$[(3,6-Cat)SnBr₃THF]$

Ferrocene‑o‑Benzosemiquinonato Tin(IV) Electron-Transfer Complexes

Ekaterina V. Ilyakina,† Andrey I. Poddel'sky,*,† Georgy K. Fukin,† Artem S. Bogomyakov,‡ Vladimir K. Cherkasov,† and Gleb A. Abak[um](#page-5-0)ov†

† G. A. Razuvaev Institute of Organometallic Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, 49 Tropinina str., 603950, Nizhniy Novgorod, GSP-445, Russia

‡ International Tomography Center, Siberian Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, 3a Institutskaya Str., 630090, Novosibirsk, Russia

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [The interactio](#page-5-0)n of ferrocene with $tin(W)$ o-benzosemiquinonato complexes in acetonitrile results in a reversible electron transfer (ET) from ferrocene to the redox-active ligand with the formation of electrontransfer complexes $[(3,6{\text -}Cat)SnBr_3]$ ⁻ $[Cp_2Fe]$ ⁺ (1) and $[(3,6{\text -}Cat)(3,6{\text -}Cat)$ SQ)SnCl₂]⁻[Cp₂Fe]⁺ (2), where 3,6-Cat is the 3,6-di-tert-butyl-catecholate dianion and 3,6-SQ is the 3,6-di-tert-butyl-o-benzosemiquinonato radical anion. The ET process and the solvent effect in the system ≪ferrocene−obenzosemiquinonato tin(IV) complexes \gg were investigated on the basis of a combination of spectroscopic and X-ray diffraction methods. The molecular [Cp_rFe]⁺[(3,6-Cat)SnBr₃·THF] structures of 1 and 2 were confirmed by X-ray analysis. Complex 2 demonstrates the ferromagnetic coupling in the linear chain alternating ···D^{+•}A^{-•}D^{+•}A^{-•}··· motif.

■ INTRODUCTION

Electron-transfer reactions play an important role in a variety of chemical and biological processes. $¹$ From this viewpoint, the</sup> continuing interest in ferrocene and ferrocene-linked species is focused on their ability to form t[he](#page-5-0) electron-transfer systems where the ferrocene moiety acts as an electron donor (D) being combined with the electron acceptor (A) ;² in some cases, this process may be thermally and light induced. $2c,3$ Some unusual properties of these systems are associate[d](#page-5-0) with D⁺···A⁻ type interactions, e.g., unique magnetic behavior [of th](#page-5-0)e first organic magnet $\text{[Fe(C_5Me_5)_2$]^+}\text{[TCNE]}^ \text{(Fe(C_5Me_5)_2)}$ decamethylferrocene; TCNE, tetracyanoethylene).

Dyads based on the complexes with redox-active quinoidtype ligands (capable of reversible o[xid](#page-5-0)ation or reduction in the \overrightarrow{n} coordination sphere⁵) and ferrocene are proven as a promising system in terms of electron-transfer interactions.⁶ However, nontransition m[eta](#page-5-0)l complexes based on redox-active ligands have never been noticed in such types of processes.

Herein, we report the synthesis and characterization of ferrocene−o-benzosemiquinonato tin(IV) electron-transfer complexes $[(3,6\text{-Cat})\text{SnBr}_3]^{-}[Cp_2Fe]^+$ (1) and $[(3,6\text{-Cat})^+$ $(3, 6\text{-}SQ)$ SnCl₂]⁻[Cp₂Fe]⁺ (2).

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials and Methods. All manipulations on complexes were performed under conditions excluding air oxygen and moisture. Solvents were purified following standard procedures.⁷ The initial complexes $(3,6\text{-}SQ)$ SnBr₃·THF and $(3,6\text{-}SQ)$ ₂SnCl₂ were obtained using known methods.⁸ Ferrocene was the reagent grad[e.](#page-5-0)

Infrared spectra of complexes 1, 2, and 3 were recorded in the 400− 3500 cm[−]¹ region on [a](#page-5-0) FSN-1201 spectrophotometer in Nujol mulls

the 800−2500 nm region on a Bruker Vertex 70 spectrophotometer in Nujol mulls. X-band EPR spectra of 2 and 3 were recorded on a Bruker EMX (working frequency ~9.75 GHz) spectrometer. The g_i values were determined using DPPH as the reference $(g_i = 2.0037)$. The HFS constants were obtained by simulation with the WinEPR SimFonia Software (Bruker), and EasySpin 4.0.0.⁹ UV–visible spectra of 1 and 2 were recorded on a Perkin−Elmer Lambda 25 UV−Vis spectrophotometer. The magnetic susceptibility [o](#page-5-0)f the polycrystalline sample of 2 was measured with a Quantum Design MPMSXL SQUID magnetometer in the temperature range 2−300 K with a magnetic field of up to 5 kOe. Diamagnetic corrections were made using the Pascal constants. The effective magnetic moment was calculated as $\mu_{\text{eff}}(T)$ = $[(3k/N_A\mu_B^2)\chi T]^{1/2} \approx (8\chi T)^{1/2}.$

X-Ray Crystallography. Intensity data for 1 and 2 were collected at 100 K on a Smart Apex diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo Ka radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) in the $\varphi-\omega$ scan mode (ω = 0.3°, 10 s on each frame). SADABS^{10a} was used to perform area-detector scaling and absorption corrections. The structures were solved with the direct method and were refined on F^2 using all reflections with the SHELXTL package.^{10b} All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined in the "riding-model" $(U_{iso}(H) =$ $1.5U_{eq}(C)$ in CH₃ groups and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ in other ligands). CCDC-919677 (1) and CCDC-919678 (2) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.

