Synthesis and Structural Characterization of Molybdenum(VI) and Iron(II) Coordination Compounds with S‑Alkyl‑N‑methyl‑S‑(2 pyridyl)sulfoximines and Catalytic Epoxidation Activity of the Molybdenum Complexes

Yvonne Brussaard,[†] Falk Olbrich,[‡] and Ernst Schaumann^{*,†}

† Institute of Organic Chemistry, Clausthal University of Technology, L[eib](#page-5-0)nizstrasse 6, D-38678 Clausthal-Zellerfeld, Germany ‡ Institute of Inorganic and Applied Chemistry, University of Hamburg, Martin-Luther-King-Platz 6, D-20146 Hamburg, Germany

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [Coordination](#page-5-0) compounds oxido(diperoxido)(S-butyl-N-methyl-S-(2-pyridyl)- $\text{subformula}(\text{V1}), \ \ [\text{MoO(O}_2)_2\{ \text{SO(NMe)}^n \text{Bu}(\text{NC}_5\text{H}_4) \}]$ (5c), and bis- $\{(\text{dichlorido})(N,S\text{-dimethyl-2-pyridylsulfoximine})iron(II)\},\$ tetrahydrofuran solvate $(1:1)$ (6) , $[FeCl₂{SO(NMe)Me(C₅H₄)}]₂$. THF are prepared from the free ligand 4 and molybdenum-(VI) oxidediperoxide(dihydrate) and iron dichloride, respectively. The crystal structures reveal a trigonal bipyramid with the pyridine ring and the single oxygen on molybdenum in a trans arrangement for 5c and a planar μ^2 -Cl₂Fe₂ ring with trans-oriented exocyclic Cl atoms for 6 whereas the structures of the N,N-dicoordinated ligands are only little effected by the metals.

Coordination compounds (5) efficiently catalyze the epoxidation of cyclooctene or of monosubstituted alkenes by tert-butyl hydroperoxide.

■ **INTRODUCTION**

Sulfoximines are tetracoordinate sulfur compounds that formally result from the exchange of one oxygen for a nitrogen atom in a sulfone. However, in contrast to the well-known sulfones, $1,2$ sulfoximines have emerged only recently as synthetically useful compounds 3 and as promising pharmacophores i[n](#page-5-0) medicinal chemistry.⁴ In particular, if different organyl substituents on sulfur ar[e](#page-5-0) present, then sulfoximines are chiral at the sulfur atom and ther[efo](#page-5-0)re play an important role in asymmetric synthesis as auxiliaries^{5,6} or as catalysts in the thriving field of enantioselective homogeneous catalysis using transition metal coordination comp[oun](#page-5-0)ds.^{7−9} The work of the Bolm group has especially shown that chiral complexes based on sulfoximines can be successfully appli[ed as](#page-5-0) catalysts to give highly enantioselective addition and cycloaddition reactions mainly to carbonyl groups.^{10−12} With few exceptions,^{13,14} chelate complexes were used in this work. This implies the use of N,N-bidentate ligands in c[opper](#page-5-0)(II),^{11,15−18} palladium([0\),](#page-5-0)^{[10](#page-5-0)} palladium(II),^{19,20} or rhodium(I)¹² coordination compounds where the binding sites are offered by t[he](#page-5-0) [nitrog](#page-5-0)en atoms of t[he](#page-5-0) two linked [sulfo](#page-5-0)ximi[n](#page-5-0)e units in C_2 symmetric bis-sulfoximines^{12,15,16,20} or where besides the C_1 symmetric sulfoximine the nitrogen of a quinoline,²¹ of an aniline,^{11,17,22,23} or of an oxazol[ine](#page-5-0)^{[18](#page-5-0)} [o](#page-5-0)[ff](#page-5-0)ers a convenient binding site. Also, bidentate N,O complexation of [nic](#page-5-0)kel(II) in [\(2-hydro](#page-5-0)xyalkyl) sulfoximi[ne](#page-5-0)s and N,P complexation of iridium(0) by 2- (diphenylphospino)aryl sulfoximines has been shown to allow efficient catalysis of conjugate addition to enones^{24,25} and asymmetric hydrogenation of imines, 26 respectively. N,P complexation has also been shown to be useful in [pallad](#page-5-0)iumcatalyzed allylic alkylation.28,29 In the[se](#page-5-0) studies, the metal complexes were usually generated in situ from an appropriate metal(II) salt,^{11,16–24,28,29} or a metal(0) precursor^{10,26} and the sulfoximine. With the exception of a copper, 15 a palladium, 19 and a rhod[ium](#page-5-0) [compl](#page-5-0)ex, 12 the isolated [N](#page-5-0),N[-b](#page-5-0)identate sulfoximi[ne](#page-5-0) complexes adopt a noncrystalline state^{10,26} a[nd](#page-5-0) could only be characterize[d](#page-5-0) spectroscopically including the [a](#page-5-0)dduct with a potential cycloaddition partner. 27 F[or](#page-5-0) a full assessment of the catalyst structure, our goal was to synthesize crystalline transition metal−sulfoximine coor[din](#page-5-0)ation compounds again with a chelate structure, where the role of the second coordination site is adopted by the nitrogen of a pyridine ring as substituent on sulfur. As for the central atom, we were aiming at metals that so far were not probed in sulfoximine chemistry with the idea to further improve catalytic efficiency and for a broader scope of reactions, which allow catalysis. Thus, we chose molybdenum(VI), the complexes of which are known to efficiently catalyze so divergent reactions as alkyne metathesis³⁰ for the type $(Ar_3SiO)_3Mo\equiv X(X = N, CR)$ or alkene oxidation to epoxides for the type $MoO(O₂)(L-$ L).31−³⁴ Our se[con](#page-5-0)d example involves an iron sulfoximine complex where iron has the virtue to be environmentally be[nign](#page-5-0) [b](#page-5-0)eyond any doubt and is known to catalyze carbon− carbon single bond formation especially well in cross-coupling reactions.35−³⁷

