 52.94; H, 6.33; N, 15.84. Found: C, 52.19; H, 6.29; N, 16.39. APIES-MS (fragments are based on  $35$ Cl, Ir %):  $m/z$  793 ([MH]<sup>+</sup> , 100). FTIR (KBr, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ): ν 3063 (asymm), 3029 (symm, C− H arom), 2957, 2849 (C−H aliph), 1585 (C=C), 1294 (asymm), 1180 (symm, P=N), 538 (asymm), 486 (symm, PCl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm): δ 7.20 (m, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 7.03 (m, 2H, H<sub>2</sub>), 6.96 (m, 2H, H<sub>3</sub>), 6.94 (m, 2H, H<sub>5</sub>), 4.51 (dd, 2H, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 10.6 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PH</sub> = 15.3 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 4.01 (dd, 2H, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 10.6 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PH</sub> = 15.2 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.62 [m, 16H, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (mor)], 3.32, 3.11 [m, 4H,  $NCH_2$  (ansa)], 3.11 [m, 16H, NCH<sub>2</sub> (mor)]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  150.21 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 4.8 Hz, C<sub>6</sub>), 128.32 (s, C<sub>4</sub>), 126.83  $(s, C_2)$ , 122.45  $(s, C_3)$ , 118.82  $(t, 3J_{PC} = 6.7 \text{ Hz}, C_5)$ , 112.94  $(t, 3J_{PC} =$ 7.3 Hz, C<sub>1</sub>), 67.31 [t, <sup>3</sup> $J_{PC}$  = 9.6 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (mor)], 53.24 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 52.22 [s, NCH<sub>2</sub> (ansa)], 45.16, 44.92 [s, NCH<sub>2</sub> (mor)].

sas 2f. The workup procedure was similar to that of compound 2e, using 2b (0.50 g, 0.80 mmol), morpholine (0.70 mL, 8.30 mmol), and triethylamine (0.45 mL). Yield: 0.41 g (62%). Mp: 147 °C. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O<sub>6</sub>N<sub>10</sub>P<sub>4</sub>: C, 49.14; H, 6.25; N, 17.37. Found: C, 50.01; H, 6.48; N, 17.96. APIES-MS (fragments are based on <sup>35</sup>Cl, Ir %):  $m/z$ 808 ([M + 2H]<sup>+</sup>, 100). FTIR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): ν 3066 (asymm), 3043 (symm, C−H arom), 2956, 2848 (C−H aliph), 1589 (C=C), 1288 (asymm), 1184 (symm, P=N), 538 (asymm), 489 (symm, PCl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  7.26 (m, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 7.06 (m, 2H,  $H_2$ ), 6.98 (m, 2H, H<sub>3</sub>), 6.95 (m, 2H, H<sub>5</sub>), 4.43 (dd, 2H, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 9.4 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 9.4 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 9.4 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 9.5 JH<sub>7</sub>  $J_{\text{PH}}$  = 15.2 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 4.25 (dd, 2H, <sup>2</sup> $J_{\text{HH}}$  = 9.3 Hz, <sup>3</sup> $J_{\text{PH}}$  = 15.1 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.72 [t, 16H, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (mor)], 3.40, 3.25 [m, 4H, NCH<sub>2</sub>  $(ansa)$ ], 3.12 [m, 16H, NCH<sub>2</sub> (mor)], 1.82, 1.63 [m, 2H, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (ansa)]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  151.43 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 4.7 Hz, C<sub>6</sub>), 128.25 (s, C<sub>4</sub>), 126.69 (s, C<sub>2</sub>), 123.56 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 8.4 Hz, C<sub>1</sub>), 122.34 (s, C<sub>3</sub>), 119.03 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 8.6 Hz, C<sub>5</sub>), 67.42 [t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 9.8 Hz,  $NCH_2CH_2$  (mor)], 50.39 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 45.91 [s, NCH<sub>2</sub> (ansa)], 45.23, 45.12 [s, NCH<sub>2</sub> (mor)], 30.37 [s, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (ansa)].

asa 3c. The workup procedure was similar to that of compound 2c, using 3a (0.80 g, 1.35 mmol), pyrrolidine (1.10 mL, 13.50 mmol), and triethylamine (0.80 mL). The oily residue was purified by column chromatography with benzene−THF (1:1) and crystallized from acetonitrile−THF (3:1). Yield: 0.76 g (85%). Mp: 308 °C. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{24}H_{32}O_2N_8P_4Cl_2$ : C, 43.72; H, 4.89; N, 16.99. Found: C, 44.39; H, 5.00; N, 16.48. APIES-MS (fragments are based on <sup>35</sup>Cl, Ir %):  $m/z$ 659 ([MH]<sup>+</sup>, 100). FTIR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): ν 3072 (asymm), 3029 (symm, C−H arom), 2955, 2857 (C−H aliph), 1582 (C=C), 1272 (asymm), 1177 (symm, P=N), 549 (PCl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  7.36 (m, 4H, H<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>5</sub>), 7.19 (m, 4H, H<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>3</sub>), 4.68 (dd, 2H,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 14.8 \text{ Hz}, \, ^3J_{\text{PH}} = 15.1 \text{ Hz}, \, \text{ArCH}_2\text{N}$ ), 3.63 (dd, 2H,  $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 14.8 \,$ 

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>Table 1. Crystallographic Details



Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{PH}}$  = 15.3 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.78, 2.96 [m, 4H, NCH<sub>2</sub> (spiro)], 3.41, 3.26 [m, 8H, NCH<sub>2</sub> (pyrr)], 1.91 [m, 8H, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (pyrr)]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  149.66 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 5.7 Hz, C<sub>6</sub>), 132.27 (s, C<sub>2</sub>), 129.64 (s, C<sub>4</sub>), 127.97 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 7.2 Hz, C<sub>1</sub>), 125.12 (s, C<sub>3</sub>), 123.56 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 6.6 Hz, C<sub>5</sub>), 46.40 [d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 5.0 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub> (pyrr)], 44.33 [d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 11.6 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub> (spiro)], 43.51 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 26.52 [d,  ${}^{3}J_{PC}$  = 9.7 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (pyrr)].

Microwave-Assisted Synthesis of 3c. Pyrrolidine (1.10 mL, 13.50 mmol) in dry THF (50 mL) was slowly added to a stirred solution of triethylamine (0.80 mL) and 3a (0.80 g, 1.35 mmol) in dry THF (100 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 0.50 h, and triethylamine hydrochloride was filtered off. THF was evaporated, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography with benzene−THF (1:1) and crystallized from acetonitrile−THF (3:1). Yield: 0.85 g (95%).

Compound 3c was also synthesized in toluene and o-xylene. The workup procedure was similar to that of the THF solvent, whereas the mixture was refluxed for 0.75 h in toluene [yield: 0.80 g (90%)] and in *o*-xylene for 1 h [yield: 0.70 g  $(78%)$ ].

asa 3d. The workup procedure was similar to that of compound 2c, using 3b (0.80 g, 1.30 mmol), pyrrolidine (1.10 mL, 13.00 mmol), and triethylamine (0.75 mL). The oily residue was purified by column chromatography with THF and crystallized from acetonitrile−THF (3:1). Yield: 0.74 g (83%). Mp: 302 °C. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{25}H_{34}O_2N_8P_4Cl_2$ : C, 44.59; H, 5.09; N, 16.64. Found: C, 45.26; H, 5.13; N, 16.40. APIES-MS (fragments are based on  $^{35}$ Cl, Ir %):  $m/z$ 673 ([MH]<sup>+</sup>, 100). FTIR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): ν 3057 (asymm), 3030 (symm, C−H arom), 2922, 2852 (C−H aliph), 1581 (C=C), 1268 (asymm), 1176 (symm, P=N), 544 (PCl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  7.35 (dd, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 7.28 (d, 4H, H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>5</sub>), 7.18 (dd, 2H, H<sub>3</sub>), 4.81 (dd, 2H,  $^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 8.9 \text{ Hz}$ ,  $^{3}J_{\text{PH}} = 15.5 \text{ Hz}$ , ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.56 (dd, 2H,  $^{2}J_{\text{HH}} =$ 10.7 Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{PH}}$  = 15.7 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.33, 3.27 [m, 8H, NCH<sub>2</sub> (pyrr)], 3.19, 3.10 [m, 4H, NCH<sub>2</sub> (spiro)], 1.90 [m, 8H, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (pyrr)], 1.77 [m, 2H, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (spiro)]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  149.26 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 8.4 Hz, C<sub>6</sub>), 131.09 (s, C<sub>2</sub>), 130.45 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 8.2 Hz, C<sub>1</sub>), 129.42 (s, C<sub>4</sub>), 125.23 (s, C<sub>3</sub>), 122.91 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 6.8 Hz,  $(C_5)$ , 48.92 [s, NCH<sub>2</sub> (spiro)], 47.28 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 3.9 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 46.52 [d,  $^{2}J_{PC}$  = 5.0 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub> (pyrr)], 26.48 [d,  $^{3}J_{PC}$  = 9.4 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (pyrr)], 26.35 [d,  ${}^{3}J_{PC}$  = 7.4 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (spiro)].

