Volume 9 Number 7

July 1970

Inorganic Chemistry

© Copyright 1970 by the American Chemical Society

CONTRIBUTION FROM THE MALLINCKRODT LABORATORY, DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02138

The Crystal Structure and Molecular Geometry of Bis[hydrotris(1-pyrazolyl)borato]cobalt(II)

BY MELVYN R. CHURCHILL,¹ KAREN GOLD, AND CARLYLE E. MAW, JR.

Received October 22, 1969

The crystal structure and molecular geometry of bis[hydrotris(1-pyrazoly1)borato]cobalt(II), $[HB(C_3N_2H_3)_3]_2Co^{II}$, have been elucidated by single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies. The complex crystallizes in the centrosymmetric monoclinic space group P2₁/c (C_{2h}⁵; no. 14) with $a = 9.754 \pm 0.006$ Å, $b = 17.650 \pm 0.012$ Å, $c = 15.460 \pm 0.010$ Å, $\beta = 121.60 \pm 0.05^\circ$, Z = 4, $\rho_{obsd} = 1.40 \pm 0.05$ g cm⁻³, and $\rho_{ealod} = 1.421$ g cm⁻³. Data to sin $\theta = 0.42$ (Mo K α radiation) were collected with a 0.01°-incrementing Buerger automated diffractometer, and the structure was solved by a combination of Patterson, Fourier, and least-squares refinement techniques. The final discrepancy index is $R_F = 7.28\%$ for 2340 independent nonzero reflections. The crystal is composed of discrete molecular units of $[HB(C_3N_2H_3)_3]_2Co^{II}$ which are separated by normal van der Waals distances. The two hydrotris(1-pyrazoly1)borato ligands adopt a mutually staggered configuration. The central cobalt(II) ion is in trigonally distorted octahedral coordination to six nitrogen atoms, the molecule having approximate (*although not precise*) D_{3d} symmetry. Cobalt-nitrogen distances range from 2.120 (7) to 2.140 (7) Å, averaging 2.129 Å. Mean distances within the hydrotris(1-pyrazoly1)borato ligand are B-N = 1.544 (21), N-N = 1.364 (3), N-C = 1.335 (15), and C-C = 1.386 (24) Å.

Introduction

Trofimenko and coworkers²⁻¹¹ have reported the preparation and characterization of a wide variety of transition metal complexes containing poly(1-pyrazoly1)borato, $R_nB(pz)_{4-n}$, ligands [where R = H, alkyl, or ary1; pz = pyrazoly1 ($C_3N_2H_3$) or substituted pyrazoly1; $0 \le n \le 2$]. The uninegative anions with n = 2 are bidentate, while those with n = 0 or 1 typically behave as tridentate ligands. The tridentate ligands (of which the parent species is the hydrotris(1pyrazoly1)borato ion, $HB(C_3N_2H_3)_3^{-}$) are remarkable in forming a series of complexes analogous to π -cyclopentadienyl or 1,2-dicarbollide species.

We now present the results of the first detailed singlecrystal X-ray diffraction study of a poly(1-pyrazolyl)borato complex—bis[hydrotris(1-pyrazolyl)borato]cobalt(II), $[HB(C_3N_2H_3)_3]_2Co^{II}$.^{12,13} Detailed nu-

(8) S. Trofimenko, *ibid.*, **90**, 4754 (1968).

- (10) S. Trofimenko, ibid., 91, 3183 (1969).
- (11) S. Trofimenko, ibid., 91, 5410 (1969).

(12) Preliminary results of a structural study (by Dr. C. T. Prewitt) on the four-coordinate tetrahedral cobalt complex $[H_2B(C_3N_3H_3)_2]_2Co^{II}$ have been referred to in ref 13. (13) J. P. Jesson, J. Chem. Phys., **47**, 582 (1967). clear magnetic resonance, electron paramagnetic resonance, and optical data on this species have previously been reported.^{13–15} Our present study confirms the trigonally distorted octahedral coordination of the cobalt(II) ion and provides precise intramolecular disstances and angles which may be used as a basis for more refined calculations on this molecule (*e.g.*, on the dipolar contribution to the isotropic nuclear resonance shift—*cf.* ref 13).

Unit Cell and Space Group

Optical examination and the observed reciprocal lattice symmetry of C_{2h} (2/m) indicated that the crystals belonged to the monoclinic system. A survey of *h*0*l*, *h*1*l*, *hk*0, *hk*1 Weissenberg photographs (taken with Cu K α radiation; $\bar{\lambda}$ 1.5418 Å) and of 0*kl*, 1*kl*, *hk*0, *hk*1, *h*0*l* precession photographs (Mo K α radiation; $\bar{\lambda}$ 0.7107 Å) revealed the systematic absences *h*0*l* for l = 2n + 1 and 0*k*0 for k = 2n + 1, compatible only with space group P2₁/c (C_{2h}⁵; no. 14).¹⁶

Unit cell parameters, calculated from calibrated $(a_{\text{NaCl}} = 5.640 \text{ Å})$ zero-level precession photographs taken with Mo K α radiation at room temperature $(23 \pm 2^{\circ})$ are $a = 9.754 \pm 0.006 \text{ Å}$, $b = 17.650 \pm 0.012 \text{ Å}$, $c = 15.460 \pm 0.010 \text{ Å}$, and $\beta = 121.60 \pm 0.010 \text{ Å}$

⁽¹⁾ Research Fellow of the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, 1968-1970.