Received: [Aug](#page-5-0)ust 10, 2013 Published: November 1, 2013

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

For the preparation of sulfides 1 a, c, d^{38} and $1b, ^{39}$ literature procedures were adopted. Compounds 4a−d were prepared following the method of Franek and Claus.⁴⁰

Methyl 2-Pyridyl Sulfide (1a). [A](#page-6-0) [m](#page-6-0)ixture [of](#page-6-0) pyridine-2-thiol⁴¹ (1) with R = H; 19.40 g, [0.](#page-6-0)175 mol), MeCN (50 mL), and NEt₃ (23 mL) was cooled to 0 °C. MeI (24.84 g, 10.9 mL, 0.175 mol) was a[dd](#page-6-0)ed dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h and then overnight at ambient temperature. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo, and the residue was diluted by addition of EtOAc (50 mL). After several hours in a refrigerator, the precipitated $HNEt₃I$ was filtered by suction. The residue was concentrated in vacuo, and the product (1a) was isolated by column chromatography (silica, eluent petroleum ether/EtOAc 4:1 v/v). For final purification, the material was distilled (bp 77 °C/14 mbar). Yield 17.71 g (81%; lit.³⁸ 67%) of 1a as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, room temperature): δ 2.56 (s, 3H), 6.97 (dd, 1H, J [=](#page-6-0) 4.8, 7.6 Hz), 7.18 (d, 1H, J = 8.2 Hz), 7.48 (dd, 1H, $J = 7.6$, 8.2 Hz), 8.44 (d, 1H, $J = 4.8$ Hz). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, room temperature): δ 13.2, 119.1, 121.4, 135.8, 149.4, 159.9. IR (NaCl plate, cm[−]¹): ν 3068, 3045, 2995, 2925, 2854, 1581, 1556, 1455, 1436, 1415, 1315, 1279, 1243, 1167, 1146, 1127, 1090, 1043, 985, 964, 757, 712, 619.

Isopropyl 2-Pyridyl Sulfide (1b). At 0 °C, 2-propanethiol (11.75 mL, 9.7 g, 0.127 mol) was added to a suspension of NaOH (5 g, 0.125 mol) in DMF (100 mL). After 2 h at 20 °C, the NaOH had almost completely dissolved and 2-chloropyridine (11.25 mL, 13.63 g, 0.120 mol) was added. The mixture was gradually heated to 140 °C and stirred at this temperature for 6 h. The mixture was allowed to cool overnight and poured onto ice (100 g). Organic material was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (4 \times 50 mL). The combined organic phases were combined and dried $(MgSO₄)$. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was distilled to give 13.0 g (70%; lit. $38\,71\%$) of product, colorless liquid, bp 58 °C/2 mbar. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, room temperature): δ 1.41 (d, 6H, J = 6.8 Hz), 3.99 ([m, 1](#page-6-0)H), 6.96 (dd, 1H, J $= 4.8, 7.6$ Hz), 7.16 (d, 1H, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.47 (dd, 1H, $J = 7.6, 8.2$ Hz), 8.44 (d, 1H, $J = 4.8$ Hz). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, room temperature): δ 23.1, 35.0, 119.3, 122.8, 135.8, 149.5, 159.5. IR (NaCl plate, cm^{−1}): *ν* 3069, 3045, 2963, 2927, 2866, 1578, 1556, 1453, 1415, 1383, 1364, 1280, 1143, 1125, 1088, 1054, 985, 757, 725.

Butyl 2-Pyridyl Sulfide (1c). This compound was obtained analogously to 1a from pyridine-2-thiol⁴¹ (8.89 g, 0.080 mol) and 1iodobutane (14.72 g, 0.080 mol). Yield 12.77 g (95%) of a colorless oil. This comp[ou](#page-6-0)nd was obtained previously by another route.⁴²

Decyl 2-Pyridyl Sulfide (1d). This compound was obtained analogously to 1a from pyridine-2-thiol⁴¹ (5.0 g , 0.045 mol) [an](#page-6-0)d 1iododecane (9.65 mL, 12.06 g, 0.045 mol) but was isolated by column chromatography on silica (eluent petr[ole](#page-6-0)um ether/EtOAc 4:1 v/v). Yield 10.89 g (93%) of a colorless oil.

Methyl Methylamino 2-Pyridyl Sulfonium 2,4,6-Trimethylphenylsulfonate (3a). To a solution of 1a (3.74 g, 30.0 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) was added freshly prepared O-mesitylsulfonyl-N-methylhydroxylamine⁴³ (2, 6.65 g, 29.0 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature overnight. $Et₂O$ (150 mL) was carefully added. After 48 h at [3](#page-6-0) $^{\circ}$ C, the colorless precipitate was removed by filtration and the residue was washed with Et₂O. Yield 7.51 g (73%) of salt 3a. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, room temperature): δ 2.23 (s, 3H), 2.69 (s, 6H), 2.74 (d, 3H, J = 4.8 Hz), 3.40 (s, 3H), 6.83 (s, 2H), 7.59 (dd, 1H, $J = 4.8$, 7.8 Hz), 8.04 (d, 1H, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 8.41 (broad s and dd, 2H, J = 7.8, 8.0 Hz), 8.70 (d, 1H, J = 4.8 Hz). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, room temperature): δ 20.4, 23.1, 32.2, 34.4, 126.7, 127.8, 131.0, 136.6, 138.7, 138.9, 141.2, 149.5, 150.2.

Isopropyl Methylamino 2-Pyridyl Sulfonium 2,4,6-Trimethylphenylsulfonate (3b) was prepared analogously from 2 (5.73 g, 25.0 mmol) and 1b (4.02 g, 27.0 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL), and the crude product (ca. 70% conversion) was used as such in the preparation of 4b.

Butyl Methylamino 2-Pyridyl Sulfonium 2,4,6-Trimethylphenylsulfonate (3c). This salt was prepared analogously to 3a to give a yellow oil still containing about 25% of unreacted starting materials. This mixture was used as such in the next step.

Decyl Methylamino 2-Pyridyl Sulfonium 2,4,6-Trimethylphenylsulfonate (3d). Analogously to 3a, freshly prepared 2 (4.59 g, 20.0 mmol) and 1d (5.23 g, 20.8 mmol) were reacted to give salt 3d. Yield 7.93 g (82%).