asa 3e. The workup procedure was similar to that of compound 2e, using 3a (0.80 g, 1.35 mmol), morpholine (1.20 mL, 13.60 mmol), and triethylamine (0.75 mL). The oily residue was purified by column chromatography with benzene−THF (1:1) and crystallized from acetonitrile. Yield: 0.70 g (74%). Mp: >320 °C. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{24}H_{32}O_4N_8P_4Cl_2$ : C, 41.70; H, 4.67; N, 16.21. Found: C, 41.92; H, 4.61; N, 16.12. APIES-MS (fragments are based on  $^{35}$ Cl, Ir %):  $m/z$ 691 ([MH]<sup>+</sup> , 100). FTIR (KBr, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ): ν 3073 (asymm), 3029 (symm, C−H arom), 2959, 2846 (C−H aliph), 1583 (C=C), 1286 (asymm), 1179 (symm, P=N), 538 (PCl). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  7.37 (m, 4H, H<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>5</sub>), 7.21 (m, 4H, H<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>3</sub>), 4.64 (dd, 2H,  $J_{\text{HH}}$  = 8.9 Hz,  $^{3}J_{\text{PH}}$  = 15.3 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.78, 3.72 [m, 8H,  $NCH_2CH_2$  (mor)], 3.63 (dd, 2H, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 13.7 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PH</sub> = 15.3 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.41, 3.28 [m, 8H, NCH<sub>2</sub> (mor)], 3.24, 2.97 [m, 4H, NCH<sub>2</sub> (spiro)]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  149.40 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 4.9 Hz, C<sub>6</sub>), 132.18 (s, C<sub>2</sub>), 129.93 (s, C4), 128.03 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 7.4 Hz, C<sub>1</sub>), 125.22 (s, C<sub>3</sub>), 123.22 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 6.9 Hz, C<sub>5</sub>), 67.12 [t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 9.2 Hz,  $NCH_2CH_2$  (mor)], 44.77 (s, NCH<sub>2</sub> (mor)], 44.37 [d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 12.0 Hz,  $NCH_2$  (spiro)], 43.41 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N).

asa 3f. The workup procedure was similar to that of compound 2e, using 3b (0.70 g, 1.15 mmol), morpholine (1.00 mL, 11.50 mmol), and triethylamine (0.65 mL). The oily residue was purified by column chromatography with THF and crystallized from chloroform. Yield: 0.61 g (75%). Mp: 270 °C. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{25}H_{34}O_4N_8P_4Cl_2$ : C, 42.57; H, 4.86; N, 15.89. Found: C, 42.96; H, 4.88; N, 15.70. APIES-MS (fragments are based on <sup>35</sup>Cl, Ir %): *m/z* 705 ([MH]<sup>+</sup>, 100). FTIR (KBr, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ): ν 3062 (asymm), 3037 (symm, C−H arom), 2954, 2846 (C-H aliph), 1583 (C=C), 1288 (asymm), 1176 (symm, P=N), 551 (PCI). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm): δ 7.39 (t, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 7.32 (t, 4H, H<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>5</sub>), 7.21 (t, 2H, H<sub>3</sub>), 4.76 (dd, 2H, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 10.7 Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{PH}} = 15.1$  Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.78, 3.71 [m, 8H, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (mor)], 3.57 (dd, 2H, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 11.1 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PH</sub> = 15.0 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.36, 3.27 [m, 8H, NCH<sub>2</sub> (mor)], 3.18, 3.08 [m, 4H, NCH<sub>2</sub> (spiro)], 1.77 [m, 2H, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (spiro)]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  149.16 (d,  $J_{\text{PC}} = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, \text{ C}_6$ , 131.34 (s, C<sub>2</sub>), 130.31 (d,  $J_{\text{PC}} = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, \text{ C}_1$ ), 129.12 (s, C<sub>4</sub>), 125.25 (s, C<sub>3</sub>), 122.81 (d, <sup>3</sup> $I_{PC}$  = 7.5 Hz, C<sub>5</sub>), 66.92 [d, <sup>3</sup> $I = 9.6$  Hz, NCH CH (mor)] 48.81 [d, <sup>2</sup> $I = 4.7$  Hz, NCH  $J_{\text{PC}}$  = 9.6 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (mor)], 48.81 [d, <sup>2</sup> $J_{\text{PC}}$  = 4.7 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub> (spiro)], 47.32 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>N), 44.83 [s, NCH<sub>2</sub> (mor)], 26.45 [d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PC</sub> = 9.4 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (spiro)].

X-ray Crystal Structure Determinations. The colorless crystals of compounds 2b, 3a, 3b, 3e, and 4g were crystallized from acetonitrile at room temperature. The crystallographic data are given in Table 1, and selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 2.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Table 2. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) for 2b, 3a, 3b, 3e, and 4g



Crystallographic data were recorded on a Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer using Mo K $\alpha$  radiation  $(\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å})$  at  $T = 100(2)$  K. Absorption corrections by multiscan<sup>30</sup> were applied. Structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least squares against  $F^2$  using all data.<sup>31</sup> All non-H at[om](#page-14-0)s were refined anisotropically. In compound 4g, only the H atom of the OH group was located in a difference Fourier s[yn](#page-14-0)thesis and refined isotropically [O–H = 0.83(4) Å;  $U_{\text{iso}}(H) = 0.17(2)$  Å<sup>2</sup>]. The remaining H-atom positions were calculated geometrically at distances of 0.93 Å (CH) and 0.97 Å  $(CH<sub>2</sub>)$  (for compounds 2b, 3b, and 4g) and 0.95 Å (CH) and 0.99 Å  $(CH_2)$  (for compounds 3a and 3e) from the parent C atoms; a riding model was used during the refinement process, and the  $U_{\text{iso}}(H)$  values were constrained to be 1.2 $U_{\text{eq}}$  (carrier atom).

## ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Synthesis.** All aminopodands  $[(HOPhCH<sub>2</sub>NH)<sub>2</sub>R,$  where R  $= (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>$ , with  $n = 2$  (1a),  $n = 3$  (1b),  $n = 4$  (1g), and  $n = 6$ (1h)] have been prepared by the reduction of bis- (iminopodands) (Schiff bases) with the NaBH4−borax system.<sup>29</sup> The tentative reaction pathways suggested for the reactions of  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  with tetradentate ligands are given in Schem[e 2](#page-14-0). The possible reaction mechanisms  $SN^2(P)$  and/or

 $SN<sup>1</sup>(P)$  are written in the scheme. The reactions of  $N_4P_4Cl_8$ with an equimolar amount of dipotassium salts,  $(KOPhCH<sub>2</sub>NH)<sub>2</sub>R$ , of aminopodands (1a and 1b) afford the novel sas (2a and 2b) and asa (3a and 3b) tetrachlorocyclotetraphosphazenes in THF, respectively (Scheme 1). On the other hand, the reaction of  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  with an equimolar amount of potassium salts of the long-chain starting com[po](#page-1-0)und (1g) gives sas  $(2g)$  and the interesting bicyclo-2,6-as product  $(4g)$ . Hence, the formation of 4g indicates that the monopotassium salt of 1g is present in the reaction mixture of 1g,  $K_2CO_3$ , and  $N_4P_4Cl_8$ . However, the equimolar amount of the dipotassium salt of the long-chain starting compound 1h produces only sas (2h). The expected as aproducts of  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  with 1g and 1h were not obtained in THF. If the alkyl chain lengths increase, the sas compounds are the major products, whereas as the alkyl chain lengths decrease, the asa derivatives are dominant. The sas and asa products may occur competitively via  $\text{SN}^2(\text{P})$  or  $SN<sup>1</sup>(P)$  mechanisms. These compounds are the first examples of cyclotetraphosphazene derivatives with the bulky  $N_2O_2$ donor-type aminopodands, while in the literature,  $5a$  there is only one bicyclo-2,6-as compound and one bicyclo-2,6-sas

Scheme 2. Tentative Reaction Pathways of  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  with Aminopodands in THF



compound obtained with spermidine and spermine, respectively. Generally, the yields of asa products (3a and 3b) are higher than those of sas products (2a and 2b). In addition, the yields of both sas (2a and 2b) and asa (3a and 3b) phosphazenes also depend on the chain lengths  $[R =$  $(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>$ ] of aminopodands. For instance, the yields of both sas (2a, yield 22%) and asa (3a, yield 69%) products, which have the smallest alkyl chains,  $R = (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ , are higher than those of sas (2b, yield 29%) and asa (3b, yield 63%) products,  $R = (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$ . Parts a and b of Figure 1 depict the reaction products of  $N_3P_3Cl_6$  and  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  with the tetradentate ligands (1a and 1b) and 1g.

If the alkyl chains are  $(CH_2)_2$  and  $(CH_2)_3$ , sbs compounds<sup>7a</sup> a[re](#page-14-0) formed with  $N_3P_3Cl_6$ , but sbs compounds with  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  are

<span id="page-7-0"></span>![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 1. Expected and observed distributions of the reaction products of  $N_3P_3Cl_6$  and  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  with  $N_2O_2$  donor-type aminopodands.

not observed, whereas for asa compounds, the reverse is true. It is noteworthy that the reactions of both  $N_3P_3Cl_6$  and  $N_4P_4Cl_8$ with these aminopodands (1a and 1b) produce sas compounds. Compound 1g, which has the long alkyl chain  $(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>$ , gives sas and sbs compounds with  $N_3P_3Cl_6$ , while it produces sas  $(2g)$ and bicyclo-2,6-as (4g) products with  $N_4P_4Cl_8$ .