⁽²⁾ S. Trofimenko, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 88, 1842 (1966).

 ⁽³⁾ J. P. Jesson, S. Trofimenko, and D. R. Eaton, *ibid.*, **89**, 3148 (1967).
 (4) J. P. Jesson, S. Trofimenko, and D. R. Eaton, *ibid.*, **89**, 3158 (1967).

⁽⁴⁾ J. P. Jesson, S. Trohmenko, and D. R. J.
(5) S. Trohmenko, *ibid.*, **89**, 3170 (1967).

⁽⁶⁾ S. Trofimenko, *ibid.*, **89**, 3904 (1967).

⁽⁷⁾ S. Trofimenko, *ibid.*, **89**, 6288 (1967).

⁽⁹⁾ S. Trofimenko, *ibid.*, **91**, 588 (1969).

⁽¹⁴⁾ J. P. Jesson, ibid., 45, 1049 (1966).

⁽¹⁵⁾ J. P. Jesson, ibid., 47, 579 (1967).

^{(16) &}quot;International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography," Vol. 1, 2nd ed The Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, 1965, p99.

۲۰۰۰	 	
	K F:	
	Obs	Ope
	ERVED ANI + K F0 FC + 11 353 341 + 11 139 94 7 12 144 129 + 12 417 411 3 12 265 277 2 14 124 127	EBVED AND
	CALCULA	CALOTTA
	TED STRUC	TED STRUC
	TURE FAC	TIPE FLO
	FOR	non
	AMPLIT * #0 #c 17 145 146 17 241 236 17 135 156 17 243 251	1 10 10
	IN EI	
	ECTRO	
	NS X	
	10.0	10 0
	0) FOF	0) ====
	E [HB(C	[IIID/C
1999	N ₂ H	
	I ₃) ₃] ₂ C	
	o ^{II «}	
	40 321 424 210 75	
	FC 207	

TABLE I

0.05°. [Errors given are the "maximum probable errors" based on the inaccuracies involved in measuring (on precession photographs) the positions of diffraction rows from the crystal under investigation and from our standard sodium chloride crystal.]

The unit cell volume is 2267 Å³. The observed density ($\rho_{obsd} = 1.40 \pm 0.05$ g cm⁻³, by flotation in aqueous zinc iodine solution) is consistent with that calculated for mol wt 484.96 and Z = 4 ($\rho_{caled} = 1.421$ g cm⁻³).

Collection and Reduction of X-Ray Diffraction Data

The complex crystallizes as large bright yellow parallelepipeds with well-developed (100) faces. Two crystals were used in the course of the analysis. Crystal I was approximately spherical ($r = 0.08 \text{ mm} \pm 10\%$) and was mounted along its unique (b) axis; crystal II, of dimensions $0.20 \times 0.28 \times 0.31 \text{ mm}$ (referred to \vec{a} , \vec{b} , \vec{c} , respectively) was mounted on its c axis.

Intensity data (Mo K α radiation, $\bar{\lambda}$ 0.7107 Å) were collected on a 0.01°-incrementing Supper-Pace Buerger



" Table shows $h, k, 10 | F_0|, 10 | F_0|$ in blocks of constant l.

automated diffractometer, using a "stationary-background, ω -scan, stationary-background" counting sequence.

Experimental details specific to the present analysis include the following. (i) The X-ray generator was operated at 45 kV/17 mA. (ii) The scan angle, ω , was chosen as $\omega = [2.0 + (0.8/L)]^{\circ}$, where L^{-1} is the Lorentz factor. (iii) The scan speed was $2^{\circ}/\text{min.}$ (iv) Initial and final backgrounds, $B_1(hkl)$ and $B_2(hkl)$, were each counted for one-fourth the time taken for the appropriate ω scan. (v) I(hkl), the intensity of the reflection hkl, was calculated as: $I(hkl) = C(hkl) - 2[B_1(hkl) + B_2(hkl)]$ (where C(hkl) is the count associated with the ω scan).

All other experimental details and precautions were as described previously.¹⁷

Using equiinclination Weissenberg geometry, a total of 3075 reflections (sin $\theta_{max} = 0.38$) in the quadrants hKl and $\bar{h}Kl$ (K = 0-18) were collected from crystal I; 4126 reflections (sin $\theta_{max} = 0.42$) in the quadrants hkL and $\bar{h}kL$ (L = 0-14) were collected from crystal II.

Data for which $I(hkl) < 3[C(hkl) + 4B_1(hkl) + 4B_2(hkl)]^{1/2}$ were rejected as being not significantly different from background at the 3σ level. Data with highly asymmetric backgrounds, *i.e.*, $[B_1(hkl)/B_2(hkl)]$ or $[B_2(hkl)/B_1(hkl)] \geq 3$, were similarly rejected from the subsequent analysis.

All data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. Data from crystal II were corrected for absorption using a locally modified version of Burnham's GNABS (with $\mu = 8.23 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ transmission factors ranged from 0.799 to 0.857); data from the nearly spherical crystal I were not corrected for absorption, but systematic errors due to this omission will be less than 3% in F².

