CONTRIBUTION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY. PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540

# **Raman and Infrared Spectra of Crystalline Halothallates**

**BY** THOMAS G. SPIRO

*Received November 11, 1966* 

Raman and far-infrared spectra have been obtained for a number of crystalline hexa- and tetrahalothallates. For the hexachloro and hexabromo salts, all vibrational frequencies expected for the octahedral complexes have been observed and assigned. The spectra of the tetrahalothallates are most consistent with a tetrahedral structure for TlX<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> (X = Cl, Br, and I) even for some salts of TlBr<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> (Cs<sup>+</sup>, Rb<sup>+</sup>, and K<sup>+</sup>) for which square-planar coordination has previously been reported.

### Introduction

Recently, Raman spectra were reported for a number of crystalline chlorothallates of known structure, $<sup>1</sup>$  with</sup> a view toward elucidating the structure of thallium(II1) chloride complexes in solution.2 In the present work Raman studies are extended to crystalline bromo- and iodothallates. In addition, infrared spectra are reported for the various halothallates.

The main focus of this investigation is on the structural relations among the thallium(II1) halide complexes. **A** fair amount of X-ray and spectroscopic evidence is now available on this subject. X-Ray studies have shown that  $TICl_0^{3-}$  is octahedral in its potassium salt<sup>3</sup> and that  $TICl_4$ <sup>-</sup> is tetrahedral in its tetraphenylarsonium salt.<sup>4</sup> Raman spectra<sup>1</sup> are in full accord with these structures and indicate that they are retained in solution as well, In the bromide system,  $T1Br<sub>6</sub><sup>3-</sup>$  is known to be octahedral in its rubidium<sup>3</sup> and  $Co(NH_3)^{3+5}$  salts, and solution Raman spectra indicate a tetrahedral structure for T1Br<sub>4</sub> $-.6$  In crystals, however, the structure of  $T1Br_4^-$  would appear to be somewhat variable. It is reported to be tetrahedral in T1T1Br<sub>4</sub>,<sup>7</sup> but square-planar in CsT1Br<sub>4</sub> and also in  $RbT1Br_4·H_2O$ , with a water coordinated above the square plane, and in  $KT1Br_4\cdot H_2O$  and  $NH_4Th$ - $Br_4 \tcdot 2H_2O$ , with waters coordinated above and below the plane.<sup>5,8,9</sup> A full account of the square-planar structures does not appear to have been published, however. Salts of TII<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> can be prepared.<sup>4,10</sup> Very recently alkali metal salts of composition  $MTII_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  $(M = NH<sub>4</sub>, K, Rb, or Cs)$  have been reported to be isomorphous with  $KTlBr_4.2H_2O$  and  $NH_4TlBr_4.2H_2O$ on the basis of X-ray powder patterns,<sup>11</sup> and the iodine nuclear quadrupole resonance was interpreted accordingly. Higher iodo complexes are unknown.

**(10) J. H. Pratt,** *Am. J.* Sci., **149, 397 (1895).** 

A major conclusion of this study is that the reported square-planar coordination of TlBr<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> is inconsistent with its vibrational spectrum. All of the tetrahalothallates appear to be normally tetrahedral.

## Experimental Section

The preparation of the chloride complexes was described previously.1 The bromothallates were crystallized from appropriate mixtures of aqueous solutions of thallic bromide and the required bromide salt (cesium, rubidium, or potassium) according to Pratt.<sup>10</sup> The thallous salt was prepared by allowing thallic and thallous bromides to react, as described by Hazell.' Tetra-  $(n$ -butyl) tetraiodothallate,  $(n-C_4H_8)_4NTII_4$ , was prepared by halide exchange in the manner of Cotton, *et al*,<sup>4</sup> Attempts were made to prepare alkali metal tetraiodothallates, which have been reported,<sup>10,11</sup> but the residues obtained always appeared to contain free iodine, and they were not used further.