The reactions of the partly substituted sas (2a and 2b) and asa (3a and 3b) phosphazenes with excess pyrrolidine and morpholine in THF produce fully substituted sas  $[(2c \text{ and } 2d)]$ and (2e and 2f)] and partly substituted gem-2-trans-6 dichlorophosphazene asa [(3c and 3d) and (3e and 3f)] derivatives, respectively (Scheme 1). The complete chloride replacement of 2-trans-6-dichlorophosphazene asa (3c−3f) compounds with excess pyrrolidine[, m](#page-1-0)orpholine, and sodium 4 nitrophenoxide in boiling THF for 6 days was not achieved, indicating that both Cl atoms are significantly inert. The chloride replacement reactions of 3a were carried out separately in THF, toluene, and o-xylene by microwave-assisted experiments. The use of microwave irradation for the preparation of 3c from 3a reduces the reaction time and increases the yield in comparison with the conventional method. Even then, no fully substituted products were obtained; instead, compound 3c was isolated. The microwave-assisted reaction of 3c with excess pyrrolidine did not yield fully substituted products. Consequently, these Cl atoms in asa derivatives are highly resistant to replacement reactions by the nucleophile. This may result from both endocyclic P−N bond strengthening and steric hindrance of the bulky  $N_2O_2$  donor-type aminopodands bonded to 2,6-P atoms. The structural data of the asa compounds (3a, 3b, and 3e) may support these statements. The values of the P1−N1 and P3−N2 bond lengths are 1.554(1) and 1.561(1) Å for 3a, 1.546(1) and 1.550(1) Å for **3b**, and  $1.565(1)$  and  $1.561(1)$  Å for **3e** (Table 1). It could be seen that these endocyclic P−N bonds are systematically short, indicating that the electron densities on the P1 [a](#page-4-0)nd P3 atoms

increase, which can be attributed to the presence of the negative hyperconjugation (see crystallographic part). This situation might be related to the electronic properties of the nonreactive P−Cl bonds. Contemporaneously, the lack of reactivity at P1− Cl1 and P3−Cl2 presumably depends upon the steric effect of the substituents.

The synthetic results mentioned above demonstrate that the choice of the tetradentate symmetric ligands is very important because stereochemically controlled reactions can be achieved. In other words, it is possible to arrange the configurations of tetrahedral P atoms to form controlled stereogenic centers as changing alkyl chain lengths of tetradentate symmetric ligands. All of the compounds have two stereogenic P centers, as discussed later on together with the NMR data of the phosphazene derivatives. The phosphazene derivatives containing N atoms on the spiro or ansa rings have restricted rotation around the P−N and C−N single bonds, leading to atropisomerism, a stereochemical phenomenon $2^{4a}$  in which hindered single-bond rotation leads to isolable stereoisomers. Some of the phosphazene derivatives obtained [in](#page-14-0) this study may also have atropisomers.

Data obtained from the microanalyses FTIR, APIES-MS, and <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, and <sup>31</sup>P NMR, DEPT, HSQC, and HMBC are consistent with the proposed structures of the compounds. The MS spectra of all of the compounds except 2f show the protonated molecular (MH)<sup>+</sup> ion peaks, while a protonated molecular  $[M + 2H]^+$  ion peak is observed at  $m/z$  808 for 2f. The crystal structures of 2b, 3a, 3b, 3e, and 4g are also determined by X-ray crystallography. To the best of our knowledge, there are no reports on the tetrameric sas, asa, and bicyclo-2,6-as compounds that have been characterized structurally by spectroscopic and/or X-ray diffraction techniques. Spectral and crystallographic data of the compounds are presented in the following.

NMR and IR Spectroscopy. The spin systems of tetrameric phosphazenes indicate that two kinds of novel tetrameric phosphazene derivatives, namely, sas (2a−2h) and asa (3a−3f), have been prepared, whereas the other expected products such as sbs and di(spiro-bino-spiro)  $[di(sbs)]$  have not been obtained (Figure 1).

As expected, the  $^1\mathrm{H}\text{-}\mathrm{decoupled}\ ^{31}\mathrm{P}$  NMR spectra of  $\textsf{sas}~(\textsf{2a}-$ **2h**) derivatives exhibit  $A_2X_2$  ( $A_2B_2$  for **2d**) type spectra because of two different phosphorus [e](#page-7-0)nvironments within the molecules (Figure 2). The <sup>1</sup>H-decoupled and -coupled <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra

![](_page_8_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure 2. Spatial views of all of the compounds based on the ORTEP diagrams of 2b, 3a, 3b, 3e, and 4g.

of 2a are depicted in Figure S1a,b in the SI, respectively, as examples. One of the multiplets  $(\delta$  2.59 ppm) at the <sup>1</sup>Hcoupled 31P NMR spectrum is broadened, [wh](#page-13-0)ich indicates the existence of the  $\delta$  OPN (P<sub>A</sub>) P atoms. The  $\delta$  P and coupling constant  $(^{2}J_{\rm PP})$  values of the other sas derivatives are assigned as  $2a$  (Table 3). The chemical shifts of spiro and  $\text{PCl}_2$  values of 2b and 2h are −0.95 and 0.01 and −2.62 and −3.59 ppm, respectively, [a](#page-9-0)nd the P spiro values are more downfield in contrast to that of  $2a$ , but  $\text{PCl}_2$  values are opposite. It is noteworthy that the  $^{2}J_{\text{PP}}$  values for 2a and 2b are considerably lower than the corresponding values in the other sas compounds (2c−2f).

The asa isomers (3a−3f) having three different phosphorus environments (Figure 2) display the  $A_2BC$  (for 3b),  $ABX_2$  (for 3e and 3f), and  $AB_2X$  (for 3a, 3c, 3d, and 4g) spin systems consisting of three multiplets at the <sup>1</sup>H-decoupled <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra. As examples, the  $^{\overline{1}}\mathrm{H}\text{-}\mathrm{decoupled}$  and -coupled  $^{\text{31}}\mathrm{P}$  NMR spectra of 3f are depicted in parts a and b of Figure S2 in the SI, respectively. All of the P atoms are unambiguously distinguished from the  ${}^{1}$ H-coupled  ${}^{31}$ P NMR spectrum of [3f](#page-13-0), showing three sets of multiplets corresponding to the P spiro  $(P_A)$ , POCl  $(P_X)$ , and P(mor)<sub>2</sub>  $(P_B)$  groups. The  $\delta$  P and coupling constant  $(^2J_{\rm PP})$  values of the other asa derivatives are also assigned as 3f (Table 3).

Parts a and b of Figure S3 in the SI indicate that the reactions of 1g,  $K_2CO_3$ , and  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  produce two kinds of products, sas (2g) and bicyclo-2,6-as ([4g](#page-9-0)). Bo[th](#page-13-0) compounds are separated using column chromatography (Figure S3c,d in the SI). Compound 2g has a  $A_2X_2$  spin system, but the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum  $(AB<sub>2</sub>X$  spin system) of 4g possessing three set[s o](#page-13-0)f triplets corresponding to the P spiro  $(P_A)$ , POCl  $(P_X)$ , and PCl<sub>2</sub>  $(P_B)$  groups is depicted in Figure S3d in the SI. One of the triplets ( $\delta$  8.11 ppm) at the <sup>1</sup>H-coupled <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum is broadened, which indicates the existence of the  $\delta$  PNN P atom [and](#page-13-0) that the signals of the POCl ( $\delta$  5.61 ppm) and PCl<sub>2</sub> groups ( $\delta$  4.81 ppm) remain unchanged. The <sup>1</sup>H-decoupled <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of 4g shows that the potassium salts of 1g replace

three Cl atoms in  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  to give 4g (Figure 2). These results indicate that monopotassium and dipotassium salts of 1g are present in the reaction mixture.

The P atoms of some of the compounds obtained in this study are stereogenic because they have four different substituents. The sas phosphazene derivatives (2a−2h) having two equivalent stereogenic P atoms are considered to be only in the meso form because the compounds have symmetric structures. The asa phosphazene derivatives (3a−3f), which have trans structures according to Cl atoms, also have two stereogenic P atoms. They are expected to be in the racemic form. However, compound 3b has one enantiomer, as shown by the X-ray structural data, and 3f is a racemic mixture, according to the chiral HPLC and CSA experiments. Compound 4g, having two stereogenic P atoms, is expected to exist as two enantiomers. Table 4 shows stereoisomeric assignments for compounds 2b, 3a, 3b, 3e, 3f, and 4g studied as examples.

