vided that subsequent rapid reactions are such as to satisfy the stoichiometry of the over-all reaction, which requires the formation of one sulfate for every Ag(II) consumed. Perhaps the simplest mechanism that is consistent with the results is

$$H^{+} + S_{2}O_{6}^{2} = HS_{2}O_{6}^{-} \text{ (rapid)}$$

$$Ag^{2+} + HS_{2}O_{6}^{-} + H_{2}O \xrightarrow{k'} Ag^{+} + HSO_{3} + HSO_{4}^{-} + H^{+}$$

$$Ag^{2+} + HSO_{3} + H_{2}O = Ag^{+} + HSO_{4}^{-} + 2H^{+} \text{ (rapid)}$$

Then the observed rate constant k is given by k = 2Kk'. Another conceivable set of products in the rate-determining step could be $Ag + 2HSO_4^- + 3H^+$, provided a rapid completing reaction between Ag and Ag(II) follows. These two mechanistic possibilities differ in utilizing a one- or a two-electron change for silver, respectively. Other mechanisms arise as possibilities if one assumes that the predominant Ag(II)

species in solution is not the free aquo ion Ag^{2+} , but rather a complex such as $Ag(S_2O_6)_n^{2-2n}$. Under this assumption, the composition of the activated complex would be $\{Ag(S_2O_6)_{n+1}H^{1-2n}\}^{\pm}$. The present data do not establish clearly which of these mechanisms is correct, since dithionate was usually present in excess over silver(II).

Within the concentration range for which the most reliable data are available $([H^+] = 2.0-3.0 M, [Ag^+] =$ $0.16-0.32 M, [S_2O_6^{2-}] = 0.025-0.050 M$, and I = 3.4-3.5 M), it appears that participation of silver(III) in the mechanism is not required. However, this does not preclude the possibility that under different conditions of concentrations or temperature, a path involving silver(III) might become important. In any case the data establish the participation of the oxidizing agent, silver(II), in the rate-determining step, in contrast to previously known reactions of dithionate with other oxidizing agents.

CONTRIBUTION FROM THE POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE OF BROOKLYN, BROOKLYN, NEW YORK, AND THE BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY, UPTON, NEW YORK

The Crystal Structure of Lithium Aluminum Hydride

BY NATALIE SKLAR AND BEN POST

Received July 18, 1966

The crystal structure of LiAlH₄ has been determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction methods using counter detectors and filtered Cu K α radiation. The unit cell is monoclinic, a = 4.845, b = 7.826, and c = 7.917 A (all ± 0.004 A). The space group is P2₁/c and there are four formula weights per unit cell. Each aluminum atom is surrounded at an average distance of 1.55 A by four hydrogen atoms at the vertices of an almost regular tetrahedron. The lithium ions act as "bridges" between the tetrahydroaluminate ions; five hydrogen atoms surround the lithium ions, four at distances ranging from 1.88 to 2.00 A and one at 2.16 A.

Introduction

Large numbers of crystalline phases containing AlH₄and BH₄⁻ groups have been synthesized and studied in recent years; these compounds are widely used, particularly in preparative organic chemistry, and are of considerable theoretical interest. The literature contains many references to the tetrahedral configuration of the aluminohydride and borohydride groups in these phases,1 but only one serious X-ray diffraction structure analysis of any of these materials appears to have been attempted prior to the present investigation: a powder diffraction study of alkali borohydrides.² In that work, which is sometimes cited as having established the tetrahedral configuration of both groups in the solid state, no effort was made to locate the hydrogen atoms directly from the experimental data. Our survey of the literature of this subject revealed no other experimental basis for that admittedly reasonable ex-

(1) (a) F. A. Cotton and G. Wilkinson, "Advanced Inorganic Chemistry," Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1962, p 119; (b) W. H. Stockmayer and C. C. Stephenson, J. Chem. Phys., **21**, 1311 (1953); (c) P. T. Ford and R. E. Richards, Discussions Faraday Soc., **19**, 239 (1955).

(2) A. M. Soldate, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 69, 987 (1947).

pectation. This work was therefore undertaken to investigate the configuration and the dimensions of the tetrahydroaluminate ion by a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of lithium aluminum hydride.