N,S-Dimethyl-2-pyridylsulfoximine (4a). The salt 2a, as obtained in the previous step, was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 , and the solution was cooled to -78 °C. At this temperature, a moderate stream of $NH₃$ was introduced for 5 min. After stirring for 10 min, the treatment with $NH₃$ was repeated. The mixture was allowed to slowly warm to 0 \degree C, and 3-chloroperbenzoic acid (5.2 g, 30.1 mmol) in $CH₂Cl₂$ (30 mL) was added dropwise at a pH value between 7 and 9. If the mixture became more acidic, again a stream of $NH₃$ was introduced. Then, the reaction mixture was stirred at 0 $^{\circ}$ C for 30 min, and the colorless precipitate was removed by filtration. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo using a bath temperature not exceeding 30 °C. The product was isolated by column chromatography (silica, eluent $CHCl₃$) and finally purified by recrystallization from $Et₂O/hexane$. Yield 1.72 g (48%) of 4a as colorless crystals, mp 52−53 °C. ¹ H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, room temperature): δ 2.68 (s, 3H), 3.25 (s, 3H), 7.52 (dd, 1H, J = 4.6, 7.6 Hz), 7.97 (d, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 8.13 (dd, 1H, J $= 7.6, 7.8$ Hz), 8.78 (d, 1H, J = 4.6 Hz). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, room temperature): δ 29.4, 40.9, 123.3, 126.4, 137.7, 150.3, 157.1. IR (KBr, cm[−]¹): ν 3100, 3035, 3006, 2959, 2928, 2877, 2805, 1577, 1453, 1426, 1413, 1322, 1290, 1237, 1156, 1124, 1105, 1076, 1041, 992, 953, 906, 854, 790, 771, 737, 704, 615. Elemental analysis is consistent with the gross formula $C_7H_{10}N_2OS$, $M_w = 170.23$. Anal. Calcd: C, 49.39; H, 5.92; N, 16.46; S, 11.38. Found: C, 49.37; H, 6.07; N, 16.43; S, 11.04.

S-Isopropyl-N-methyl-2-pyridylsulfoximine (4b). The compound 4b was obtained from the crude salt 3b as obtained from 1b and, after deprotonation with $NH₃$, the intermediate oxidized with 3chloroperbenzoic acid (6.16 g, 35.7 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (30 mL). The product was isolated by column chromatography (silica, eluent $CHCl₃$) to give 4b as a yellow oil. Yield 1.44 g (31%, based on the amount of $1\overline{b}$ used). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, room temperature): δ 1.21 and 1.46 (each d, 3H, J = 6.9 Hz), 2.69 (s, 3H), 3.70 (m, 1H), 7.50 (dd, 1H, $J = 4.6, 7.6$ Hz), 7.95 (d, 1H, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 8.11 (dd, 1H, J $= 7.6$, 8.0 Hz), 8.80 (d, 1H, J = 4.6 Hz). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, room temperature): δ 14.8, 16.0, 29.5, 52.7 125.5, 126.2, 137.5, 150.5, 155.9. IR (NaCl plate, cm⁻¹): ν 3076, 3043, 2962, 2932, 2806, 1558, 1466, 1446, 1365, 1265, 1238, 1144, 1116, 1079, 1050, 1036, 989, 939, 883, 857, 795, 749, 730, 678, 616. Elemental analysis is consistent with the gross formula $C_9H_{14}N_2OS$, $M_w = 198.28$. Anal. Calcd: C, 54.52; H, 7.12; N, 14.13; S, 16.17. Found: C, 54.42; H, 7.26; N, 13.99; S, 16.21.

S-Butyl-N-methyl-2-pyridylsulfoximine (4c). The crude salt 3b as obtained in the previous step from 1c (2.01 g, 12.0 mmol), and freshly prepared 2 (2.48 g, 10.8 mmol) was treated with NH_3 as reported above for 4a and oxidized with 3-chloroperbenzoic acid (2.5 g, 14.5 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL). The product was isolated by column chromatography (silica, eluent $CHCl₃$) to give 3c as a yellow oil. Yield 813 mg (38%, based on the amount of 1c used).

S-Decyl-N-methyl-2-pyridylsulfoximine (4d) was prepared analogously to 4a from salt 3d (7.93 g, 15.5 mmol). Yield 1.94 g (39%). Yellowish oil.

Oxido(diperoxido)(S-butyl-N-methyl-S-(2-pyridyl) **sulfoximine)molybdenum(VI) (5c).** $\text{MoO}(\text{O}_2)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$ (24 mL of a 0.1 M aqueous solution, 24 mmol)⁴⁴ was added to 4a (402 mg, 2.36) mmol) in MeOH (2 mL), and the reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 2 h. The [re](#page-6-0)sulting citrus yellow precipitate was removed by filtration and washed with H_2O , a little MeOH, and finally $Et₂O$ to remove organic impurities. After it was dried in vacuo, 5c (550 mg, 73%) was isolated as a microcrystalline yellow solid, mp 122 °C (dec). Yellow crystals were obtained by carefully placing hexane on top of a saturated solution in $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}$. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, room temperature): δ 1.01 (t, 3H, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.55 and 1.94 (each m, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.82 (m, 2H), 7.69 (dd, 1H, J = 5.0, 7.8 Hz), 7.96 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 8.15 (dd, 1H, J = 7.8, 8.0 Hz), 8.46 (d, 1H, $J = 5.0$ Hz, H-6). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, room temperature): δ 13.4, 21.6, 24.3, 37.2, 58.9, 122.8, 130.2, 140.6, 148.3, 149.5. IR (KBr, cm[−]¹): ν 3096, 3065, 2933, 2905, 2875, 1593, 1561, 1456, 1433, 1392, 1303, 1279, 1244, 1199, 1168, 1138, 1098, 1082, 1060, 1046, 1017,

