ь.

involves decomposition of the ICl_2^- formed by a rapid water-complex reaction

$$IpyICl_{2} + 2H_{2}O = IpyOH + H_{3}O^{+} + ICl_{2}^{-} \text{ (rapid)}$$
$$ICl_{2}^{-} \stackrel{k_{1}}{\longleftrightarrow} I^{-} + Cl_{2} \text{ (rate determining)}$$

Long and Skoog³² reported a similar aqueous hydrolysis for $I(SCN)_2^-$

$$I(SCN)_2^- \longrightarrow I^- + (SCN)_2$$

which is contrary to the mechanism proposed by Creemer and Duncan³³ for thermal and aqueous dissociation of polyhalide ions

 $IX_2^- \rightleftharpoons IX + X^-$

```
(32) C. Long and D. A. Skoog, Inorg. Chem., 5, 206 (1966).
```

This reaction rather than $IX_2^- \rightleftharpoons I^- + X_2$ would be expected to predominate in acid solution since X^- is generally a stronger base than I^- . On the other hand, for the pH range of this study, the latter mode is consistent with the above rate-determining proposal and is in line with the view of Long and Skoog.³²

The second hydrolysis stage, also first order, is unlikely to concern ICl_2^- , and the iodine may form by decomposition of IpyOH

$$IpyOH \stackrel{\pi_2}{\longrightarrow} IOH + py \quad (rate determining)$$
$$5IOH = 2I_2 + HIO_3 + 2H_2O \quad (rapid)$$

These reactions involve the formation of the weak acid HIO, and thus k_2 should be, and is, pH independent. An activation energy of -3.53 kcal mol⁻¹ has been calculated for this stage from a $k_2 vs. 1/T$ plot.

CONTRIBUTION FROM THE CHEMICAL ENGINEERING AND CHEMISTRY DIVISIONS, ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY, ARGONNE, ILLINOIS 60439

The Thermodynamic Properties of the Perbromate and Bromate Ions¹

BY GERALD K. JOHNSON, PETER N. SMITH, EVAN H. APPELMAN, AND WARD N. HUBBARD

Received June 30, 1969

The energies of decomposition of $\text{KBrO}_4(c)$ and $\text{KBrC}_4(c)$ to KBr(c) plus $O_2(g)$ were measured in a bomb calorimeter. These data were used to derive the standard enthalpy of formation $(\Delta H_i^{\circ}_{298,15})$ of $\text{KBrO}_4(c)$, -68.74 ± 0.14 kcal mol⁻¹, and of $\text{KBrO}_8(c)$, -86.02 ± 0.12 kcal mol⁻¹. The enthalpies of solution of $\text{KBrO}_4(c)$ in 2144 mol of water and of $\text{KBrO}_3(c)$ in 1808 mol of water were measured to be 11,606 ± 20 and 9765 ± 42 cal mol⁻¹, respectively, at 25°. The above values were combined with other data to derive standard thermody amic properties at 25° for the perbomate ion: $\Delta H_i^{\circ} = 3.19 \pm 0.15$ kcal mol⁻¹, $S^{\circ} = 44.7 \pm 2.0$ cal deg⁻¹ mol⁻¹, and $\Delta G_f^{\circ} = 29.18 \pm 0.63$ kcal mol⁻¹; and for the bromate ion: $\Delta H_i^{\circ} = -15.95 \pm 0.13$ kcal mol⁻¹, $S^{\circ} = 38.6 \pm 0.3$ cal deg⁻¹ mol⁻¹, and $\Delta G_f^{\circ} = 4.55 \pm 0.14$ kcal mol⁻¹. The standard electrode potential of the bromate–perbromate couple in acid solution was calculated to be 1.763 ± 0.014 V at 25°. Selected values for the thermodynamic properties of the halates and perhalates of chlorine and iodine are also tabulated. Although the perbromate ion is more oxidizing than either perchlorate or periodate ion, the difference is not great enough to explain why the synthesis of perbromates has been so difficult.

Introduction

The recent discovery of preparative methods^{2,3} for perbromic acid and perbromates has ended a long search for these compounds. This study was undertaken to determine some of the key thermochemical data for potassium perbromate and the perbromate ion. Such information should be helpful in exploiting these new and interesting compounds and may help to explain past difficulties encountered in their synthesis. The results of this study also bear on the contention that the highest oxidation states of the nonmetals of the first long period are less stable than the corresponding oxidation states of the preceding and succeeding periods.

Of the possible reactions of $KBrO_4$ suited to calorimetric study, thermal decomposition of the solid was chosen. The simplicity and directness of the reaction

$$BrO_4(c) \longrightarrow KBr(c) + 2O_2(g)$$
(1)

Κ

leads to a reliable value for the enthalpy of formation of $KBrO_4(c)$; the only auxiliary information required is the enthalpy of formation of KBr(c), which is well established. By also determining the enthalpy of solution of $KBrO_4(c)$ in water, the standard thermodynamic properties of the perbromate ion could be computed.

Similar measurements were made on KBrO₃ to enable comparison of the bromate and perbromate ion stabilities, after finding that the existing thermodynamic data for the bromate ion, which had been obtained by aqueous-reaction calorimetry, were excessively discordant. In addition, it was desired to compare the results for the bromate and perbromate ions with those for the halates and perhalates of iodine and chlorine. Accordingly, a review and selection of data for these additional systems was made. A few calorimetric measurements of the thermal decomposition of KClO₃-(c) were made to aid us in selecting from among the various values published for the enthalpies of formation of KClO₃(c) and KClO₄(c).

⁽³³⁾ H. W. Creemer and D. R. Duncan, J. Chem. Soc., 1857, 2243 (1931); 181 (1933).

⁽¹⁾ This work was performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

⁽²⁾ E. H. Appelman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 1900 (1968).

⁽³⁾ E. H. Appleman, Inorg. Chem., 8, 223 (1969).

Experimental Section

Preliminary Observations.—The thermal decomposition of KBrO₄ to KBr(c) and O₂(g) proceeds in two steps:³ decomposition of KBrO₄(c) to KBrO₃(c) at ~275° and decomposition of KBrO₆(c) to KBr(c) at ~390°. The thermal decompositions of KClO₄(c) and KClO₃(c) to KCl(c) plus O₂(g) have previously been studied by two calorimetric methods: the energy required to raise the sample to its decomposition temperature was supplied either by the combustion⁴⁻⁶ of an auxiliary substance (benzoic acid) or by a heater.^{6,7} A method similar to the former was chosen for this investigation, but graphite rather than benzoic acid was used for the auxiliary source of energy so as to avoid uncertainties associated with the effect of water⁶ on the products of reaction.