Synthesis of Compounds. $[(3,6-\text{Cat})\text{SnBr}_3]^{-}[Cp_2Fe]^+$ (1). The ution of ferrocene (1 mmol 0.186 g) in 10 mL of acetonitrile was solution of ferrocene (1 mmol, 0.186 g) in 10 mL of acetonitrile was added to the solution of $(3,6\text{-}SQ)SnBr_3\text{-}THF$ $(1 \text{ mmol}, 0.651 \text{ g})$ in 20 mL of the same solvent. The color of the reaction mixture turned from dark-green to deep-blue. The solvent was removed at half. The X-ray

Received: January 29, 2013 Published: April 8, 2013

Scheme 1. The Reaction of o -Benzosemiquinonato $\text{Sin}(\text{IV})$ Halogenides with Ferrocene

Scheme 2. The Electrochemical Processes for $(3.6\text{-}SQ)SnBr_3\text{-}THF$ and $(3.6\text{-}SQ)SnCl_2$

 $[(3,6-\text{Cat})\text{SnBr}_3\text{THF}]$ $\xrightarrow{e} (3,6-\text{SQ})\text{SnBr}_3\text{THF}$ $\xrightarrow{-e} [(3,6-\text{Q})\text{SnBr}_3\text{THF}]^*$ \longrightarrow 3,6-Q + SnBr₃⁺ (a)

 $[(3,6-\Omega)_2$ SnCl₂]²⁺ \leftarrow ^{2e} $(3,6-\text{SO})_2$ SnCl₂ \leftarrow ^{+e} $[(3,6-\text{SO})(3,6-\text{Cat})\text{SnCl}_2]$ ^{+e} $[(3,6-\text{Cat})_2$ SnCl₂]²⁻
stals of complex 1 were isolated after the storage RESULTS AND DISCUSSION (b)

suitable deep-blue crystals of complex 1 were isolated after the storage of solution at −12 °C over 12 h. Complex 1 is sensitive to the air and moisture in solution and stable in the crystalline state. The yield is 0.762 g (91%). Anal. Calcd for $C_{28}H_{38}Br_3FeO_3Sn$: C, 40.19; H, 4.58; Br, 28.64; Fe, 6.67; Sn, 14.19. Found: C, 40.23; H, 4.54; Br, 28.67; Fe, 6.71; Sn, 14.13%. IR (nujol, cm[−]¹): 3098 m, 1581 w, 1482 w, 1417 w, 1394 s, 1350 w, 1290 w, 1281 w, 1257 w, 1238 s, 1208 w, 1200 w, 1148 m, 1116 w, 1074 w, 1025 s, 1013 m, 971 s, 935 s, 918 m, 871 m, 854 s, 841 s, 807 m, 798 m, 695 s, 651 m, 612 w, 600 w, 477 m.

mmol, 0.186 g) in 10 mL of THF was added to the solution of $(3,6 ^{-}$ [Cp₂Fe]⁺ (**2**). The solution of ferrocene (1)⁻ SO_2 SnCl₂ (1 mmol, 0.630 g) in 20 mL of the same solvent. The solvent was changed with acetonitrile (15 mL). The color of the reaction mixture turned from dark green to deep blue. The X-ray suitable deep-blue crystals of complex 2 were isolated after storage at −12 °C over 12 h. Complex 2 is sensitive to the air and moisture in solution and stable in the crystalline state. The yield is 0.661 g (81%). Anal. Calcd for C₃₈H₅₀Cl₂FeO₄Sn: C, 55.91; H, 6.17; Cl, 8.69; Fe, 6.84; Sn, 14.54. Found: C, 56.01; H, 6.18; Cl, 8.73; Fe, 6.82; Sn, 14.51%. IR (nujol, cm[−]¹): 3122 w, 3106 m, 3098 m, 3082 w, 2293 w, 2252 w, 1579 w, 1549 w, 1479 s, 1420 s, 1399 s, 1365 m, 1353 m, 1336 w, 1307 w, 1293 w, 1280 w, 1260 m, 1242 s, 1206 m, 1182 w, 1148 m, 1112 w, 1056 w, 1026 w, 1008 m, 973 s, 967 s, 954 s, 940 m, 932 m, 922 m, 860 s, 851 s, 832 s, 808 m, 792 s, 703 s, 682 s, 652 s, 616 w, 603 w, 565 m, 542 w, 496 m, 485 m.