Table 1. Selected Crystallographic Data of $\rm [MoO(O_2)_2\{SO(NMe)^nBu(NC_5H_4)\}]$ (5c) and $\rm [FeCl_2\{SO(NMe)Me(NC_5H_4)\}]_2$ · THF (6)

parameter	5c	6
empirical formula	$C_{10}H_{16}MoN_2O_6S$	$C_{18}H_{28}C_{14}Fe_2N_4O_3S_2$
fw, g mol ⁻¹	388.25	666.08
cryst system	monoclinic	triclinic
space group	$P2_1/c$	$P\overline{1}$
unit cell dimens		
a, \mathring{A}	8.5057(1)	8.7334(1)
b, \mathring{A}	18.5753(2)	8.9939(2)
c, \mathring{A}	9.4720(1)	9.3967(2)
α , deg		96.880(1)
β , deg	100.701(1)	103.671(1)
γ , deg		104.004(1)
cell vol, \mathring{A}^3	1470.51(3)	683.49(2)
Z	$\overline{4}$	$\mathbf{1}$
calcd density, $g \text{ cm}^{-3}$	1.754	1.618
abs coeff, mm ⁻¹	1.058	1.632
cryst size, mm	0.50/0.50/0.40	0.60/0.40/0.05
λ , Å	0.71073	0.71073
temperature, K	173	173
θ range for data collection, deg	$2.19 - 27.50$	$2.27 - 27.50$
index ranges	$-8 < h < 11$, $-24 < k < 23$, $-12 < l < 8$	$-11 < h$ 10, $-11 < k$ 11, $-12 < l < 12$
reflns collected	9860	4732
independent reflns	3357	3088
obs reflns $[I > 2\sigma(I_0)]$	3031	2516
no. of parameters	187	178
goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.000	1.000
R_1 [$I > 2\sigma(I_0)$], all data	0.0222, 0.0259	0.0476, 0.0563
wR , $[I > 2\sigma(I_0)]$, all data	0.0577, 0.0593	0.1169, 0.1204
largest diff peak and hole, $e \cdot \mathring{A}^{-3}$	$+0.608, -0.637$	$+0.968, -1.250$
CCDC no. ⁵¹	904588	907772

955, 892, [86](#page-6-0)5, 781, 760, 657, 585, 537. Elemental analysis is consistent with the gross formula $C_{10}H_{14}M_0N_2O_6S$, $M_w = 388.25$. Anal. Calcd: C, 30.94; H, 4.15; N, 7.22; S, 8.26. Found: C, 30.68; H, 4.10; N, 7.06; S, 8.31.

Analogously, the following were prepared:

Oxido(diperoxido)(S-butyl-N-methyl-S-(2-pyridyl)sulfoximine) molybdenum(VI) (5a) from sulfoximine 4a (402 mg, 2.36 mmol) and the molybdenum peroxide solution (24 mL). Yield 647 mg (80%) of a microcrystalline yellow solid, mp 128−129 °C (dec). IR (KBr, cm[−]¹): ν 3099, 3013, 2997, 2913, 1593, 1561, 1457, 1432, 1395, 1321, 1304, 1237, 1169, 1143, 1094, 1042, 1018, 957, 899, 863, 758, 713, 654, 637. Elemental analysis is consistent with the gross formula $C_7H_{10}MoN_2O_6S$, $M_w = 346.17$. Anal. Calcd: C, 24.29; H, 2.91; N, 8.09; S, 9.26. Found: C, 24.09; H, 2.88; N, 7.90; S, 9.27.

Oxido(diperoxido)(S-isopropyl-N-methyl-S-(2-pyridyl) sulfoximine)molybdenum(VI) (5b) from sulfoximine 4b (208 mg, 1.05 mmol) and the molybdenum peroxide solution (11 mL). Yield 270 mg (67%) of a microcrystalline yellow solid, mp 136 °C (dec). Oxido(diperoxido)(S-decyl-N-methyl-S-(2-pyridyl)sulfoximine) molybdenum(VI) (5d) from sulfoximine 4d (203 mg, 0.68 mmol) and the molybdenum peroxide solution (9 mL). Yield 150 mg (47%) of a microcrystalline yellow solid, mp 84 °C (dec).

Bis{(dichlorido)(N,S-dimethyl-2-pyridylsulfoximine)iron(II)}, Tetrahydrofuran Solvate (1:1) (6). Dry $FeCl₂$ (80 mg, 0.63 mmol) in dry THF (50 mL) was stirred at ambient temperature till a clear solution was obtained (if traces of $H₂O$ were excluded, this solution was almost colorless; otherwise a yellow or brown color developed and the subsequent reaction with the ligand did not proceed well). Ligand 4a (112 mg, 0.65 mmol) was added, which led to an immediate orange-red color of the solution. The mixture was stirred overnight and concentrated to one-third of its volume. After 24 h at −5 °C, the Fe complex separated as a microcrystalline orange-red solid, which was removed by filtration and dried in vacuo. To the solution was added

Et₂O carefully, and the mixture was cooled to 0 \degree C. This led to the precipitation of more orange-red solid. Overall yield 173 mg (93%), mp 186 °C (dec). The solid was dissolved in dry THF, the insoluble material was removed by filtration, and the solution was carefully covered with a layer of Et₂O. After 15 days, yellow to orange-red crystals of 6 separated. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν 3085, 3074, 3008, 2977, 2902, 2817, 1590, 1562, 1457, 1435, 1239, 1096, 1080, 1061, 1011, 959, 874, 784, 755, 704. Elemental analysis is consistent with the gross formula $C_{18}H_{28}Cl_4Fe_2N_4O_3S_2$, $M_w = 666.08$. Anal. Calcd: C, 32.46; H, 4.24; N, 8.41; S, 9.62; Cl, 21.29. Found: C, 32.22; H, 4.31; N, 8.16; S, 9.61; Cl, 21.35.

