# **Inorganic Chemistry**

## In Situ Synthesis and Ferroelectric, SHG Response, and Luminescent Properties of a Novel 3D Acentric Zinc Coordination Polymer

Yun-Zhi Tang,  $*$ ,  $*$ ,  $*$  Man Zhou,  $*$  Jun Huang,  $*$  Yu-Hui Tan,  $*$  Ji-si Wu,  $*$  and He-Rui Wen $*$ 

 $^\dagger$ School of Metall[urg](#page-2-0)y and Chemical Engineering and  $^\ddagger$ Institute of Engineering, Jiangxi University of Science and Technology, Ganzhou, 341000, People's Republic of China

**S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-2-0)STRACT: [The](#page-2-0) [3D](#page-2-0) [acent](#page-2-0)ric metal−organic framework  $(MOF)$  of  $[Zn(Mitz)Cl]_n$  [1; Mitz = 3-tetrazolyl-6methyl-5-(4-pyridyl)-2-pyridone] was obtained from in situ  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 + 3 \end{bmatrix}$  cycloaddition reactions of milrinone  $\begin{bmatrix} -3 - 1 \end{bmatrix}$ cyano-6-methyl-5-(4-pyridyl)-2-pyridone] with sodium azide in the presence of  $ZnCl<sub>2</sub>$  as the Lewis acid. The compound is a typical ferroelectric material with an electric hysteresis loop showing a remnant polarization  $(P_r)$  of ca. 0.21  $\mu$ C/cm<sup>2</sup> and a coercive field ( $E_c$ ) of 2600 V/cm. Powdered samples of 1 display strong second-harmonicgeneration responses of ∼2 times larger than that of KH2PO4. Photoluminescent study show that complex 1 and the milrinone ligand exhibit maximal emission peaks at around 409 and 401 nm, respectively, in the solid state at room temperature.

 $\sum$  ince the discovery of the first ferroelectric crystal Rochelle<br>salt in 1920 by Valasek<sup>1</sup> and the first research of nonlinear<br>anticel second betworks conception (SHC) response from why optical second-harmonic-generation (SHG) response from ruby in 1961 by Franken et  $al$ ,<sup>2</sup> a great number of new noncentrosymmetric compounds with ferroelectric and SHG response have been synthe[si](#page-2-0)zed and investigated intensively from the viewpoints of fundamental physics and technological applications. $3,4$  Currently, the search for new classes of ferroelectric materials is of especially immense interest because of their versa[tile](#page-2-0) applicability to modern electric devices such as memory elements, filtering devices, and high-performance insulators.<sup>5</sup> However, to the best of our knowledge, most of the attention in this field has been focused on developing ferroelect[ric](#page-2-0) inorganic compounds such as  $KH_{2}PO_{4}$  (KDP), BaTiO<sub>3</sub>, and LiNbO<sub>3</sub><sup>5</sup> studies toward the development of ferroelectric materials based on metal−organic frameworks  $(MOFs)^6$  are still rare.

To be a ferroelectric material, the structure must meet the followin[g](#page-2-0) four conditions: (i) it should be asymmetric; (ii) it must have a polarizable functional group; (iii) it should have the ability of spontaneous polarization; (iv) the polarization orientation can be changed with a change of the electric field. The recent works by Xiong et al. showed that in situ  $[2 + 3]$  $cycloaddition$  chiral ligand synthesis<sup>7</sup> under hydrothermal reaction conditions provided a convenient and simple route to novel chiral MOFs and applications of these materials in ferroelectric behavior, SHG responses, nonlinear optics, and molecular recognition and separation.<sup>8</sup> As we all know, constructing a MOF that crystallizes in 1 of the 10 polar point