Compounds 2a−2h could have ra[ce](#page-10-0)mic and meso forms. However, the only meso forms can form because the compounds have symmetric structures. On the other hand, 4g having two stereogenic P atoms is expected to exist as two enantiomers. According to Table 4, compounds 3a−3f may have racemate (trans) and meso (cis) forms. However, the structure of 3b is crystallized in the [n](#page-10-0)oncentrosymmetric space group  $P2_12_12_1$ , meaning that there is only one enantiomer in the unit cell (Table 1). The Flack absolute structure parameter $32$  of 3b is refined; the expected values are 0.00 for the correct and +1.00 fo[r t](#page-4-0)he inverted absolute structure. The refined v[alu](#page-14-0)e is  $0.03(3)$ . So, the absolute structure  $(SS)$  is determined reliably. Because of the presence of the stereogenic centers in all of the phosphazene derivatives, one would expect the occurrence of diastereomers that should give rise to distinguishable NMR signals. Table 3 lists only a single set of signals. The stereogenic properties of the phosphazene derivatives may be determined by [31P](#page-9-0) NMR spectroscopy in the presence of CSA. The  $31P$  NMR signals of the stereogenic compounds may split into two lines, indicating that they exist as racemates.<sup>8b</sup> The stereogenic property of 3f is determined by <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy in the presence of CSA using the literature [pro](#page-14-0)cedure.<sup>9a,33</sup> As an example, the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of 3f is depicted in Figure 3. In the presence of CSA, the  $^{31}P$ NMR signals of [3f](#page-14-0) [sp](#page-14-0)lit into two lines (for  $\delta$  PNN<sub>mor</sub>), indicating that it exists as a [ra](#page-10-0)cemate. Upon titration with CSA, the chemical shifts change as a result of the equilibrium between the compound and its ligand-complexed form, and the changes (in ppb) at a mole ratio of CSA−compound of 10:1 are listed in Table 5.

The HPLC method was used for characterization of the racemic (trans) for[m](#page-11-0) of 3f. The sample is dissolved in hexane− THF (1:2) at a concentration of 10  $\mu$ g/mL. The separation is made at room temperature. The peak of the solvent front is considered to be equal to the dead time. It is about 2.02 min at a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min. There is resolution of 3f with a separation factor of 1.04 in using a mobile phase containing 96% hexane−4% THF. As expected, there are two peaks for 3f. The peaks for the compound separate into two peaks of the ratio of 1:2 intensity corresponding to the two enantiomers. The peak area of one isomer is 33.1%, and the other one is 66.9%, indicating that both isomers (RR and SS) do not form equally. The HPLC chromatogram of 3f is presented in Figure S4 in the SI. The racemate  $(3f)$  in two enantiomers is eluted at 25.993 and 26.925 min. The chromatographic conditions for  $A_2X_2$  (2a-2c and 2e-2h)

 $A_2B_2(2d)$ 

#### <span id="page-9-0"></span>Table 3.  $31P$  NMR (Decoupled) Spectral Data of the Compounds<sup>a</sup>

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_4.jpeg)

 $A<sub>2</sub>BC(3b)$ 

![](_page_9_Figure_5.jpeg)

 $ABX<sub>2</sub>$  (3e and 3f)

![](_page_9_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_895.jpeg)

<sup>a</sup>Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in ppm and J values in Hz.  $^{31}$ P NMR measurements for  $3b$  in CD<sub>3</sub>CN, for  $2c$  in toluene, and for other compounds in  $CDCI<sub>3</sub>$  solutions at 293 K.

HPLC resolution of trans (3f) and the obtained results are presented in Table S1 in the SI. Additionally, this compound is the first example of tetrameric phosphazene derivatives, with chirality confirmed by 31P [N](#page-13-0)MR/CSA and chiral HPLC methods.

The  $\mathrm{^{1}H}$  and  $\mathrm{^{13}C}$  NMR signals of both sas  $\left( 2\text{a} {-} 2\text{h} \right)$  and asa (3a−3f) derivatives are assigned on the basis of chemical shifts, multiplicities, coupling constants, and DEPT spectra. The signals of nonprotonated C atoms of 3d disappear in the DEPT 135 spectrum (Figure S5a in the SI) compared with the <sup>1</sup>Hdecoupled 13C NMR spectrum (Figure S5b in the SI). The assignments are made unambiguo[usl](#page-13-0)y by HSQC using values co[rres](#page-13-0)ponding to  $^{1\!}J_{\rm CH}$  and by HMBC using values corresponding to  $^2J_{\text{CHJ}}$   $^3J_{\text{CHJ}}$  and  $^4J_{\text{CH}}$  between the protons and carbons (Table S2 in the SI). The HMBC and HSQC spectra of 3e are illustrated in Figure S6a,b in the SI, as examples of asa (3a−3f) phosphazene der[iva](#page-13-0)tives. In light of the  $^1\mathrm{H}$  and  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR data, sas (2a−2h) and asa (3a−3f[\)](#page-13-0) compounds seem to have symmetric structures in solution. The X-ray crystallographic data of 2b confirm its symmetric structure, but 3a, 3b, and 3e do not have symmetric structures in the solid state.

The two bond-coupling constants,  $\frac{2}{{\cal{J}}_{\rm{PNC}}}$  for the NCH<sub>2</sub> carbons of the five-membered spiro rings of asa (3a, 3c, and 3e) compounds are in the ranges of 11.6−12.0 Hz. However, the corresponding values for the asa compounds with the sixmembered spiro rings (3b and 3d), except 3f ( ${}^{2}J_{\text{PNC}}$  = 4.7 Hz), are not observed. Furthermore, the  ${}^{2}J_{\text{PNC}}$  values for NCH<sub>2</sub> carbons of ansa rings of sas (2a−2f) derivatives are not also observed. This situation may depend on the size of the rings. The average values of  $^3\!J_{\rm PNCC}$  for the NCH $_2$ CH $_2$  carbons of asa (3b, 3d, and 3f) derivatives are 8.4 Hz (for spiro rings), 9.6 Hz (for pyrrolidine rings), and 9.4 Hz (for morpholine rings), while the corresponding values of  $NCH_2CH_2$  carbons of ansa rings for the sas compounds are not observed. The  $\delta$  shifts of aromatic C<sub>1</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>3</sub>, C<sub>4</sub>, and C<sub>5</sub> carbons of all of the asa (3a– 3f) compounds are larger than those of the corresponding sas (2a−2f) derivatives, while the  $\delta$  shifts of aromatic C<sub>6</sub> carbons are in the opposite direction. In addition, the  $^3\!J_{\rm PNCC}$  values of aromatic  $C_1$  and  $C_5$  carbons of all of the sas derivatives are larger than those of the corresponding asa derivatives. The average values of  $C_1$  and  $C_5$  carbons are 8.5 and 8.4 Hz for sas derivatives and 7.6 and 6.9 Hz for asa derivatives, respectively,

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Table 4. Theoretical Stereoisomer Distributions and Expected Geometrical Isomers of Compounds 2b, 3a, 3b, 3e, 3f, and 4g

compound	stereogenic P atoms $(n)$	steroisomers <sup>a</sup> $(2^n)$ (expected)	chirality (expected)	chirality (found)	geometrical isomer <sup>b</sup>
2 <sub>b</sub>	2	RR 1	racemic (lines $1/4$ )	meso (lines $2 = 3$ )	$O1O1$ (cis)
		<b>RS</b> 2	meso (lines $2 = 3$ )		
		<b>SR</b> 3			
		SS $\overline{4}$			
3a, 3e, and 3f	$\overline{2}$	RR	racemic (lines $1/4$ )	racemic (lines $1/4$ )	$Cl1Cl2$ (trans)
		<b>RS</b> 2	meso (lines $2 = 3$ )		
		3 <b>SR</b>			
		SS $\overline{4}$			
3 <sub>b</sub>	2	RR $\mathbf{1}$	racemic (lines $1/4$ )	enantiomer (line 4)	$Cl1Cl2$ (trans)
		RS 2	meso (lines $2 = 3$ )		
		3 <b>SR</b>			
		SS $\overline{4}$			
4g	2	RR 1	enantiomer 1 (lines $1/4$ )		
		<b>RS</b> 2	enantiomer 2 (lines $2/3$ )		
		<b>SR</b> 3			
		SS 4			

 ${}^a{\rm P}({\rm OArN})$  and P(ArOCl) as labeled R/S for **2b, 3a, 3b, 3e,** and **3f,** respectively, and P(ArOCl) and P(NRN) as labeled R/S for **4g**.  ${}^b{\rm Cis/trans}$ isomerism is labeled explicitly according to Figures 4−8.

![](_page_10_Figure_5.jpeg)

Figure 3. (a)  $^1$ H-decoupled  $^{31}$ P NMR spectrum of 3f. (b) Addition of CSA at ca. 10:1 mol ratio showing the doubling of P<sub>B</sub> signals, indicating the characteristics of the racemate.

whereas the  $\frac{2J_{\text{PNC}}}{}$  values of aromatic  $C_6$  carbons are in the reverse direction; e.g., the average values of  $C_6$  carbons are 4.9 Hz [for sas  $(2a-2f)$ ] and 6.2 Hz [for asa  $(3a-3f)$ ]. Additionally, the expected 18 carbon signals of 4g are unambiguously assigned from the  $^{13}$ C NMR spectrum. The  $\mathcal{C}_{J_{\text{PNC}}}$  values of benzylic OArCH<sub>2</sub>N and C<sub>6</sub> carbons are found to be 6.0, 4.7, and 12.1 Hz, respectively. These values are much larger than those of sas and asa derivatives. The  $^4J_{\rm PNCCC}$  values of aromatic  $C_2$  and  $C_2'$  carbons are also found to be 2.1 and 2.2 Hz for 4g.