Symmetry-equivalent reflections within a zone were averaged and all data were merged to a common scale by a least-squares procedure.¹⁸ The resulting 2340 independent nonzero reflections were used to calculate a Wilson plot,¹⁹ from which were obtained an approximate absolute scale factor and an initial overall isotropic thermal parameter.

Solution and Refinement of the Structure

All crystallographic calculations were, unless otherwise stated, carried out under the CRYRM system²⁰ using the Harvard University IBM 7094 computers. Scattering factors for neutral nitrogen, carbon, boron, and hydrogen were taken from the compilation of Ibers.^{21a} The Thomas–Fermi–Dirac values for neutral cobalt^{21b} were corrected to allow for dispersion $(\Delta f'(\text{Co}) = +0.4 \text{ e}^-, \Delta f''(\text{Co}) = +1.1 \text{ e}^-)$.^{21e} The function minimized during least-squares refinement processes was $\Sigma w(|F_o|^2 - |F_c|^2)^2$ where the weighting scheme is that of Hughes,²² with $4|F_o|_{\min} = 20 \text{ e}^-$. Discrepancy indices referred to are $R_F = \Sigma ||F_o| - |F_c||/\Sigma |F_o|$ and $R_{wF^2} = \Sigma w(|F_o|^2 - |F_c|^2)^2/\Sigma w|F_o|^4$.

The phase problem was solved by means of a threedimensional Patterson synthesis which showed a series of peaks consistent with a cobalt atom at x = 0.810, y = 0.151, z = 0.162. A three-dimensional difference Fourier synthesis, phased only by the cobalt atom $(R_F = 0.47)$, revealed the positions of all nonhydrogen atoms. Three cycles of full-matrix refinement of positional parameters and individual isotropic thermal parameters for the 33 nonhydrogen atoms led to convergence at $R_F = 11.56\%$ and $R_{wF^2} = 11.12\%$. A second three-dimensional difference Fourier synthesis now showed evidence of anisotropic motion for many atoms and also indicated the location of each of the 20 hydrogen atoms in the molecule, individual peak heights ranging from 0.46 to 1.06 e⁻ Å⁻³, as compared with peak heights of ca. 4 e⁻ Å⁻³ for carbon atoms on an "observed" Fourier synthesis.

Hydrogen atoms were now included in calculated positions,²³ each being assigned an isotropic thermal parameter of 1.8 Å², which was not varied. A structure factor calculation, phased now by all 53 atoms, had discrepancy indices of $R_F = 10.80\%$ and $R_{wF^2} = 10.52\%$.

⁽¹⁷⁾ M. R. Churchill and J. P. Fennessey, Inorg. Chem., 7, 1123 (1968).

⁽¹⁸⁾ A. D. Rae, Acta Crystallogr., 19, 683 (1965).
(19) A. J. C. Wilson, Nature, 150, 152 (1942).

⁽²⁰⁾ CRYRM is an integrated sequence of crystallographic routines for the IBM 7094, compiled under the direction of Professor R. E. Marsh at the California Institute of Technology.

^{(21) &}quot;International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography," Vol. III, The Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, 1962: (a) p 202; (b) p 211; (c) p 216.
(22) E. W. Hughes, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 63, 1737 (1941).

⁽²³⁾ With d(C-H) = 1.080 Å and the appropriate sp² geometry about the carbon atom and with d(B-H) = 1.100 Å and sp³ geometry about the boron atom. It should be noted that all calculated positions are close (*i.e.*, within *ca*. 0.2 Å) to the centers of the peaks observed on the 11.56% difference Fourier synthesis.