groups (1, *m,* 2, *mm*2, 3, 3*m,* 4, 4*mm, 6, and 6mm)<sup>5a</sup> is essential* for ferroelectric behavior. As summarized by Lin et al., $9$  on the one hand, many crystals are acentric but not chiral [bec](#page-2-0)ause of the presence of helices of both handedness $10,11$  in an achi[ra](#page-2-0)l single crystal or equal probability for the formation of chiral crystals of both handedness such as"utp" net; on t[he oth](#page-2-0)er hand, both chiral and acentric structures can be formed from an achiral building block.<sup>12</sup> So, we can infer that not only chiral MOFs but also acentric MOFs that crystallizes in the above-mentioned 10 polar point [gr](#page-2-0)oups may also have ferroelectric behavior.<sup>13</sup> Keep this in mind: we were intrigued with the possibility of constructing an acentric (not chiral) MOF that would crystallize [in](#page-2-0) 1 of the 10 polar point groups, by using an appropriate acentric organic ligand as the building block.

Fortunately, by in situ  $[2 + 3]$  cycloaddition reactions of milrinone  $[-3$ -cyano-6-methyl-5-(4-pyridyl)-2-pyridone $]^{14}$  with sodium azide in the presence of  $ZnCl<sub>2</sub>$  as the Lewis acid, we obtained a novel 3D acentric zinc coordination p[oly](#page-2-0)mer,  $[Zn(Mitz)Cl]_n$  [1; Mitz = 3-tetrazolyl-6-methyl-5-(4-pyridyl)-2-pyridone]. Herein, we report the synthesis of MOF 1, which displays interesting ferroelectric behavior, strong SHG responses, and green luminescent properties.

As shown in Scheme 1, MOF 1 was prepared under hydrothermal reaction conditions. A heavy-walled Pyrex tube

Scheme 1. Preparation of MOF 1



containing a mixture of milrinone (0.0422 g, 0.2 mmol),  $ZnCl<sub>2</sub>$  $(0.0272 \text{ g}, 0.2 \text{ mmol})$ , NaN<sub>3</sub>  $(0.039 \text{ g}, 0.6 \text{ mmol})$ , and water  $(2.5 \text{ m})$ mL) was frozen, sealed under vacuum, and then placed inside an oven at 160 °C. The golden block crystals for 1 were obtained after 24 h of heating. Yield: 0.0584 g, 87.2%,  $C_{12}H_9N_6ClOZn$ (354.07) on the basis of milrinone. Calcd for 1: C, 40.67; H, 2.54; N, 23.72. Found: C, 40.88; H, 2.51; N, 23.66. IR (KBr, cm<sup>−1</sup>):  $3414(m)$ ,  $1621(w)$ ,  $1613(s)$ ,  $1590(s)$ ,  $1451(s)$ ,  $1428(m)$ ,  $1360(w)$ ,  $1131(m)$ ,  $1019(m)$ ,  $811(w)$ ,  $750(w)$ ,  $641(w)$ ,  $453(w)$ .

Received: September 23, 2012 Published: January 28, 2013

A pale-yellow crystal of 1 with dimensions of 0.30 mm  $\times$  0.20  $mm \times 0.20$  mm was selected for single-crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD). The data were collected on a Bruker P4 diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda$  = 0.71073 A) at 293 K using the  $\theta-2\theta$  scan technique and corrected for Lorentz−polarization and absorption effects. The crystal structure was solved by direct methods with the SHELXS-97 program.<sup>15,16</sup> Detailed information about the crystal data and structure determination for the title compound are summarized in Table [1.](#page-2-0)





In a comparison of the IR spectra of the product formation of 1 and the reaction substrate (milrinone and  $\text{NaN}_3$ ), the absence of peaks at about 2300 and 2100 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> suggests that the cyano group and the  $N_3^-$  anion have turned into the tetrazole group with several newly formed typical tetrazolyl group peaks ranging from 1613 to 1451 cm<sup>-17</sup>. .