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of sas (2c−2f) compounds indicate that four substituents (pyrrolidine or morpholine) are bonded to P atoms, whereas only two substituents (pyrrolidine or morpholine) are bonded to P atoms in asa (3c−3f) derivatives. All of the phosphazene derivatives give very complex <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra because all of the aliphatic protons are diastereotopic. The diastereotopic benzylic  $ArCH<sub>2</sub>$  protons are separated from each other and can be distinguished undoubtedly. One of the

peak groups is in the ranges of  $\delta$  4.62−4.32 ppm for sas (2a− 2f) and 4.81−4.62 ppm for asa (3a−3f) compounds, whereas the other is in the ranges of  $\delta$  4.25−4.01 ppm for sas (2a−2f) and 3.71−3.56 ppm for asa (3a−3f) compounds. As expected, the benzylic protons give rise to an ABX spin system because of the geminal proton−proton coupling and vicinal coupling with the  ${}^{31}P$  nucleus, except 2g, 2h, and 4g. The compounds 2g, 2h, and 4g probably do not exhibit atropisomerism. The large ansa rings (the long alkyl chains in the ansa rings) allow for rotations and lead to enantioconversion at ambient temperatures. Thus, the peaks of benzylic protons are observed as doublets only for  $2\mathrm{g}$ ,  $2\mathrm{h}$ , and  $4\mathrm{g}$ . The average  $^2J_{\mathrm{HH}}$  and  $^3J_{\mathrm{PH}}$  coupling constants of the compounds sas (2a−2g) and asa (3a−3f) phosphazenes, which have ABX spin systems, are 9.9 and 15.4 Hz and 11.6 and 15.3 Hz, respectively. The  $\delta$  shift and  $\delta J_{\rm PH}$  coupling constant of benzylic ArC $H_2$  of 2h are 4.34 ppm and 14.8 Hz. The benzylic HOArC $H_2N$  and OArC $H_2N$  protons of 4g are observed at 4.32

# <span id="page-11-0"></span>Table 5. 31P NMR Parameters of Compound 3f and the Effect of CSA on the  $31P$  NMR Chemical Shifts<sup>a</sup>

![](_page_11_Picture_537.jpeg)

Magnitude of the effect too small to observe up to a 10:1 mole ratio.

ppm  $({}^{3}J_{\text{PH}} = 12.5 \text{ Hz})$  and 4.24 ppm  $({}^{3}J_{\text{PH}} = 8.6 \text{ Hz})$  as doublets.

The characteristic  $\nu_{\text{N-H}}$  bands of aminopodands (1a, 1b, 1g, and 1h) at 3276, 3289, 3291, and 3293 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> , respectively, are not present in the FTIR spectra of the cyclotetraphosphazenes. The tetrachloro- and pentachlorocyclotetraphosphazenes exhibit strong absorption frequencies in the ranges of 1313−1262 and 1186−1166 cm<sup>-1</sup> ascribed to  $\nu_{\text{P=N}}$  bands of phosphazene rings.<sup>34</sup> The asymmetric and symmetric vibration bands of  $\text{PCl}_2$ are observed in the ranges of  $576-538$  and  $503-476$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, , respe[cti](#page-15-0)vely. The FTIR spectra of the sas (2a−2f) and asa (3a−3f) phosphazenes exhibit two medium-intensity absorption signals between 3092 and 3057 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> and between 3043 and 3019 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> attributed to the asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations of the Ar−H bonds. Additionally, the  $\nu_{\text{O-H}}$ broadening band of 4g observed at 3327 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> indicates hydrogen bonding.

X-ray Structures of 2b, 3a, 3b, 3e, and 4g. The X-ray structural determinations of these compounds confirm the assignments of their structures from spectroscopic data. The molecular and solid-state structures of 2b, 3a, 3b, 3e, and 4g along with the atom-numbering schemes are depicted in Figures 4−8, respectively. The asymmetric unit of compound 2b contains only half a molecule, while the asymmetric units of the remai[nin](#page-12-0)g compounds contain one crystallographically

![](_page_11_Figure_8.jpeg)

Figure 4. ORTEP- $3^{42}$  drawing of 2b with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

![](_page_11_Figure_10.jpeg)

Figure 5. ORTEP- $3^{42}$  drawing of 3a with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

![](_page_11_Figure_12.jpeg)

Figure 6. ORTEP- $3^{42}$  drawing of 3b with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

![](_page_11_Figure_14.jpeg)

Figure 7. ORTEP- $3^{42}$  drawing of 3e with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

independent molecule. The four P atoms of 2b, 3a, 3b, 3e, and 4g are noncoplanar, and the four N atoms are displaced above (+) and below (−) their best least-squares planes through the P

<span id="page-12-0"></span>![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 8. ORTEP- $3^{42}$  drawing of 4g with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. The hydrogen [bon](#page-15-0)d is shown as a dashed line.

atoms by the following distances:  $N1 + 0.0000(1)$ ,  $N3 +$  $0.0000(1)$ , N4 – 2.0883(1), and N4' + 2.0894(1) for 2b, N1 +  $0.614(1)$ , N2 –  $0.667(1)$ , N3 +  $0.571(1)$ , and N4 –  $0.531(1)$ for 3a, N1 –  $0.553(1)$ , N2 +  $0.554(1)$ , N3 –  $0.532(1)$ , and N4 + 0.484(1) for 3b, N1 + 0.635(2), N2 - 0.639 (1), N3 + 0.543(2), N4 – 0.316(2) for 3e and N1 + 0.090(2), N2 –  $0.546(2)$ , N3 +  $0.354(2)$ , N4 -  $0.375(2)$  for 4g. The conformation of the macrocyclic phosphazene rings are depicted by the torsion angles of the ring bonds (Figure S7 in the SI). Compound 2b consists of a centrosymmetric nonplanar cyclic tetrameric phosphazene ring in a sofa (chair) confor[mati](#page-13-0)on (Figures S8a in the SI) with the tetradentate ligand (1b) bonded to the P atoms in a spiro-ansa-spiro (2,4 sas) fashion, while the crystal stru[ctu](#page-13-0)res of 3a, 3b, and 3e indicate that the tetradentate ligands (1a and 1b) are bonded to the P atoms in an ansa-spiro-ansa  $(2,4,6$ -asa) fashion and the Cl atoms bonded to the P1 and P3 atoms in a trans fashion. In addition, compound 4g consists of a bicyclo-2,6-as structure.

In 2b, the bicyclic part (Figure S8a,b in the SI) is made up of eight-membered tetrameric  $N_4P_4$  and ansa  $(N1/P1/N5/C8/$ C9/C8′/N5′/P1′) rings fused by a commo[n P](#page-13-0)NP fragment. The tetrameric  $N_4P_4$  ring is in a sofa conformation, but the ansa ring is in a crown conformation. The six-membered spiro [(P1/ O1/C6/C1/C7/N5) and (P1′/O1′/C6′/C1′/C7′/N5′)] rings are in twisted-boat conformations [Figure S8c in the SI;  $Q_T$ = 2.424(2) Å,  $\varphi_2$  = 91.17(3)°, and  $\theta_2$  = 90.69(8)°]. In 3a, 3b, and 3e, the tricyclic parts (Figures S9b, S10b, and S11b in [th](#page-13-0)e SI) are made up of three eight-membered rings, which are not planar, having total puckering amplitudes,  $Q_T^{35}$  of 1.206(1[\) Å](#page-13-0) (for the tetrameric  $N_4P_4$  ring of 3a), 1.379(4) Å [for the ansa  $(P1/N1/P2/N5/C7/C1/C6/O1)$  ring of 3a][, 3](#page-15-0).607(2) Å [for the ansa  $(P3/N2/P2/N6/C10/C11/C16/O2)$  ring of 3a], 1.088(1) Å [for the tetrameric  $N_4P_4$  ring of 3b], 1.073(2) Å [for the ansa  $(P1/N1/P2/N5/C7/C1/C6/O1)$  ring of 3b], 3.644(2) Å (for the ansa (P3/N2/P2/N6/C11/C12/C17/O2) ring of 3b], 1.089(1) Å (for the tetrameric  $N_4P_4$  ring of 3e), 1.352(2) Å [for the ansa  $(P1/N1/P2/N5/C7/C1/C6/O1)$ ring of 3e], and 2.756(4) Å (for the ansa  $(P3/N2/P2/N6/$  $C10/C11/C16/O2$ ) ring of 3e]. In 3a and 3e, the fivemembered spiro rings (P2/N5/C8/C9/N6) are in twisted conformations (Figure S9c in the SI for 3a and Figure S11c in the SI for 3e). In 3b, the six-membered spiro ring is in a chair conformation [Figur[e S](#page-13-0)10c in the SI;  $Q_T = 0.749(5)$  Å,  $\varphi_2 =$  $-21.1(1)$  $-21.1(1)$  $-21.1(1)$ °, and  $\theta_2 = 140.2(1)$ °]. In 4g, the bicyclic system made up of phosphazene and the [a](#page-13-0)nsa (P1/N4/P4/N3/P3/

N5/C7/C1/C6/O1) rings is not planar, having total puckering amplitudes,  $Q_T^{\ 35}$  of 1.187(1) Å (for the tetrameric  $\rm{N_4P_4\ ring})$ and  $1.509(1)$  Å (for the ansa ring) [Figure S12a,b in the SI]. The seven-me[mbe](#page-15-0)red ring (P3/N5/C8/C9/C10/C11/N6) has a twisted conformation with a total puckering amplitude,  $Q_T$ , of 1.317(3) Å (Figure S12c in the SI).

