# **Inorganic Chemistry**

# Novel Fundamental Building Blocks and Site Dependent Isomorphism in the First Actinide Borophosphates

Shijun Wu,<sup>†,‡,§</sup> Matthew J. Polinski,<sup>||</sup> Thomas Malcherek,<sup>⊥</sup> Ulrich Bismayer,<sup>⊥</sup> Martina Klinkenberg,<sup>§</sup> Giuseppe Modolo,<sup>§</sup> Dirk Bosbach,<sup>§'</sup> Wulf Depmeier,<sup>‡</sup> Thomas E. Albrecht-Schmitt,<sup>\*</sup><sup>,||,#</sup> and Evgeny V. Alekseev<sup>\*,§, V</sup>

† Guangzhou Institute of Geo[che](#page-6-0)mistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 511 Kehua Street, 510640 Guangzhou, P. R. China

 $^{\ddagger}$ Institut für Geowissenschaften, Universität zu Kiel, 24118 Kiel, Germany

§ Institute for Energy and Climate Research (IEK-6), Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, 52428 Jülich, Germany

∥ Department of Civil Engineering and Geological Sciences and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Notre Dame, 156 Fitzpatrick Hall, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, United States

 $^\perp$ Mineralogisch-Petrographisches Institut, Universität Hamburg, Grindelallee 48, 20146 Hamburg, Germany

# Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Florida State University, 102 Varsity Way, Tallahassee, Florida 32306-4390, United States

 $^{\nabla}$ Institut für Kristallographie, RWTH Aachen University, 52066 Aachen, Germany

# **S** Supporting Information

[ABSTRACT:](#page-6-0) Three novel uranyl borophosphates,  $Ag_2(NH_4)_{3}[(UO_2)_{2} \{B_3O(PO_4)_{4}(PO_4H)_2\}]H_2O$  (AgNBPU-1),  $\text{Ag}_{(2-x)}(\text{NH}_4)_3[(\text{UO}_2)_2\{\text{B}_2\text{P}_5\text{O}_{(20-x)}(\text{OH})_x\}](x = 1.26)$  $(AgNBPU-2)$ , and  $Ag_{(2-x)}(NH_4)_3[(UO_2)_2{B_2P_{(5-y)}} \text{As}_{y}\text{O}_{(20-x)}(\text{OH})_{x}\}$   $(x = 1.43, y = 2.24)$   $(\text{AgNBPU-3})$ , have been prepared by the  $H_3BO_3-NH_4H_2PO_4/NH_4H_2AsO_4$  flux method. The structure of AgNBPU-1 has an unprecedented fundamental building block (FBB), composed of three  $BO<sub>4</sub>$ 



and six PO4 tetrahedra which can be written as 9□:[Φ] □⟨3□⟩□|□⟨3□⟩□|□⟨3□⟩□|. Two Ag atoms are linearly coordinated; the coordination of a third one is T-shaped. AgNBPU-2 and AgNBPU-3 are isostructural and possess a FBB of two BO<sub>4</sub> and five TO<sub>4</sub> (T = P, As) tetrahedra (7□:□ $\langle 4\Box \rangle$  . AgNBPU-3 is a solid solution with some PO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra of the AgNBPU-2 end-member being substituted by AsO<sub>4</sub>. Only two out of the three independent P positions are partially occupied by As, resulting in site dependent isomorphism. The three compounds represent the first actinide borophosphates.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Borophosphates are ternary or multinary oxide compounds in  $M_{x}O_{y}-B_{2}O_{3}-P_{2}O_{5}-(H_{2}O)$  systems containing complex anionic partial structures built of borate  $(BO_4, BO_3)$  and phosphate  $(PO_4)$  groups, or their partially protonated derivatives.<sup>1</sup> The term "borophosphate" is used to denote phases in which borate groups are directly connected with phosphate [g](#page-6-0)roups. Compounds containing isolated borate and phosphate groups are referred to as "borate-phosphate". <sup>2</sup> Only two minerals are known which contain borate and phosphate groups as the main anions, viz. lüneburgite (a boro[ph](#page-6-0)osphate) $^3$ and seamanite (a borate-phosphate). $4$  In recent years, several new borate-containing minerals have been reported in whic[h](#page-6-0) some phosphate exists as a minor co[m](#page-6-0)ponent. These minerals include byzantievite, $^5$  proshchenkoite, $^6$  hundholmenite, $^7$  okanoganite- $(Y)$ ,<sup>8</sup> and vicanite- $(Ce)$ .<sup>9</sup> While the first study of boropho[s](#page-6-0)phate was conducted al[mo](#page-6-0)st  $150$  years [a](#page-6-0)go,<sup>10</sup> systematic r[es](#page-6-0)earch on crystallin[e](#page-6-0) borophosphates has been performed during the past  $18$  years.<sup>11</sup> Since then, hundreds [of](#page-6-0) borophosphate compounds have been reported and reviewed. $1,11$ 

Besides crystals, borophosphate glasses have found high interest [ow](#page-6-0)ing to their various real or potential technological applications, such as their use as bone transplantates, $12$  solidstate lasers,<sup>13</sup> sealant,<sup>14</sup> even nonlinear optical devices if suitably poled,<sup>15</sup> and matrix for nuclear waste vitrification.<sup>16</sup> [Th](#page-6-0)e last point has [ge](#page-6-0)nerate[d s](#page-6-0)ome concern since during long-term storag[e](#page-6-0) and under certain circumstances nuclear [was](#page-6-0)te glasses might undergo corrosion with subsequent formation of numerous crystalline products and possible degradation of the mechanical integrity of the glass. $17$  Of the numerous uranyl phosphate minerals observed in nature, probably the bestkn[ow](#page-6-0)n is autunite.<sup>18</sup> It has been shown that autunite can also form as an alteration product of spent nuclear fuel.<sup>19</sup> In order to know which cry[sta](#page-7-0)lline products may possibly occur in corroded nuclear waste, numerous actinide p[ho](#page-7-0)sphates $^{20,21}$ 