As shown in Figure 1 and Table 1, compound 1 belongs to the orthorhombic cryst[al](#page-2-0) system, with acentric space group  $Pna2<sub>1</sub>$ , which belongs to the polar point group  $mm2$  and  $Z = 4$ . The central metal zinc adopts four coordination modes, which are



Figure 1. Asymmetric unit view of 1 showing each Zn center located in a highly distorted tetrahedral coordination geometry. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Zn1−N6#1 2.027(9), Zn1−N1 2.024(8), Zn1−N4#2 2.124(7), Zn1−Cl1 2.260(3); N6#1−Zn1−N1 108.3(3), N6#1−Zn1−N4#2 106.7(3), N1−Zn1−N4#2 90.1(3), N6#1−Zn1− Cl1 115.3(2), N1−Zn1−Cl1 125.9(3), N4#2−Zn1−Cl1 105.7(3). Symmetry code for 1: #1,  $-x - \frac{1}{2}y - \frac{1}{2}z + \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y - \frac{y}{2} - \frac{5}{2}y$  $z$ ; #3,  $x - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-y - \frac{5}{2}$ ,  $z$ ; #4,  $-x - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z - \frac{1}{2}$ .

connected by two  $\alpha$ -N atoms from different tetrazole groups, one N atom from the pyridyl group, and one Cl atom. Interestingly, the bond distance of Zn1−Cl1 [2.260(3) Å] is obviously longer than Zn1–N6#1 = 2.027(9) Å, Zn1–N1 = 2.024(8) Å, and Zn1−N46#2 = 2.124(7) Å, and all of the bond angles (such as N6#1−Zn1−N1) of the tetrahedron are between 90.1(3)° and  $125.9(3)$ <sup>o</sup>, suggesting that the central zinc metal in MOF 1 lies in a highly unsymmetrical fashion.<sup>17</sup>

MOF 1 shows a unique 3D acentric structure. As shown in Figure SI, each milrinone tetra[zo](#page-2-0)le ligand in MOF 1 acts as a tridentate ligand linking three different Zn atoms through two  $\mu^1$ N ato[ms](#page-2-0) (N1−Zn1 and N4−Zn1A) of tetrazole and one  $\mu^1\text{-N}$ atom (N6−Zn1B) of pyridine. Interestingly, all of the neighboring tetrazole groups of different ligands link adjacent Zn atoms to form a linear chain along the a axis, and then these linear chains are further linked by bridging pyridyl groups to form a 2D structure parallel to the ac plane; such building blocks are further cross-linked by bridging Zn atoms and ligands, thereby extending the structure into a 3D network (Figure SII).

To exactly describe the topological structure of compound 1, we simplified the top structure by application of [a to](#page-2-0)pological approach (TOPOS software analysis).18−<sup>22</sup> As shown in Figure 2



Figure 2. View (left) of 1 in the ab plane with an overlay of the (10,3)-d topological net, which projects a (6,3) net in which the purple nodes stand for Zn atoms and the brown nodes represent the simplified ligand. View (right) along the  $c$  axis showing one of the helicals linked by the tetrazole moiety of the ligand. Color code: Zn, purple; O, red; N, blue; C, black. Symmetry code: A,  $x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-y - \frac{5}{2}$ ,  $z$ ; B,  $1 + x$ ,  $y$ ,  $z$ ; C,  $x - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-y - \frac{5}{2}$ , z; D,  $-1 + x$ , y, z.

(left), the top net has two three-connected topologically equivalent nodes representing either the Zn<sup>II</sup> center or an averaged ligand position, so the overall structure can be simplified to an unusual  $(3,3)$ -connected network with Schäfli symbol (10,3).<sup>23–25</sup> Despite the coordination figure of the Zn center being 4, it should be viewed as three-connected because the auxiliary lig[and d](#page-2-0)oes not connect any other metals and cannot be regarded as a bridging link. Closer examination indicated that the network had an extended Schäfli symbol of  $10_2 \cdot 10_4 \cdot 10_4$  and a c10 number of 622 and was therefore a (10,3)-d net called "utp" according to Cory et al.'s work.<sup>18,21,23,25</sup>