 $(1 \text{ mmol}, 0.189 \text{ g})$ in 10 mL of THF was added to the solution of $(3,6 \big[C\rho_2$ Co]⁺ (**3**). The solution of cobaltocene SO_2 SnCl₂ (1 mmol, 0.630 g) in 20 mL of the same solvent. The solvent was changed with acetonitrile (15 mL). The color of the reaction mixture turned from dark green to green brown. The microcrystalline green-brown powder of complex 3 was isolated after storage at −12 °C over 12 h. Complex 3 is sensitive to the air and moisture in solution and stable in the crystalline state. The yield is 0.688 g (84%). Anal. Calcd for $C_{38}H_{50}Cl_2CoO_4Sn$: C, 55.70; H, 6.15; Cl, 8.65; Co, 7.19; Sn, 14.49. Found: C, 55.74; H, 6.13; Cl, 8.73; Co, 7.21; Sn, 14.44%. IR (nujol, cm[−]¹): 3110 m, 3103 m, 3085 w, 2296 w, 2250 w, 1580 w, 1547 w, 1484 s, 1425 s, 1415 s, 1399 s, 1354 m, 1336 w, 1308 w, 1291 w, 1280 m, 1258 m, 1241 s, 1206 m, 1111 w, 1065 w, 1028 w, 1007 s, 974 s, 954 s, 939 m, 922 m, 898 w, 868 s, 855 m, 833 m, 807 m, 792 m, 702 s, 683 m, 651 s, 616 w, 605 w, 588 w, 564 m, 544 w, 496 m, 485 w, 457 s.

It was found that the interaction of $(3,6{\text -}SQ)SnBr₃·THF$ and $(3.6\text{-}SQ)_{2}SnCl_{2}$ with ferrocene in acetonitrile leads to the formation of electron-transfer D^+A^- type complexes 1 and 2 accompanied by the reduction of o-benzosemiquinonato to the catecholate ligand and the oxidation of ferrocene to the ferrocenium cation (Scheme 1).

The electrochemical investigations for *o*-benzosemiquinonato tin(IV) complexes $(3,6\text{-}SQ)SnBr_3\text{-}THF$ and $(3,6\text{-}SQ)_{2}SnCl_2$ have been performed in order to evaluate redox properties of these complexes. Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) were recorded in acetonitrile solutions of complexes containing 0.10 M $[Bu_4N]ClO_4$ as a supporting electrolyte at a glassy carbon working electrode and a Ag/AgCl/KCl(sat.) reference electrode. The potentials are referenced versus the ferrocenium/ferrocene couple (Fc+ /Fc). Table S1 (see Supporting Information) summarizes these results; the CVs of obenzosemiquinonato tin(IV) complexes are given [in Figures](#page-5-0) [S1 and S2, re](#page-5-0)spectively. In accordance with cyclic voltammetry data, the electrochemical reduction of $(3,6-SQ)SnBr₃·THF$ is [observed i](#page-5-0)n the anodic region; the electrochemical process at 0.14 V has a quasireversible nature and corresponds to the transfer of one electron (Figure S1). The oxidation of (3,6- SQ)SnBr₃·THF is the irreversible process observed at 0.83 V and accompanied by the [decomposi](#page-5-0)tion of the complex with 3,6-di-tert-butyl-o-benzoquinone decoordination (Scheme 2a). The CV of $(3,6\text{-}SQ)_{2}$ SnCl₂ (Figure S2) displays two reversible one-electron-transfer waves at 0.09 and −0.22 V corresponding to the formation of mono- [and diani](#page-5-0)onic forms of complex $(3,6\text{-}SO)$ ₂SnCl₂ (Scheme 2b). The oxidation of $(3,6\text{-}SO)$ ₂SnCl₂ is a two-electron irreversible process at 0.81 V, leading to the formation of an unstable dicationic complex.

So, initial o -benzosemiquinonato tin(IV) halogenides $(3,6 SO\$ SnBr₃·THF and $(3,6-SQ)$ ₂SnCl₂ possess unusually high redox potentials for such types of complexes: 0.14 and 0.09 V, respectively, vs $\mathrm{Cp}_2\mathrm{Fe}/\mathrm{Cp}_2\mathrm{Fe}^+$. This fact indicates that (3,6- $SO\$ SnBr₃·THF and $(3,6-SQ)_{2}$ SnCl₂ are able to demonstrate oxidative abilities toward ferrocenes producing ferrocenium

salts, which is surprising because the ferrocenium cation is wellknown as an oxidizing agent.¹¹ Furthermore, in the case of complex $(3.6\text{-}SQ)_{2}SnCl_{2}$, only one *o*-benzosemiquinonato ligand reduction takes place de[sp](#page-5-0)ite the molar ratio of reagents. The replacement of acetonitrile with THF results in the reversion of the system to the initial state. The solvent effect is caused by the more difficult charge separation in the solvent of lower dielectric capacitivity. The changes in UV−visible spectra well illustrate this solvent effect. Figure 1 shows UV-visible