Received: January 28, 2013 Published: July 3, 2013

<span id="page-1-0"></span>and actinide borates $22,23$  have been prepared in systems relevant to nuclear waste. It was only recently that actinide compounds containi[ng b](#page-7-0)oth borate and phosphate groups became known, viz. the borate-phosphates  $Th_2(BO_4)(PO_4)^{24}$ and  $Ba_5[(UO_2)(PO_4)_3(B_5O_9)] \cdot nH_2O^{25}$  These compounds were prepared by high temperature solid state reactio[ns.](#page-7-0) However, the knowledge of actinide bo[rop](#page-7-0)hosphate is scarce up to now. In order to explore the world of actinide borophosphate, we adopted boric acid together with phosphate salt as the reactive flux. Therefore, the first actinide borophosphates,  $\text{Ag}_2(\text{NH}_4)_3[(\text{UO}_2)_2(\text{B}_3\text{O}(\text{PO}_4)_4(\text{PO}_4\text{H})_2)].$  $H_2O$  (AgNBPU-1),  $Ag_{(2-x)}(NH_4)_3[(UO_2)_2{B_2}$  $P_5O_{(20-x)}(OH)_x$ ] (x = 1.26) (AgNBPU-2), and Ag<sub>(2-x)</sub>(NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>- $[(UO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>{B<sub>2</sub>P<sub>(5-y)</sub>As<sub>y</sub>O<sub>(20-x)</sub>(OH)<sub>x</sub>}] (x = 1.43, y = 2.24)$ (AgNBPU-3), were synthesized and reported here. Both AgNBPU-1 and AgNBPU-2 show new borophosphate motives. AgNBPU-1 contains an unusual  $B_3O_{10}$  group in which three  $BO_4$  tetrahedra are connected to each other by sharing a common vertex. AgNBPU-3 is isomorphous with AgNBPU-2 with about 50% substitution of As for P. The crystal structures, infrared spectra, and UV−vis−NIR spectra of all compounds are reported.

#### 2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**2.1. Syntheses.**  $UO_2(NO_3)_2.6H_2O(Merck)$ , AgNO<sub>3</sub>(Rudolf Walter),  $NH_4H_2PO_4(Alfa-Aesar)$ ,  $NH_4H_2AsO_4(Alfa-Aesar)$ ,  $H_3PO_4(85\%$ , Alfa-Aesar), and  $H_3BO_3$  (Alfa-Aesar) were used as received. *Caution!* The  $UO_2(NO_3)_2$  6H<sub>2</sub>O used in this study contained depleted uranium; standard precautions for handling radioactive materials should be followed.

 $Ag_2(NH_4)_{3}[(UO_2)_{2}(B_3O(PO_4)_{4}(PO_4H)_{2})]H_2O$  (**AgNBPU-1**). AgNO<sub>3</sub>  $(84.94 \text{ mg})$ , H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (97.07 mg), H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (216  $\mu$ L), NH<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>  $(517.64 \text{ mg})$ ,  $UO_2(NO_3)_2.6H_2O$  (125.53 mg), and 50  $\mu$ L of deionized water were loaded into a 60 mL PTFE-lined autoclave. The molar ratio for the reactants was  $AgNO_3:H_3BO_3:H_3PO_4:NH_4H_2PO_4: UO_2(NO_3)_2.6H_2O = 2.6.12.6.18.1.$  The autoclave was sealed and heated up to 200 °C within 2 h in a box furnace and held at 200 °C for 72 h, and then cooled to room temperature at a rate of  $7 °C/h$ . The resulting product was washed with hot water to remove excess boric acid and NH<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, followed by rinsing with ethanol. Green yellow prismatic or needle-shaped crystals were collected for further measurement. There are some pale white amorphous byproducts always present (confirmed by microscope observation) which cannot be removed by washing.

 $Ag_{(2-x)}(NH_4)_{3}[(UO2)_{2}(B_2P_5O_{(20-x)}(OH)_x)]$  (x = 1.26) (**AgNBPU-2**). The only difference with the preparation of AgNBPU-1 was the lower amount of  $NH_4H_2PO_4$ , but higher amount of  $UO_2(NO_3)_2.6H_2O$ , such that the molar ratio was 2:6:12.6:12:2. Apart from this the experimental conditions were identical. Pale yellow prismatic or needle-shaped crystals were collected for further measurement. There are some pale white amorphous byproducts always present (confirmed by microscope observation) which cannot be removed by washing.

 $Ag_{(2-x)}(NH_4)_3[(UO_2)_2(B_2P_{(5-y)}As_yO_{(20-x)}(OH)_x]]$  (x = 1.43, y = 2.24) (AgNBPU-3). The preparation of AgNBPU-3 was again performed under identical conditions as before, with the exception that  $NH_4H_2PO_4$  was replaced by  $NH_4H_2AsO_4$ . Pale green prismatic or needle-shaped crystals were obtained and chosen for subsequent characterization.

2.2. EDX Analysis. For the determination of the chemical composition of the samples, an environmental scanning electron microscope FEI Quanta 200F with Genesis (EDAX) EDS-system was used. The measurements were carried out in low-vacuum mode at 0.6 mbar (20 kV, spot size 4, working distance 10 mm). When using this mode, sputtering of the samples with gold or carbon is not necessary, and artifacts are avoided. The samples were prepared as powders/ grains on adhesive carbon tabs.

2.3. Crystallographic Studies. Crystals selected for data collection were mounted on a Nonius CCD four circle diffractometer.

All data were collected using monochromatic Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda =$ 0.710 73 Å). More than one hemisphere of data was collected for each crystal, and the three-dimensional (3D) data were integrated and corrected for Lorentz, polarization, and background effects using the Eval14 procedures<sup>26</sup> as implemented in the supporting programs for the diffractometer. Data were scaled and corrected for absorption effects using SAD[AB](#page-7-0)S.<sup>27</sup> Additional information pertinent to the data collection is given in Table 1. The SHELXL-97 program<sup>28</sup> was used



 $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{g}_{(2-x)}(N\mathbf{H}_4)$ <sub>3</sub>[( $\mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}_2$ )<sub>2</sub>{ $\mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{P}_{(5-y)} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}_y \mathbf{U}_{(20-x)}(\mathbf{U} \mathbf{H})_x$ }] (x = 1.43, y  $= 2.24)$  (AgNBPU-3)



for the determination and refinement of the structures. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined to  $R_1 = 0.0461$  for AgNBPU-1, 0.0390 for AgNBPU-2, and 0.0694 for AgNBPU-3.

2.4. Infrared Spectroscopy. Infrared spectra were obtained from single crystals using a SensIR technology IlluminatIR FT-IR microspectrometer. Single crystals were placed on quartz IR slides, and the spectrum was collected with a diamond ATR objective. Each spectrum was acquired from 650 to 4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> with a beam aperture of 100 mm.