(R)-(+)-Methyl 2-Pyridylsulfoxide (7). Diethyl (2R,3R)-tartrate (3.26 g, 15.8 mmol), Ti(OⁱPr)₄ (2.33 mL, 2.245 g, 7.9 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (60 mL), and water (142 μ L, 7.9 mmol) were stirred at room temperature till a homogeneous mixture was obtained. Sulfide 1a (993 mg, 7.9 mmol) was added, and then at −21 °C ^tBuOOH (2.22 mL of a 3.7 M solution in PhMe, 8.2 mmol) was added. After stirring at this temperature for 18 h, the mixture was diluted with water (1.4 mL, 10 equiv) and the temperature was maintained for another 30 min. Then, the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for 1 h for complete hydrolysis. The precipitate was removed by filtration through Celite, and the solution was concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica, eluent petroleum ether/EtOAc 1:2). Yield 705 mg (63%) of a colorless oil, $[\alpha]_D^{3.5}$ +62.5 ($c = 0.980$ in CHCl₃), 64% ee as determined by GLC on Lipodex E. During chromatography, the first fractions contained almost enantiopure material $(99\% \text{ ee}),^{45,46}$ but the optical purity then went continuously down to finally 46% ee.

(R)-(−)-N,S-Dimethyl-2-pyridylsulfoximine [\(4a](#page-6-0)). (R)-(+)-Sulfoxide 7 (357 mg, 2.5 mmol, 99% ee) and freshly prepared 2 (580 mg, 2.5 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) were stirred at 28-30 °C for 24 h. Then, the solvent was widely removed in vacuo, and the mixture was

allowed to stand at ambient temperature for 5 days. According to the 1 H NMR spectroscopic evidence, 15−20% of 7 had reacted. The crude product was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL), and the mixture was cooled to 0 °C. $\text{EtN}(\text{Pr})_{2}$ (0.2 mL, 1.15 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred first at 0 °C for 1 h and then at ambient temperature for 2 h. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica, eluent $CHCl₃$), removing 264 mg of unreacted 7. Yield 52 mg (12% based on the total amount of 7 used, 46% based on conversion), colorless oil, $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{23.5}$ –171.9 ($c = 0.5$ in CHCl₃).

General Procedure for Epoxidation Reactions. Alkene 8, 10, or 12 (10 mmol) and catalyst 5 (0.05 mmol) were dissolved in toluene (20 mL) or CHCl₃ (25 mL). ^tBuOOH (2.7 mL, 3.7 M in PhMe, 10 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 5 h or for 1 h at 55 °C with toluene or CHCl₃ as solvent, respectively. Alcohol 12 was epoxidized in CHCl₃ at 50 $^{\circ}$ C for 2 days. Yields were determined by capillary GLC on Lipodex E.

X-ray Data Collection, Structure Determination, and Refinement. The data sets for the complexes were collected on a Siemens axs SMART CCD system (Mo K α radiation, graphite monochromator, ω -scans). A full hemisphere of the reciprocal space was scanned with 1271 frames in three sets; each frame covered 0.3° in ω . An empirical absorption correction was carried out with the program SADABS⁴⁷ (5c: T_{min} 0.703, T_{max} 1.000. 6: T_{min} 0.561, T_{max} 1.000). Both structures were solved with direct methods $(SHELXS-97)^{48}$ and refined [wit](#page-6-0)h full-matrix least-squares against F_o^2 using the program SHELXL-97.⁴⁹ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anis[otr](#page-6-0)opic temperature factors. Hydrogen atoms were calculated in idealized positions us[ing](#page-6-0) a riding model with isotropic temperature factors combined in different logical groups. For molecular graphics and publication materials, the program package SHELXTL-PLUS was used.⁵⁰ Special refinement procedures are given in the Supporting Information. Crystallographic details are provided in Table 1.

■ [RESUL](#page-5-0)TS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis. Ligands (4) are synthesized starting from 2pyridyl sulfides (1), which can be N-methyliminated using Nmethyl-O-mesitylsulfonyl-hydroxylamine (2) adopting a literature method. 40 The resulting salts (3) are deprotonated with gaseous ammonia and in situ oxidized with 3-chloroperbenzoic acid to give ra[ce](#page-6-0)mic sulfoximines (4) (Scheme 1). To obtain an optically active sulfoxime, a different route had to be taken (Scheme 2). The crucial intermediate is sulfoxide 7, which could be obtained in optically pure form using a modified Sharpless procedure as suggested by Kagan,⁵² where a $(2R,3R)$ tartrate provides the sulfoxide in the shown (R) configuration.⁵² The subsequent imination is known to pro[ce](#page-6-0)ed without loss of optical purity.⁵³ However, for the methylimination of optica[lly](#page-6-0) active sulfoxide 7 using hydroxylamine derivative (2), rather mild tempera[tu](#page-6-0)res had to be applied to get a pure product (Scheme 2). Under these conditions, the reaction turned out to be rather inefficient, but unreacted starting material (7) could be recovered and used again. The final deprotonation step was best carried out using Hü nig base, preferably at temperatures not much above ambient temperature (Scheme 2).

Molybdenum Complexes (5). Sulfoximines (4) undergo a smooth reaction with molybdenum(VI) oxidediperoxide- (dihydrate) as generated from molybdenum trioxide and 30% hydrogen peroxide.⁴⁴ For 5c, crystals of sufficient quality for an X-ray structure analysis can be precipitated by addition of hexane to a solu[tio](#page-6-0)n of the complex in dichloromethane. Complex 5c is mononuclear and crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2₁/c$. If the peroxo groups are each considered as one ligand, the central metal ion \overline{Mo}^{6+} is coordinated in a distorted trigonal-bipyramidal fashion, interestingly with the single oxygen on the metal and the pyridine nitrogen in the axial position; this configuration is considered the trans