TABLE II FINAL POSITIONAL PARAMETERS FOR [HB(CaN2Ha)3]2Co^{II a,b}

Atom	x	2 -0,012 Y	z
Co	0.80718(13)	0.15028(6)	0.16211(8)
$\mathbf{B}(1)$	0.7365(13)	0.3054(6)	0.0385(8)
$\mathbf{B}(2)$	0.8859(14)	-0.0038(6)	0.2878(10)
N(1a)	0.6067(8)	0.2449(4)	-0.0273(5)
N(2a)	0.6162(8)	0.1745(4)	0.0120(5)
N(1b)	0.7336(10)	0.3185(4)	0.1356(6)
N(2b)	0.7617 (8)	0.2591(4)	0.1998(5)
N(1e)	0,9025(8)	0.2740(4)	0.0669(5)
N(2e)	0.9573 (8)	0.2084(4)	0.1218(5)
N(1d)	0.8814(8)	-0.0188(4)	0.1902(5)
N(2d)	0.8448(9)	0.0394(4)	0.1234(5)
N(1e)	0.7226 (8)	0.0288(4)	0.2648(5)
N(2e)	0.6651(9)	0.0938(4)	0.2098(6)
N(1f)	1.0206(9)	0.0563(4)	0.3519(5)
N(2f)	1.0088 (8)	0.1257(4)	0.3104(5)
C(3a)	0.4973(12)	0.1350(5)	-0.0594(8)
C(4a)	0.4063(12)	0.1797(6)	-0.1490(7)
C(5a)	0.4830(11)	0.2486(5)	-0.1223(7)
C(3b)	0.7388(12)	0.2854(5)	0.2734(8)
C(4b)	0.6926(13)	0.3618(5)	0.2551(8)
C(5b)	0.6915(11)	0.3798(5)	0.1673(7)
C(3e)	1.1000(11)	0.1957(5)	0.1306(7)
C(4c)	1.1373(11)	0.2505(6)	0.0839(7)
C(5c)	1.0111(12)	0.2992(6)	0.0438(7)
C(3d)	0.8508(13)	0.0116(6)	0.0456(8)
C(4d)	0.8929(14)	-0.0644(6)	0.0609(9)
C(5d)	0.9101(12)	-0.0811(5)	0.1544(8)
C(3e)	0.5218(11)	0.1052(5)	0.1980(8)
C(4e)	0.4823(13)	0.0484(6)	0.2449(9)
C(5e)	0.6108(13)	0.0019(6)	0.2839(8)
C(3f)	1.1450 (11)	0.1609(5)	0.3743(8)
C(4f)	1.2487(12)	0.1155(7)	0.4547(18)
C(5f)	$1,1625\ (12)$	0.0470(6)	0.4369(8)
H(1)	0.7101	0.3589	-0.0040
H(2)	0.9111	-0.0566	0.3305
H(3a)	0.4740	0.0800	-0.0532
H(4a)	0.3088	0.1639	-0.2153
H(5a)	0.4500	0.2949	-0.1679
H(3b)	0.7524	0.2543	0.3321
H(4b)	0.6652	0.3957	0.2964
H(5b)	0.6640	0.4312	0.1335
H(3c)	1.1728	0.1507	0.1680
H(4c)	1.2368	0.2543	0.0796
H(5c)	0.9995	0.3472	0.0042
H(3d)	0.8253	0.0415	-0.0170
H(4d)	0.9071	-0.0991	0.0147
H(00)	0.9409	-0.1321	0.1900
$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{3e})$	0.2210	0.1494	0.1004
$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{4e})$ $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{5e})$	0.0012	-0.0422	0.2409
H(3f)	1 1688	0.2152	0.3648
H(4f)	1 3612	0.1286	0.5121
H(5f)	1 2016	0.0005	0.4806
**(01)	01000	0.0000	0.1000

^a Estimated standard deviations, shown in parentheses, are right adjusted to the least-significant digit of the preceding number. ^b Hydrogen atoms are in calculated positions (see ref 23).

Refinement of nonhydrogen atoms was now continued using anisotropic thermal parameters. [Due to the limitation in storage space in the IBM 7094 computer, parameters were blocked into three submatrices. Matrix 1 contained the overall scale factor along with parameters for the cobalt atom and atoms of pyrazolyl ring a; matrix 2 included parameters for B(1) and the atoms of pyrazolyl rings b and c; matrix 3 contained parameters for B(2) and the atoms of pyrazolyl rings d, e, and f. Hydrogen atom positions were not refined, but were up-dated relative to the new carbon and boron positions at the end of each three cycles of refinement.] Six cycles of refinement of positional and anisotropic thermal parameters for the 33 nonhydrogen atoms led to convergence ($\Delta_{max}/\sigma < 0.05$) at $R_F = 7.28\%$ and $R_{wF^2} = 5.48\%$. It should be noted that (i) inclusion of hydrogen atoms and (ii) anisotropic thermal parameter refinement each constitutes a process significant at a confidence level far greater than 99.5\%.²⁴

A final difference Fourier synthesis had, as its sole significant feature, a peak of height $\sim 0.7 \text{ e}^- \text{\AA}^{-3}$ in the vicinity of the cobalt atom. The structural analysis was thus judged to be correct and complete.

Observed and calculated structure factors are shown in Table I. Final atomic parameters are collected in Table II. Anisotropic thermal parameters are displayed in Table III, the axes of their associated vibration ellipsoids being defined in Table IV.

The Molecular Structure

Intramolecular distances and their estimated standard deviations (esd's) are shown in Table V; bond angles (with esd's) are colected in Table VI. The stereochemistry of the paramagnetic (S = 1/2) bis-[hydrotris(1-pyrazolyl)borato]cobalt(II) molecule and the system for labeling atoms are depicted in Figure 1, which also illustrates the thermal vibration ellipsoids.



Figure 1.—Numbering of atoms within the $[HB(C_3N_2H_3)_3]_2$ -Co^{II} molecule. This diagram also shows the 68% probability envelopes for the atomic vibration ellipsoids [constructed using otlips, an IBM 1620/CALCOMP program written by Dr. P. H. Bird].

[Hydrogen atoms, not labeled in this figure, are numbered similarly to the carbon or boron atoms to which they are attached.]

The central cobalt(II) ion is bonded directly to six nitrogen atoms [N(2a), N(2b), N(2c), N(2d), N(2e), and N(2f)], with individual cobalt-nitrogen bond distances ranging from 2.120 (7) to 2.140 (8) Å, averaging 2.129 (7) Å. The two tridentate hydrotris(1-pyrazolyl)borato ligands adopt a mutually staggered conformation. The coordination sphere about the central

(24) W. C. Hamilton, Acta Crystallogr., 18, 502 (1965).