2.5. UV−Vis−NIR Spectroscopy. UV−vis−NIR spectra data were obtained from single crystals using a Craic Technologies UV−vis−NIR microspectrophotometer. Crystals were placed on quartz slides under Krytox oil, and the data were collected from 500 to 1400 nm. The exposure time was auto-optimized by the Craic software.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Syntheses. Crystal growth from high-temperature solutions (flux method) is commonly used for the preparation of single crystals.  $NH_4H_2PO_4$  (melting point, 190 °C) has been used as a flux.<sup>29</sup> In some cases, a mixture of  $H_3BO_3$  and  $NH_4H_2PO_4$  was used to prepare borophosphates.<sup>30</sup> In the

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 1. Polyhedral view of the structure of AgNBPU-1 (a) and its 2D layer extends in the bc-plane (b). UO<sub>7</sub> pentagonal bipyramids are shown in yellow, BO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra are shown in green, PO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra are shown in red. Ag atoms are shown in blue, NH $_4^+$  groups are shown in pale blue, and water molecules are shown in violet spheres, respectively.

present study, the  $H_3BO_3-NH_4H_2PO_4$  flux method was used to prepare the first actinide borophosphates.

Our experiments have shown that the stoichiometric ratio of the reactants is crucial for the success of crystal growth and for the question of which phase is formed. AgNBPU-2 can only form when the ratio of  $AgNO_3:H_3BO_3:H_3PO_4:NH_4H_2PO_4$ :- $UO_2(NO_3)_2.6H_2O$  is 2:6:12.6:12:2, or when the uranium content is higher than 2. With less U (e.g., AgNO<sub>3</sub>:H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>:- $H_3PO_4:NH_4H_2PO_4:UO_2(NO_3)_2:6H_2O = 2:6:12.6:12:1$ , only an amorphous phase was observed. On the contrary, when more U was used  $(e.g., AgNO<sub>3</sub>:H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>: H_3PO_4:NH_4H_2PO_4:UO_2(NO_3)_2:6H_2O = 2:6:12.6:12:3$ , the yield of AgNBPU-2 was higher which was decided through microscope observation. When  $NH_4H_2PO_4$  was replaced by NH4H2AsO4, AgNBPU-3 was obtained. Wang et al. have

demonstrated that varying the initial amount of flux (which acts also as a reactant) can induce different phases. $23$  As regards the experimental conditions, the syntheses of AgNBPU-1 and AgNBPU-2 are identical, except for the differ[ent](#page-7-0) stoichiometric ratios of the reactants. When more  $NH_4H_2PO_4$  was used  $(AgNO_3:H_3BO_3:H_3PO_4:NH_4H_2PO_4:UO_2(NO_3)_2.6H_2O =$ 2:6:12.6:18:1), only AgNBPU-1 is formed. Furthermore, when  $H_3PO_4$  was absent, only AgNBPU-1 was observed in all reactions even if the other reactants were in the same ratio as for AgNBPU-2 (Table S1). As a matter of fact AgNBPU-2 can be synthesized only in the presence of  $H_3PO_4$  which implies that its nucleati[on proces](#page-6-0)s and/or crystal growth mechanism might require specific low pH values as compared with AgNBPU-1.

The EDX data shows that the ratios of P:Ag:U is 5.55:2.10:2.00 for AgNBPU-1 and 4.71:0.71:2.00 for AgNB-PU-2, respectively. And the ratio of P:As:Ag:U is 3.34:2.76:0.86:2.00 for AgNBPU-3. All these detected ratios are close to the ideal one (Table S2−S4). The SEM images demonstrate that the crystal surface of AgNBPU-1 is much more clear compared with A[gNBPU-2](#page-6-0) and AgNBPU-3 (Figure S4−S6).

3.2. Structural Features.  $Ag_2(NH_4)_{3}[(UO_2)_2(B_3O-1)]$  $(PO_4)_4(PO_4H)_2H_2O.$  A polyhedral view of the structure of AgNBPU-1 is shown in Figure 1a. The structure is based on corrugated 2D layers with complex compositions. A top view of the layer is presented in Figure [1b](#page-2-0). The layers are constructed from complex borophosphate finite clusters linked by  $(UO<sub>2</sub>)<sup>2+</sup>$ uranyl groups. The uranyl gr[ou](#page-2-0)ps are coordinated by five oxygen atoms resulting in pentagonal bipyramidal  $(UO_7)$ coordination of the two crystallographically independent uranium atoms. The bond lengths in the uranyl groups are in the range from  $1.753(9)$  to  $1.797(11)$  Å. As expected, the equatorial U−O bond lengths are significantly longer and range from  $2.312(11)$  to  $2.466(10)$  Å. Bond valence sum (BVS) analysis confirms the presence of  $U^{6+}$  in both crystallographic positions (Table S12).<sup>31</sup>

In each layer, the uranyl groups are surrounded by complex oxo-borop[hosphate cl](#page-6-0)[uste](#page-7-0)rs. The core of these clusters is based on three tetrahedral  $BO_4$  groups (Figure 1b). The tetrahedra are linked via  $\mu_3$  oxygen atoms forming a propeller-like g[e](#page-2-0)ometry  $([O^{[3]}(B^{[4]}O_3^{[2]})_3]^{11})$ . The same type of polyborate cluster has been observed in both trivalent lanthanide<sup>32</sup> and actinide (Pu, Am, Cm) borates,<sup>22c-g</sup> but here we report on such a polyborate cluster in compounds with a higher ox[ida](#page-7-0)tion state of the actinide  $(U^{6+})$ . A si[mil](#page-7-0)a[r](#page-7-0) geometry has also been observed in compounds with other elements in tetrahedron centers, for example in zinc phosphate.<sup>33</sup> The bond lengths in the  $BO_4$  groups are quite normal and range from  $1.42(2)$  to 1.569(11) Å. The oxo-borate tetrahedra [ar](#page-7-0)e surrounded only by P atoms and do not have direct contacts with the uranyl groups. There are six symmetrically independent positions for the P atoms, all of which form  $PO_4$  tetrahedra and are linked by corner-sharing oxygen atoms with the other groups within the layers. Two of these groups (P1, P3) do not have terminal P− O bonds and are fully coordinated by uranyl and oxo-borate groups. The BVS calculations show that these groups do not contain OH groups and are thus pure orthophosphate.<sup>31</sup> Three PO4 groups (P2, P4, P6), have one terminal P−O bond (Figure 1b), one of which,  $(P(2)O<sub>4</sub>)$ , is a hydroxylated  $(PO<sub>3</sub>OH)$  $(PO<sub>3</sub>OH)$  group as determined by BVS calculation.<sup>31</sup> The last group around [P](#page-2-0)(5) shares only two oxygens with the oxo-borate cluster, and the two terminal P−O bonds poin[t i](#page-7-0)nto the interlayer space. According to BVS, one of these oxygen atoms, O22, is protonated making it the second  $(PO<sub>3</sub>OH)$  group in this structure.<sup>31</sup>