Calorimetric Systems.—The bomb calorimeter⁹ (laboratory designation ANL-R-3) and the platinum-lined combustion bomb¹⁰ (laboratory designation Pt-1) were previously described, as was the method of measuring and recording calorimetric temperatures by quartz-crystal thermometry.¹¹ The system was calibrated by the combustion in oxygen of National Bureau of Standards benzoic acid (Sample 39i), whose energy of combustion under prescribed conditions was certified as $26,434 \pm 3$ J g⁻¹. A series of ten calibration experiments yielded a value for ϵ (calor), the energy equivalent of the calorimetric system, of 3413.38 ± 0.21 (std dev) cal deg⁻¹.

The measurements of the enthalpies of solution of KBrO₄ and KBrO₃ in water were carried out in an LKB-8700 precision calorimetric system.¹² The glass reaction vessel was modified by the addition of an extra reentry well, into which the sensor of a quartz-crystal thermometer was placed. The over-all performance of the solution calorimetric system was tested by measuring the enthalpy of the reaction of "THAM" [tris(hy-droxymethyl)aminomethane, National Bureau of Standards Sample 724] with excess 0.1 *M* HCl; seven such experiments at 25° and at a concentration of 5 g of "THAM" /l. yielded $\Delta H_r = -7109.6 \pm 1.1$ cal mol⁻¹, in good agreement with the results^{13,14} of other investigators.

Analytical Procedures.—Iodometric methods were employed to assay perbromate, bromate, and chlorate when present in large amounts, e.g., in the calorimetric specimens and in some of the reaction products. Perbromate and chlorate were first reduced with bromide, the former in 12 M HBr³ and the latter in 8 M HCl;¹⁵ the resulting Br₃⁻ solution was diluted with an iodide solution containing NaH₂PO₄ in excess of that required to react with the free acid. Bromate was allowed to react directly with iodide in dilute acid in the presence of a molybdate catalyst.¹⁵ The I₃⁻ formed in all three reductions was titrated with a Na₂S₂O₃ solution that had been standardized against Mallinckrodt Primary Standard grade KIO₃ (manufacturer's assay, 99.95– 100.05%).

Very small amounts of bromate and perbromate were determined spectrophotometrically³ after reduction to Br₃⁻; the

Hubbard, J. Chem. Thermodynamics, 1, 89 (1969).

(12) S. Sunner and I. Wadso, Sci. Tools, 13, 1 (1966).

bromate was reduced by 0.5 M HBr, and the perbromate, by 12 M HBr.

Water in the calorimetric specimens was determined by heating to 450° in a stream of oxygen. The effluent oxygen was passed through hot silver wool to remove any elemental bromine formed and then through a cold trap at -78° to condense the water. The trapped water was vaporized and measured manometrically at 100° .

The fluoride impurity in the KBrO₄ specimen was determined with a fluoride-sensitive electrode (Orion Research, Inc.).

Materials. KBrO₄.—The preparation and recrystallization of KBrO₄ has been described in detail elsewhere.³ The material made was dried to constant weight under vacuum at 100°, after which it was analyzed, with the following results: water, $0.029 \pm$ 0.003%; bromate, 0.004 mol %; fluoride, about 0.001 mol %. Spectrographic analysis indicated less than 10 ppm of metallic impurities. The oxidizing power of the material was equivalent to an assay of 99.94 \pm 0.03 mol % KBrO₄.

At 24.97 \pm 0.02°, the solubility of the specimen in water was determined to be 0.2305 \pm 0.0002 *M*; the pH of this solution was approximately 5.0.

KBrO₈.—Merck Reagent grade KBrO₈ was recrystallized from distilled water. The material was dried, ground in an agate mortar, and redried at 120° in a vacuum oven for 3 days. Its water content was determined to be $0.054 \pm 0.002\%$. Spectrographic analysis indicated less than 10 ppm of metallic impurities. The oxidizing power of the material was equivalent to an assay of 100.0 \pm 0.05 mol % KBrO₈.

 $KClO_3$.—Mallinckrodt Analytical Reagent grade KClO₃ was recrystallized from distilled water, ground in an agate mortar, and dried for 3 days under vacuum at 120°.

Graphite.—The combustion of National Carbon Co. WCB grade graphite cloth provided the energy necessary to decompose KBrO₄, KBrO₃, and KClO₃, in the calorimetric experiments. The cloth had a nominal purity of 99.9%, and its energy of combustion in oxygen, as determined by a separate series of 11 combustion experiments, was -7853.19 ± 0.53 (std dev) cal g⁻¹.

Oxygen.—Air Reduction Co. USP grade oxygen was purified by passage through successive tubes packed with hot copper oxide, "Ascarite," magnesium perchlorate, and phosphorus pentoxide.

Calorimetric Procedures .- Preliminary experiments had established that decomposition of a 4-g sample of KBrO4, an amount suited to the physical arrangements within the bomb, would require approximately 4000 cal of energy. Thus, approximately 0.5 g of the graphite cloth was weighed into a tared platinum crucible, and the crucible was suspended from a platinum ring in the center of the bomb. The KBrO4 was weighed into another tared platinum crucible, with cover, and this crucible was suspended from a platinum ring directly over the first crucible. The bomb was then assembled, flushed several times with oxygen, and charged to 30 atm pressure with oxygen. The graphite cloth was ignited by the combustion of a graphite thread, one end of which had been in contact with the cloth and the other end with a short length of platinum wire through which electric current was passed. Decompositions of KBrO3 and KClO3 were conducted in an identical manner.

Following each decomposition experiment, the residue in the sample crucible was dissolved in water. The inside of the bomb and the crucible that had contained the graphite were also washed with water to collect any splattered or sublimed material. These solutions were analyzed for unreacted or partially reacted sample, *i.e.*, for perbromate and bromate in the KBrO₄ decompositions, for bromate in the KBrO₃ decompositions, and for chlorate in the KClO₈ decompositions. The pH of each solution was also determined, because the presence of an alkaline solution would suggest that there had been a reaction between the KBr or KCl product and O₂ to produce K_2O_x (or possibly K_2CO_3) and Br₂ or Cl₂; however, no such alkalinity was ever observed. The thermal correction for the formation of K_2O_x or K_2CO_3 is unimportant provided that the amount formed does not exceed 1 µmol per experiment. Preliminary decomposition experiments

⁽⁴⁾ A. A. Gilliland and D. D. Wagman, J. Res. Natl. Bur. Std., 69A, 1 (1965).

⁽⁵⁾ W. H. Johnson and A. A. Gilliland, ibid., 65A, 63 (1961).

⁽⁶⁾ A. F. Vorob'ev, N. M. Privalova, A. S. Monaenkova, and S. M. Skuratov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 135, 1388 (1960).