BIS[HYDROTRIS(1-PYRAZOLYL)BORATO]COBALT(II)

Anisotropic Thermal Parameters for $[HB(C_3N_2H_3)_3]_2Co^{11 a,b}$						
Atom	104 β 11	$10^{4}\beta_{22}$	10 ⁴ /388	$10^{4}\beta_{12}$	$10^{4}\beta_{13}$	$10^{4}\beta_{23}$
Co	103.5(1.7)	18,2(0.3)	38.7(0.7)	5.1(1.3)	67.6(1.7)	9.6(0.8)
B(1)	145(18)	21(3)	47(7)	-19(12)	87(18)	7(7)
$\mathbf{B}(2)$	163(19)	21(3)	67 (8)	42(12)	114(21)	18(8)
N(1a)	124(12)	27(2)	37(5)	14(9)	69(12)	23(6)
N(2a)	114(12)	21(2)	42(4)	-7(8)	47(12)	16(5)
N(1b)	169(13)	19(2)	51(5)	17(9)	119(14)	0(6)
N(2b)	138(12)	21(2)	45(5)	9(9)	99(13)	0(6)
N(1c)	125(12)	20(2)	46(5)	9(8)	72(13)	7(5)
N(2c)	90(11)	26(3)	46(4)	26(8)	74(12)	20(5)
N(1d)	113(11)	19(2)	40(4)	9(8)	63(12)	-3(5)
N(2d)	178(14)	21(2)	41(5)	15(9)	114(14)	6(6)
N(1e)	98(11)	19(2)	42(4)	-10(8)	50(12)	11(5)
N(2e)	132(13)	19(2)	61(5)	4(9)	113(14)	14(6)
N(1f)	156(13)	20(2)	33(5)	28(9)	55(13)	15(5)
N(2f)	92(11)	25(2)	44(5)	-5(8)	50(13)	4(6)
C(3a)	154(16)	24(3)	56(6)	-22(11)	89(18)	0(7)
C(4a)	149(17)	41(4)	44(6)	-6(13)	84(17)	-3(8)
C(5a)	144(14)	26(3)	43(6)	11(11)	77(16)	1(7)
C(3b)	169(18)	29(3)	56(6)	6(12)	109(18)	-10(8)
C(4b)	181(18)	20(3)	72(7)	35(11)	125(19)	-5(7)
C(5b)	130(15)	21(3)	59(7)	9(10)	82(17)	-10(7)
C(3e)	134(16)	29(3)	45(6)	21(11)	77(16)	-5(7)
C(4c)	120(14)	40(4)	59(7)	8(13)	107(17)	10(9)
C(5e)	171(18)	32(4)	59(7)	-30(12)	138(19)	2(8)
C(3d)	165(17)	38(4)	64(7)	2(14)	118(19)	-26(9)
C(4d)	214(21)	33(4)	69(8)	-1(14)	133(22)	-38(9)
C(5d)	135(16)	21(3)	75(8)	-26(11)	83(19)	-29(8)
C(3e)	106(14)	24(3)	77(7)	3(10)	103(18)	13(8)
C(4e)	149(17)	38(4)	93(9)	0(14)	165(22)	23(10)
C(5e)	192(19)	33(4)	68(8)	-18(14)	160(21)	1(8)
C(3f)	118(14)	32(3)	62(7)	-42(12)	110(17)	-15(8)
C(4f)	136(17)	53(5)	45(6)	54(15)	43 (18)	-7(9)
C(5f)	141(17)	39(4)	57(7)	36(13)	85(19)	6(8)

TABLE III

^a Estimated standard deviations are shown in parentheses. ^b The anisotropic thermal parameter (T) is defined as: $T = \exp[-\beta_{11}h^2 - \beta_{22}k^2 - \beta_{33}l^2 - \beta_{12}hk - \beta_{13}hl - \beta_{23}kl]$.

cobalt(II) ion is thus essentially octahedral rather than trigonal prismatic. However, intraligand N(2)–Co– N(2')²⁵ bond angles are each significantly lower than the ideal octahedral angle of 90°, individual angles lying between 84.6 (3) and 86.3 (3)°. The cobalt(II) ion is thus in a trigonally distorted octahedral (D_{3d}) coordination environment and lies 1.316 (3) Å below the plane defined by N(2a), N(2b), N(2c) [equation: -0.094X - 0.854Y + 0.512Z = -3.107;²⁶ see Table VII] and 1.328 (3) Å above the plane defined by N(2d), N(2e), N(2f) [equation: -0.087X - 0.855Y + 0.526Z = -0.363].

Figure 2 shows the molecule projected onto the plane defined by N(2a), N(2b), N(2c). It is immediately apparent that (in the solid state, at least) the molecule *as a whole* is distorted significantly from ideal D_{3d} symmetry—probably as a result of *inter*molecular repulsions. The atoms B(1), Co, B(2)—which define the S_6 axis in a species of regular D_{3d} symmetry—are essentially collinear $[\angle B(1) \cdots Co \cdots B(2) = 178.8 (3)^{\circ}]$, the major distortion from D_{3d} symmetry appearing to involve slight rotations of the pyrazolyl rings about their appropriate N(1)–N(2) axes.²⁵ This is particularly pronounced for ring f (see Figure 2). The net result of these distortions is that the cobalt(II) ion is not coplanar with any of the pyrazolyl rings; rather, it lies 0.062 Å from plane a (the least-squares plane through the atoms of ring a), 0.205 Å from plane b, 0.123 Å from plane c, 0.138 Å from plane d, 0.042 Å from plane e, and 0.277 Å from plane f. The boron atoms B(1) and B(2) similarly are displaced from the least-squares planes defined by the pyrazolyl rings (see Table VII).