In the phosphazene rings, the endocyclic P−N bond lengths are in the ranges of  $1.560(1)-1.594(1)$  $1.560(1)-1.594(1)$  $1.560(1)-1.594(1)$  Å (for 2b),  $1.554(1)-$ 1.603(1) Å (for 3a), 1.546(1)−1.610(1) Å (for 3b), 1.549(2)− 1.605(2) Å (for 3e), and 1.544(2)−1.640(2) Å (for 4g) (Table 2). The average endocyclic P−N bond lengths in tetrameric phosphazene rings are 1.573(1), 1.576(1), 1.573(1), 1.576(2), [an](#page-5-0)d  $1.575(1)$  Å, which are shorter than the average exocyclic P−N bond lengths of 1.614(1), 1.645(1), 1.641(1), 1.644(2), and  $1.624(2)$  Å for  $2b$ ,  $3a$ ,  $3b$ ,  $3e$ , and  $4g$ , respectively. In phosphazenes, the P−N single and double bonds are generally in the ranges of 1.628−1.691 and 1.571−1.604 Å, respectively, $36$  and they are among the most intriguing bonds in phosphazene chemistry. Recently, natural bond orbital (NBO) and t[opo](#page-15-0)logical electron density analyses are used to investigate the electronic structures of phosphazenes.<sup>37</sup> The most likely phosphazene bonding alternatives, namely, negative hyperconjugation and ionic bonding, were eva[lua](#page-15-0)ted using NBO. Ionic bonding was found to be the dominant feature, and the multiple-bond character could be attributed, in part, to the presence of negative hyperconjugation.<sup>4e,38</sup>

As can be seen from Table 2, the P−N−P bond angles of 2b, 3a, 3b, 3e, and 4g are in the r[an](#page-14-0)[ge](#page-15-0)s of 126.91(10)− 141.37(14)°, 128.27(8)−129[.3](#page-5-0)5(7)°, 129.52(10)−134.27(9)°, 128.15(10)−137.42(10)°, and 127.01(11)−133.64(12)° [the average value is  $131.0(1)°$ . The P−N−P bond angles of 3a are almost the same, but the others are spread. In the literature,  $4b,39$ similar spreads of P−N−P angles were found in some phosphazene derivatives. The variations in the endocyclic [N](#page-14-0)[−](#page-15-0) P−N bond angles are also very large, ranging from 114.00(9)<sup>o</sup> to  $122.57(10)^\circ$  (for 2b), from  $112.89(6)^\circ$  to  $123.31(6)^\circ$  (for 3a), from 112.44(7)° to 123.71(7)° (for 3b), from 113.09(8)° to  $125.27(8)°$  (for 3e), and from  $113.94(9)°$  to  $123.00(11)°$ (for 4g) with an average value of  $119.82(8)^\circ$ . The endocyclic N−P−N bond angles (for P atoms containing spiro substituents) are much affected by the  $N_2O_2$  donor-type tetradentate ligands (1a−1d) bonded to the P atoms [average value is 113.27(8)°], while the exocyclic N−P−N bond angles are less affected compared to the corresponding angle in the standard compound,  $N_4P_4Cl_8$ . In  $N_4P_4Cl_8$ , the endocyclic N− P−N and P−N−P and exocyclic Cl−P−Cl bond angles are 121.2°, 131.3°, and 102.8°. <sup>40</sup> The variations of the P−N−P and N−P−N angles of 2b, 3a, 3b, 3e, and 4g may reflect the steric hindrances of the bulky si[de](#page-15-0) groups and could be ascribed to the substituent-dependent charges at the P centers and negative hyperconjugation.<sup>4e,37,38</sup>

Moreover, compound 4g consists of a bicyclo-2,6-as structure with a[n](#page-14-0) [intr](#page-15-0)amolecular O2−H2A···N2 hydrogen bond (Figure 8).<sup>41</sup> The C−H… $\pi$  contacts in compounds 3a, 3b, and 3e may further stabilize the structure (Table S3 in the SI).

Antimicrobial Activities. The tetrapyrrolidinocyclotetra[ph](#page-13-0)osphazene derivatives  $(2c \text{ and } 2d)$  exhibit weak antibacterial activity against  $(G+)$  bacterium, and racemic gem-2-trans-6dichloropyrrolidinocyclotetraphosphazene derivatives (3c and 3d) show moderate antifungal activity against only Candida tropicalis. The starting compound  $(N_4P_4Cl_8)$ , pyrrolidine, morpholine, 1a, 1b, 1g, and 1h are checked for the same

<span id="page-13-0"></span>bacteria and fungi. Morpholine and  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  exhibit weak antibacterial activity against Pseudomonas aeroginosa (G−), 1b, and  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  to Bacillus cereus (G+), 1b, to Escherichia coli  $(G-)$ , 1h, to Staphylococcus aureus  $(G+)$ , 1g, to Bacillus subtilis (G+). None of them shows antifungal activity. The results are given in section S1 in the SI for comparison to the cyclotetraphosphazene derivatives. The antifungal activity of 3c and 3d may have arisen from the whole structure of these compounds.

Interaction with pBR322 Plasmid DNA and Restriction Enzyme Digestion. Interactions of the starting compound  $(N_4P_4Cl_8)$ , pyrrolidine, morpholine, 1a, 1b, 1g, and 1h and cyclotetraphosphazene derivatives 2a−2f and 3a−3f with supercoiled pBR322 DNA were investigated using agarose gel electrophoresis. Pyrrolidine, morpholine, 1a, 1b, 1g, and 1h have no effect on plasmid DNA except  $N_4P_4Cl_8$ . However, it was observed that compounds 2e, 3c, and 3e are able to cleave the DNA. The presence of the linear form III in the DNA− compound mixtures indicates the conformational changes of DNA. It is noteworthy that the covalent interactions may play an important role in the changes. Moreover, restriction analyses indicate that 2a−2f and 3a−3f compounds bind to GG of the DNA except compound 2b and partly 2e (section S2 in the SI).

### ■ **CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, the reactions of  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  with the  $N_2O_2$  donortype tetradentate ligands (1a, 1b, 1g, and 1h) give two kinds of novel sas (2a, 2b, 2g, and 2h) and asa (3a and 3b) tetraphosphazene derivatives, which are the first examples of multihetereocyclic cyclotetraphosphazenes. The partly substituted sas (2a and 2b) compounds reacted with excess pyrrolidine and morpholine in THF to produce tetrapyrrolidinocyclotetraphosphazenes (2c and 2d) and tetramorpholinocyclotetraphosphazenes (2e and 2f). The reactions of partly substituted asa (3a and 3b) derivatives with excess pyrrolidine and morpholine in THF produce partly substituted gem-2-trans-6-dicloropyrrolidinocyclotetraphosphazenes (3c and 3d) and -morpholinocyclotetraphosphazenes (3e and 3f). The partly substituted phosphazenes could be useful as precursors for preparing unique mixed-substituent phosphazenes because the chiral systems and biologically active materials exhibit chemotherapeutic or antibacterial activity behaviors. The fully substituted phosphazenes (2c−2f) are possible ligating agents for transition-metal cations. All of the compounds were fully characterized by one- and two-dimensional NMR techniques, where the compounds (2b, 3a, 3b, 3e, and 4g) have been characterized crystallographically. From the NMR and X-ray crystallographic results, it was shown that the stereogenic P and N centers are likely to be generated using tetradentate symmetric ligands. Moreover, atropisomerism may arise from the restricted single-bond rotations about N atoms. The investigations of the possible stable conformers of atropisomers are an important topic for future research in our group. In 2a− 2h, which are symmetric structures, there are two stereogenic P atoms and they are in meso form, while the interesting compound 4g, which is accidentally obtained from the reaction of  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  with 1g, has two stereogenic P atoms and is expected to be in enantiomeric mixtures. On the other hand, in 3a−3f, there are also two stereogenic P atoms. X-ray crystallographic data confirm that 3b has one enantiomer (SS). Additionally, the stereogenic property of 3f was determined by  $3^{31}P$  NMR spectroscopy in the presence of CSA and chiral HPLC methods.

Further detailed studies of the long-chain aminopodands with  $N_4P_4Cl_8$  are under investigation.

### ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

## **6** Supporting Information

Additional figures giving <sup>1</sup>H-decoupled and <sup>1</sup>H-coupled <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra of 2b, 3f, and 4g (Figures S1−S3), HPLC profile of compound 3f (Figure S4), the DEPT and 13C NMR spectra of 3d (Figure S5), the HMBC and HSQC spectra of 3e (Figure S6), the shape of the phosphazene rings in 2b, 4g, 3a, 3b, and 3e with torsion angles given (Figure S7), crystal ring conformations (Figures S8−S12), X-ray crystallographic files in CIF format for compounds 2b, 3a, 3b, 3e, and 4g, chromatographic conditions for TLC and HPLC resolution of racemic cyclotetraphosphazene derivative (3f) (Table S1), 2D <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>H−<sup>13</sup>C HSQC and HMBC correlations for compounds 2a, 2b, 2c, 3a, 3c, and 3e (Table S2), hydrogen-bond geometries (Table S3), antimicrobial activities (section S1), and DNA and compound interactions (section S2). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

# ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

## Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: zkilic@science.ankara.edu.tr.

#### **Notes**

The auth[ors declare no competing](mailto:zkilic@science.ankara.edu.tr) financial interest.

### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey (Grant 211T019) and the Medicinal Plants and Medicine Research Center of Anadolu University, Eskişehir, for use of their X-ray and NMR facilities. T.H. is indebted to Hacettepe University, Scientific Research Unit (Grant 0202602002), for their financial support. L.A. grateful to State Planning Organisation for financial support for the research (Grant No. 1998K121480). The authors acknowledge Professor C. Allen for his helpful scientific discussions and corrections.

#### ■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Chandrasekhar, V.; Thilagar, P.; Pandian, B. M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2007, 251, 1045−1074. (b) Allen, C. W. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 119−135. (c) Schmutz, J. L.; Allcock, H. R. Inorg. Chem. 1975, 14, 2433−2438. (d) Labarre, J. F. Top. Curr. Chem. 1985, 129, 173−230. (2) (a) Myer, C. N.; Allen, W. C. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 41, 60−66. (b) Jung, J. H.; Potluri, S. K.; Zhang, H.; Neilson, P. W. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 7784−7791. (c) Keshav, K.; Singh, N.; Elias, A. J. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 5753–5765. (d) Kılıç, Z.; Okumuş, A.; Demiriz, Ş.; Bilge, S.; Öztürk, A.; Hökelek, T. J. Incl. Phenom. Macrocycl. Chem. 2009, 65, 269−286. (e) Yenilmez, Ç. G.; Tanrıverdi, E.; Zorlu, Y. Heterocycles 2008, 75 (3), 635–643. (f) İbişoğlu, H. Heterocycles 2007, 71 (10), 2173−2181. (g) Okumuş, A.; Bilge, S.; Kılıç, Z.; Öztürk, A.; Hökelek, T.; Yılmaz, F. Spectrochim. Acta, Part A 2010, 76, 401–409. (h) Deutch, W. F.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Kılıç, Z.; Parkers, H. G.; Shaw (nee Gözen), L. S.; Shaw, R. A. *Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon* 1987, 32, 81−85. (i) Ö zen, F.; Çil, E.; Arslan, M. J. Chem. Soc. Pak. 2012, 34 (3), 690−698. (j) Işıklan, M.; Sonkaya, Ö.; Çoşut, B.; Yeşilot, S.; Hökelek, T. Polyhedron 2010, 29, 1612−1618. (k) Çil, E.; Tanyıldızı, M. A.; Özen, F.; Boybay, M.; Arslan, M.; Görgülü, A. O. Arch. Pharm. Chem. Life Sci. 2012, 345, 476−485.

(3) (a) Brandt, K.; Bartczak, T. J.; Kruszynski, R.; Porwolik-Czomperlik, I. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2001, 322, 138−144. (b) Porwolik-Czomperlik, I.; Siwy, M.; Şek, D.; Kaczmarczyk, B.; Nasulewicz, A.; Jaroszewicz, I.; Pelczynska, M.; Opolski, A. Acta Pol. Pharm. 2004, 66,

#### <span id="page-14-0"></span>**Inorganic Chemistry Article**

267−272. (c) Andrews, R. IRCS Med. Sci. 1979, 7, 285. (d) Guerch, G.; Labarre, J. F.; Lahana, R.; Roques, R.; Sournie, F. J. Mol. Struct. 1983, 99, 275−282.

(4) (a) Contractor, S. R.; Kılıç, Z.; Shaw, R. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1987, 2023−2029. (b) Isı̧klan, M.; Kılıc, Z.; Akduran, N.; ̧ Hökelek, T. *J. Mol. Struct.* **2003**, 660, 167−179. (c) Beşli, S.; İbişoğlu, H.; Kılıç, A.; Ün, İ.; Yüksel, F. *Polyhedron 2010, 29, 3220−3228*. (d) Alkubaisi, A. H.; Shaw, R. A. Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon 1989, 45, 7−14. (e) Ainscough, E. W.; Brodie, A. M.; Chaplin, A. B.; Derwahl, A.; Harrison, J. A.; Otter, C. A. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 2575−2583. (f) Kumaraswamy, S.; Vijjulatha, M.; Muthiah, C.; Kumara Swamy, K. C.; Engelhardt, U. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1999, 891−899. (g) Yıldız, M.; Kılıç, Z.; Hökelek, T. J. Mol. Struct. 1999, 510, 227− 235. (h) Liu, X.; Breon, J. P.; Chen, C.; Allcock, H. R. Dalton Trans. 2012, 41, 2100−2109. (i) Kumar, D.; Singh, N.; Keshav, K.; Elias, A. J. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 250−260.

 $(5)$  (a) İbişoğlu, H.; Yenilmez, Ç. G.; Kılıç, A.; Tanrıverdi, E.; Ün, İ.; Dal, H.; Hökelek, T. J. Chem. Sci. **2009**, 121, 125−135. (b) Cameron, T. S.; Linden, A.; Guerch, G.; Bonnet, J. P.; Labarre, J. J. Mol. Struct. 1989, 212, 295−304. (c) Kılıç, A.; Kılıç, Z.; Shaw, R. A. Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon 1991, 57, 111−117.

(6) (a) Chandrasekhar, V.; Karthikeyan, S.; Krishnamurthy, S. S.; Woods, M. Indian J. Chem. 1985, 24A, 379−383. (b) Chandrasekhar, V.; Krishnan, V. Adv. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 53, 159−211. (c) Allcock, H. R.; Diefenbach, U.; Pucher, S. R. Inorg. Chem. 1994, 33, 3091−3095. (7) (a) Bilge, S.; Demiriz, Ş.; Okumuş, A.; Kılıç, Z.; Tercan, B.; Hökelek, T.; Büyükgüngör, O. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 8755–8767. (b) Safran, S.; Hö kelek, T.; Bilge, S.; Demiriz, Ş.; Natsagdorj, A.; Kılıc,̧ Z. Anal. Sci. 2005, 21, 77−78. (c) Tercan, B.; Hö kelek, T.; Bilge, S.; Natsagdorj, A.; Demiriz, Ş.; Kılıç, Z. Acta Crystallogr. 2004, E60, 795− 797.

(8) (a) Besli, S.; Coles, S. J.; Davies, D. B.; Eaton, R. J.; Hursthouse, ̧ M. B.; Kılıç, A.; Shaw, R. A.; Çiftçi, G. Y.; Yeşilot, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 4943−4950. (b) Isı̧klan, M.; Asmafiliz, N.; Ö zalp, E. E.; İlter, E. E.; Kılıç, Z.; Çoşut, B.; Yeşilot, S.; Kılıç, A.; Öztürk, A.; Hökelek, T.; Koç, L. Y.; Açık, L.; Akyüz, E. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 7057−7071. (c) Coles, S. J.; Davies, D. B.; Eaton, R. J.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Kılıç, A.; Mayer, T. A.; Shaw, R. A.; Yenilmez, G. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **2002**, 365−370. (d) İlter, E. E.; Asmafiliz, N.; Kılıç, Z.; Işıklan, M.; Hökelek, T.; Çaylak, N.; Şahin, E. *Inorg. Chem.* **200**7, 46, 9931−9944. (e) Ün, Ş. Ş.; Uslu, A.; Yeşilot, S.; Ün, İ.; Çoşut, B.; Yüksel, F.; Kılıç, A. *Polyhedron* 2011, 30, 1587−1594.

(9) (a) Asmafiliz, N.; Kılıç, Z.; Öztürk, A.; Hökelek, T.; Koç, L. Y.; Açık, L.; Kısa, Ö.; Albay, A.; Üstündağ, Z.; Solak, A. O. *Inorg. Chem.* 2009, 48, 10102−10116. (b) Alvarez, J. L. G.; Amato, M. E.; Lombardo, G. M.; Carriedo, G. A.; Punzo, F. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 28, 4483−4491. (c) Çoşut, B.; İbişoğlu, H.; Kılıç, A.; Yeşilot, S. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2009, 362, 4931-4936. (d) Yeşilot, S.; Çoşut, B. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2007, 10, 88−93. (e) Beşli, S.; Davies, D. B.; Kılıç, A.; Shaw, R. A.; Şahin, Ş.; Uslu, A.; Yeşilot, S. J. Chromatogr. A 2006, 1132, 201−205.