Scheme 2. Enantioselective Synthesis of Sulfoxide $(R)-(+)$ -7 and of Sulfoximine $(R)-(-)$ -4a

arrangement (Figure 1); four independently studied crystals gave the same lattice parameters from which the consistent occurrence of the tran[s](#page-4-0) configuration in the crystalline state can be derived.⁵⁴ Also, the NMR spectroscopic evidence shows that only one diastereomer is formed to which then mutatis mutandis [th](#page-6-0)e trans configuration should be assigned. In contrast, the synthesis of similar molybdenum coordination compounds with N,N-dicoordination gave cis/trans mixtures.^{54,55} However, at room temperature in CDCl₃ solution, a slow partial isomerization of the trans to the cis isomer in 5c is sh[own b](#page-6-0)y the appearance of a new triplet at δ 0.89 for the Cmethyl group of the butyl residue in the ¹H NMR spectrum. Similarly, a new H-6 signal shows up at δ 8.85. A striking feature of the structure is the long axial N(1)−Mo bond of 2.404 (2) Å as compared to 2.175 (2) Å for the equatorial N(2)−Mo bond. This may reflect the trans influence of the oxygen $O(1)$ and may contribute to the strong preference of the trans arrangement (i.e., with the more weakly donating pyridine nitrogen in this position). The effect is more pronounced than in previously studied molybdenum complexes with 2,2'-bipyridines^{56,57} or $3-(2$ -pyridyl)pyrazoles.^{54,58}^{*} Similarly, only the N(2)−Mo value is close to the median of 2.24 Å for the Mo−N bond [in M](#page-6-0)o-(N,N) chelates as derive[d from](#page-6-0) 529 entries in the CSD.⁵⁹ However, it should be noted that the inherently longer S−C bond in the N−S−C−N unit of the ligand in 5c as com[par](#page-6-0)ed to the N−C−C−N unit in previously

Figure 1. Structure of $[MoO₂(O₂)₂{SO(NMe)ⁿBu(NC₅H₄)}$ (5c) without hydrogen atoms. (Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level.) Bond lenghts (Å): Mo−O1 1.6869(13), Mo− O2 1.9353(13), Mo−O3 1.9645(13), Mo−O4 1.9554(13), Mo−O5 1.9255(13), Mo−N1 2.4038(14), Mo−N2 2.1750(14), S−O6 1.4498(12), S−N2 1.5746(15), S−C5 1.7854(17), S−C7 1.7917(17), N1−C1 1.335(2), N1−C5 1.344(2), N2−C6 1.497(2), O2−O3 1.4782(18), O4−O5 1.4804(19). Angles on S (deg): C5−S− C7 106.14(8), C5−S−O6 110.37(8), C7−S−O6 108.84(8), C5−S− N2 102.18(8), C7-S-N2 111.52(8), O6-S-N2 117.11(8).

studied coordination compounds (ca. 4.10 Å)^{46−50} gives a longer distance between the N atoms (4.703 Å), which allows some more flexibility, and obviously favors the [observ](#page-6-0)ed trans arrangement of the sulfoximine ligand. The bond angles around sulfur correspond closely to those in the free ligand⁵ with the O−S−N angle being widest.

Iron Complex 6. Similar to 5, coordination com[po](#page-5-0)und 6 is prepared from a solution of iron(II) dichloride in THF and ligand 4a, with careful exclusion of water. From the orange-red solution in THF, well-developed crystals of 6 slowly deposit. Complex 6 is sensitive against air and moisture but could be subjected to a single-crystal X-ray investigation. Coordination compound 6 crystallizes as a dimeric, binuclear complex in the triclinic space group \overline{PI} and was found to contain a dinuclear structure with one molecule of THF positioned close to the inversion center (Figure 2). In contrast to 5c, ligands around the iron $(2+)$ atom are arranged to give a distorted squarepyramid environment, where the metal atom is $0.635(1)$ Å above the best plane through N1, N2, Cl2, and Cl2A. The central unit of the structure of 6 is a planar μ -Cl₂Fe₂ fourmembered ring with the inversion center of the molecule as central point. The bond lengths of the iron to the chelate nitrogens differ much less than in 5c with $Fe-N(1) = 2.204(3)$ Å and Fe−N(2) = 2.107(2) Å. In contrast to the trans-oriented external chlorine atoms in 6, an otherwise closely related μ - $Cl₂Fe₂$ complex with a bithiazole ligand shows the cis orientation of the terminal chlorine atoms.⁶⁰ But a μ -Cl₂Fe₂ complex with a dithiolato chelate was also found to have trans orientation of the chlorine atoms and reveal[s v](#page-6-0)ery similar bond lengths to 6.61

Sulfoximino Ligands. In both complexes 5c and 6, the sulfoximine [lig](#page-6-0)ands are coordinated to the metal ions via the two nitrogen atoms (N1, N2). In view of the oxophilic nature of the metals, this may be surprising but appears to be the uniform coordination mode in uncharged sulfoximine complexes.12,15,19,62 Not unexpectedly, the main effect of metal coordination is on the $S=N$ bond, which is significantly longer than i[n free](#page-5-0) [su](#page-6-0)lfoximines with an average value of 1.537 Å

Figure 2. Structure of $[FeCl₂{SO(NMe)Me(NC₅H₄)}]_{2}$ ·THF (6) without hydrogen atoms and THF solvent molecule (displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level). Bond lenghts (A) : Fe−Cl1 2.3033(9), Fe−Cl2 2.3915(8), Fe−Cl2A 2.4796(8), Fe−N1 2.204(3), Fe−N2 2.107(2), S−O 1.449(2), S−N2 1.551(3), S−C5 1.777(3), S−C7 1.770(3), N1−C1 1.338(4), N1−C5 1.341(4), N2− C6 1.491(4). Symmetry operation: $A -x + 1$, $-y + 1$, $-z$. Angles on S (deg): C5−S−C7 104.52(15), C7−S−O 107.66(16), C5−S−O 109.74(14), C5−S−N2 102.88(14), C7−S−N2 111.56(15), O−S− N2 119.43(15).

reflecting the electron transfer from nitrogen to the metal.^{5,12} So the difference in the $S=N(2)$ bond distances between 5c $[1.5746(15)$ Å] and 6 $[1.551(3)$ Å] demonstrates the spe[cial](#page-5-0) electron-withdrawing effect of molybdenum. Otherwise, the structure of ligands 4a,c turns out to be rather similar when coordinated to the metals of 5c and 6 and also in comparison to free sulfoximines. This is in line with previously obtained results giving only "minor structural changes"¹⁵ on coordination to copper,^{15,62} zinc,⁶² palladium,¹⁹ or rhodium.¹²