Experimental Section

Lithium aluminum hydride crystallizes in the monoclinic system with a = 4.845, b = 7.826, and c = 7.917 A (all ± 0.004 A) and $\beta = 112.5 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$. The space group is P2₁/c and there are four formula weights per unit cell: $d_{calcd} = 0.904$ g/cc and $d_{measd} = 0.92$ g/cc. The crystals grow in the form of rectangular parallel-epipeds elongated along the c axis when solutions in diethyl ether are evaporated slowly. Because the crystals are highly hygroscopic, the specimens used for intensity measurements were sealed into thin-walled glass capillary tubes. Intensity data were collected with a scintillation counter and filtered copper K α radiation, using the θ -2 θ scan technique. The usual Lorentz and polarization corrections were applied to the data; absorption corrections of the crystal was 0.2 mm. The intensities of 507 reflections were measured and used in the structure analysis.

Structure Determination

Approximate positions of the aluminum atoms were obtained readily from the maxima on Patterson maps. Two-dimensional electron density syntheses (h0l, 0kl, and hk0) yielded the positions of the lithium ions and verified the assumed positions of the aluminum atoms.

The crystal structure was refined by the leastsquares method using the Brookhaven National Laboratory version of the Busing-Martin-Levy program.³ The refinement proceeded smoothly. The discrepancy coefficient, R, decreased rapidly to 10.4% when only aluminum and lithium ions were included in the calculations of structure factors. At this stage isotropic temperature factors were used. The positions of the hydrogen atoms were then obtained from a three-dimensional difference electron density map and the structure was further refined by least squares. All atoms were included in the calculations: anisotropic thermal parameters were assigned to aluminum and lithium, and isotropic thermal parameters to hydrogen. Initially all 507 observed reflections were assigned unit weights. After the calculations converged to stationary values, the weighting scheme was changed to

$$\sigma(F) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{w}}\right) = 0.8 + 0.03F$$

and three additional least-squares cycles were computed.

Although the indicated parameter errors obtained with the latter scheme were consistently slightly smaller than those obtained when unit weights were used, the differences were never significant. In the final refinement cycle the changes in the position parameters of the aluminum and lithium atoms were all less than 1% of the coordinate errors indicated by the matrix inversion; changes in the hydrogen atom positions were less than 2% of the indicated errors. The final value of Rwas 3.2%

$$\left(R = \frac{\Sigma \left|\left|F_{\rm o}\right| - \left|F_{\rm o}\right|\right|}{\Sigma \left|F_{\rm o}\right|}\right)$$

Observed and calculated structure factors, based on the least-squares refinements, are listed in Table I; the final atomic and thermal parameters are given in Tables II and III. Interatomic distances and bond angles are listed in Tables IV and V.

Discussion

Anisotropic temperature factors of the aluminum and lithium ions and related data are listed in Table III. The aluminum thermal ellipsoid is almost spherical; the lithium ellipsoid is only slightly more anisotropic. The isotropic temperature factors of the four hydrogen atoms in the AlH_4^- group range from 1.76 to 2.04 \pm 0.56 A.² The average is 1.93 A,² corresponding to an rms thermal radial displacement of 0.28 A.

The hydrogen atoms are arranged about the aluminum at the vertices of a regular or almost regular tetrahedron. The average of the four aluminum-hydrogen distances is 1.547 A, and the average of the six hydro-