(10) (a) Ainscough, E. W.; Brodie, A. M.; Davidson, R. J.; Otter, C. A. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2008, 11, 171−174. (b) Gleria, M.; Jaeger, R. D. Applicative Aspects of Cyclophosphazenes; Nova Science Publishers, Inc.: Hauppauge, NY, 2004. (c) Coşut, B.; Durmuş, M.; Kılıç, A.; Yeşilot, S. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2011, 366, 161-172. (d) Ainscough, E. W.; Brodie, A. M.; Davidson, R. J.; Moubaraki, B.; Murray, K. S.; Otter, C. A.; Waterland, M. R. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47 (20), 9182−9192.

(11) (a) Allcock, H. R.; Napierala, M. E.; Cameron, C. G.; O'Connor, S. J. M. Macromolecules 1996, 29, 1951−1956. (b) Liu, J.; Tang, J.; Wang, X.; Wu, D. RSC Adv. 2012, 2, 5789−5799.

(12) Singler, R. E.; Deome, A. J.; Dunn, D. A.; Bieberich, M. J. Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev. 1986, 25 (1), 46−57.

(13) Zhu, J.; Liu, W.; Chu, R.; Meng, X. Tribol. Int. 2007, 40 (1), 10− 14.

(14) Omotowa, B. A.; Phillips, B. S.; Zabinski, J. S.; Shreeve, J. M. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 5466−5471.

(15) (a) Allcock, H. R.; Klingenberg, E. H. Mater. Sci.: Crystallogr. 1995, 28, 4351−4360. (b) Allcock, H. R.; Kim, C. Macromolecules 1991, 24, 2846−2851.

(16) Allcock, H. R. Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci. 2006, 10, 231−  $240$ 

(17) (a) Xu, G.; Lu, Q.; Yu, B.; Wen, L. Solid State Ionics 2006, 177, 305−309. (b) Morford, R. V.; Kellam, E. C.; Hofmann, M. A.; Baldwin, R.; Allcock, H. R. Solid State Ionics 2000, 133, 171−177. (c) Allcock, H. R.; Kellam, E. C.; Morford, R. V.; Conner, D. A.; Welna, D. T.; Chang, Y.; Allcock, H. R. Macromolecules 2007, 40, 322−328. (d) Klein, R.; Welna, D. T.; Weikel, A.; Allcock, H. R.; Runt, J. Macromolecules 2007, 40, 3990−3995. (e) Harrup, M. K.; Gering, K. L.; Rollins, H. W.; Sazhin, S. V.; Benson, M. T.; Jamison, D. K.; Michelbacher, C. J.; Luther, T. A. ESC Trans. 2012, 41 (39), 13−25. (18) (a) Siwy, M.; Şek, D.; Kaczmarczyk, B.; Jaroszewicz, I.; Nasulewicz, A.; Pelczynska, M.; Nevozhay, D.; Opolski, A. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 806–810. (b) İlter, E. E.; Asmafiliz, N.; Kılıç, Z.; Açık, L.; Yavuz, M.; Bali, E. B.; Solak, A. O.; Bü yü kkaya, F.; Dal, H.; Hö kelek, T. Polyhedron 2010, 29, 2933−2944.

(19) Singh, A.; Krogman, N. R.; Sethurman, S.; Nair, L. S.; Sturgeon, J. L.; Brown, P. W.; Laurencin, C. T.; Allcock, H. R. Biomacromolecules 2006, 7, 914−918.

(20) Greish, Y. E.; Bender, J. D.; Lakshmi, S.; Brown, P. W.; Allcock, H. R.; Laurencin, C. T. Biomaterials 2005, 26, 1−9.

(21) Nair, L.; Bhattacharyya, S.; Bender, J. D.; Greish, Y E.; Brown, P. W.; Allcock, H.; Laurencin, C. T. Biomacromolecules 2004, 5, 2212− 2220.

(22) Bovin, J. O.; Galy, J.; Labarre, J. F.; Sournies, F. J. Mol. Struct. 1978, 49 (2), 421−423.

(23) Zhu, X.; Liang, Y.; Zhang, D.; Wang, L.; Ye, Y.; Zhao, Y. Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon Relat. Elem. 2011, 186, 281−286.

(24) (a) He, L.; Chen, X. H.; Wang, D. N.; Luo, S. W.; Zhang, W. Q.; Yu, J.; Ren, L.; Gong, L. Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133 (34), 13504− 13518. (b) Bishwajit, P.; Butterfoss, G. L.; Boswell, M. G.; Renfrew, P. D.; Yeung, F. G.; Shah, N. H.; Wolf, C.; Bonneau, R.; Kirshenbaum, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 10910−10919.

(25) Bruker program 1D WIN-NMR (release 6.0) and 2D WIN-NMR (release 6.1).

(26) Perez, C.; Paul, M.; Bazerque, P. Acta Biol. Med. Exp. 1990, 15, 113−115.

(27) Gümüş, F.; Eren, G.; Açık, L.; Çelebi, A.; Öztürk, F.; Yılmaz, S.; Sakan, R.; Gür, S.; Özkul, A.; Elmalı, A.; Elerman, Y. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 1345−1357.

(28) Fritsch, J.; Sambrook, T.; Maniatis, T. Molecular Cloning; Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: New York, 1989.

(29) (a) Bilge, S.; Natsagdorj, A.; Demiriz, Ş.; Çaylak, N.; Kılıç, Z.; Hökelek, T. *Helv. Chim. Acta 2004, 87, 2088−2099.* (b) Ghose, B. N.; Lasisi, K. M. Synt. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem. 1986, 16, 1121−1133. (c) Signorini, O.; Dockal, E. R.; Castallano, G.; Oliva, G. Polyhedron 1996, 15, 245−255. (d) Bilge, S.; Natsagdorj, A.; Akduran, N.; Hökelek, T.; Kılıç, Z. J. *Mol. Struct.* **2002**, *611*, 169−178. (e) Panova, G. V.; Potapov, V. M.; Turovets, I. M.; Golub, E. G. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1983, 53, 1612−1620. (f) Hö kelek, T.; Akduran, N.; Bilge, S.; Kılıc, Z. ̧ Anal. Sci. **2001**, 17, 801–802. (g) Hökelek, T.; Bilge, S.; Kılıç, Z. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E 2003, 59, 1607−1609. (h) Atwood, D. A.; Benson, J.; Jegier, J. A.; Lindholm, N. F.; Martin, K. J.; Pitura, R. J.; Rutherford, D. Main Group Chem. 1995, 1 (1), 99-113.

(30) SADABS; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, 2005.

(31) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 2008, 64, 112−122.

(32) Flack, H. D. Acta Crystallogr. 1983, A39, 876−881.

(33) (a) Besli, S.; Coles, S. J.; Davies, D. B.; Eaton, R. J.; Hursthouse, ̧ M. B.; Kılıç, A.; Shaw, R. A.; Uslu, A.; Yeşilot, S. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2004, 7, 842−846. (b) Coles, S. J.; Davies, D. B.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Kılıç, A.; Şahin, Ş.; Shaw, R. A.; Uslu, A. J. Organomet. Chem. 2007, 692, 2811−2821. (c) Coles, S. J.; Davies, D. B.; Eaton, R. J.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Kılıç, A.; Shaw, R. A.; Uslu, A. Dalton Trans. 2006, 10, 1302−1312. (d) Uslu, A. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2005, 8, 1002− 1008.

<span id="page-15-0"></span>(34) (a) Carriedo, G. A.; Alonso, F. G.; Gonzalez, P. A.; Menendez, J. R. J. Raman Spectrosc. 1998, 29, 327-330. (b) Okumuş, A.; Kılıç, Z.; Hökelek, T.; Dal, H.; Açık, L.; Öner, Y.; Koç, L. Y. *Polyhedron* **2011**, 30, 2896−2907.

(35) Cremer, D.; Pople, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97 (6), 1354− 1358.

(36) Allen, F. H.; Kennard, O.; Watson, D. G.; Brammer, L.; Orpen, G.; Taylor, R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1987, 12, 1−19.

(37) Chaplin, A. B.; Harrison, J. A.; Dyson, P. J. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 8407−8417.

(38) (a) Krishnamurthy, S. S. Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon Relat. Elem. 1994, 87, 101−111. (b) Davidson, R. J.; Ainscough, E. W.; Brodie, A. M.; Harrison, J. A.; Waterland, M. R. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 1619− 1625.

(39) (a) Hökelek, T.; Kılıç, A.; Begeç, S.; Yıldız, M. Acta Crystallogr. 1996, C52, 3243–3246. (b) Hökelek, T.; Kılıç, E.; Kılıç, Z. Acta Crystallogr. 1998, C54, 1295−1297. (c) Hökelek, T.; Kılıç, E.; Kılıç, Z. Acta Crystallogr. 1999, C55, 983−985.

(40) Wagner, A. J.; Vos, A. Acta Crystallogr. 1968, B24, 707−713.

(41) Bilge, S.; Kılıç, Z.; Hayvalı, Z.; Hökelek, T.; Safran, S. J. Chem. Sci. 2009, 121 (6), 989-1001.

(42) Farrugia, L. J. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1997, 30, 565−566.