Two of the three Ag<sup>+</sup> cations, Ag2 and Ag3, reside between the uran[yl](#page-7-0) borophosphate layers as shown in Figure 1a. Both Ag2 and Ag3 are in 2-fold linear coordination with Ag2−O19 and Ag3–O23 distances of  $2.21(1)$  and  $2.19(1)$  Å, res[p](#page-2-0)ectively (Table S6). This kind of coordination is well-known for  $Ag(I).$ <sup>34</sup> Since both Ag atoms occupy a position on the i[nversion c](#page-6-0)enter, the two bonds at each Ag are equivalent and the co[rre](#page-7-0)sponding angle O−Ag−O is 180° by symmetry. Ag1 is on a general position and is 3-fold T-shaped coordinated with Ag−O distances between 2.36 (1) and 2.41(1) Å (Table S6). T-shaped coordinated Ag are not so common but also have

been reported in crystalline compounds.<sup>35</sup> Two of these atoms (O20, O25) belong to neighboring layers, and O20−Ag−O25 spans the interlayer. The angle O20[−](#page-7-0)Ag−O25 is almost straight  $(171.9(3)°)$ . The third O atom in this coordination, O21, belongs to the same layer as O20. The angles O21−Ag− O20 and O21−Ag−O25 are slightly larger than 90° (Table S7). The formula of the layers can be written as  $[(UO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>{B<sub>3</sub>O (PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>H)<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ <sup>5</sup>. Water molecules and ammoni[um occup](#page-6-0)y the interlayer space which has been confirmed by the IR spectrum as shown in Figure S8 and Table S5. The quantity of ammonium is determined according to the charge balance requirement. Finally, [oxygen](#page-6-0) and [nitrogen](#page-6-0) in water and ammonium molecules were distinguished according to the  $R_1$ value during the structure refinement.

From Figure 1 and Table 1 it is obvious that the structure of AgNBPU-1 is strongly pseudosymmetric with pseudomonoclinic mirror [pla](#page-2-0)nes perpe[nd](#page-1-0)icular to the c-axis. The main deviation from monoclinicity is shown by the hydroxylated  $P(2)O_3OH$  groups, which are tilted away from the mirror plane, and concomitant shifts of the uranyl polyhedra linked to them. It implies that the strong pseudosymmetric AgNBPU-1 might eventually transform into a real monoclinic structure with dehydration or dehydroxylation at higher temperature.

 $Ag_{(2-x)}(NH_4)_3[(UO_2)_2(B_2P_{(5-y)}As_yO_{(20-x)}(OH)_x]]$  (x = 1.26, y = 0 for **AgNBPU-2** and  $x = 1.43$ ,  $y = 2.24$  for **AgNBPU-3**). AgNBPU-2 and AgNBPU-3 are isostructural, and only the pure borophosphate AgNBPU-2 will be discussed in order to describe their main structural features. The structure of this phase is a complex 3D framework presented in Figure 2a. The framework is based on 1D borophosphate chains with complex geometry (Figure 2c) linked by  $\mathrm{UO_2}^{2+}$  uranyl grou[ps](#page-4-0). The uranium atoms  $(UO<sub>7</sub>)$  have pentagonal bipyramidal coordination geometry. Th[e U](#page-4-0)−O bond lengths are in the range from  $1.755(7)$  to  $1.802(8)$  Å for the uranyl groups and  $2.314(4)$  to 2.409(4) Å for the oxygens in the equatorial plane. These bond lengths are as expected for  $U^{6+}$  phases. The corrugated periodically repeatable layer-like fragment (Figure 2b) can be separated from the 3D framework as emphasized using blue background in Figure 2a. From this fragment one [ca](#page-4-0)n see that each U center is surrounded by five  $PO_4$  groups via cornersharing (Figure 2b). [Th](#page-4-0)ere are three symmetrically independent  $PO_4$  and one symmetrically independent  $BO_4$  groups in this structure. The  $BO_4$  tetrahedron shares corners with four neighboring  $PO_4$  tetrahedra, three of which belong to the same corrugated layer, whereas the fourth joins these layers in the cdirection.

The B-O bond lengths within the  $BO_4$  tetrahedra range from  $1.439(7)$  to  $1.480(7)$  Å. The BO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra are surrounded by four  $PO_4$  groups and play a prime role in the building of the borophosphate chain (Figure 2c). Of the three symmetrically independent P atoms, two  $(P1, P3)$  are  $PO<sub>4</sub>$ [o](#page-4-0)rthophosphate groups fully bonded to two  $BO<sub>4</sub>$  and two  $\mathrm{UO_2}^{2+}$  groups. The P–O bond lengths range from 1.501(4) to 1.574(5) Å. The third  $PO_4$  group (P2) has one terminal P–O bond (P2−O10) directed into the channel space. The BVS calculation shows that this site can be partially occupied by a hydroxyl group.<sup>31</sup> This might explain the nonstoichiometric presence of Ag in the structures of both phases. The borophosphate [ch](#page-7-0)ain is parallel to  $b$ -axis and has a complex form (Figure 2c). The geometry of the chain is adopted to chelate linear uranyl groups. Each unit (separated in Figure 2c) of the boroph[os](#page-4-0)phate chain chelates four uranyl groups.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>





Figure 2. (a) Polyhedral view of the structure of AgNBPU-2 and AgNBPU-3 emphasizing the corrugated layers (marked in light blue). (b) Top view of the corrugated layers. (c) The borophosphate chains within the layers.  $UO<sub>7</sub>$  pentagonal bipyramids are shown in yellow,  $BO<sub>4</sub>$  tetrahedra are shown in green and  $PO<sub>4</sub>$  tetrahedra are shown in red. Ag atoms are shown in blue, and  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$  groups are shown in pale blue spheres, respectively.

 $(c)$ 

The framework in AgNBPU-2 and AgNBPU-3 has two types of the channels. The large one is parallel to b-axis as can be clearly seen in Figure 2a. This channel has a nearly elliptic 8.5 Å  $\times$  3 Å profile and is occupied by Ag<sup>+</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>4</sup> cations. The positions of the Ag atoms are partially occupied and split, with a  $\sim$  0.87 Å distance between the split positions. The NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> groups have two crystallographic positions. One is within the large channel, and the second resides in a small zigzag-like

channel composed by the layer-like fragment, as shown in Figure 2b.