Figure 1. UV-visible spectra of the systems \ll (3,6-SQ)SnBr₃·THF + $\text{Cp}_2\text{Fe}\gg$ (top) and \ll (3,6-SQ)₂SnCl₂ + Cp₂Fe \gg (bottom) in THF (dotted line) and acetonitrile (solid line).

spectra of the systems \ll o-benzosemiquinonato tin(IV) complex + Cp2Fe≫ in the different solvents. UV−visible spectra in THF correspond to the superposition of spectra of ferrocene and o-benzosemiquinonato complex (3,6-SQ)- $SnBr₃·THF$ or $(3,6-SQ)₂SnCl₂$. The replacement of solvent with acetonitrile results in the appearance of new maxima (620 and 618 nm, respectively) which are characteristic for the complexes containing a ferrocenium cation.¹² In addition, the broad o-benzosemiquinone−catecholate ligand−ligand chargetransfer band (LLCT) with $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 930$ nm [is](#page-5-0) observed in the UV−vis spectrum of the complex 2 solution. This chargetransfer band is also detected in NIR in the solid state.

The reduction of o-benzosemiquinonato to a catecholato ligand in 1 and 2 is confirmed by the presence of strong intensive bands in the region of 1270−1220 cm[−]¹ in IR spectra (Figure S3) which correspond to the stretching vibrations of single C−O bonds of the catecholate ligand.¹³

[The cate](#page-5-0)cholato complex 1 is EPR-silent, and its formation in the reaction of $(3.6\text{-}SQ)SnBr_3\text{-}THF$ w[ith](#page-5-0) ferrocene is accompanied by the disappearance of the EPR spectrum of the initial o-benzosemiquinonato complex. On the contrary, complex 2 —a product of interaction of diradical complex $(3,6 SO_2$ SnCl₂ with ferrocene—contains one *o*-benzosemiquinonato radical anion that is reflected in the appearance of the specific EPR spectrum (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Experimental X-band EPR-spectra (exp.) and their computer simulations (sim.) for complexes 2 (top) and 3 (bottom) (RT, acetonitrile).

The hyperfine structure (HFS) of the EPR spectrum of 2 is caused by the hyperfine coupling of an unpaired electron with two pairs of hydrogen atoms ¹H (99.98%, $I = 1/2$, $\mu_N = 2.7928$) in the fourth and fifth positions of aromatic rings of both chelating ligands, magnetic nuclei of two chlorine atoms $(^{35}Cl,$ 75.77%, $I = 3/2$, $\mu_N = 0.82187$ and ³⁷Cl, 24.23%, $I = 3/2$, $\mu_N =$ 0.68412), and the satellite splitting on magnetic tin nuclei

Inorganic Chemistry Article

 $({}^{117}Sn, 7.68\%, I = 1/2, \mu_N = 1.000$ and ${}^{119}Sn, 8.58\%, I = 1/2, \mu_N$ $= 1.046$).¹⁴ The HFS with two pairs of pairwise nonequivalent hydrogens and two chlorine atoms indicates the fast migration of unpa[ire](#page-5-0)d electron (in the EPR time-scale) between catecholato and o-benzosemiquinonato ligands mediated by a tin atom, which is also confirmed by the values of HFS constants $a_i(^1H)$ and $a_i(^{35}Cl)/(^{37}Cl)$, which are nearly two times lower than in corresponding mono-o-benzosemiquinonato $\text{tin}(IV)$ complexes.⁸ The decreasing temperature leads to the lowering of the rate of this process (the slow electron migration between tw[o](#page-5-0) chelating ligands in the EPR time scale¹⁵), and the localized structure is observed. However interactions between the monoradical anion and paramagnetic tran[siti](#page-5-0)on metal cation complicate the resolution of the EPR spectrum and the clear determination of HFS constants. In order to simplify the evaluation of HFS constants, the related tin(IV) complex $[(3,6{\text -}Cat)(3,6{\text -}SQ)SnCl_2]^{-}[Cp_2Co]^{+}$ (3) containing a diamagnetic cobaltocenium cation was synthesized by the same reaction between $(3.6\text{-}SQ)_{2}SnCl_{2}$ and cobaltocene. The EPR spectrum of complex 3 is well-resolved and has welldefined HFS constants in contrast to the EPR spectrum of 2. The values of HFS constants and g factors for complexes 2 and 3 are listed in Table 1.

The crystal structures of complexes 1 and 2 have been determined by single-crystal X-ray crystallography at 100(2) K.