Figure 2.—The $[HB(C_3N_2H_3)_3]_2Co^{II}$ molecule, projected onto the plane defined by N(2a), N(2b), N(2c). Note the distortion of the molecule from precise D_{3d} symmetry.

⁽²⁵⁾ N(2) and N(2') are used as generic names for the atoms N(2a), N(2b), N(2c), N(2d), N(2e), N(2f)—with the proviso that N(2) \neq N(2'). This nomenclature is used for convenience throughout this paper.

⁽²⁶⁾ Cartesian coordinates-see Table VII, footnote a.

TARTE IV

	11101					
ATOMIC VIBRATION ELLIPSOIDS FOR						
$[HB(C_3N_2H_3)_3]_2Co^{II} a, b$						
Atom	B _{max} , Å ²	$B_{ m med},~ m \AA^2$	B _{min} , Ų			
Co	2.97	2.83	1.96			
B (1)	4.40	3.36	2.05			
$\mathbf{B}(2)$	5.21	4.39	2.03			
N(1a)	4.08	3.56	1.75			
N(2a)	4.68	2.83	1.95			
N(1b)	4.77	3.00	2.16			
N(2b)	3.88	2.74	2.33			
N(1c)	3.69	3.30	2.32			
N(2c)	4.16	2.52	2.00			
N(1d)	3.51	2.76	2.18			
N(2d)	5.00	2.49	2.13			
N(1e)	4.02	2.54	2.02			
N(2e)	4.48	3,14	2.07			
N(1f)	5.18	3.06	1.66			
N(2f)	3.80	2.97	2.46			
C(3a)	4.86	3.90	2.67			
C(4a)	5.17	4.21	3.02			
C(5a)	4.33	3.11	2.98			
C(3b)	4.76	4.26	3.00			
C(4b)	5.44	4.99	1.79			
C(5b)	4.65	3.60	2.32			
C(3c)	4.62	3.23	2.69			
C(4c)	5.17	3.91	2.68			
C(5c)	5.35	4.18	2.30			
C(3d)	5.95	4.58	2.94			
C(4d)	6.54	5.89	2.31			
C(5d)	6.27	4.07	1.98			
C(3e)	5.68	2.92	2.60			
C(4e)	6.98	4.55	2.59			
C(5e)	5.72	4.27	2.89			
C(3f)	5.15	3.72	2.08			
C(4f)	8.15	3.85	2.73			
C(5f)	5.64	4.10	3.20			

^a Axes dimensions for the vibration ellipsoids are presented in terms of the isotropic thermal parameter, B. The transformation to root-mean-square displacement is $(\overline{U^2})^{1/2} = (B/8\pi^2)^{1/2}$. ^b The vibration ellipsoids are illustrated in Figure 1.



Figure 3.—Mean bond distances and angles for the "asymmetric unit" of the $[HB(C_3N_2H_3)_3]_2Co^{II}$ molecule. Individual bond distances and angles are listed in Tables V and VI.

As shown in Figure 2, angles between the least-squares planes of successive (relative to the psuedo- S_6 axis) pyrazolyl rings vary from 52° 05' to 76° 25'. Each of the two hydrotris(1-pyrazolyl)borato ligands is individually distorted from idealized C_{3v} symmetry, dihedral angles between the appropriate least-squares planes being: $a-b = 116^{\circ} 31'$, $b-c = 128^{\circ} 55'$, c-a =

TABLE V					
Distances within the $[HB(C_3N_2H_3)_3]_2Co^{II}$ Molecule					
WITH ESTIMATED STANDARD DEVIATIONS ^a					