		OBSEI	RVED	AN	рC	ALCU	LA	TED	Str	UC.	TURI	z Fa	сто	ORS	$(\times 1)$	(00)	
				40.0			464	C 81		a	C 41			c. 11		R = 5	c 61
<u> </u>	'	805 U.S.	· ·		1.00	~ •		1,40		-	C.M.	~ •					
			2 7	638	624	6 -6	200	284	3 - 3	2271	2220	1 - 6	1617	1567	2 - 7	714	771
	•	н= с ж	2 8	642	649	7 -1	141	515	3 - 5	992	950	1 - 8	184	184	2 -9	4.37	467
•		2204 2194	3 1	1908	1922	7 - 2	744	770	3 -6	1154	1073	1 - 9	297	334	3 - 1	1033	1077
ñ	÷.	615 588	3 2	298	292	7 - 3	728	724	3 -8	604	599	2 -1	103	110	3 - 2	836	898
٥	٨	1390 1330	3 3	2034	20.87	7 - 5	1071	1021	3-9	545	3300	5.4	1118	1047		670	697
<u>^</u>		1289 1190	1 1	769	304	7 -6	242	701	4 - 2	954	057	2 -4	279	260	3 - 5	1112	1121
ξ.	÷	551 625	3 6	785	787	A - 2	143	152	4 - 3	74	100	2 -5	1121	1121	3 -6	236	285
1	4	2571 2484	3 7	493	485	8 - 3	750	708	4 - 5	1274	1202	2 - 6	676	673	3 -7	820	804
1	۴	719 708		278	320	8 -4	733	704	4 - 7	1148	1126	2 -8	824	859	4 -1	959	1013
1	÷.	1010 974	4 1	1251	1267	a _)	- 67	102	5 -1	1178	1155	3 -1	1661	1677	4 - 2	283	79A
i.	à	305 287	4 2	910	937	9 - 2	9 A 1	949	5 - 7	69	70	3 - 3	1221	1275	4 - 3	1543	1609
,	n	2770 2757	4 3	712	726	9 - 3	175	172	5 - 3	1998	2044	3 - 5	1367	95	4 - 4	626	628
2	1	686 700	4 4	456	1541				5 - 5	1296	1292	3 - 6	724	7.18	4 -6	385	392
5	á	1340 1431	4 6	182	195	*	H= 2	•	5 - 6	127	133	3 - 7	1009	1045	4 - 7	242	229
,	4	306 302	47	687	692				5 - 7	137	1 37	1 - 8	190	190	4 - R	211	234
?	5	1179 1165		2135	2194	0 0	891	743	5 - 8	1220	1207	4 - 2	533	5 3 5	5 -2	179	178
2	2	48 74	3 3	1632	1673	64	1531	1631	6 - 2	509	518	4 - 3	1180	1159	5 - 3	157	149
,	Ŕ	614 697	54	219	221	0 A	1019	985	6 - 3	339	315	4 - 4	.365	532	5 - 6	78	83
3	1	2351 2533		445	.453	1 0	3111	2979	6 - 6	1053	999	4 - 6	238	248	5 -6	96	63
2	2	14/2 154	26	215	222	1 2	1818	1852	6 - 6	124	122	4 - 7	752	741	5 - 7	976	971
3	5	659 646	6 1	691	686	1 3	918	933	6 - 7	1179	1048	4 - 8	405	381	A -1	868	822
3	7	978 1033	6.2	537	566	1.1	96	9.8	7 -1	745	751	2	1203	1200	A - 3	1043	1003
3	8	228 240		276	289	1 5	851	805	7 - 3	1226	1195	5 - 5	236	20.6	6 - 4	205	212
2	ň	1413 1466		971	933	2 0	260	803	7 -4	394	383	5 -6	215	186	6 - 5	695	668
4	,	368 371	6 6	48	55	2 1	1765	1675	7 -5	725	684	5 - 7	215	338	6 - 6 7 - 1	230	552
4	3	2176 2244	7 0	1202	1214	2 2	1170	1149	8 -1	782	759	5 -2	297	291	7 - 7	590	5.87
2	ŝ	1237 1251	÷ ;	221	228	54	1678	1698	8 -2	844	827	6 - 3	1215	1213	7 - 3	78	67
4	4	304 311	7 3	1049	1032	2 5	378	376	8 -4	781	769	6 - 4	155	159	7 -4	407	4/3
4	7	271 257		5.59	205	2 6	526	505	9 -1	216	727	6 -6	145	129		Ha 5	
ŝ	- 1	2100 2161	a d	615	635	3 0	1359	1 7 3 9	9 - 2	624	641	6 - 7	506	497			
5	,	356 369	A 1	454	462	3 1	864	847	0-3	340	347	7 -1	10.62	1940			271
5	3	786 813	8 2	912	914		1226	1220				7 - 3	726	718	0 2	967	954
5	-	932 953	8.4	508	511	3.6	5.80	546	•	H= 1		7 - 4	505	599	1.9	1164	1118