Catalytic Effects. Molybdenum coordina[tio](#page-5-0)n compounds are known t[o](#page-5-0) [ca](#page-6-0)taly[ze](#page-6-0) the epo[xid](#page-5-0)ation of a[lke](#page-5-0)nes by hydroperoxides.31−³⁴ This invited to test the molybdenum/ sulfoximine complexes (5) as catalysts in the epoxidation of various alken[es. A](#page-5-0)n attractive feature should be that coordination compounds (5) dissolve well in unpolar organic solvents such as chloroform or toluene. The optimum reaction conditions were found using cyclooctene (8) as substrate (Scheme 3). All complexes (5) turned out to catalyze the epoxidation of 8 by tert-butyl hydroperoxide efficiently at the low catalyst concentration of 0.5 mol %. In chloroform at 55 °C or in toluene at 85 °C, yields in the 90−95% range within 30

Scheme 3. Epoxidation of Alkenes Catalyzed by Coordination Compounds (5)

min are observed. This means that our molybdenum complexes (5) are among the best-performing epoxidation catalysts.³¹ A comparison of turnover numbers (TON) shows that the efficiency of the catalyst is improved by longer carbon chains on the sulfoximine sulfur: in toluene, the TONs increase from 1900 to almost 2700 h⁻¹ on going from the S-methyl $(5a)$ via the S-butyl (5c) to the S-decyl residue (5d). In contrast, the branched and so sterically more demanding S-isopropyl compound 5b gives a TON of only 1460 h^{-1} . The variation of the substrate revealed that monosubstituted alkenes 10 are conveniently oxidized to oxiranes 11. In toluene at 100 °C and employing catalyst 5a, styrene (10a), allylbenzene (10b), and 1-octene (10c) give 52, 53 and 64% of epoxidation products (11) (Scheme 3). Also, the allylic alcohol 12 is successfully epoxidized to 13 in 61% yield. In contrast, all attempts to use complex 5a inc[or](#page-4-0)porating the optically active ligand $(R)-(-)$ -4a in enantioselective epoxidation gave no significant asymmetric induction. Iron complex 6 was tested as a catalyst in the cyclodimerization of simple dienes, which is known to proceed well in the presence of an iron/1,4-diaza-1,3-diene catalyst,⁶³ but turned out to have no catalytic effect.

■ CONCLUSIONS

Both metal ions Mo^{6+} and Fe^{2+} undergo a smooth reaction with sulfoximines (4) to give coordination compounds 5 and 6, respectively. In contrast to the mononuclear complex 5c, the chlorine atoms in 6 lead to a dimeric structure. Within the N− M−N unit, the Mo complex 5c shows a pronounced difference in the M−N bond lengths, which reflects the mixed axial/ equatorial "trans" position of the N atoms. In contrast, in the Fe complex 6, the M−N distances are rather similar. Except for the $S=N$ bond in the sulfoximine unit, the bond lengths within the sulfoximine ligands in 5c and 6 are rather similar to those reported for free sulfoximines.^{5,12,15} It appears that the sulfoximines are "innocent spectator ligands", ⁶⁴ though they obviously modulate the catalytic efficiency of the metals. Actually, the synthetic experience shows th[at](#page-6-0) for satisfying catalytic efficiency in the promotion of reactions and of enantioselective product formation fine-tuning of the ligands is required,^{15,19,22} but the crystal structures do not clearly reflect these effects. Thus, various coordination compounds of optically active sulfoximines with $copper(II)$ give widely varying enantioselectivities in halogenations reactions, 22 but the available structural data of copper complexes give no straightforward rationale.¹⁵ Similarly, a rhodium complex with an optically active sulfoximine gives normal structural data and promotes the hydrosilylation of acetophenone but does not allow enantioselectivity.¹² This is also seen for complexes (5) , which in our exploratory experiments are good catalysts for the epoxidation of alkenes, but a modification with optically active 4a as ligand is not efficient in the asymmetric version.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Spectroscopic and analytical data of 1c, 1d, 3d, 4c, 4d, 5a, 5b, and 5d. For 5c and 6, CIF files giving crystal data and tables, and text giving crystallographic details, all bond lengths and angles, atomic coordinates, and thermal parameters. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E. Schaumann. E-mail: ernst.schaumann@tu-clausthal.de.

Notes

The authors declare no competing fi[nancial interest.](mailto:ernst.schaumann@tu-clausthal.de)

■ REFERENCES

(1) Magnus, P. D. Tetrahedron 1977, 33, 2019−2045.

(2) Drabowicz, J.; Lewkowski, J.; Kudelska, W.; Girek, T. In Science of Synthesis; Kambe, N., Ed.; Thieme: Stuttgart, Germany, 2008; Vol. 39, pp 123−154.

(3) Drabowicz, J.; Lewkowski, J.; Kudelska, W.; Girek, T. In Science of Synthesis; Kambe, N., Ed.; Thieme: Stuttgart, Germany, 2008; Vol. 39, pp 154−173.

- (4) Lü cking, U. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 9399−9408.
- (5) Reggelin, M.; Zur, C. Synthesis 2000, 1−64.
- (6) Gais, H.-J. Heteroat. Chem. 2007, 18, 472−481.
- (7) Okamura, H.; Bolm, C. Chem. Lett. 2004, 33, 462−487.
- (8) Pellissier, H. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 1297−1330.

(9) Mellah, M.; Voituriez, A.; Schulz, E. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5133− 5209.

- (10) Bolm, C.; Simic, O. ́ J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 3830−3831.
- (11) Frings, M.; Atodiresei, I.; Wang, Y.; Runsink, J.; Raabe, G.; Bolm, C. Chem.—Eur. J. 2010, 16, 4577–4587.
- (12) Cadierno, V.; Díez, J.; García-Garrido, S. E.; Gimeno, J.; Pizzano, A. Polyhedron 2010, 29, 3380−3386.
- (13) Kinahan, T. C.; Tye, H. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2001, 12, 1255−1257.

(14) Reetz, M. T.; Bondarev, O. G.; Gais, H.-J.; Bolm, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 5643−5646.