3.3. Borophosphate Fundamental Building Blocks and Structure Topology. Numerous different fundamental buildings blocks (FBBs) are known from pure borates, borogermanates, borosilicates, and borophosphates.<sup>1,2,36</sup> The two FBBs observed in this work are new (Figure 3). The FFB



Figure 3. Fundamental building blocks and respective topologies in AgNBPU-1 (a, b) and AgNBPU-2, 3 (c, d).  $BO_4$  tetrahedra are shown in green and black squares, and  $PO<sub>4</sub>$  tetrahedra are shown in red and white squares.

of AgNBPU-1 is topologically identical with the finite borophosphate unit in the structure of  $[B_3O(PO_4)_4(PO_4H)_2]$ . This unit and its topological representation are shown in Figure 3a,b, respectively. It is based on nine tetrahedra, and all these polyhedra are linked by corner-sharing. The B/P ratio is 1:2, and the topology of the unit can be represented as a derivative of the simplest  $3$   $\Box$ :3 $\Box$  FBB.<sup>2</sup> The  $[B_3O(PO_4)_4(PO_4H)_2]$  is the first borophosphate FBB with  $\mu_3$  oxygen bridging BO<sub>4</sub> groups. The  $B_3O$  fragment is centr[al](#page-6-0) to the FBB unit in  $AgNBPU-1$ . The topology of the FBB can be written as  $9\square$ :  $\Phi$ |  $\Phi$ | designations.<sup>36</sup> Each FBB has a descriptor of the form A:B, where A gives the number of borate triangles  $(\Delta)$  and tetrahedra ( $\square$ ) in the FBB in the form  $i\Delta j\square$ , where i and j are the numbers of triangles and tetrahedra, respectively. The delimiters,  $\langle \rangle$  and  $[$ ], are used to identify the rings of polyhedral and connected anions, polyhedra, or rings. The Φ means  $O^2$  or  $OH^-$ , in this case it is  $O^2$ . Symbols | are used to show one oxygen atom that is shared among different tetrahedra.<sup>36</sup> At present, the topology of this FBB is the most complex one ever found in the  $B: P = 1:2$  group of borophos[pha](#page-7-0)tes.

The FBB in AgNBPU-2,3 phases is based on two  $BO<sub>4</sub>$  and five  $PO_4$  groups (Figure 3c). This FBB can be separated from the  $[B_2P_5O_{(20-x)}(OH)_x]_1$  chain and possesses a topology schematically shown in Figure 3d. This fragment is based on seven tetrahedra and can be represented by  $7\square$ : $\square$  $\langle 4\square \rangle \square \square$ . This FBB is an isomer of two other FBBs with the same composition,  $7 \square$ :  $[3 \square] \square \square \square \square$  and  $7 \square$ : [⟨3□⟩]□|□|□|□|, observed in complex vanadyl borophosphates  $(N_2C_3H_5)_{3.8}(H_3O)_{1.2}[(VO)_4B_2P_5O_{22}]\cdot 0.3H_2O$  and  $(C_6H_{14}N_2)_2[VBP_5O_{17}(OH)_5]$ .  $H_2O_4H_3PO_4$ , respectively.<sup>2,37</sup> The FFB in AgNBPU-2,3 is connected to a six-member FBB (6□:□⟨4□⟩□) directly and can be obtained from the la[tt](#page-6-0)[er](#page-7-0) by adding one  $PO_4$  group.<sup>2</sup>

The topology of the layers (in the case of AgNBPU-2,3 it is a quasilayer fragment) can [be](#page-6-0) described with an anion topology method.<sup>38</sup> The black and white graphs for both structures are presented in Figure 4. The graph of AgNBPU-1 consists of



Figure 4. Anion topologies of AgNBPU-1 (a) and AgNBPU-2, 3 (b).

three-, four-, and eight-membered rings (Figure 4a) and can be written as  $3^44^58^1$  in terms of ring symbols.<sup>37</sup> It is quite complicated to write a basic graph for the layers in AgNBPU-1 because they are based on highly polymeric B−[P](#page-7-0) units. This is the first time that such a topology has been observed in actinide phases. The topology of the quasilayers in AgNBPU-2,3 is simpler as it is based on four- and eight-membered rings only  $(4<sup>6</sup>8<sup>1</sup>)$ . The topology of these layers can be derived from a  ${6.3.4.4}$ {6.3.6.3} basic graph via elimination of one white element from the center of the hole. The idealized graph is shown on the right bottom corner in Figure 4b (separated by light blue). Such a topology is new for the known actinide inorganic phases.

3.4. Specific Solid Solution. Our attempts to prepare a pure As analogue of AgNBPU-2 were unsuccessful which possibly shows the instability of this potential phase. However, we successfully synthesized a P/As solid solution with the same crystalline structure. Our synthetic scheme was to use an equal molar ratio of both P and As in an attempt to substitute As for P. As a result, we got the AgNBPU-3 in which the ideal P:As

ratio is 1.25:1 which was calculated according to the site occupation factor (sof) of each atom. The presence of both P and As has been proved by the EDX data with a detected P:As ratio of 1.40:1 which is close to the ideal ratio obtained from refinement (Figure S6, Table S3). This result might indicate that the composition of this phase represents the upper limit of As substituti[on in this solid solutio](#page-6-0)n series. The structural study performed on single crystals demonstrates the quite interesting feature of P/As isomorphism. The T−O distances increase with the increasing substitution of As into the P sites as expected from the larger ionic radius of As. In Figure 5, a fragment of AgNBPU-3 is shown which includes all crystallographic sites of B, P(As), and U atoms. From this picture one can see that one of the three possible P positions (P3) is fully occupied by P (red tetrahedron) which is same as that in AgNBPU-2. The full occupancy of P3 is confirmed by the BVS (Table S12). The bond lengths within the  $PO<sub>4</sub>$  tetrahedron are in the range 1.46(2)−1.58(2) Å which is similar to that [of the pur](#page-6-0)e end member AgNBPU-2 (Table S8, Table S10). The other two sites are partially occupied by As based on the sof of each atom, viz. T1(P) 0.68, T1(A[s\) 0.32, T2\(P\) 0.12,](#page-6-0) and T2(As) 0.80. However, the sof for both P1 and P2 in AgNBPU-2 is 1. The BVS confirmed the presence of As at the T1 and T2 sites as is shown in Table S12. $31$ <sup>31</sup> The T1 site is 70% occupied by P atoms and only 30% by As. The bond lengths within this  $TO<sub>4</sub>$  group  $(T: P/As)$  are in the [ra](#page-7-0)nge 1.54(1)−1.60(1) Å which are longer than that in AgNBPU-2  $(1.515(4)-1.574(4)$  Å). The most significant incorporation of As occurs in the hydroxylated PO<sub>3</sub>OH group around T2, where the P/As ratio is  $\frac{1}{4}$ . The bond lengths of T−O range from 1.63(1) to 1.68(1) Å which are much longer than those in AgNBPU-2 (Table S8, Table S10). However, these T−O bond lengths are quite typical for  $\mathrm{As}^{5+}$ oxo-tetrahedra. $^{21,39}$ 