In accordance with X-ray diffraction data, $\text{tin}(\text{IV})$ atoms in 1 and 2 have distorted octahedral environments (Figure 3). The C−O distances $(C(1)-O(1)$ is 1.368(4) Å, $C(2)-O(2)$ is $1.359(4)$ Å) of the chelating ligand in complex 1 lie in the range 1.35−1.37 Å that corresponds to the single C−O distances in such type of ligands and confirms its dianionic catecholate form.¹³ Complex 2 adopts a cis configuration, and the dihedral angle between chelating ligands planes is 68.00°. The geometrical [fea](#page-5-0)tures of these ligands confirm their localized radical-anion o -benzosemiquinonato form (the C(1)−O(1) and C(2)−O(2) distances are 1.298(4) and 1.287(4) Å and lie in the range 1.29−1.30 Å typical for the one-and-half C−O bonds in o-benzosemiquinonato complexes; the quinoid distortion pattern is observed for the six-membered carbon ring $C(1-6)$) and dianionic catecholate form (the $C(7)-O(3)$ and C(8)−O(4) distances are 1.363(4) and 1.358(4) Å and lie in the range 1.35−1.36 Å that corresponds to the single C−O bonds in catecholates).8,13,16 The trans influence of chlorine ligands in complex 2 is reflected in the elongation of the corresponding Sn–O bonds $(Sn(1)-O(2))$ and $Sn(1)-O(3))$. The iron atom of the ferrocenium moiety in both complexes has a prismatic environment, and Fe−C bond lengths confirm the cationic ferrocenium nature.¹⁷ The selected bond lengths and angles for complexes 1 and 2 are collected in Table 2. The crystallographic data and structu[re](#page-5-0) refinement details are given in Table S2.

Good support for the assignment of the locali[ze](#page-4-0)d ose[miquinona](#page-5-0)to-catecholato structure of 2 can be given using the calculation of Brown for the "metrical oxidation state."¹⁸ The calculated oxidation states for o-benzosemiquinonato and

Figure 3. The molecular structures of complexes 1 (top) and 2 (bottom). Ellipsoids of 50% probability; hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

catecholato ligands are -0.97 ± 0.05 (for ring C(1–6)) and -1.91 ± 0.11 (for ring C(7-12)), respectively.

The interesting observations can be done for anion $[(3,6, 4)]$ SQ)(3,6-Cat)SnCl2][−] in complex 2 as a mixed-valence bis(dioxolene) type compound. In the accordance with X-ray structure analysis at 100 K, the anion reveals the localized oxidation state of ligands (SQ and Cat forms) in the solid state. At the same time, the presence of a *o*-benzosemiquinone− catecholate ligand−ligand charge-transfer band (LLCT) with λ_{max} = 930 nm in solution of 2 is consistent with the Robin and Day class II mixed-valence system.^{19a} The width of this LLCT band at half-height is ca. 4850 cm⁻¹ and $(\Delta\nu_{1/2})^2/\nu_{\text{max}} = (4850$ cm^{-1} ²/10752 cm^{-1} = 2188 cm^{-1} , [wh](#page-5-0)ich is close to 2310 cm^{-1} predicted by the Hush model for class II systems.^{1a,19b,c} The calculated value of the electronic coupling parameter H_{AB} between the two redox sites $(in cm^{-1})$ evaluate[d by](#page-5-0) the Mulliken−Hush expression (see Supporting Information) is 740 cm[−]¹ 1a,19b,c Thus, complex 2 is a class II species in solution . according to UV−vis, EPR, and IR spectroscopy.

Miller an[d Ep](#page-5-0)stein have shown [that](#page-5-0) [the](#page-5-0) [electron](#page-5-0) [transfer](#page-5-0) salt $[Fe(C₅Me₅)₂]$ ^{+•}[TCNE]^{-•} containing a paramagnetic ferrocenium cation and tetracyanoethylene anion demonstrates ferromagnetic behavior. 4 The authors have shown that substantial cooperative magnetic interactions may be observed in donor−acceptor syst[em](#page-5-0)s of the DADA type when both donor D and acceptor A are radicals with $S = 1/2$. In complex