The (10,3)-a net is the most symmetrical three-connected 3D net.<sup>26</sup> Different from the (10,3[\)-a net, th](#page-2-0)e (10,3)-d net has both left- and right-handed helices in the structure. As shown in Figure 2, [we](#page-2-0) can clearly observe an alternate helix along the  $a$  axis resulting in an overall racemic network in 1 (right side of Figure 2). In addition, compared to works of Cory et al., 23,24 we can infer that the net is a noninterpenetrated "utp" net. The interpenetration is not possible because the buildi[ng](#page-2-0) [blo](#page-2-0)cks deviate from ideal geometries, producing a rather distorted and congested (10,3)-d net.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Because 1 crystallizes in the acentric space group  $Pna2<sub>1</sub>$ , which belongs to 1 of the 10 polar point groups  $(1, m, 2, mm2, 3, 3m, 4,$ 4mm, 6, and 6mm) required for ferroelectric properties. Figure 3



Figure 3. Electric hysteresis loop observed by virtual ground mode in a powdered sample of 1 in the form of a pellet using an RT6000 ferroelectric tester at room temperature.

clearly shows that there is an electric hysteresis loop in 1 (a typical ferroelectric feature) with a remnant polarization  $(P_r)$  of ca. 0.21  $\mu$ C/cm<sup>2</sup> and a coercive field ( $E_c$ ) of ca. 2.60 kV/cm. Saturation of the spontaneous polarization  $(P_s)$  of 1 occurs at ca. 0.51  $\mu$ C/cm<sup>2</sup>, which is significantly higher than that for a typical ferroelectric compound (e.g.,  $NaKC_4H_4O_6$ ·4H<sub>2</sub>O, Rochelle salt; usually  $P_s = 0.25 \ \mu C/cm^2$ ), but significantly smaller than that found in KDP  $(P_s = 5.0 \,\mu\text{C/cm}^2)$ .

Because 1 crystallizes in the acentric space group  $Pna2<sub>1</sub>$ , its optical property was examined. As we expected, powdered samples 1 display strong SHG responses of ∼2 times larger than that of KDP; this implies that 1 is a dipolar active compound. However, because of the approximate estimation of the SHG strength, the deuteration effect on nonlinear optics is not evident in our experiments.<sup>27</sup>

As shown in Figure SV in the Supporting Information, the powder XRD of compound 1 shows that its products are very highly crystalline. The results also revealed that the reaction was quantitative because no starting materials were detected. Their stimulated powder XRD pattern based on crystal structure analysis allowed unambiguous identification via comparison of the experimental and computed powder XRD patterns.

In summary, we have successfully synthesized a novel 3D acentric zinc coordination polymer 1 with a topological (10,3)-d net called "utp". It displays an interesting ferroelectric property and SHG responses. Thus, it opens a new avenue for exploring functional molecule-based materials.

### ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **6** Supporting Information

Emission spectra, a TGA curve for 1, powder XRD patterns, and measurement of electric hysteresis loop. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: tangyunzhi75@163.com.

#### Notes

The aut[hors declare no competing](mailto:tangyunzhi75@163.com) financial interest.

#### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants 21001056 and 21261009), Young Scientist Foundation of Jiangxi Province, and Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (Grant GJJ11453).

#### ■ REFERENCES

(1) Valasek, J. Phys. Rev. 1921, 17, 475.

(2) Franken, P. A.; Hill, A. E.; Peters, C. W.; Weinreich, G. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1961, 7, 118.

(3) (a) Holman, K. T.; Pivovar, A. M.; Ward, M. D. Science 2001, 294, 1907. (b) Desiraju, G. R. Nature 2001, 412, 397.

(4) (a) Braga, D.; Grepioni, F. Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 601. (b) Maggard, P. A.; Stern, C. L.; Poeppelmeier, K. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 7742. (c) Evans, O. R.; Lin, W. B. Acc. Chem. Res. 2002, 35, 511.

(5) (a) Zhao, H.; Qu, Z. R.; Ye, H. Y.; Xiong, R. G. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 84. (b) Rijnders, G.; Blank, D. H. V. Nature 2005, 433, 269. (c) Lee, H. N.; Christen, H. M.; Chrisholm, M. F.; Rouleau, C. M.; Lowndes, D. H. Nature 2005, 433, 395.