Atoms	Distance, Å		Atoms	Distance, Å
	(a) Distan	0.00	from Cobalt	,
7- NI(0-)		ces i		0 100 (0)
20 N(2a)	2.123(7)		CoN(2d)	2.133 (8)
20N (2D)	2.120(7)		Co N (2e)	2.128 (8)
20N(2C)	2.130(7)		CoN(2f)	2.140(7)
		Av	CoN	$2.129(7)^{0}$
$O \cdots B(1)$	3.198(11)		$Co \cdots B(2)$	3.192(13)
		Av	Co···B	$3.195 (4)^{b}$
(b) Distances	within Hydr	otri	s(1-pyrazolyl)bo	rato ligands
B(1)N(1a)	1.558(14)		B(2) - N(1d)	1.510(15)
B(1)N(1b)	1.533(14)		B(2)N(1e)	1.549(15)
B(1)N(1c)	1.541 (14)		B(2) - N(1f)	1.571(15)
		Av	BN(1)	$1.544(21)^{b}$
N(1a) - N(2a)	1,363 (10)		N(1d) - N(2d)	1.363(11)
N(1b)N(2b)	1.368(11)		N(1e)N(2e)	1.361 (11)
N(1c) - N(2c)	1.367 (10)		N(1f) - N(2f)	1.359(11)
		Av	N(1) - N(2)	$1.364(3)^{6}$
N(2a)C(3a)	1.307 (13)		N(2d) - C(3d)	1.329(14)
N(2b)C(3b)	1.351(13)		N(2e)C(3e)	1,326 (13)
N(2c) - C(3c)	1.343(12)		N(2f) - C(3f)	1.324(13)
		Av	N(2) - C(3)	1.330 (15) ^b
C(3a)C(4a)	1.428(15)		C(3d) - C(4d)	1.386 (17)
C(3b)C(4b)	1.403 (15)		C(3e)C(4e)	1.403 (16)
C(3c) - C(4c)	1.364(15)		C(3f)C(4f)	1.375 (16)
		$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}$	C(3)C(4)	$1.393(23)^{b}$
C(4a)C(5a)	1.373(15)		C(4d) - C(5d)	1.397(16)
C(4b)C(5b)	1.388 (15)		C(4e)C(5e)	1.347(17)
C(4c) - C(5c)	1.355(15)		C(4f)C(5f)	1.415 (16)
		Av	C(4) - C(5)	$1.379(25)^{b}$
C(5a)N(1a)	1.327(12)		C(5d)N(1d)	1.326 (13)
2(5b)N(1b)	1.339(13)		C(5e)N(1e)	1.356 (14)
C(5c)N(1c)	1.358 (13)		C(5f)N(1f)	1.328(14)
		\mathbf{Av}	C(5)N(1)	$1.339(15)^{b}$
	(c) Intramo	lecu	lar Contacts	
$N(1a) \cdots N(1b)$	2 509 (11)		$N(2a) \cdots N(2b)$	2 891 (10)
$V(1a) \cdots N(1c)$	2.511 (10)		$N(2a) \cdots N(2c)$	2.897 (10)
$V(1b) \cdots N(1c)$	2.507(11)		$N(2h) \cdots N(2e)$	2 881 (10)
$N(1d) \cdots N(1e)$	2.511(10)		$N(2d) \cdots N(2e)$	2.869 (11)
$V(1d) \cdots N(1f)$	2,508 (11)		$N(2d) \cdots N(2f)$	2.807(11)
$N(1e) \cdots N(1f)$	2,529 (11)		$N(2e) \cdots N(2f)$	2.018(11)
$V(2a) \cdots N(2d)$	3 097 (11)		$N(2a) \dots N(2a)$	3 175 (11)
$J(2b) \cdots N(2e)$	3 094 (11)		$N(2b) \cdots N(2f)$	3 155 (10)
$\mathcal{K}(2c) \cdots \mathcal{K}(2d)$	3 056 (11)		$N(2c) \cdots N(2f)$	3 189 (11)

^{*a*} Esd's, shown in parentheses, are right adjusted to the last digit of the preceding number. ^{*b*} Esd's for average bond lengths are calculated from

$$\sigma = \left[\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \right\} \right/ (N-1) \right]^{1/2}$$

where X_i is the *i*th bond length and \tilde{X} is the mean of the N equivalent bond lengths.

115° 04'; d-e = 120° 23', e-f = 129° 49', d-f = 109° 46'.

Individual cobalt-boron distances are $\text{Co} \cdots \text{B}(1) = 3.198 (11) \text{ Å}$ and $\text{Co} \cdots \text{B}(2) = 3.192 (13) \text{ Å}$. The average value of 3.195 (4) Å is thus significantly lower than the distance of 3.4 Å used by Jesson¹³ in his calculations of the dipolar contribution to the isotropic nuclear resonance shifts in poly(1-pyrazolyl)borato complexes of Co(II).

Individual $B(sp^3)-N(sp^2)$ distances in the present molecule range from 1.510 (15) to 1.571 (15) Å, averaging 1.544 (21) Å—a value consistent with $B(sp^3) N(sp^3)$ distances of 1.59 (4) Å (av) in $[H_2B(NH_3)_2]^+ Cl^{-27}$ and 1.56 (5) Å in $H_3B \cdot NH_3$.^{28,29}

- (27) C. E. Nordman and C. R. Peters, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 81, 3551 (1959).
- (28) E. W. Hughes, ibid., 78, 503 (1956).
- (29) E. L. Lippert and W. N. Lipscomb, ibid., 78, 503 (1956).