Table 2. The Selected Bond Lengths and Angles for Complexes 1 and 2

bond length, Å	$\mathbf{1}$	bond length, Å	$\boldsymbol{2}$
$Sn(1)-O(1)$	2.032(2)	$Sn(1)-O(1)$	2.099(2)
$Sn(1)-O(2)$	2.041(2)	$Sn(1)-O(2)$	2.142(2)
$Sn(1)-Br(1)$	2.5308(5)	$Sn(1)-O(3)$	2.031(2)
$Sn(1)-Br(2)$	2.5483(4)	$Sn(1)-O(4)$	2.005(2)
$Sn(1)-Br(3)$	2.5504(5)	$Sn(1)-Cl(1)$	2.3873(9)
$C(1)-O(1)$	1.368(4)	$Sn(1)-Cl(2)$	2.3934(8)
$C(2)-O(2)$	1.359(4)	$C(1)-O(1)$	1.298(4)
$C(1)-C(2)$	1.419(5)	$C(2)-O(2)$	1.287(4)
$C(2)-C(3)$	1.409(5)	$C(7)-O(3)$	1.363(4)
$C(3)-C(4)$	1.392(5)	$C(8)-O(4)$	1.358(4)
$C(4)-C(5)$	1.395(5)	$C(1)-C(2)$	1.466(4)
$C(5)-C(6)$	1.392(5)	$C(1)-C(6)$	1.425(4)
$C(1)-C(6)$	1.409(5)	$C(2)-C(3)$	1.434(5)
$C(7) - Fe(1)$	2.070(6)	$C(3)-C(4)$	1.366(5)
$C(8) - Fe(1)$	2.082(6)	$C(4)-C(5)$	1.433(5)
$C(9)-Fe(1)$	2.099(6)	$C(5)-C(6)$	1.369(4)
$C(10) - Fe(1)$	2.105(6)	$C(7)-C(8)$	1.420(4)
$C(11) - Fe(1)$	2.065(6)	$C(7)-C(12)$	1.401(5)
$C(12) - Fe(1)$	2.079(6)	$C(8)-C(9)$	1.410(4)
$C(13) - Fe(1)$	2.050(6)	$C(9)-C(10)$	1.386(5)
$C(14)-Fe(1)$	2.087(6)	$C(10)-C(11)$	1.385(5)
$C(15) - Fe(1)$	2.075(6)	$C(11)-C(12)$	1.397(5)
$C(16) - Fe(1)$	2.092(6)	$C(13) - Fe(1)$	2.083(4)
$C(7)-C(8)$	1.375(8)	$C(14)-Fe(1)$	2.085(4)
$C(8)-C(9)$	1.403(9)	$C(15) - Fe(1)$	2.086(4)
$C(9)-C(10)$	1.420(9)	$C(16)-Fe(1)$	2.063(4)
$C(10)-C(11)$	1.419(9)	$C(17)-Fe(1)$	2.080(4)
$C(11)-C(7)$	1.462(9)	$C(18) - Fe(1)$	2.083(3)
$C(12)-C(13)$	1.402(8)	$C(19) - Fe(1)$	2.109(3)
$C(13)-C(14)$	1.462(9)	$C(20) - Fe(1)$	2.110(3)
$C(14)-C(15)$	1.396(9)	$C(21) - Fe(1)$	2.074(4)
$C(15)-C(16)$	1.398(9)	$C(22) - Fe(1)$	2.060(3)
$C(16)-C(12)$	1.407(9)	$C(13)-C(14)$	1.407(6)
		$C(14)-C(15)$	1.374(6)
		$C(15)-C(16)$	1.353(7)
		$C(16)-C(17)$	1.395(7)
		$C(17)-C(13)$	1.421(7)
		$C(18)-C(19)$	1.414(5)
		$C(19)-C(20)$	1.406(5)
		$C(20)-C(21)$	1.430(5)
		$C(21)-C(22)$	1.404(5)
		$C(22)-C(18)$	1.435(5)
angle, deg		angle, deg	
$O(2) - Sn(1) - O(1)$	81.40(9)	$O(1) - Sn(1) - O(2)$	76.33(9)
$O(2) - Sn(1) - Br(2)$	165.82(7)	$O(1) - Sn(1) - O(3)$	86.84(9)
$O(1) - Sn(1) - Br(3)$	165.96(7)	$O(1) - Sn(1) - O(4)$	162.97(9)
$Br(2)-Sn(1)-Br(3)$	95.630(16)	$O(2) - Sn(1) - O(3)$	86.91(9)
		$O(3) - Sn(1) - O(4)$	82.13(9)
		$Cl(1) - Sn(1) - Cl(2)$	91.74(3)

2, both ferrocenium and the *o*-benzosemiquinonato−catecholato tin(IV) anion are paramagnetic centers with $S = 1/2$. So, one can expect the same magnetic behavior in 2 as that in $[Fe(C₅Me₅)₂]$ ^{+•}[TCNE]^{-•}. The temperature dependences of the effective magnetic moment (μ_{eff}) and reciprocal magnetic susceptibility $(1/\chi)$ for 2 are presented in Figure 4.

At 300 K, the μ_{eff} value is 3.15 μ_{B} and changes slightly with lowering temperature down to 60 K and below 60 K μ_{eff} increases to 3.69 μ_B at 5 K. The dependence $1/\chi(T)$ obeys

Figure 4. Dependences $\mu_{\text{eff}}(T)$ (\bullet) and $\chi^{-1}(T)$ (\blacksquare) for complex 2 (solid lines − theoretical curves).