(6) (a) Ye, H. Y.; Fu, D. W.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, W.; Xiong, R G.; Huang, S. P. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 42. (b) Ye, Q.; Song, Y. M.; Wang, G. X.; Chen, K.; Fu, D. W.; Chan, P. W. H.; Zhu, J. S.; Huang, S. P. D.; Xiong, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 6554. (c) Fu, D. W.; Ye, H. Y.; Ye, Q.; Pan, K. J.; Xiong, R. G. Dalton Trans. 2008, 874. (d) Fu, D. W.; Zhang, W.; Xiong, R. G. Dalton Trans. 2008, 3946.

(7) (a) Demko, Z. P.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 7945. (b) Ye, Q.; Song, Y. M.; Fu, D. W.; Xiong, R. G.; Chan, P. W. H.; Huang, S. P. D. Cryst. Growth Des. 2007, 7, 1568. (c) Fu, D. W.; Zhang, W.; Xiong, R. G. Cryst. Growth Des. 2008, 8, 3461.

(8) (a) Rowsell, J. L. C.; Spencer, E. C.; Eckert, J.; Howard, J. A. K.; Yaghi, O. M. Science 2005, 309, 1350. (b) Bradshow, D.; Prior, T. J.; Cussen, E. J.; Claridge, J. B.; Rosseinsky, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 6106. (c) Oh, M.; Mirkin, C. A. Nature 2005, 438, 651.

(9) Banu, K.; Wen, B. L. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2003, 246, 305.

(10) Flack, H. D. Helv. Chim. Acta 2003, 86, 905.

(11) Gautier, R.; Norquist, A. J.; Poeppelmeier, K. R. Cryst. Growth Des. 2012, 12, 6267.

(12) Russell, E. M.; Bu, X. H. Nat. Chem. 2010, 2, 353.

(13) Hang, T.; Fu, D. W.; Ye, Q.; Xiong, R. G. Cryst. Growth Des. 2009, 9, 2026.

(14) Gong, Y.; Liu, J. Z.; Tang, W. J. Mol. Struct. 2008, 875, 113.

(15) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXS-97, Programs zur Lösung von Kristallstrukturen; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997; p 509.

(16) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXS-97, Program for X-ray Crystal Structure Refinement; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997; p 208.

(17) Tang, Y.-Z.; Zhou, M.; Wen, H.-R.; Cao, Z.; Wang, X.-W.; Huang, S. CrystEngComm 2011, 13, 3040.

(18) (a) Blatov, V. A.; Carlucci, L.; Ciani, G.; Proserpio, D. M. CrystEngComm 2004, 6, 377. (b) Blatov, V. A.; O'Keeffe, M.; Proserpio, D. M. CrystEngComm 2010, 12, 44.

(19) O'Keeffe, M.; Peskov, M. A.; Ramsden, S. J.; Yaghi, O. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1782.

(20) Alexandrov, E. V.; Blatov, V. A.; Kochetkov, A. V.; Proserpio, D. M. CrystEngComm 2011, 13, 3947.

(21) Blatov, V. A.; Proserpio, D. M. In Modern Methods of Crystal Structure Prediction; Oganov, A. R., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2011; Vol. 1, p 1.

(22) O'Keeffe, M. Chem. Rev., DOI: dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr200205j.

(23) Cory, A. B.; Lyall, R. H. Cryst. Growth Des. 2007, 7, 1869.

(24) Zhang, J.-P.; Qi, X.-L.; Liu, Z.-J.; Zhu, A.-X.; Chen, Y.; Wang, J.; Chen, X.-M. Cryst. Growth Des. 2011, 11, 797.

(25) Wells, A. F. Three-Dimensional Nets and Polyhedra; Wiley: New York, 1977.

(26) Robson, R. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2000, 3735.

(27) Wang, X. S.; Tang, Y. Z.; Huang, X. F.; Qu, Z. R.; Che, C. M.; Xiong, R. G. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 5278.