⁽⁷⁾ A. F. Vorob'ev, N. M. Privalova, and L. T. Huang, Vestn. Mosk. Univ., Ser. II, Khim., 18, (6), 27 (1963).
(8) S. M. Skuratov, A. F. Vorob'ev, and N. M. Privalova, Russ. J. Inorg.

 ⁽⁹⁾ W. N. Hubbard, C. Katz, and G. Waddington, J. Phys. Chem., 58, 199

^{142 (1954).} (10) D. R. Fredrickson, R. L. Nuttall, H. E. Flotow, and W. N. Hubbard,

ibid., 67, 1506 (1963). (11) G. K. Johnson, E. H. Van Deventer, O. L. Kruger, and W. N.

⁽¹³⁾ J. O. Hill, G. Ojelund, and I. Wadso, J. Chem. Thermodynamics, 1, 111 (1969).

⁽¹⁴⁾ S. R. Gunn, J. Phys. Chem., 69, 2902 (1965).

⁽¹⁵⁾ I. M. Kolthoff, R. Belcher, V. A. Stenger, and G. Matsuyama, "Volumetric Analysis," Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1962, pp 269-273.

	TA	BLE I			
RESUL	is of KBrO4 De	COMPOSITION EXP	ERIMENTS		
4.00385	4.02331	4.01720	4,01019	4.01991	4.01110
	• • •	0.00139			0.00143
4.00385	4.02331	4.01581	4.01019	4.01991	4.00967
0.00005	0.00007	0.00048	0.00003	0.00003	0.00090
0.51022	0.51124	0.51189	0.51204	0.51023	0.51163
1.34629	1.34840	1.34916	1.34905	1.34531	1.34883
-4595.40	-4602.60	-4605.20	-4604.82	-4592.05	-4604.07
-4.73	-4.77	-4.77	-4.76	-4.75	-4.76
0.68	0.89	1.43	0.43	0.69	0.71
8.17	8.19	8.19	8.19	8.18	8.18
4006.85	4014.86	4019.97	4021.15	4006.93	4017.93
		-0.03			-0.05
-145.97	-145.01	-144.53	-144.58	-144.53	-145.16
Av ΔE	$_{dec}^{\circ}/M(\text{sample})$	$= -144.96 \pm 0.$	23ª cal g ⁻¹		
Impurity correction = -0.20 ± 0.02^{a} cal g ⁻¹					
$\Delta E_{ m dec}$ °	$/M({ m KBrO_4})$	$= -145.16 \pm 0.$	23ª cal g ⁻¹		
	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Result} \\ \textbf{4.00385} \\ \dots \\ \textbf{4.00385} \\ \textbf{0.00005} \\ \textbf{0.51022} \\ \textbf{1.34629} \\ \textbf{-4.73} \\ \textbf{0.68} \\ \textbf{8.17} \\ \textbf{4006.85} \\ \dots \\ \textbf{-145.97} \\ \textbf{Av } \Delta E \\ \textbf{Impuri} \\ \Delta E dee^{\circ} \end{array}$	TA RESULTS OF KBrO4 DE 4.00385 4.02331 4.00385 4.02331 0.00005 0.00007 0.51022 0.51124 1.34629 1.34840 -4595.40 -4602.60 -4.73 -4.77 0.68 0.89 8.17 8.19 4006.85 4014.86 -145.97 -145.97 -145.01 Av $\Delta E_{dee}^{\circ}/M(sample)$ Impurity correction $\Delta E_{dee}^{\circ}/M(KBrO_4)$	TABLE 1 RESULTS OF KBrO4 DECOMPOSITION EXP: 4.00385 4.02331 4.01720 0.00139 4.00385 4.02331 4.01581 0.00005 0.00007 0.00048 0.51022 0.51124 0.51189 1.34629 1.34840 1.34916 -4595.40 -4602.60 -4605.20 -4.73 -4.77 -4.77 0.68 0.89 1.43 8.17 8.19 8.19 4006.85 4014.86 4019.97 -0.03 -145.97 -145.97 -145.01 -144.53 Av $\Delta E_{dee}^{\circ}/M(sample) = -144.96 \pm 0$. Impurity correction = -0.20 \pm 0 $\Delta E_{dee}^{\circ}/M(KBrO_4)$ = -145.16 \pm 0	TABLE 1 RESULTS OF KBrO4 DECOMPOSITION EXPERIMENTS 4.00385 4.02331 4.01720 4.01019 0.00139 4.00385 4.02331 4.01581 4.01019 0.00005 0.00007 0.00048 0.00003 0.51022 0.51124 0.51189 0.51204 1.34629 1.34840 1.34916 1.34905 -4595.40 -4602.60 -4605.20 -4604.82 -4.73 -4.77 -4.76 0.68 0.89 1.43 0.43 8.17 8.19 8.19 8.19 4006.85 4014.86 4019.97 4021.15 -0.03 -145.97 -145.01 -144.53 -144.58 Av $\Delta E_{dee}^{\circ}/M(sample) = -144.96 \pm 0.23^{a}$ cal g ⁻¹ Impurity correction = -0.20 \pm 0.02^{a} cal g ⁻¹ $\Delta E_{dee}^{\circ}/M(KBrO_4)$ = -145.16 \pm 0.23^{a} cal g ⁻¹	TABLE 1 RESULTS OF KBrO4 DECOMPOSITION EXPERIMENTS 4.00385 4.02331 4.01720 4.01019 4.01991 0.00139 4.00385 4.02331 4.01581 4.01019 4.01991 0.00005 0.00007 0.00048 0.00003 0.00003 0.51022 0.51124 0.51189 0.51204 0.51023 1.34629 1.34840 1.34916 1.34905 1.34531 -4595.40 -4602.60 -4604.82 -4592.05 -4.73 -4.77 -4.76 -4.75 0.68 0.89 1.43 0.43 0.69 8.17 8.19 8.19 8.18 4006.85 4014.86 4019.97 4021.15 4006.93 -0.03 -145.97 -145.01 -144.53 -144.58 -144.53 Av $\Delta E_{dee}^{\circ}/M((sample) = -144.96 \pm 0.23^{\circ}$ cal g ⁻¹ -0.20 \pm 0.02^{\circ} cal g ⁻¹

^a The uncertainty given is the standard deviation of the mean.

established that the pH measurement could reliably detect 0.7 μ mol of K₂CO₈, which had been deliberately added to KBrO₈ samples.

For the enthalpy of solution measurements, the sample of KBrO₄ (0.47 g) or KBrO₈ (0.51 g) was loaded into a 1-ml glass ampoule. The ampoule was closed with a silicone rubber stopper, sealed with wax, and placed in the reaction vessel containing 99.345 \pm 0.002 g of twice-distilled water. At the end of the forerating period, the ampoule was broken and, at the same time, the electrical calibration heater was turned on. The heating rate was chosen to match as closely as possible the endothermal enthalpy of solution; thus the temperature of the calorimeter changed very little during the experiment. A few solution experiments with KBrO₃ were performed without electrical heating. Before and after each solution experiment, an electrical calibration experiment was run.