Since the bromo- and iodothallates are all colored (yellow to red), their Raman spectra could not be obtained with the conventional mercury arc exciting radiation. Good-quality spectra on polycrystalline samples were obtained, however, on a Cary Model 81 Raman spectrophotometer equipped with a heliumneon laser source **(6328 A)** made available through the courtesy Cary Instruments, Monrovia, Calif. For infrared work the crystals were mulled in Nujol and placed on polyethylene windows. Spectra above **190** cm-1 were scanned with a Beckman **IR-12** infrared spectrophotometer, and those between **40** and **220**  cm<sup>-1</sup>, with an RIIC FS-520 interferometer.<sup>12</sup>

## Results

The Raman spectra are traced in Figure 1. Raman and infrared band frequencies are tabulated in Table I for  $TIX_6^{3-}$  species and in Table II for  $TIX_4$ <sup>-</sup> species. The infrared bands are fairly broad, and their maxima are uncertain by  $\sim \pm 5$  cm<sup>-1</sup> For the bands below 140 cm<sup>-1</sup> they are uncertain by as much as 10 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Assignments are made on the basis of Raman intensities, and by reference to band orderings for analogous compounds. **l3** In some cases splittings attributable to lowered site symmetry are used, as noted below.

#### Discussion

 $TIX_6{}^{3-}$  **Species.**—The Raman spectrum of Rb<sub>3</sub>- $TIBr_6.^8/7H_2O$  contains the three bands expected for octahedral species, as well as a weak band at  $49 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , probably due to a lattice mode. As in the case of  $K_3TICl_6$ .  $H_2O$ ,<sup>1</sup> the two stretching bands  $(\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2)$  are unusually close together and are of comparable intensity.

For octahedral species two infrared bands are ex-

**<sup>(1)</sup>** T **G. Spiio,** *Inovg. Chcm.,* **4, 1290 (1965).** 

*<sup>(2)</sup>* **T.** *G.* **Spiro,** *ibid.,* **4, 731 (1965).** 

**<sup>(3)</sup>** J. L. **Hoard and** L. **Goldstein,** *J. Chem. Phys.,* **3, 646 (lY36).** 

**<sup>(4)</sup>** F. **A. Cotton, B. F. G. Johnson, and 12.** M. **Wing,** *Inovg. Chem.,* **4, 502 (1965).** 

**<sup>(5)</sup> T. Watanabe,** M. **Atoji, and C. Okagaki,** *Acta Cuyst.,* **3, 405 (1950).** 

**<sup>(6)</sup>** *M.* **Delwaulle,** *Comfit. Rend.,* **238, 2522 (1954).** 

**<sup>(7)</sup> A. C. Hazel],** *J. Chem.* Soc., **3459 (1963).** 

**<sup>(8)</sup>** T. **Watanabe,** *Y.* **Sarto, R. Shiono, and** M. **Atoji, Abstracts, First Congress of the International** Union **of Crystallography, 1948, p 30; see**  *Stvuct. Rept* , **11, 393 (1947-1948).** 

<sup>(9)</sup> T. Watanabe and M. Atoji, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **72**, 3819 (1950).

<sup>(11)</sup> **H. Kashiwaga,** D. **Nakamura, and** M. **Kubo, Abstracts, 9th International Conference** on **Cooidination Chemistry, St. Moritz, Switzerland, Sept 1966.** 

<sup>(12)</sup> **W.** J. **Hurley,** *J. Chem. Educ.,* **43,236 (1966).** 

**<sup>(13)</sup>** K. **Nakamoto, "Infrared Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds," John Wiley and** Sons, **Inc., New York,** N. *Y.,* **1963.** 



Figure 1.-Raman spectra of halothallate crystalline powders. Cary Model 81 with 50-mw Se-He laser source; RD5. All samples were run as pellets, except for  $(n-C_4H_9)$ <sub>4</sub>NTII<sub>4</sub> which was packed in a 0.5-mm i.d. Suprasil capillary tube. Sensitivity and slit width (cm<sup>-1</sup>), respectively, for the individual spectra are: TIT1Br<sub>4</sub>, 5, 2; CsT1Br<sub>4</sub>, 2.4, 3; KT1Br<sub>4</sub>, 2, 3; RbT1Br<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, 9, 2; (n-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>)<sub>4</sub>NTH<sub>4</sub>, 10, 2.5; Rb3T1Brs.\*/7H20, 10, **3.** 