Curie–Weiss law with optimal parameters $C = 1.24 \ (\pm 0.01)$ K·cm³/mol and $\theta = 1.4 \ (\pm 0.2)$ K. The Curie constant C and μ_{eff} (at 300 K) values are higher than the theoretical spin only values for two uncoupled spins with $S = 1/2$ at $g = 2$. The high values are in good agreement with previous results (e.g., for the charge transfer salt $[Fe(C_5Me_5)_2]^{+\bullet}[TCNE]^{-\bullet}$ $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 3.1$ μ_B at 300 K).⁴ For low spin $[Fe(C₅H₅)₂]^{+\bullet}$ in complexes, μ_{eff} values range from ∼2.3 to ~2.6 μ _B due to a significant orbital contrib[ut](#page-5-0)ion to the magnetic moment.²⁰ The experimental μ_{eff} value at 300 K corresponds to 2.63 μ_{B} for $[\text{Fe}(C_5H_5)_2]^{\bullet+}$ in assumption that for the tin(IV) compl[ex](#page-5-0) anion $S = 1/2$ and $g =$ 2. The increasing μ_{eff} with lowering temperature and a positive Weiss constant value point to a presence of ferromagnetic coupling between spins of paramagnetic centers. The ferromagnetic chain model was used for the estimation of coupling energy and the best fit parameters J/k and g are 1.08 (± 0.04) K and 2.56 (± 0.01) (Hamiltonian $H = \Sigma - 2JS_iS_{i+1}$). Thus, the magnetic behavior of charge transfer complex 2 is in good agreement with the conclusions of Miller about the necessity of having both the D and A radicals for stabilizing ferromagnetic coupling in the linear chain ···D⁺*A^{-•}D⁺*A^{-•}... motif.

■ CONCLUSION

In summary, the first examples of electron-transfer systems containing ferrocene and a nontransition metal complex based on redox-active ligands (ferrocene−o-benzosemiquinonato tin(IV) complexes $[(3,6\text{-Cat})\text{SnBr}_3]^{-}[Cp_2Fe]^+$ (1) and $[(3,6\text{-Cat})\text{SnBr}_3]^{-}$ $Cat)(3,6$ -SQ $\overline{\text{SnCl}_2}$]⁻[Cp₂Fe]⁺ (2)) are reported. Importantly, that interaction between ferrocene and o-benzosemiquinonato tin(IV) halides depends on the solvent media. The fast migration of the unpaired electron between o-benzosemiquinonato and catecholato ligands in mixed-ligand complex [(3,6- $Cat)(3,6-SQ)SnCl₂$ ⁻[Cp₂Fe]⁺ in solution was observed. Compound 2 contains a ferrocenium radical cation (D^{+}) and a tin $\overline{(IV)}$ complex radical anion $(A^{-\bullet})$ and demonstrates the ferromagnetic coupling in the linear chain alternating ···D^{+•}A^{-•}D^{+•}A^{-•}··· motif. Overall, the further investigations of D+ ···A[−] interactions in such type systems containing a ferrocenium cation and radical-anion ligand complexes are expected to reveal new promising results.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

Table S1 containing redox potentials of tin(IV) complexes, Table S2 containing crystallographic data and structure refinement details, Figures S1−S3 containing cyclic voltammogram of tin(IV) complexes and IR spectra, and the details of the electronic coupling parameter H_{AB} calculation are included, as well as CIF and CDX files. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORM[ATION](http://pubs.acs.org)

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: aip@iomc.ras.ru.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no c](mailto:aip@iomc.ras.ru)ompeting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The electrochemical investigations were performed by Ph.D. Ivan V. Smolyaninov and Prof. Nadezhda T. Berberova (Astrakhan State Technical University, Astrakhan, Russia). We are grateful to RFBR (N 2013-3-01022 and 13-03-00891), President of Russian Federation (Grants NSh-1113.2012.3), for the financial support of this work. This work was performed according to FSP "Scientific and scientific-pedagogical cadres of innovation Russia" for the years 2009−2013 (N8465 from 31.08.2012).

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Electron Transfer in Chemistry; Balzani, V., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2001; Vols. 1−5. (b) Armstrong, F. A.; Kaim, W.; Schwederski, B. Bioinorganic Chemistry: Inorganic Chemistry in the Chemistry of Life; Oxford University: Oxford, U. K., 1995.

(2) (a) Rosenblum, M.; Fish, R. W.; Bennett, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964, 86, 5166−5170. (b) Brandon, R. L.; Osiecki, J. H.; Ottenberg, A. J. Org. Chem. 1966, 31, 1214−1217. (c) Fukuzumi, S.; Yoshida, Y.; Okamoto, K.; Imahori, H.; Araki, Y.; Ito, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6794−6795. (d) Fukuzumi, S.; Okamoto, K.; Yoshida, Y.; Imahori, H.; Araki, Y.; Ito, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 7014−7021. (e) Sporer, C.; Ratera, I.; Ruiz-Molina, D.; Gancedo, J. V.; Ventosa, N.; Wurst, K.; Jaitner, P.; Rovira, C.; Veciana, J. Solid State Science 2009, 11, 786−792.

(3) (a) Ratera, I.; Ruiz-Molina, D.; Renz, F.; Ensling, J.; Wurst, K.; Rovira, C.; Gutlich, P.; Veciana, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 1462− 1463. (b) Gonzalez-Rodrıguez, D.; Torres, T.; Olmstead, M. M.; Rivera, J.; Herranz, M. A.; Echegoyen, L.; Castellanos, C. A.; Guldi, D. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 10680−10681.