Results

Decomposition Experiments.—The results of six decomposition experiments with $KBrO_4(c)$ and ten with $KBrO_3(c)$ are presented in Tables I and II, respectively. The results are expressed in terms of the defined calorie equal to 4.1840 abs J. The corrections to standard states were applied in the usual manner.¹⁶ The entries in the tables are either self-explanatory or have been explained previously.^{16,17}

The following auxiliary data, at 25°, were used in the reduction to standard states: for c_p (cal deg⁻¹ g⁻¹): KBrO₃, 0.150;¹⁸ KBr, 0.105;¹⁹ graphite, 0.1697;²⁰ and Pt, 0.0325;¹⁸ for C_v (cal deg⁻¹ mol⁻¹): O₂, 5.029;²⁰ and CO₂, 6.883;²⁰ for the density (g cm⁻³): KBrO₄, 3.08;²¹ KBrO₃, 3.27; KBr, 2.75; graphite, 2.27; and Pt, 21.45. The heat capacity of KBrO₄(c) was estimated to be 0.15 cal deg⁻¹ g⁻¹ based on the assumption that the difference in the molar heat capacities of KBrO₄(c) and

(20) D. D. Wagman, W. H. Evans, V. B. Parker, I. Halow, S. M. Bailey, and R. H. Schumm, National Bureau of Standards Technical Note 270-3, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., 1968.

(21) S. Siegel, B. Tani, and E. Appleman, Inorg. Chem., 8, 1190 (1969).

 $\text{KBrO}_{3}(c)$ is the same as it is for $\text{KClO}_{4}(c)$ and $\text{KClO}_{3}(c)$. The volume of the empty bomb was 330 ml.

Water was the only impurity in both the $KBrO_4$ and $KBrO_3$ specimens for which a thermal correction was required. The water was assumed to be trapped in the crystal lattice and, after the decomposition, to be present as water vapor. The energy of vaporization of this water from the lattice was taken to be the same as that for free water, 9.9 kcal mol⁻¹.

Two calorimetric experiments on the decomposition of KClO₃(c) [the results are not tabulated] yielded values of -83.75 and -83.70 cal g⁻¹ for $\Delta E_{dec}^{\circ}/M(\text{KClO}_{3}(c))$, from which $\Delta H_{dec}^{\circ} = -9.37$ kcal mol⁻¹ is calculated.

Solution Experiments.—The results of six experiments on solution of $KBrO_4$ in water, according to the average reaction

 $KBrO_4(c) + 2144H_2O(1) \longrightarrow KBrO_4 \cdot 2144H_2O(1)$ (2)

and seven experiments on solution of KBrO₃ in water, according to the average reaction

 $KBrO_{\mathfrak{g}}(c) + 1808H_{2}O(1) \longrightarrow KBrO_{\mathfrak{g}} \cdot 1808H_{2}O(1)$ (3)

are presented in Tables III and IV, respectively. $\Delta H_{\text{electrical}}$ is the correction for the electrical energy supplied by the heater during the solution experiment, and ΔH_{vapor} is the correction for the vaporization of solvent into the free volume of the ampoule. The correction for the condensation of water vapor in the reaction vessel, owing to the change in ionic strength during solution, was negligible. The impurity correction is for water.

The derived data for $KBrO_4(c)$ and $KBrO_3(c)$ at 25° are presented in Table V. The formation data refer to the reactions

$$K(c) + \frac{1}{2}Br_2(1) + 2O_2(g) \longrightarrow KBrO_4(c)$$
(4)

$$K(c) + \frac{1}{2}Br_2(l) + \frac{3}{2}O_2(g) \longrightarrow KBrO_3(c)$$
 (5)

and were calculated by combining the enthalpies of decomposition and $\Delta H_f^{\circ}(\text{KBr}(c)) = -94.12 \pm 0.1$ kcal mol^{-1,19} The following entropies, $S^{\circ}_{298,15}$, were used to calculate the ΔS_f° values (cal deg⁻¹ mol⁻¹): KBrO₄(c), 37.6; KBrO₃(c), 35.65;¹⁸ Br₂(1), 36.38;¹⁹

⁽¹⁶⁾ W. N. Hubbard, D. W. Scott, and G. Waddington in "Experimental Thermochemistry," F. D. Rossini, Ed., Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1956, Chapter 5.

⁽¹⁷⁾ E. Greenberg, J. L. Settle, and W. N. Hubbard, J. Phys. Chem., 66, 1345 (1962).

⁽¹⁸⁾ F. D. Rossini, D. D. Wagman, W. H. Evans, S. Levine, and I. Jaffe, National Bureau of Standards Circular 500, U. S. Government Printing Office. Washington, D. C., 1952.

^{(19) &}quot;JANAF Thermochemical Tables," The Dow Chemical Co., Midland, Mich.