TABLE I VIBRATIONAL FREQUENCIES (cM-') OF **HEXAHALOIIIALLAIES~** 

TABLE I1 VIBRATIONAL FREQUENCIES  $(\text{CM}^{-1})$  of TETRAHALOTHALLATES<sup>"</sup>



*<sup>a</sup>*R, observed in the Raman; I, observed in the infrared, sh, shoulder.  $\mathbb{R}^b$  From ref 1.

pected, both of  $F_{1u}$  symmetry. The greater complexity of the actual spectra of  $Rb_3T1Br_6.^8/\gamma H_2O$  and  $K_3T1$ - $Cl_6 \cdot H_2O$  (see Table I) may be understood on the basis of the lowered site symmetry,  $C_i$ , of the complexes in the crystals.<sup>3</sup> The  $F_{1u}$  modes should both be split. Actually the highest frequency  $(\nu_3)$  band appears to be little affected, although a shoulder at  $190 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is observed on the  $195$ -cm<sup>-1</sup> TlBr<sub>6</sub><sup>3-</sup> band. However, in the region where  $\nu_4$  is expected, two bands, separated by about  $25 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , appear for both compounds.

Also the inactive  $F_{2u}$  mode should split and become infrared active. The lowest frequency absorptions may arise in this way. The  $F$ -matrix elements for the  $F_{2g}$  ( $\dot{v}_5$ ) and  $F_{2u}$  ( $v_6$ ) modes of an octahedral  $XY_6$  molecule are identical on the assumption of a Urey-Bradley force field, and nearly so for a generalized valence force



<sup>a</sup> R, observed in the Raman; I, observed in the infrared; sh, shoulder.  $<sup>b</sup>$  From ref 1.</sup>

field.14 The G-matrix elements, on the other hand, differ by a factor of 2. Thus, it is expected that  $\nu_5/\nu_6 \approx$  $\sqrt{2}$ . On this basis  $\nu_6$  is predicted at 110 cm<sup>-1</sup> for  $TICl_{6}^{3-}$  (observed 136 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and at 68 cm<sup>-1</sup> for TlBr<sub>6</sub><sup>3-</sup> (observed  $80 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). Actually in a series of hexafluorides for which all fundamental frequencies<sup>15</sup> have been determined,  $\nu_5/\nu_6$  ranges between 1.1 and 1.6. The observed low-frequency bands could, therefore, reasonably arise from  $F_{2u}$ . However, they are very broad and may contain lattice modes as well.

<sup>(14)</sup> See ref 13, p 264 ff.

 $TIX_4$ <sup>-</sup> Species.—The Raman spectrum of  $TIBr_4$ <sup>-</sup> in its thallous salt is entirely consistent with the tetrahedral structure reported by Hazell.<sup>7</sup> The sharp, strong band at  $182^{-1}$  is clearly the symmetric stretching mode,  $\nu_1(A_1)$ , of the complex, and the weaker band at  $198 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  must then be the asymmetric stretching mode,  $\nu_3$  (F<sub>2</sub>). There are two well-resolved bands of moderate intensity at low frequency, 56 and 74 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which may be assigned to the two expected bending modes,  $\nu_2$  (E) and  $\nu_4$  (F<sub>2</sub>), respectively. Two weak bands also appear on either side of this pair. Most likely, these arise from lattice vibrations, which should have appreciable Raman intensities for this compound, since the lattice cations are the highly polarizable TI+. The triply degenerate modes,  $\nu_3$  and  $\nu_4$ , should also be active in the infrared, and indeed the infrared spectrum shows two strong bands centered at 200 and 72 cm $^{-1}$ . There is also a shoulder at  $186 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  which probably arises from  $\nu_1$ , rendered infrared-active by crystal forces.

If  $T1Br_4^-$  is square-planar in its cesium salt, as previously reported,\* it should have a vibrational spectrum markedly different from that found for the thallous salt. Three Raman bands are expected, instead of four, and their frequencies should be substantially different from those observed in the tetrahedral spectrum. Three infrared bands are expected, instead of two, and none of them should be coincident with the Raman bands. In fact, however, the spectra are substantially the same for  $CsTlBr_4$  as  $TlTlBr_4$ . The Raman spectrum shows a sharp spike at  $184 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and a weak band at  $201 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . In the bending region two bands are still apparent, although they have moved toward one another from the  $TIT1Br_4$  frequencies. The infrared spectrum shows two strong bands, coincident with the Raman bands assignable to  $\nu_3$  and  $\nu_4$ , and a shoulder at 178 cm<sup>-1</sup> which probably arises from  $v_1$ . The vibrational features are therefore consistent with a tetrahedral structure, and not with a square-planar one.