Obviously, As and P have distinct preferen[ces](#page-6-0) [for](#page-6-0) [th](#page-6-0)e [three](#page-6-0) [sites](#page-6-0), which results [in sit](#page-7-0)e occupancies that most likely depend on the As/P ratio during synthesis, but may also depend on pressure and temperature. This observation opens the possibility for a complex ordering scheme in this solid solution series.  $T(1)O_4$  and  $P(3)O_4$  are both fully connected with two  $BO<sub>4</sub>$  and two uranyl groups, whereas  $T(2)O<sub>4</sub>$  is connected with only one  $BO_4$  and two uranyl groups while the fourth bond is T−OH. This loose coordination environment of T2 is arguably the reason why it is easier for this site to be substituted by the larger As for P, than in the more tightly connected tetrahedra



Figure 5. Crystallographic sites of B, P(As), and U atoms in AgNBPU-3. UO<sub>7</sub> pentagonal bipyramids are shown in yellow, BO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra are shown in green, PO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra are shown in red, and TO<sub>4</sub> (T = P, As) tetrahedra are shown in pink and purple.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>around T1 and P3. In mineralogy, the occurrence of preference for distinct sites is a quite common phenomenon such as for the cation sites in pyroxenes, amphiboles, or tourmaline, and for the different T sites in the anionic partial structure of feldspars.

3.5. Spectroscopic Properties. Single crystal infrared spectroscopy clearly shows the presence of  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$  in all three structures by the stretch observed between 1412 and 1427 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Figure S8). The symmetric stretching vibrations  $(\nu_1)$  of  $PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3</sup>$  were recorded at 967, 987, and 980 cm<sup>-1</sup> for AgNBPU-1, AgNBPU-2, and AgNBPU-3, respectively, while the symmetric stretching vibrations of UO<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> were observed at around 878− 892 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> . The bands between 1036 and 1095 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> were assigned to the antisymmetric stretching vibration  $(\nu_3)$  of BO<sub>4</sub><sup>5</sup> and  $PO_4^3$ . It is noteworthy that the broad bands between 2500 and 3600 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> are contributed by both the stretching vibration  $ν$ OH and  $ν$ N−H. In AgNBPU-1, there is a peak at 1643 cm<sup>-1</sup> which is identified as the stretching mode of the  $H_2O$  molecules present in the structures. Moreover, the presence of  $AsO<sub>4</sub><sup>3</sup>$  in AgNBPU-3 is confirmed by the peak at 805 cm<sup>-1</sup> which was assigned to the antisymmetric stretching vibration  $(\nu_3)$  of AsO<sub>4</sub><sup>3</sup>. The strong peak at 1231 cm<sup>-1</sup> in AgNBPU-3 might be assigned to the symmetric stretching vibration of  $TO_4$  (T = P, As) groups.<sup>40</sup>

Uranyl ion usually produces a vibrational-coupled electronic transition a[ro](#page-7-0)und 420 nm, which is attributed to a singlet− triplet transition between the HOMO and LUMO of  $(UO<sub>2</sub>)<sup>2+</sup>$ molecular orbitals derived by the hybridization of uranium 5f and oxygen 2p orbitals.<sup>41</sup> The solid state UV−vis−NIR spectra of AgNBPU-1, AgNBPU-2, and AgNBPU-3 are shown in Figure S9. All of three [ph](#page-7-0)ases exhibit strong peaks at ∼350 nm and transition at ∼430 nm which is typical for U(VI) compounds such as  $UO_2(NO_3)_2.6H_2O^{42}$  It is noteworthy that the peak at ∼430 nm for AgNBPU-1 is much weaker compared with those for AgNBPU-2 and [A](#page-7-0)gNBPU-3.

Fluorescence from uranyl compounds can be identified from the vibronic fine-structure characteristic of the  $\mathrm{UO_2}^{2+}$  moiety.<sup>43</sup> As shown in Figure S10, the solid state fluorescence spectra of AgNBPU-1, AgNBPU-2, and AgNBPU-3 are centered n[ear](#page-7-0) 520 nm which are consistent with other  $U(VI)$  compound $s^{23a,b,42}$ 

#### [■](#page-7-0) [CO](#page-7-0)NCLUSION

In conclusion, the  $H_3BO_3-NH_4H_2PO_4/NH_4H_2AsO_4$  flux method was used to synthesize the first examples of actinide borophosphates which extend our knowledge of the borophosphate family. The two new fundamental building blocks (FBBs) of borophosphate observed in AgNBPU-1 and AgNBPU-2,3 provide unprecedented structural types in borophosphate chemistry. The new finding demonstrates the flexibility of the B−P systems. Furthermore, the discovery of these uranyl borophosphates lends itself to the idea that the formation of crystalline actinide borophosphates might be possible during the corrosion of the borophosphate nuclear waste glasses.

### ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **6** Supporting Information

FT-IR, UV−vis−NIR, SEM−EDX, selected bond distances, angles, bond valence sum (BVS), and X-ray files (cif) for  $Ag_2(NH_4)_{3}[(UO_2)_{2} \{B_3O(PO_4)_{4}(PO_4H)_2\}]H_2O$  (AgNBPU-1),  $\text{Ag}_{(2-x)}(\text{NH}_4)_{3}[(\text{UO}_2)_{2}\{\text{B}_2\text{P}_5\text{O}_{(20-x)}(\text{OH})_{x}\}](x=1.26)$  (AgNB-**PU-2**), and  $\text{Ag}_{(2-x)}(\text{NH}_4)_3[(\text{UO}_2)_2\{\text{B}_2\text{P}_{(5-y)}\text{As}_y\text{O}_{(20-x)}(\text{OH})_x\}]$ 

 $(x = 1.43, y = 2.24)$  (AgNBPU-3), and DSC data for AgNBPU-3. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### ■ AUTH[OR INFORMATIO](http://pubs.acs.org)N

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: albrecht-schmitt@chem.fsu.edu (T.E.A.-S.); e. alekseev@fz-juelich.de (E.V.A.).