			D recur and	TAI TAI	SLE II					
$m^{i}(\mathrm{KBrO_{3}}), \mathrm{g}$	4.00594	4 00605	A DOODE	OF KEIO3 DEC	OMPOSITION EXPR	CRIMENTS				
$m^{\rm f}({\rm KBrO}_{\rm a}), g$	0 00013	0.0110.0	4.UU&30	4.00708	4.00830	4.00725	2.06399	2 00466	0,000.0	
$m'(\text{KBrO}_a)$, g	4 00581	60110.0	0.00234	0.00017	0.00010	0.00033	0_00033		200002	2.02140
m''(oranhite) a	0.61000	0.99460	4.00601	4.00691	4.00820	4.00692	9 06966	0.0000	0.20930	0.00004
AL dec	1 00000	GULLG.U	0.57104	0.57301	0.57408	0.57234	0000014	2.00400	1.74926	2.02136
	1.23888	1.23923	1.37782	1.38284	1.38445	1 2000	#07T0.0	0.5/044	0.33117	0.50626
$e_{\rm Cartor} = \Delta u_{\rm c}$, cal	-4228.77	-4229.96	-4703.02	-4720.11	-479K GE	F0000-1	78112.1	1.34497	0.78978	1.19743
$\Delta L_{\rm contents}$, cal	-3.85	-3.85	-4 30	96 4 -	00.021E	-4/13.33	-4136.40	-4590.89	-2695.82	-4087 98
$\Delta E_{ignition}$, cal	0.66	0.67	0.79	70'E	-4.33	-4.31	-3.54	-3.93	-2.98	07.1001
$\Delta E_{\rm gas}$, cal	7.33	7 31	1 00	0.79 7 01	0.72	0.76	0.78	0.76	0.75	RF.O
$\Delta E_{ m graphite}$, cal	4011 41	4019 97	1.04	7.84	7.85	7.83	5.48	5 80	67.0 10 6	0.79
$\Delta E_{dec}^{\circ}/M(\text{sample})$ cal σ^{-1}	- 53 99	10.010#	4484.49	4499.96	4508.36	4494.69	4023 50	77 0470	0000 - 1	5.40
	07.00	- 93.19	-53.49	-53.88	-53.15	-53.50	- 53 30	11.8144	2600.74	3975.76
			Av $\Delta E_{ m dec}^{\circ}$	°/M(sample) =	$= -53.48 \pm 0.11^{\circ}$	t cal g ⁻¹	-00.09	-54.08	-53.05	-53.85
			Impurity	correction =	$= -0.33 \pm 0.01^{4}$	cal g ⁻¹				
			$\Delta E_{ m dee}{}^{ m o}/M$	$(KBrO_3) =$	$-53.81 \pm 0.11^{\circ}$	cal g-1				
- I lie uncertainty given is the	standard deviatio	on of the mean.				0				
				TABL	в 111					
			RESULT	S OF KBrO ₄ Sc	LUTION EXPERIM	STIC				
	$m'(\text{KBrO}_4), g$		0 47104	0 4000		CINE				
	∆t., dev			0.0000	0.47122	0.47122	0.47030	0.47023		
	elvalor) and doz-	7	-0.00134	0.00069	-0.00122	-0.00115	-0.00055	0 00000		
	<pre>c(calor), cal ueg</pre>		105.717	105.218	105.274	105.196	105 279	104 044		
	ϵ (callor) (- Δk_c), C	al	0.142	-0.073	0.128	0.121	0.050	104.944		
	$\Delta H_{electrical}$, cal		29.790	29.790	29.790	790	90.700	-0.071		
	ΔH_{vapor} , cal		-0.006	-0.006	-0.006	-0.006	29.790 0.000	29.790		
	$\Delta H_{ m soln}/M(m sample)$	e), cal g^{-1}	63.53	63.26	63.48	63 A6	-0.006	-0.006		
			Av ΔH_{sol}	M(sample) =	$6340 \pm 0.05a$	00.±00 1 ≈ -1	03.45	63.19		
			Impurity	correction =	- 00.40 ± 0.00° C	11 8 -				
			$\Delta H_{\rm aoh}/N$	$I(KBrO_{4}) =$	$63.49 \pm 0.05a$					
			$\Delta H_{\rm soln}(in$	$(2144 H_2O)^b =$	11606 + 9a cal = 11606	u 8 - mol-1				
^a The uncertainty given is the s	andard deviation	of the mean. b'	The reaction ves	sel contained 9	9.345 ± 0.002 g of	water.				
				TABLI	3 IV					
			RESULTS	5 OF KBrO ₃ Sol	UTION EXPERIME	NTG				
m'(KBrO ₃), g	0.50907	7 0.5	1195	0 50458	0 20010					
$\Delta l_{\rm e}$, deg	-0.279	<u>6 ~ 0.5</u>	28513	0.00977	0.00042	0.51071	0.51091	0.510	178	. 50757
€(calor), cal deg ⁻¹	105.59	5 105	.055	105 087	0.00079	-0.28408	-0.00290	-0.00	015 (0.00201
$\epsilon(calor)(-\Delta t_{e}), cal$	29.562	29	954	106 0-	806.601	105.292	105.226	105.2	30	05 272
$\Delta H_{electrical}, cal$:			167.0-	-0.083	29.911	0.305	0.01	9	-0.919
ΔH_{vapor} , cal	-0.00	9	006	29.19U	29.790	:	29.790	52 67	, u	00 700
$\Delta H_{ m soln}/M$ (sample), cal g ⁻¹	58.06	282	50	-0.000 50 45	-0.006	-0.006	-0.006	0.0-	90	0.000
			AV AH .	04.00	08.42	58.56	58.89	58.3	4	58 96
				= (and int) =	58.44 ± 0.09^{a} cal	[g-1			I	07.00
			ATT VIEW	correction =	$0.03 \text{ cal } \mathrm{g}^{-1}$					
					$58.47 \pm 0.09^{\circ}$ cal	[g-1				
^a The uncertainty given is the s	tandard deviation	of the mean		000 H2U) =	9765 ± 15^a cal n	101-1				
8		ו חו רווב הובמווי	" I ne reaction v	ressel contained	$1 99.345 \pm 0.002$	g of water.				

 $O_2(g)$, 49.00;¹⁹ K(c), 15.46.¹⁹ The entropy of KBrO₄(c) was estimated by assuming that the difference in entropy between $KBrO_4(c)$ and $KBrO_8(c)$ was identical with the entropy difference between $KCIO_4(c)$ and KClO₃(c) and was assigned an uncertainty of 2.0 cal deg⁻¹ mol⁻¹. The atomic weights²² of bromine, potassium, and oxygen were taken as 79.904, 39.102, and 15.9994, respectively. The uncertainties given in the table are uncertainty intervals²³ equal to twice the combined standard deviations arising from known sources.

The standard state for a solution was taken to be the hypothetical ideal solution at unit molality. The relative apparent molal heat content of a solute in an infinitely dilute real solution is the same as it is in this standard-state solution. Thus, in order to obtain the standard enthalpies of solution of KBrO₄ and KBrO₃, it is necessary to know the enthalpies of dilution from the measured concentrations to infinite dilution. For KBrO₃, the enthalpy of dilution from 1808 H₂O to ∞ H₂O was taken as -19 ± 20 cal mol⁻¹ from Parker's compilation,²⁴ to yield $\Delta H_{soln}^{\circ}(\text{KBrO}_3(c)) = 9746 \pm$ 50 cal mol⁻¹. For KBrO₄, the enthalpy of dilution from 2144 H₂O to ∞ H₂O was estimated to be 0 ± 30 cal mol^{-1} by a comparison with the enthalpies of dilution of other sodium and potassium halates and perhalates.²⁴ Moreover, measurements were made of the enthalpy of solution of KBrO4 at several concentrations more dilute than given in Table III. These measurements, which yielded enthalpies of dilution as the difference in the measured enthalpies of solution, tended to substantiate the above estimate. Thus, $\Delta H_{soln}^{\circ}(\text{KBrO}_4(\mathbf{c}))$ is 11,606 ± 40 cal mol⁻¹.