The same can be said for  $RbTlBr_4 \cdot H_2O$  and KTI- $Br_4$ <sup>16, 17</sup> All of the vibrational features of these two compounds are virtually identical with those of the thallous and cesium salts, except in the bending region, where now only one Raman band is observed, at frequencies intermediate between the two bending modes of TITlBr4. Probably the most telling evidence against a change to square-planar geometry is that the Ramanactive asymmetric stretching band continues to lie at a higher frequency than the symmetric stretching band (whose intensity makes its identification unmistakable). For square-planar  $XY_4$  molecules these two modes,  $\nu_2$  (B<sub>1g</sub>) and  $\nu_1$  (A<sub>1g</sub>), have the same G-matrix

element, and the F-matrix element differs only in an interaction constant.<sup>14</sup> To have  $\nu_2 > \nu_1$  would require a negative interaction constant between adjacent bonds in the valence force field or a negative nonbonded interaction in the Urey-Bradley field, both of which are physically implausible. The observed order of the stretching modes is reasonable only for a tetrahedral structure. As to the failure to observe two tetrahedral bending modes, the most likely explanation is accidental coincidence. Since the two modes begin to merge as  $T1^+$  is replaced by  $Cs^+$  in the crystals, it is not unreasonable to suppose that they overlap completely on substitution of  $Rb$ <sup>+</sup> or  $K$ <sup>+</sup>. It is expected that interactions of the lattice cations with the complex anions will affect the bending modes more than the stretching modes.

The Raman spectrum of tetrahedral  $TICl_4$ <sup>-</sup> in its tetraphenylarsonium salt has been given previously. Its vibrational features are included in Table I1 for comparison with the other tetrahalothallates. Part of its infrared spectrum has been reported by Johnson and Walton,<sup>18</sup> who found three bands in the  $\nu_3$  region and ascribed them to a total lifting of the degeneracy of the triply degenerate mode in the crystals. In the present study the three components were confirmed, but it seems at least equally plausible to assign the 306-cm<sup>-1</sup> shoulder to the  $\nu_1$  mode, which appears at  $312 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the Raman. The remaining weak shoulder at 278 cm<sup>-1</sup> may still be due to splitting of the  $\nu_3$  mode.

The Raman spectrum of  $(n-C_4H_9)_4NTII_4$  shows a sharp, strong band at  $133 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , clearly the symmetric stretching mode, *v1.* The weaker band observed at  $156$  cm<sup>-1</sup> must arise from the asymmetric stretching mode, and its position higher than  $\nu_1$  indicates that  $TII<sub>4</sub>$  is tetrahedral, on the basis of the argument given above. This assignment is corroborated by the appearance of the same band in the infrared, at  $152 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The bending region is obscured by the exciting radiation in the Raman, but a strong infrared absorption at about 56 cm<sup>-1</sup> may arise from  $\nu_4$  of the tetrahedral complex.

In summary, one may reasonably infer from the Raman and infrared evidence that the tetrahalothallate ions,  $\text{TIX}_4^-$ , are all tetrahedral in a variety of their salts, including some for which square-planar structures have previously been reported. The X-ray data on the alkali metal salts of  $T1Br_4^-$  should clearly be reevaluated.