- (15) Reggelin, M.; Weinberger, H.; Spohr, V. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 1295−1306.
- (16) Bolm, C.; Martin, M.; Simic, O.; Verrucci, M. ́ Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 427−429.
- (17) Langner, M.; Remy, P.; Bolm, C. ́ Synlett 2005, 781−784.

(18) Sedelmeier, J.; Hammerer, T.; Bolm, C. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 917−920.

- (19) Bolm, C.; Kaufmann, D.; Zehnder, M.; Neuburger, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 3985−3988.
- (20) Bolm, C.; Simic, O.; Martin, M. ́ Synlett 2001, 1878−1880.
- (21) Bolm, C.; Verrucci, M.; Simic, O.; Cozzi, P. G.; Raabe, G.; ́ Okamura, H. Chem. Commun. 2003, 2826−2827.
- (22) Frings, M.; Bolm, C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 4085−4090.
- (23) Frings, M.; Goedert, D.; Bolm, C. Chem. Commun. 2010, 5497− 5499.
	- (24) Bolm, C.; Felder, M.; Müller, J. Synlett 1992, 439−441.
	- (25) Lu, S.-M.; Bolm, C. Chem.—Eur. J. 2008, 14, 7513–7516.
	- (26) Lu, S.-M.; Bolm, C. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 1101−1105.
	- (27) Bolm, C.; Martin, M.; Gesacheidt, G.; Palivan, C.; Neshchadin,
- D.; Bertagnolli, H.; Feth, M.; Schweiger, A.; Mitrikas, G.; Harmer, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6222−6227.

(28) Spohr, V.; Kaiser, J. P.; Reggelin, M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry. 2006, 17, 500−503.

- (29) Lemasson, F.; Gais, H.-J.; Runsink, J.; Raabe, G. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 2157−2175.
- (30) Fü rstner, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 2794−2819.
- (31) Jimtaisong, A.; Luck, R. L. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 10391−10402.
- (32) Kü hn, F. E.; Zhao, J.; Herrmann, W. A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry. 2005, 16, 3469−3479.
- (33) Shylesh, S.; Jia, M.; Thiel, W. R. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 4395−4410.
- (34) Herbert, M.; Montilla, F.; Á lvarez, E.; Galindo, A. Dalton Trans. 2012, 41, 6942−6956.
- (35) Melník, M.; Vančová, V.; Ondrejkovičová, I.; Holloway, C. E. Rev. Inorg. Chem. 1997, 17, 55−286.
- (36) Melník, M.; Baloghova, Z.; Ondrejkovičová, I.; Vancova, V. Rev. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 26, 1−365.
- (37) Sherry, B. D.; Fürstner, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1500-1511.
- (38) Furukawa, N.; Takahashi, F.; Kawai, T.; Kishimoto, K.; Ogawa, S.; Oae, S. Phosphorus Sulfur 1983, 16, 167−180.
- (39) Woods, S. G.; Matya, B. T.; Vinogradoff, A. P.; Tong, Y. C. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1984, 21, 97-101.
- (40) Franek, W.; Claus, P. K. Monatsh. Chem. 1990, 121, 539−547.
- (41) Lawrence, R.; Waight, E. S. J. Chem. Soc. B 1968, 1−6.
- (42) Nakagawa, I.; Aki, K.; Hata, T. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1983, 1315−1318.
- (43) Tamura, Y.; Ikeda, H.; Morita, I.; Tsubouchi, H.; Ikeda, M. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1982, 30, 1221−1224.
- (44) Mimoun, H.; de Roch, I. S.; Sajus, L. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1969, 36, 1481−1492.
- (45) Reggelin, M. Nachr. Chem., Tech. Lab. 1997, 45, 392−396.
- (46) Girard, C.; Kagan, H. B. Can. J. Chem. 2000, 78, 816−828.
- (47) Sheldrick, G. M. SADABS: Program for area detector absorption correction; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1996.
- (48) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXS-97: Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- (49) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-97: Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- (50) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXTL-PLUS: Structure Determination Software Programs, Version 5.03 (PC); Siemens Analytical X-Ray Instruments: Madison, WI, 1995.
- (51) Allen, F. H.; Hennard, O.. Chem. Des. Autom. News 1993, 8, 31− 37.
- (52) Pitchen, P.; Duñ ach, E.; Deshmukh, M. N.; Kagan, H. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 8188−8193.
- (53) Johnson, C. R.; Kirchhoff, R. A.; Corkins, H. G. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 2458−2459.
- (54) Thiel, W. R.; Angstl, M.; Priermeier, T. Chem. Ber. 1994, 127, 2373−2379.
- (55) Sergienko, V. S. Crystallogr. Rep. 2008, 53, 18−46.
- (56) Schlemper, E. O.; Schrauzer, G. N.; Hughes, L. A. Polyhedron 1984, 3, 377−380.
- (57) Herrmann, W. A.; Thiel, W. R.; Kuchler, J. G.; Behm, J.; Herdtweck, E. Chem. Ber. 1990, 123, 1963−1970.
- (58) Thiel, W. R.; Priermeier, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1995, 34, 1737−1738.
- (59) Coelho, A. C.; Almeida Paz, F. A.; Klinowski, J.; Pillinger, M.; Gonçalves, I. S. Molecules 2006, 11, 940-952.
- (60) Raper, E. S.; Miller, A.; Glowiak, T.; Kubiak, M. Transition Met. Chem. (Dordrecht, Neth.) 1989, 14, 319−320.
- (61) Mills, D. K.; Hsiao, Y. M.; Farmer, P. J.; Atnip, E. V.; Reibenspies, J. H.; Darensbourg, M. Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 1421−1423.
- (62) Zehnder, M.; Bolm, C.; Schaffner, S.; Kaufmann, D.; Müller, J. Liebigs Ann. 1995, 125−129.
- (63) Baldenius, K.-U.; tom Dieck, H.; Kö nig, W. A.; Icheln, D.; Runge, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1992, 31, 305−307.
- (64) Sugiyama, H.; Hayashi, Y.; Kawaguchi, H.; Tatsumi, K. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 37, 6773−6779.