Discussion

Perbromate and Bromate Ions .-- The values obtained in this investigation for the standard enthalpies of formation of KBrO₄(c) and KBrO₃(c) are $-68.74 \pm$ $0.14 \text{ and } -86.02 \pm 0.12 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$, respectively. These data, when combined with the standard enthalpies of solution of the salts and $\Delta H_{f}^{\circ}(\mathbf{K}^{+}(aq))$, $-60.32 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1,20} \text{ yield } \Delta H_{i}^{\circ}(\text{BrO}_{4}^{-}(\text{aq})) = 3.19 \pm$ $0.15 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1} \text{ and } \Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}({\rm BrO}_3^{-}({\rm aq})) = -15.95 \pm 0.13$ kcal mol^{-1} . These ionic values are relative to that for hydrogen ion, H⁺, for which ΔH_i° , S^o, and ΔG_i° are taken equal to zero.

The entropy and Gibbs energy of formation of the perbromate and bromate ions are obtainable from the general-solution equation

$$KX(c) + \infty H_2O(1) \longrightarrow (K^+ + X^-) \cdot \infty H_2O \qquad (6)$$

for which $\Delta G^{\circ}(6) = -2RT \ln \gamma_{\pm} m_{\pm}$ and $\Delta S^{\circ}(6) =$ $[\Delta H^{\circ}(6) - \Delta G^{\circ}(6)]/T$. The data used for γ_{\pm} , the mean ionic activity coefficient, and m_{\pm} , the mean ionic molal concentration in saturated solutions of KBrO3 and KBrO₄ at 25°, are given in Table VI along with the

	TABLE V	
Dei	RIVED DATA AT $25^{\circ a}$	
	KBrO ₄ (c)	KBrO₃(c)
$\Delta E_{\rm dec}^{\circ}/M$, cal g ⁻¹	-145.16 ± 0.54	-53.81 ± 0.36
$\Delta E_{\rm dec}^{\circ}$, kcal mol ⁻¹	-26.56 ± 0.10	-8.99 ± 0.06
$\Delta H_{\rm dec}^{\circ}$, kcal mol ⁻¹	-25.38 ± 0.10	-8.10 ± 0.06
$\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}$, kcal mol ⁻¹	-68.74 ± 0.14	-86.02 ± 0.12
$\Delta S_{\rm f}^{\circ}$, cal deg ⁻¹ mol ⁻¹	-94.0 ± 2.0	-71.50 ± 0.20
$\Delta G_{\rm f}^{\circ}$, kcal mol ⁻¹	-40.71 ± 0.62	-64.70 ± 0.13
$\Delta H_{\rm soln}^{\circ}$, kcal mol ⁻¹	11.61 ± 0.04	9.75 ± 0.05

^a The uncertainty given is an uncertainty interval.

derived results for S° and ΔG_{f}° . The activity coefficient for a saturated solution of KBrO4 was estimated by comparison with those of other univalent electrolytes.²⁵

Mel, Jolly, and Latimer²⁶ obtained $\Delta H_1^{\circ}(BrO_3^{-}(aq))$ values of -18.70 and -17.82 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively, from the measured enthalpies of the reactions

$$BrO_{3}^{-} + 9I^{-} + 6H^{+} \longrightarrow 3I_{3}^{-} + Br^{-} + 3H_{2}O$$
 (7)

$$BrO_3^- + 8Br^- + 6H^+ \longrightarrow 3Br_3^- + 3H_2O$$
 (8)

If the result from reaction 8 is updated by the use of selected data from ref 20, the value for $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}({\rm BrO}_3^-({\rm aq}))$ becomes -14.1 ± 0.6 kcal mol⁻¹. Howard and Skinner²⁷ recently determined the enthalpy of formation of HI(aq) from studies of reactions in aqueous hydrazine. Their suggested value for $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}(I^{-}({\rm aq}))$ of $-13.79 \pm$ 0.03 kcal mol⁻¹ appears to be more reliable than previous assessments.²⁰ Three studies of the enthalpy of reaction of $I_2(c)$ with $I^-(aq)$ to form $I_3^-(aq)$ have been reported.²⁸⁻³⁰ We have selected the ΔH for this reaction as determined by Mercer and Farrar³⁰ (1.074 \pm $0.012 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ which yields $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}({\rm I}_3^{-}({\rm ag})) = -12.72$ \pm 0.03 kcal mol⁻¹. Use of this last value along with Howard and Skinner's value for $I^{-}(aq)$ in reaction 7 yields $\Delta H_1^{\circ}(BrO_3^{-}(aq)) = -16.0 \pm 0.9 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$. This result is close to that obtained from reaction 8 and is in excellent agreement with the result obtained by more direct methods in this study.

Perchlorate and Chlorate Ions.-In selecting thermodynamic values for the perchlorate and chlorate ions, two sources of thermal decomposition data are available. Vorob'ev and coworkers^{6,7} measured the thermal decomposition of the chlorates and perchlorates of sodium and potassium using electrical heat as the energy source for the decomposition; Gilliland and coworkers^{4,5,31} used heat from the combustion of benzoic acid to effect the decompositions. A consistent difference appears in the results obtained by the two sets of investigators, which is indicative of a systematic bias. Our measurement (see Results, above) of the enthalpy of decomposition of KClO₃(c) is much closer to that of Gilliland and Wagman, ${}^{4}\Delta H_{dec}{}^{\circ} = -9.16 \pm$

- (27) P. B. Howard and H. A. Skinner, J. Chem. Soc., A, 1536 (1966).
- (28) J. H. Stern and A. A. Passchier, J. Phys. Chem., 66, 752 (1962).
- (29) C. H. Wu, M. M. Birky, and L. G. Hepler, ibid., 67, 1202 (1963).
- (30) E. E. Mercer and D. T. Farrar, Can. J. Chem., 46, 2679 (1968).

⁽²²⁾ V. Zatka, Chem. Listy, 62, 579 (1968).

⁽²³⁾ F. D. Rossini in "Experimental Thermochemistry," F. D. Rossini, Ed., Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1956, Chapter 14.

⁽²⁴⁾ V. B. Parker, "Thermal Properties of Aqueous Uni-univalent Electrolytes," National Bureau of Standards Report NSRDS-NBS-2, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., 1965.

⁽²⁵⁾ R. A. Robinson and R. H. Stokes, "Electrolyte Solutions," 2nd ed, Butterworth and Co. Ltd., London, 1959.

⁽²⁶⁾ H. C. Mel, W. L. Jolly, and W. M. Latimer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 75, 3827 (1953).