#### Author C[ontributions](mailto:albrecht-schmitt@chem.fsu.edu)

[All authors have give](mailto:e.alekseev@fz-juelich.de)n approval to the final version of t[he](mailto:e.alekseev@fz-juelich.de) manuscript.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful for the support provided by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DE 412/43-1), Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences Division, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Office of Science, Heavy Elements Program, U.S. Department of Energy (DE-SC0002215), Helmholtz Association (VH-NG-815), and National Natural Sciences Foundation of China (41103055).

#### ■ REFERENCES

(1) Kniep, R.; Engelhardt, H.; Hauf, C. Chem. Mater. 1998, 10 (10), 2930−2934.

(2) Ewald, B.; Huang, Y.-X.; Kniep, R. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2007, 633 (10), 1517−1540.

(3) (a) Noellner, C. Mü nch Akad. Ber. 1870, 291−293. (b) Gupta, P. K. S.; Swihart, G. H.; Dimitrijevic, R.; Hossain, M. B. Am. Mineral. 1991, 76 (7−8), 1400−1407.

(4) (a) Kraus, E. H.; Seaman, W. A.; Slawson, C. B. Am. Mineral. 1930, 15 (6), 220−225. (b) Moore, P. B.; Ghose, S. Am. Mineral. 1971, 56 (9−10), 1527−1538.

(5) Sokolova, E.; Hawthorne, F. C.; Pautov, L. A.; Agakhanov, A. A. Mineral. Mag. 2010, 74 (2), 285−308.

(6) Raade, G.; Grice, J. D.; Erambert, M.; Kristiansson, P.; Witzke, T. Mineral. Mag. 2008, 72 (5), 1071−1082.

(7) Raade, G.; Johnsen, O.; Erambert, M.; Petersen, O. V. Mineral. Mag. 2007, 71 (2), 179−192.

(8) Boiocchi, M.; Callegari, A.; Ottolini, L.; Maras, A. Am. Mineral. 2004, 89, 1540−1545.

(9) Maras, A.; Parodi, G. C.; Della Ventura, G.; Ohnenstetter, D. Eur. J. Mineral. 1995, 7 (2), 439−446.

(10) Vogel, V. Z. Anal. Chem. 1870, 376.

(11) Kniep, R.; Gozel, G.; Eisenmann, B.; Rohr, C.; Asbrand, M.; Kizilyalli, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1994, 33 (7), 749−751.

(12) Vogel, J.; Wange, P.; Hartmann, P. Glastech. Ber. 1997, 70 (7), 220−223.

(13) Shah, K. V.; Goswami, M.; Deo, M. N.; Sarkar, A.; Manikandan, S.; Shrikhande, V. K.; Kothiyal, G. P. Bull. Mater. Sci. 2006, 29 (1), 43−48.

(14) Liang, W.; Cheng, J. J.; Wang, H. P.; Luo, H. Phys. Chem. Glasses 2002, 43 (3), 158−160.

(15) Nazabal, V.; Fargin, E.; Labrugere, C.; Le Flem, G. J. Non-Cryst. Solids 2000, 270 (1−3), 223−233.

(16) (a) International Atomic Energy Agency. Spent Fuel and High Level Waste: Chemical Durability and Performance under Simulated Repository Conditions; International Atomic Energy Agency: Vienna, 2007. (b) Commission on Geosciences, Envrionment and Resources. Glass as a Waste Form and Vitrification Technology: Summary of an International Workshop; National Academy of Science: Washington, DC, 1996.

(17) (a) Werme, L.; Bjorner, I. K.; Bart, G.; Zwicky, H. U.; Grambow, B.; Lutze, W.; Ewing, R. C.; Magrabi, C. J. Mater. Res. 1990, 5 (5), 1130−1146. (b) Vernaz, E. Y.; Dussossoy, J. L. Appl. Geochem.

#### <span id="page-7-0"></span>**Inorganic Chemistry Article**

1992, No. Suppl. 1, 13−22. (c) Abdelouas, A.; Crovisier, J. L.; Lutze, W.; Grambow, B.; Dran, J. C.; Muller, R. J. Nucl. Mater. 1997, 240 (2), 100−111. (d) Grambow, B. Elements 2006, 2 (6), 357−364.

(18) (a) Burns, P. C. Can. Mineral. 2005, 43, 1839−1894. (b) Hazen, R. M.; Ewing, R. C.; Sverjensky, D. A. Am. Mineral. 2009, 94 (10), 1293−1311.

(19) Rey, A.; Gimenez, J.; Casas, I.; Clarens, F.; de Pablo, J. Appl. Geochem. 2008, 23 (8), 2249−2255.

(20) (a) Alekseev, E. V.; Krivovichev, S. V.; Depmeier, W.; Knorr, K. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2008, 634 (9), 1527−1532. (b) Alekseev, E. V.; Krivovichev, S. V.; Depmeier, W. J. Solid State Chem. 2009, 182 (11), −2984. (c) Forbes, T. Z.; Burns, P. C. Am. Mineral. 2006, 91 (7), −1093. (d) Locock, A. J.; Burns, P. C. J. Solid State Chem. 2002, (1), 226−236. (e) Locock, A. J.; Burns, P. C. J. Solid State Chem. , 175 (2), 372−379. (f) Forbes, T. Z.; Burns, P. C. Can. Mineral. , 45, 471−477.

(21) (a) Alekseev, E. V.; Krivovichev, S. V.; Malcherek, T.; Depmeier, W. J. Solid State Chem. 2008, 181 (11), 3010−3015. (b) Alekseev, E. V.; Krivovichev, S. V.; Depmeier, W. J. Solid State Chem. 2009, 182 (8), 2074−2080.