The reaction

$$
TIX_4^- + 2X^- = TIX_6{}^{3-} \tag{1}
$$

therefore appears to involve a tetrahedral to octahedral transition for bromide as well as chloride. The probable involvement of this stereochemical change in the thermodynamics of reaction 1 has been discussed<sup>1,2</sup> for the thallic chloride system. Here the free energy change for reaction 1 is quite unfavorable, $2$  even though it is highly favorable for the binding of the first

<sup>(16)</sup> There is some question about the composition of the potassium salt. Meyer<sup>17</sup> reported crystals of composition KTlBr4.2H<sub>2</sub>O, which however were rather unstable in air, giving **off** bromine readily. Presumably it was on these crystals that the X-ray works was performed. On the other hand, Pratt<sup>10</sup> lists the anhydrous salt, KTlBr<sub>4</sub>. In the present work it was found quite difficult to obtain any crystals free of coprecipitated KBr, and the sample used was in fact somewhat contaminated from this source. (The far-infrared spectrum contained a band of moderate intensity at 113 cm-1 due to KBr.) The spectra are reported nevertheless to show that  $TlBr_4^-$  is apparently identical structurally when precipitated with  $K<sup>+</sup>$  as in crystals with other cations.

**<sup>(17)</sup>** R. J. Meyer, *Z. Anoig. Chem.,* **24,** 321 (1900).

four chlorides<sup>19,20</sup> to Tl<sup>3+</sup>. In the bromide system  $\Delta F$ is even more favorable for the binding of the first four bromides.<sup>20</sup> No equilibrium data are available for the addition of bromide to TIBr<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, but the synthesis of the rubidium salt of  $T1Br_6^{3-}$  requires a considerably greater halide excess than is the case for any of a number of alkali metal  $TICl_6^{3-}$  salts.<sup>10</sup> It seems likely therefore that  $\Delta F$  for reaction 1 is more unfavorable for bromide than for chloride. No compounds of  $T1I_6^{3-}$  are known. Apparently even very high iodide concentrations lead only to the formation of  $TII<sub>4</sub>$ salts.<sup>10</sup> Although Tl(III) is a typical class B metal<sup>21</sup>

(21) S. Ahrland, J. Chatt, and **E. IC.** Ilavies, *Quui/. Rcu.* (London), **12,**  285 (1968).

 $(\text{soft acid}^{22})$ , in that the aquo cation binds bromide more strongly than chloride (iodide binding has not been measured because of its ready oxidation by  $T1^{3+}$ ), it appears to act as a class A metal (hard acid) with respect to reaction 1. This behavior is readily understood if all of the aqueous  $TIX_4$ <sup>-</sup> ions are indeed tetrahedral. Steric repulsion with increasing ligand size increases much more rapidly in octahedral (or square-planar) than in tetrahedral complexes, and this could overcome the opposite trend of TI(II1) affinity for the halides.

Acknowledgments.-The author is indebted to Mr. Herbert S. Haber, at Cary Instruments, for obtaining the Raman spectra reported here. The compounds were prepared by Mrs. Judith Lee. This work was supported by the Kational Science Foundation under Grant GP8104.

*(22)* R. G. Pearson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **85**, 3533 (1963).

CONTRIBUTION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NEW YORK, AND THE OLIN RESEARCH CENTER, CHEMICALS DIVISION, NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT

## The Dipole Moments of Boron and Carbon Brominated Carboranes

BY R. MARUCA, H. SCHROEDER,<sup>1</sup> AND A. W. LAUBENGAYER

*Received August 8, 1966* 

In agreement with theoretical considerations and carlier experimental evidence, dipole moment measurements of selectively substituted  $o$ - and *m*-carborane derivatives established that in the polar icosahedra of  $o$ -B<sub>10</sub>H<sub>10</sub>C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> and  $m$ -B<sub>10</sub>H<sub>10</sub>C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> the carbon atoms and the borons adjacent to them constitute the positive pole.

#### Introduction

During recent years, the icosahedral carboranes [dicarbaclovododecaboranes(12)] were discovered<sup>2-5</sup> and their chemistry has developed.<sup>6</sup> Structural elucidation of the three forms of this organoborane nucleus, which were identified as *ortho*, meta, and para isomers<sup>7</sup> with regard to the position of the nuclear carbon atoms, was established particularly by <sup>11</sup>B nmr spectroscopy.<sup>8-11</sup> Subsequently, conclusive proof of the *ortho* configuration was obtained *viu* X-ray diffraction studies.<sup>12,13</sup>

(13) J. A. Potenza and W. N. Lipscomb, *Inorg. Chem.*, 3, 1673 (1964).