⁽³¹⁾ A. A. Gilliland and D. D. Wagman, Proceedings of the 4th Meeting of the Interagency Chemical Rocket Propulsion Group, Thermochemistry Working Group, Vol. 1, March 16-18, 1966, p 35,

	Therm	odynamic Properties	s of the Potassium	Salt	
	$\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}$, kcal mol ⁻¹	S°, cal deg ⁻¹ m	ol~1 c	ΔS_{f}° , al deg ⁻¹ mol ⁻¹	$\Delta G_{\rm f}^{\circ}$, kcal mol ⁻¹
$KC1O_{2}(\alpha)$	-95.08 ± 0.16	$34 \ 17 + 0$	20ª -	$-81 44 \pm 0.20$	-70.80 ± 0.17
$KClO_{3}(c)$	$-103 22 \pm 0.21$	36.10 ± 0.10	20 ^a —	104.00 ± 0.20	-72.21 ± 0.22
$KBrO_{4}(c)$	-86.02 ± 0.12	35.65 ± 0.00	20ª -	-71.50 ± 0.20	-64.70 ± 0.13
$KBrO_{4}(c)$	-68.74 ± 0.14	37.6 ± 2.0	(estd) –	-94.0 ± 2.0	-40.71 ± 0.62
$KIO_{2}(c)$	-119.46 ± 0.10	$36.20 \pm 0.$	20ª -	-66.64 ± 0.20	-99.59 ± 0.12
$KIO_4(c)$	-110.08 ± 0.50	38.1 ± 2.0)(estd) –	-89.2 ± 2.0	-83.49 ± 0.78
	r	hermodynamic Prop	erties of Solution		
	$\Delta H_{\rm soln}^{\circ}$,	$\Delta G_{\rm soln}^{\circ}$,	$\Delta S_{\rm soln}^{\circ}$,	Solubility,	,
	kcal mol ⁻¹	kcal mol ⁻¹	cal deg ⁻¹ mol	-1 <i>m</i>	γ_{\pm}
$KClO_3(c)$	9.89 ± 0.05^{b}	1.20 ± 0.05	29.15 ± 0.2	3 0.700*	0.518°
$KClO_4(c)$	12.20 ± 0.06^{b}	2.67 ± 0.10	31.96 ± 0.4	.0 0.150°	0.70^{g}
$KBrO_3(c)$	9.75 ± 0.05	1.55 ± 0.05	27.50 ± 0.2	3 0.488	0.556°
$KBrO_4(c)$	11.61 ± 0.04	2.19 ± 0.10	31.59 ± 0.3	0.236	0.67(estd)
$KIO_3(c)$	6.63 ± 0.05^{b}	1.69 ± 0.10	16.57 ± 0.3	7 0.428°	0.56(estd)
$KIO_4(c)$	15.2 ± 0.2^{d}	4.70 ± 0.10	35.22 ± 0.7	⁴ 0.022 ^e	0.86(estd)
	Stand	ard Thermodynamic	Properties of the I	lons	
	$\Delta H_{ m f}$	٥,	.S°,		∆Gf°,
	kcal m	ol -1	cal deg -1 mol -	-1	kcal mol ⁻¹
C1O3 –	-24.87 :	± 0.15	38.8 ± 0.3		-1.90 ± 0.18
C104 -	-30.70	± 0.20	43.6 ± 0.4		-1.84 ± 0.24
BrO ₃ -	-15.95 :	± 0.13	38.6 ± 0.3		4.55 ± 0.14
BrO ₄ -	3.19 :	± 0.15	44.7 ± 2.0		29.18 ± 0.63
IO_3 –	- 52.51 :	± 0.11	28.3 ± 0.4		-30.20 ± 0.16
IO_4	-34.56 :	± 0.45	48.8 ± 2.1		-11.09 ± 0.79
V +	-60.32f		24 51		-67.70f

TABLE VI
Thermodynamic Properties of the Halates and Perhalates at 25°
Thermodynamic Properties of the Potassium Salt

^a Reference 18. ^b Reference 24. ^c Reference 25. ^d A. A. Shidlovskii and A. A. Voskresenskii, *Russ. J. Phys. Chem.*, **39**, 810 (1965). ^e H. Stephen and T. Stephen, "Solubilities of Inorganic and Organic Compounds," Vol. 1, Part 1, Pergamon Press Ltd., Oxford, 1963. ^f Reference 20. ^e W. M. Latimer and J. E. Ahlberg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **52**, 549 (1930).

0.07 kcal mol⁻¹, than to that of Vorob'ev, Privalova, and Huang,⁷ $\Delta H_{dec}^{\circ} = -11.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$. Accordingly, the results of Gilliland and coworkers were selected for computing the enthalpies of formation of the chlorates and perchlorates. The value obtained for $\Delta H_{f^{\circ}}(ClO_{3}^{-}(aq))$ from the KClO₃ study is -25.00 \pm 0.11 kcal mol⁻¹ whereas that obtained from the NaClO₃ decomposition is -24.74 ± 0.09 kcal mol⁻¹. The value selected for the enthalpy of formation of the chlorate ion is the average of these two results. The decomposition data of Johnson and Gilliland⁵ on KClO₄ yield $\Delta H_i^{\circ}(\text{ClO}_4^-(\text{aq})) = -30.89 \pm 0.12$ kcal mol⁻¹ and the data of Gilliland and Wagman³¹ on NaClO₄ yield -30.52 ± 0.11 kcal mol⁻¹. The average of these two results was selected for the perchlorate ion. The auxiliary data used in the above calculations were taken from ref 19, 20, and 24. The values for $KClO_3(c)$ and $KClO_4(c)$ given in Table VI were chosen to be consistent with the values selected for the ions.

Periodate and Iodate Ions.—The selected value for the enthalpy of formation of the iodate ion is based on the work of Howard and Skinner,³² who measured the enthalpy of reaction of $\text{KIO}_3(c)$ with aqueous hydrazine hydrochloride to obtain $\Delta H_f^{\circ}(\text{KIO}_3(c)) = -119.46 \pm$ 0.10 kcal mol⁻¹. This value was used to calculate $\Delta H_f^{\circ}(\text{IO}_3^{-}(\text{aq})) = -52.51 \pm 0.11$ kcal mol⁻¹. The result is consistent with earlier studies^{28,29} of the reduction of IO_3^{-} with I⁻ when the earlier data are updated by the use of our previously selected values for the enthalpies of formation of $I^{-}(aq)$ and $I_{3}^{-}(aq)$.

The value selected for $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}({\rm IO}_4^{-}({\rm aq}))$ is based on the recent work of Mercer and Farrar,³⁰ who studied the reduction of NaIO₄(c) with I⁻(aq). They reported $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}({\rm IO}_4^{-}({\rm aq})) = -38.8 \pm 0.4$ kcal mol⁻¹. This value becomes -34.56 ± 0.45 kcal mol⁻¹ when corrected by the use of our selected data for I⁻(aq) and I₃⁻(aq).