5	- 6	46 40	9 (810	809	4 0	119	114				7 - 5	74	79		136	150
5	-7	1047 987	9	314	374	4 1	2027	20.36		2574	2363	8 - 2	702	728		337	349
~	- 1	549 563	0 - 6	2729	2651	4 3	669	667	n 4	1066	1055	R - 3	347	334	> 1	299	397
6	;	304 308	0-6	2381	2363	4 4	426	474	n 6	800	792	8 -4	254	263	1 9	545	577
6	3	1719 1754	n - 1	2 93	299	4 5	324	314	1 0	1061	993				2.2	82	80
÷.	2	1222 1199		5 316	400	5 0	324	307	1 2	1920	1901	•	H= 4	*	0 -3	800	791
7	ĩ	1299 1345	1-1	509	473	5 1	359	342	1 4	1065	1034				0 -4	1225	1216
7	2	794 810	1 -	8 1164	1134	5 2	138	150	2 0	1661	1605	0 0	1731	1675	n -e	80	1044
-	2	344 334 844 552		2 837	882		1025	955	2 2	205	175	- ö. 4	3,27	318	1 -2	1073	1044
7	5	5 36 498	2 -	3 1015	1078	6 1	15 52	1 594	2 3	Rŋ 4	825	1 0	577	560	1 -1	468	498
7	4	320 313	2	2035	1919	6 2	217	209	2 4	532	508	11	651	653	12	240	215
A	. 0	1062 1065	2 -	5 94	91	6 3	701	259		1202	1212	- 1 6	216	212	2 -1	736	715
ŝ	ż	653 649	, j.	7 528	572	6 5	46A	441		328	329	1 4	85 8	857	2 - 3	530	522
8	3	723 685	5 2 -	9 515	525	7 0	977	920	3 4	589	574	2 0	1301	1270	2 - 0	3 466	1215
R	- 1	151 134		9 519	545		418	605	4 0	662	667	2 3	560	560	2 -1	5 283	291
Ģ	i	406 390	3-	8 827	8.64	7 3	856	802	4 1	1252	1297	2 4	89	9,1	2 - /	5 634	620
ġ.	Ż	594 625	5 ٦ -6	6 A 4 A	615	7 4	78	87	4 2	195	1.80	3 0	4 64	439	2 - 1	7 570	525
٩	3	44 45	5 3-	5 1964	1957	A 0	195	167	4 3	341	434	1 2	357	360	a -	745	718
				0 /32 7 748	745	à ż	509	503		318	333	3 3	532	534	3 -1	3 765	764
		• H= 1 •	۹	8 620	635	R 3	150	175	5 0	1 30	129	4 6	438	476	3 -4	74	54
•				9 45	67	• •	763	790	1 1	799	P 816	4 1	259	257	3 -	5 650	453
ň	- 3	2 2855 3174	4 4 -	2 261	252	0 - 4	2332	2173	ŝŝ	196	1 1 9 2	4 3	604	601	3 -	7 350	396
ń	- 4	1746 1805	5 4 -	2148	2198	0 -6	6 1 9	654	6 0	350	345	5 0	149	126	÷ -	971	994
n	- 5	5 384 377	4	4 756	751	0 -8	410	439	6 1	10.70	1045	5 1	1191	251	4 -	2 2 3 9 8 - 516	518
1	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		767	278	1 - 2	1 316	670	6 3	860	848	n • 2	914	895	4 -	299	284
i	÷,	1631 1675	R 4 -	7 944	954	i -4	906	911	Ťd	154	5 173	n -4	1237	1186	4 -	190	192
1	7	1 88 1 81	5 -	1 615	615	1 -5	955	913	7 1	5.55	3 550	0 -6	1465	1444	4 - 6	5 320	310
;	3	4 120 141 1416 1444	5-	2 61	88	- 6	1907	1769	8 0	6.24	5 640	1 - 2	1898	1805	5 -	3 859	873
÷	- 1	5 312 31		4 288	299	1 - 9	169	225	0 - 2	207	7 20 34	i – é	201	192	5 -	5 91.8	906
-i	1	1421 1467	a 5-	5 1577	1551	2 -4	2361	2232	0 -4	1196	6 1140	1 - 1	590	5.88			
1	1	9 395 445	5 5-	6 159	170	2 -5	910	903	0 -6	1064	9 1109	2 -1	635	837			
5	ĥ	657 666		- 580 8 66	96	2 - 7	997	1000	1 -1	125	1164	2 - 2	356	359		n	
?	ł	2 2203 2310) é-	1 2238	2719	2 - 8	549	637	1 -2	961	909	2 - 1	987	1014			
2	1	1 793 794	6-	3 1318	1349	2 -9	257	271	1 - 3	213	1 667	2 -4	523	652	1 -1	· /41 • 174	185
ź	ŝ	5 926 935	5 23	5 A3	- 90	3 -2	845	795	i -4	312	310	2 - 6	1310	1736	1 -4	4 6CA	637