The dipole moments of all three isomers in benzene solution have recently been reported.<sup>14</sup> The polarity decreases from the *ortho* through the *meta* form and becomes zero in the *para* configuration, as could be **ex**pected due to the change of distribution of the two carbon atoms in the framework. The direction of the dipole moment, however, remained undefined. From purely atomic considerations, such as electronegativity, the direction of the dipole moment in the cage would be expected to be in the direction of the carbon atoms. However, in these electron-deficient cage structures the carbon and boron atoms are hexacoordinated and their calculated charge distributions<sup>15, 16</sup> indicate the direction to be exactly opposite.

This was substantiated by recent X-ray diffraction studies<sup>17</sup> on B-brominated  $o$ -carborane derivatives<sup>18</sup> which indicated that electrophilic substitution of ocarborane occurs first *at* the 9,12 boron atoms opposite the carbon atoms, thus demonstrating that these borons are the sites of highest electron density.

- (15) R. Hoffmannand W. N. Lipscomb, *J. Chenz.* Phys., **36,** 3480 (1962). (16) W. N. Lipscomb, "Boron Hydrides," The **W. A.** Benjamin *Co.,* New
- Pork, N. Y., 1963, p 86R.
- (17) J. **A.** Potenza, W. N. Lipscomb, G. 11. Vickers, and H. Schroeder, *J. Ant. Chem. SOC.,* **88,** 628 (1966).
- (18) H. D. Smith, T. A. Knowles, and H. Schroeder, *Inorg. Chem.*, 4, 107  $(1965).$

<sup>(19)</sup> M. J. M. Woods, P. K. Gallagher, Z Z. Hugus, Jr., and E. L. King, *lrioig. Chcm.,* **3,** 1313 (1964).

*<sup>(20)</sup>* (a) *S. Ahrland, I. Grenthe, L. Johansson, and B. Norén, Acta Chem. Scuird.,* **17,** 1567 (1963); **(I>)** *S.* Ahrland and L. Johansson, *ibid.,* **18,** 2125  $(1964)$ .

<sup>(1)</sup> Olin Research Center.

<sup>(2)</sup> **T. L. Heying, J. W. Ager, Jr., S. L. Clark, D. J. Mangold, H. L. Gold-stein, M. Hillman, R. J. Polak, and J. W. Szymanski,** *Inorg. Chem.***, <b>2**, 1089 (1963).

<sup>(3)</sup> M. **It.** Fein, J. Bobinski, N. hfayes, *S.* Schwartz, and **X.** *S.* Cohen, *ibid.,* **2,** 1111 (1963).

<sup>(4)</sup> D. Grafstein and J. **Ilvorak,** *ibid.,* **2,** 1128 (1963).

**<sup>(6)</sup>** *S.* Papetti and T. L. Heying, *J. Am. Chein.* Soc., **86, 2285** (IU64).

*<sup>(0)</sup>* For pertinent references, see the review by T. Onak, *Adoaiz. 0i.poliomelal. Chew,* **3,** 263 (1965).

**<sup>(7)</sup>** The nomenclature of the clovo boron-carbon hydrides is discussed by R. Adams, *Inorg. Chem.,* **2,** 1087 (1963); the termso-, *n-,* and p-carborane are used to designate 1,2-, 1,7-, and 1,12-dicarbaclovododecaborane(12).

<sup>(8)</sup> H. Schroeder, T. L. Heying, and J. R. Reiner, *ibid.*, 2, 1092 (1963).

<sup>(9)</sup> H. Schroeder and G. D. Vickers, *ibid.,* **2,** 1317 (1963).

<sup>(10)</sup> R. L. Pilling, F. **X.** Tebbe, &I. F. Hawthorne, and E. **A.** Pier, *PYOC. Chem. Soc.,* 402 (1964).

<sup>(11)</sup> G. D. Vickers, H. Agahigian, E. A. Pier, and H. Schroeder, *Inorg. Chein.,* **6,** 893 (1966).

<sup>(12)</sup> J. A. Potenza and W. N. Lipscomb, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **86,** 1874 (1964).

**<sup>(14)</sup>** A. W. Laubengayer and W. R. Kysz, *ibid.,* **4,** 1613 (1965).