The standard electrode potentials for the generalized reaction

$$XO_3^- + H_2O \longrightarrow XO_4^- + 2H^+ + 2e^-$$
(9)

are obtained from the $\Delta G_{\rm f}^{\circ}$ values of Table VI and $\Delta G_{\rm f}^{\circ}({\rm H_2O}({\rm l})) = -56.687$ kcal mol^{-1 20} by use of the relationship $E = \Delta G^{\circ}(9)/nF$. The value for *F*, the Faraday constant, was taken to be 23,060.9 cal (V equiv)⁻¹. The derived electrode potentials are 1.230 \pm 0.006, 1.763 \pm 0.014, and 1.644 \pm 0.018 V, where X is Cl, Br, and I, respectively.

These electrode potentials establish that perbromate is a stronger oxidant than either perchlorate or periodate. This irregularity would be even more pronounced if we calculated the iodine potentials in terms of the predominant species in acid solution, H_5IO_6 .³³ A similar anomaly appears in the series sulfur, selenium, and tellurium,³³ while an effect of the same nature has

⁽³³⁾ W. M. Latimer, "Oxidation Potentials," 2nd ed, Prentice-Hall, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1952.

been invoked to account for the nonexistence of AsCl₅.³⁴ This apparent relative instability of the highest oxidation states of the nonmetallic elements of the first long period has been discussed at length, but the explanations offered have been less than convincing.³⁴ The effect appears real, but its causes are probably complex.

It is important to realize that perbromate ion is only a little more strongly oxidizing than periodate, and the difference is not, in itself, sufficient to explain

(34) W. E. Dasent, "Nonexistent Compounds," Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1965, p 117 ff. why perbromates have been so hard to prepare. However, the perbromate ion is only slowly reduced,⁸ indicating the presence of a high activation barrier between Br(V) and Br(VII). This barrier plus the fairly high electrode potential could account for the difficulties encountered in the synthesis of perbromates.

Acknowledgments.—The authors wish to thank H. M. Feder, T. F. Young, and F. D. Rossini for helpful discussions, A. Venters for the water analysis, J. F. Lech for the emission spectrographic analyses, and M. Ader for editorial assistance.

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry and the Laboratory for Research on the Structure of Matter, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

Mechanism of the Reduction of Vanadium(V) by Hexacyanoferrate(II) in Acidic Aqueous Solution

By JAMES P. BIRK

Received June 30, 1969

The rapid reaction between vanadium(V) and hexacyanoferrate(II) proceeds at least partially via an inner-sphere mechanism involving an intermediate postulated to be a vanadium(IV)-iron(III) binuclear complex. The oxidation-reduction step follows a rate equation $-d[V(V)]/dt = k_{ox}[VO_2^+]$ [Fe(II)] with $k_{ox} = (1.2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^6 M^{-1} \sec^{-1}$ at 8° and 0.50 M ionic strength. The intermediate decays in a first-order process independent of all concentrations when VO₂⁺ is present in excess. The activation parameters for this process are $\Delta H_0^{\pm} = 14.1 \pm 0.3$ kcal/mol and $\Delta S_0^{\pm} = -4.4 \pm 1.1$ eu, with $k_0 = 34.1 \sec^{-1}$ at 25° and 0.50 M ionic strength. With excess iron(II) the rate equation contains an additional term, first-order in the excess iron(II) concentration, which is subject to several interpretations. The associated activation parameters are $\Delta H_1^{\pm} = 6.53 \pm 0.55$ kcal/mol and $\Delta S_1^{\pm} = -15.8 \pm 1.9$ eu, with $k_1 = 3.51 \times 10^4 M^{-1} \sec^{-1}$ at 25°.

Introduction

The reduction of chromium(VI) by hexacyanoferrate-(II) and several other substitution-inert iron(II) complexes has recently been shown to follow a different kinetic pattern than that observed with aquoiron(II) and a number of other reducing agents.¹ In particular, the reductions by the cyanoiron(II) complexes were found to proceed, at least partially, by inner-sphere processes in which the bridging ligand originates on the reducing agent, in contrast to the normal situation where the oxidizing agent supplies the bridging ligand. Since vanadium(V) is isoelectronic and probably also isostructural $(VO_2^+ \text{ or more probably } V(OH)_4^{+2} \text{ or }$ possibly $V(OH)_4OH_2^{+8,4}$ with chromium(VI), the reduction of VO_2^+ by $Fe(CN)_6^{4-}$ has been investigated in an attempt to determine whether the rather novel mechanism in which the reducing agent supplies the bridging ligand in fact has a more general existence.

Experimental Section

Solutions of vanadium(V) perchlorate were prepared by dissolution of Fisher purified vanadium pentoxide or Fisher sodium orthovanadate in an excess of perchloric acid. Solutions were analyzed by titration with iron(II) in approximately 6 M sulfuric acid to a ferroin end point. These analyses agreed to within 1% with the concentrations determined from the visible spectra and from the weight of material dissolved. Vanadium-(IV) perchlorate solutions were prepared by electrolytic reduction of a V_2O_5 suspension in perchloric acid⁵ or by reaction of equivalent amounts of barium perchlorate and vanadyl sulfate (Alfa Inorganics). Barium sulfate was removed by centrifugation. Solutions were analyzed by titration with cerium(IV) sulfate to a ferroin end point in acetic acid solution.⁶ The hydrogen ion concentration was determined by titration of the acid released from a column of ion-exchange resin in the H⁺ form and correction for the acid displaced by VO²⁺. Solutions of potassium ferrocyanide, potassium ferricyanide, lithium perchlorate, and perchloric acid were prepared and analyzed as previously described.¹

The kinetics of the reduction of VO_2^+ by $Fe(CN)e^{4-}$ were determined with a Durrum stopped-flow spectrophotometer, using previously described techniques.¹ Spectra were measured with a Cary 14 recording spectrophotometer. Spectra of the intermediate were calculated from the difference in transmittance between the intermediate (at the time of initial observation) and the products, using stopped-flow oscillograms measured at various wavelengths with an oscilloscope calibrated to determine transmittance changes exactly. Two limiting interpretations were made of the absorbances calculated in this manner. (1) It was assumed that the entire reaction involves the formation of the intermediate so that the calculated absorbance equals the absorbance of the intermediate. This assumption was used to determine the spectrum shown in Figure 2. (2) Assuming that

⁽¹⁾ J. P. Birk, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 91, 3189 (1969).

⁽²⁾ M. T. Pope and B. W. Dale, Quart. Rev. (London), 22, 527 (1968).

⁽³⁾ G. Schwarzenbach and G. Geier, Helv. Chim. Acta, 46, 906 (1963).

⁽⁴⁾ H. C. Mishra and M. C. R. Symons, J. Chem. Soc., 4411 (1962).

⁽⁵⁾ J. H. Espenson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 86, 5101 (1964).

⁽⁶⁾ K. Sriramam and G. G. Rao, Talanta, 13, 1468 (1966).