(22) (a) Wang, S.; Alekseev, E. V.; Juan, D. W.; Casey, W. H.; Phillips, B. L.; Depmeier, W.; Albrecht-Schmitt, T. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49 (6), 1057−1060. (b) Wang, S.; Alekseev, E. V.; Ling, J.; Skanthakumar, S.; Soderholm, L.; Depmeier, W.; Albrecht-Schmitt, T. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49 (7), 1263–1266. (c) Wang, S.; Alekseev, E. V.; Depmeier, W.; Albrecht-Schmitt, T. E. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50 (6), 2079−2081. (d) Polinski, M. J.; Wang, S.; Alekseev, E. V.; Depmeier, W.; Albrecht-Schmitt, T. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50 (38), 8891−8894. (e) Polinski, M. J.; Wang, S.; Alekseev, E. V.; Depmeier, W.; Liu, G.; Haire, R. G.; Albrecht-Schmitt, T. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51 (8), 1869−1872. (f) Polinski, M. J.; Grant, D. J.; Wang, S.; Alekseev, E. V.; Cross, J. N.; Villa, E. M.; Depmeier, W.; Gagliardi, L.; Albrecht-Schmitt, T. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134 (25), 10682−10692. (g) Polinski, M. J.; Wang, S.; Cross, J. N.; Alekseev, E. V.; Depmeier, W.; Albrecht-Schmitt, T. E. Inorg. Chem. 2012, 51 (14), 7859−7866.

(23) (a) Wang, S.; Alekseev, E. V.; Stritzinger, J. T.; Depmeier, W.; Albrecht-Schmitt, T. E. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49 (14), 6690−6696. (b) Wang, S.; Alekseev, E. V.; Stritzinger, J. T.; Liu, G. K.; Depmeier, W.; Albrecht-Schmitt, T. E. Chem. Mater. 2010, 22 (21), 5983−5991. (c) Wang, S.; Alekseev, E. V.; Ling, J.; Liu, G. K.; Depmeier, W.;

Albrecht-Schmitt, T. E. Chem. Mater. 2010, 22 (6), 2155−2163. (24) Lipp, C.; Burns, P. C. Can. Mineral. 2011, 49 (5), 1211−1220. (25) Wu, S.; Wang, S.; Diwu, J.; Depmeier, W.; Malcherek, T.; Alekseev, E. V.; Albrecht-Schmitt, T. E. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48 (29), 2334−2336.

(26) Duisenberg, A, J. M.; Kroon-Batenburg, L. M. J.; Schreurs, A. M. M. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2003, 36, 220−229.

(27) (a) Sheldrick G. M. SADABS, Program for Absorption Correction Using SMART CCD Based on the Method of Blessing; 2001. (b) Blessing, R. H. Acta Crystallogr. 1995, A51, 33−38.

(28) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr. 2008, A64, 112−122.

(29) (a) Wang, Y.; Pan, S.; Shi, Y. Chem.-Eur. J. 2012, 18 (38), 12046−12051. (b) Zhu, J.; Cheng, W.-D.; Wu, D.-S.; Zhang, H.; Gong, Y.-J.; Tong, H.-N.; Zhao, D. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46 (1), 208− 212.

(30) Pan, S. L.; Wu, Y. C.; Fu, P. Z.; Wang, G. F.; Zhang, G. C.; Li, Z. H.; Chen, C. T. Chem. Lett. 2001, No. 7, 628−629.

(31) (a) Brese, N. E.; Okeeffe, M. Acta Crystallogr. 1991, B47, 192− 197. (b) Burns, P. C.; Ewing, R. C.; Hawthorne, F. C. Can. Mineral. 1997, 35, 1551−1570.

(32) Heymann, G.; Soltner, T.; Huppertz, H. Solid State Sci. 2006, 8 (7), 821−829.

(33) Harmon, S. B.; Sevov, S. C. Chem. Mater. 1998, 10 (10), 3020− 3023.

(34) Fox, B. S.; Beyer, M. K.; Bondybey, V. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13613−13623.

(35) (a) Yaghi, O. M.; Li, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 295−296. (b) Zhang, Y.; Wang, H.; Liu, J.; Wang, Y.; Fu, A.; Shi, Q. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2009, 12, 611−614.

(36) Burns, P. C. Can. Mineral. 1995, 33, 1131−1151.

(37) (a) Bontchev, R. P.; Do, J.; Jacobson, A. J. Inorg. Chem. 2000, 39 (15), 3320−3324. (b) Wikstad, E.; Kritikos, M. Acta Crystallogr. 2003, C59, m87−m89.

(38) Krivovichev, S. V.; Burns, P. C.; Tananaev, I. G. Structural Chemistry of Inorganic Actinide Compounds; Elsevier: New York, 2007. (39) (a) Alekseev, E. V.; Krivovichev, S. V.; Depmeier, W. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2007, 633 (8), 1125−1126. (b) Alekseev, E. V.; Krivovichev, S. V.; Depmeier, W. J. Mater. Chem. 2009, 19 (17), 2583−2587. (c) Alekseev, E. V.; Krivovichev, S. V.; Depmeier, W. Cryst. Growth Des. 2011, 11 (7), 3295−3300.

(40) (a) Čejka, J. Infrared spectroscopy and thermal analysis of the uranyl minerals. Uranium: Mineralogy, Geochmistry and the Environment; Burns, P. C., Finch, R., Eds.; Reviews in Mineralogy; Mineralogical Society of America: Chantilly, VA, 1999; Vol. 38, pp 521−622. (b) Baykal, A.; Kizilyalli, M.; Kniep, R. J. Mater. Sci. 2000, 35, 4621−4626. (c) Stuart, B. Spetroscopy: Fundamentals and Applications; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.: New York, 2004. (d) Yakubovich, O, V.; Steele, I. M.; Atencio, D.; Menezes, L, A.; Chukanov, N. V. Crystallogr. Rep. 2008, 53, 764−770.

(41) (a) Meinrath, G. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 1997, 224, 119−126. (b) Jezowska-Trzebiatowska, B.; Bartecki, A. ̇ Spectrochim. Acta 1962, 18, 799−807. (c) Brint, P.; McCaffery, A. J. Mol. Phys. 1973, 25, 311− 322. (d) McGlynn, S. P.; Smith, J. K. J. Mol. Spectrosc. 1961, 6, 164− 187.

(42) Weng, Z. H.; Wang, S.; Ling, J.; Morrison, J. M.; Burns, P. C. Inorg. Chem. 2012, 51 (13), 7185−7191.

(43) Denning, R. G.; Norris, J. O. W.; Short, I. G.; Snellgrove, T. R.; Woodwark, D. R. Lanthanide and Actinide Chemistry and Spectroscopy; Edelstein, N. M., Ed.; ACS Symposium Series 131; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1980; Chapter 15.