TABLE T

TABLE II Atomic Coordinates^a in LiAlH₄

	x	У	2
Aluminum	0.14033(10)	0.20131(6)	0.93151(6)
Lithium	0.56896(82)	0.46604(44)	0.82164(46)
Hydrogen(1)	0.2005(63)	0.1020(33)	0.7816(36)
Hydrogen(2)	0.3120(60)	0.3682(34)	0.9709(34)
Hydrogen (3)	0.2382(60)	0.0911(31)	0.1087(33)
Hydrogen(4)	0.8032(59)	0.2552(36)	0.8760(35)

^a Estimated standard errors in parentheses.



Figure 1.—Stereo pair view (ca. along a) of unit cell of LiAlH₄; b axis vertical and c axis horizontal.

gen-aluminum-hydrogen angles in the tetrahedron is 109.5° (Table V). The variation of individual hydrogen-aluminum-hydrogen angles and of the intratetrahedral hydrogen-hydrogen distances indicates a possible slight distortion of the tetrahedron from the ideal

⁽³⁾ W. R. Busing, K. Martin, and H. A. Levy, ORNL-TM-305, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 1962.

Vol. 6, No. 4, April 1967

TABLE III

ATOMIC THERMAL PARAMETERS^a

	ŕ.		A. Ar	isotropic Therm	al Factors		
	b_{11}		b 22	b 28	b12	b 13	b28
Aluminum	0.0204(4)	0.0	0073(1)	0.0097(1)	-0.0004(1)	0.0057(1)	-0.0003(1)
Lithium	0.0387(16)	0.0	144(6)	0.0139(6)	0.0036(8)	0.0098(8)	-0.0027(5)
	B. R	ms Dis	placements a	long Principal A	xes of Thermal Ellip	soids, A	
			1		2		3
Aluminum			0.163(1)		0.150(1)		0.142(1)
Lithium			0.226(4)		0.191(4)		0,180(5)
		C.	Rms Radia	l Thermal Atomi	c Displacement, A		
	Aluminum					0.263(3)	
	Lithium					0.347(7)	
	Hydrogen					0.28(7)	
	(av)						

^a Estimated standard errors in parentheses.

TABLE IV

A. Int	ermetallic	Distances (A) in LiA	H_4 (Less than 5.0 A)
	Al-Al	Al-Li	Li-A1
3.753	3 ± 0.001	3.236 ± 0.004	3.186 ± 0.004
4.031	± 0.002	3.265 ± 0.004	4.058 ± 0.004
4.512	2 ± 0.001	3.278 ± 0.004	4.084 ± 0.004
4.736	3 ± 0.002	3.297 ± 0.004	4.845 ± 0.004
4.845	5 ± 0.002	3.399 ± 0.004	4.905 ± 0.004
		3.734 ± 0.004	
		4.739 ± 0.004	
В	. Shortest	Li–H Distances (A)	(Less Than 3.0 A)
1.883	3 ± 0.027	1.955 ± 0.028	2.158 ± 0.028
1.93i	5 ± 0.027	1.997 ± 0.027	2.943 ± 0.028

configuration but neutron diffraction studies will probably be needed to settle that point.

The 12 shortest *inter*tetrahedral hydrogen-hydrogen distances range from 2.67 to 2.99 A; these are significantly longer than the corresponding *intra*tetrahedral distances whose average is 2.53 A (Table V).

The lithium ions are surrounded by four hydrogen atoms at distances ranging from 1.88 to 2.00 A; another hydrogen is at 2.16 A, and the next nearest is

TABLE V

DIMENSIONS OF THE TETRAHYDROALUMINATE ION

A. Bond Lengths, A
$A1-H_1 = 1.537 \pm 0.026$
$Al-H_2 = 1.516 \pm 0.027$
$Al-H_3 = 1.558 \pm 0.025$
$A1-H_4 = 1.578 \pm 0.026$
Al-H = 1.547 A.

B. Bond Angles and Nonbonded Distances

A-Al-B	Angle, deg	Distance A–B, A
H_1 -Al- H_2	110.1 ± 1.4	2.502 ± 0.037 A.
H ₂ -Al-H ₃	109.5 ± 1.4	2.527 ± 0.037
H ₁ -Al-H ₄	113.7 ± 1.4	2.608 ± 0.038
H ₂ -Al-H ₃	109.8 ± 1.0	2.514 ± 0.036
H ₂ -Al-H ₄	104.8 ± 1.4	2.451 ± 0.037
H ₃ -Al-H ₅	108.9 ± 1.4	2.552 ± 0.037
Av	109.5	2.526

2.94 A from the lithium ion (Figure 1). The closest approaches are substantially smaller than the 2.04-A lithium-hydrogen distance in the highly ionic lithium hydride.⁴

(4) E. Zintl and A. Harder, Z. Physik. Chem., 28B, 478 (1935).

1 1 1 1 2