(4) (a) Miller, J. S.; Epstein, A. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1994, 33, 385−415. (b) Miller, J. S.; Epstein, A. J. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2000, 206− 207, 651−660. (c) Miller, J. S. Dalton Trans. 2006, 2742−2749.

(5) (a) Pierpont, C. G.; Lange, C. W. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 1994, 41, 331−442. (b) Pierpont, C. G. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2001, 219−221, 415− 433. (c) Zanello, P.; Corsini, M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2006, 250, 2000− 2022. (d) Abakumov, G. A.; Nevodchikov, V. I.; Cherkasov, V. K. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 1984, 278, 641−645. (e) Shultz, D. A.; Kumar, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 6431−6432.

(6) (a) Chang, H.-C.; Miyasaka, H.; Kitagawa, S. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 146−156. (b) Heinze, K.; Reinhardt, S. Organometallics 2007, 26, 5406−5414.

(7) Perrin, D. D.; Armarego, W. L. F.; Perrin, D. R. Purification of Laboratory Chemicals; Pergamon: Oxford, U. K., 1980.

(8) (a) Ilyakina, E. V.; Poddel'sky, A. I.; Piskunov, A. V.; Somov, N. V. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2012, 380, 57−64. (b) Ilyakina, E. V.; Poddel'sky, A. I.; Piskunov, A. V.; Somov, N. V.; Abakumov, G. A.; Cherkasov, V. K. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2013, 394, 282−288.

(9) Stoll, S.; Schweiger, A. J. Magn. Reson. 2006, 178, 42−55.

(10) (a) Sheldrick, G. M. SADABS, v.2.01; Bruker AXS: Madison, WI, 1998. (b) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXTL, v. 6.12; Bruker AXS: Madison, WI, 2000.

(11) Connelly, N. G.; Geiger, W. E. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 877−910. (12) (a) Lee, S.; Bakac, A.; Espenson, J. H. Inorg. Chem. 1989, 28, 1367−1369. (b) Santiago, M. B.; Declet-Flores, C.; Díaz, A.; Velez, M. M.; Bosques, M. Z.; Sanakis, Y.; Colon, J. L. Langmuir 2007, 23, 7810−7817. (c) Kumar, P. S.; Kumar, S.; Lakshminarayanan, V. J. Phys. Chem. B 2008, 112, 4865-4869.

(13) (a) Piskunov, A. V.; Lado, A. V.; Fukin, G. K.; Baranov, E. V.; Abakumova, L. G.; Cherkasov, V. K.; Abakumov, G. A. Heteroat. Chem. 2006, 17, 481−490. (b) Piskunov, A. V.; Lado, A. V.; Ilyakina, E. V.; Fukin, G. K.; Baranov, E. V.; Cherkasov, V. K.; Abakumov, G. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 2008, 693, 128−134. (c) Lado, A. V.; Poddel'sky, A. I.; Piskunov, A. V.; Fukin, G. K.; Baranov, E. V.; Ikorskii, V. N.; Cherkasov, V. K.; Abakumov, G. A. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2005, 358, 4443−4450.

(14) Emsley, J. The Elements; Pergamon Press: Oxford, U. K., 1999; p 350.

(15) Kabachnik, M. I.; Bubnov, N. N.; Prokof'ev, A. I.; Solodovnikov, S. P. Sci. Rev. Ser. B 1981, 3 (XXIV, N 22), 197−297.

(16) Batsanov, A. S.; Howard, J. A. K.; Brown, M. A.; McGarvey, B. R.; Tuck, D. G. Chem. Commun. 1997, 699−700.

(17) (a) Webb, R. J.; Lowery, M. D.; Shiomi, Y.; Sorai, M.; Wittebort, R. J.; Hendrickson, D. N. Inorg. Chem. 1992, 31, 5211−5219. (b) Bradley, S.; Camm, K. D.; Liu, X.; McGowan, P. C.; Mumtaz, R.; Oughton, K. A.; Podesta, T. J.; Thornton-Pett, M. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 41, 715−726.

(18) Brown, S. N. Inorg. Chem. 2012, 51, 1251−1260.

(19) (a) Robin, M. B.; Day, P. Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem. 1967, 10, 247. (b) Hush, N. S. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 1967, 8, 391−444. (c) Brunschwig, B. S.; Sutin, N. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1999, 187, 233− 254.

(20) (a) Hendrickson, D. N.; Sohn, Y. S.; Gray, G. B. Inorg. Chem. 1971, 10, 1559−1563. (b) Morrison, W. H.; Krogsrud, S.; Hendrickson, D. N. Inorg. Chem. 1973, 12, 1998−2004. (c) Morrison, W. H., Jr.; Hendrickson, D. N. Inorg. Chem. 1975, 14, 2331−2346.