	1 AB	LE VI		
ANGLES WITH	IN THE [HB	$(C_3N_2H_3)_3]_2Co^{II}$ Mor	LECULE ^a	
Atoms	Angle, deg	Atoms	Angle, deg	
	(a) Angles	around Cobalt		
$B(1) \cdots Co \cdots B(2)$	178.8 (3)			
N(2a)-Co-N(2b)	85,9(3)	N(2b)-Co-N(2f)	95,5(3)	
N(2a)-Co-N(2c)	85.8(3)	N(2c)-Co-N(2d)	96.6(3)	
N(2a)-Co-N(2d)	93.4(3)	N(2c)-Co-N(2e)	177.2(3)	
N(2a)-Co-N(2e)	96.6(3)	N(2c)-Co-N(2f)	91,4(3)	
N(2a)-Co- $N(2f)$	176.8(3)	N(2d)-Co-N(2e)	84.6 (3)	
N(2b)-Co-N(2c)	85.3 (3)	N(2d)-Co-N(2f)	85.3 (3)	
N(2b)-Co-N(2d)	177.9(3)	N(2e)-Co-N(2f)	86.2 (3)	
N(2b)-Co-N(2e)	93.5 (3)			
(b)	Angles aro	und Boron Atoms		
N(1a)-B(1)-N(1b)	108.5 (8)	N(1d)-B(2)-N(1e)	110.3(9)	
N(1a)-B(1)-N(1c)	108.2 (8)	N(1d)-B(2)-N(1f)	109.0 (9)	
N(1b)-B(1)-N(1c)	109.3 (8)	N(1e)-B(2)-N(1f)	108.3 (9)	
	Av	N-B-N	108.9 (8)	
(0) Angles fr	om Cobalt Atom		
Co-N(2a)-N(1a)	119.1(6)	Co-N(2d)-N(1d)	119.6(6)	
Co-N(2b)-N(1b)	119.0(6)	Co-N(2e)-N(1e)	118.7 (6)	
Co-N(2c)-N(1c)	118.9 (6)	Co-N(2f)-N(1f)	119.3 (6)	
	Av	Co-N(2)-N(1)	119.1 (3)	
Co-N(2a)-C(3a)	134.2 (7)	Co-N(2d)-C(3d)	133.5(7)	
Co-N(2b)-C(3b)	133.9(7)	Co-N(2e)-C(3e)	135.4 (7)	
Co-N(2c)-C(3c)	136.4 (7)	Co-N(2f)-C(3f)	134.7 (7)	
	Av	Co-N(2)-C(3)	134.7(11)	
(d) Angles fr	om Boron Atoms		
B(1)-N(1a)-N(2a)	119.4 (8)	B(2)-N(1d)-N(2d)	118.9 (8)	
B(1)-N(1b)-N(2b)	119.7 (8)	B(2)-N(1e)-N(2e)	119.6 (8)	
B(1)-N(1c)-N(2c)	119.4 (8)	B(2)-N(1f)-N(2f)	118.4 (8)	
	Av	B-N(1)-N(2)	119.2 (7)	
B(1)-N(1a)-C(5a)	130.2 (8)	B(2)-N(1d)-C(5d)	131.3 (9)	
B(1)-N(1b)-C(5b)	130.3 (9)	B(2) - N(1e) - C(5e)	131.9(9)	
B(1)-B(1c)-C(5c)	131.0 (9)	B(2)-N(1f)-C(5f)	129.4 (9)	
	Av	B-N(1)-C(5)	130.7 (9)	
(e)	Angles with	nin Pyrazole Rings		
C(5a) - N(1a) - N(2a)	110.4 (8)	C(5d) - N(1d) - N(2d)	109.8 (8)	
C(5b)-N(1b)-N(2b)	109.7 (8)	C(5e)-N(1e)-N(2e)	108.4 (8)	

TADLE VI

Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 9, No. 7, 1970 1603

	Тав	le VII		
Importan [H	T LEAST-SQU $[B(C_3N_2H_3)_3]_2$	ARES PLANES Co ^{II} MOLECU	WITHIN	THE
Atom	Dev, Å	Atom		Dev, Å
Plane a: Equation: N(1a) N(2a) C(3a) C(3a) C(4a) C(5a)		2a), C(3a), C(0.300 Y + 0.3 Co B(1)	(4a), C(27Z) = -(0)	5a) -4.320).062 (1)).052 (12)
Plane b: Equation: N(1b) N(2b) C(3b) C(3b) C(4b) C(5b)	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm N(1b),\ N(2)}\\ {\rm 0.790}X + \\ {\rm +0.004\ (8)}\\ {\rm -0.006\ (8)}\\ {\rm +0.006\ (11)}\\ {\rm -0.003\ (11)}\\ {\rm -0.001\ (10)} \end{array}$	2b), C(3b), C(3b), C(0.269 $Y + 0.5$ Co B(1)	(4b), C(51Z) = -(0)	(5b) 7.277).205 (1)).119 (12)
Plane c Equation: N(1c) N(2c) C(3c) C(4c) C(4c) C(5c)	: $N(1c)$, $N(2)$ 0.015X + 0.001(8) -0.000(8) -0.001(10) +0.002(11) -0.002(11)	2c), C(3c), C(0.532 Y + 0.8 Co B(1)	(4c), C(47Z) = -(0)	5c) 3.438).123 (1)).041 (12)
Plane d Equation: N(1d) N(2d) C(3d) C(3d) C(4d) C(5d)	$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{N(1d), N(3)} \\ \mathrm{0.852}X + \mathrm{0.000\ (8)} \\ \mathrm{+0.002\ (8)} \\ \mathrm{-0.004\ (12)} \\ \mathrm{+0.004\ (13)} \\ \mathrm{-0.002\ (11)} \end{array}$	2d), C(3d), C 0.245Y + 0.4 Co B(2)	(4d), C = +0 + 0	(5d) 7.090).138 (1)).022 (13)
Plane e Equation: N(1e) N(2e) C(3e) C(3e) C(4e) C(5e)	: N(1e), N(2 -0.019X + +0.005(8) -0.001 (8) -0.003 (11) +0.007 (13) -0.008 (12)	2e), C(3e), C(0.528Y + 0. Co B(2)	(4e), C(849Z = -(-(5e) = 3.133).042(1)).067 (13)
Plane Equation: N(1f) N(2f) C(3f) C(3f) C(4f) C(5f)	f: N(1f), N(-0.779X + 0 +0.012 (8) -0.013 (8) +0.010 (11) -0.002 (12) -0.006 (12)	(2f), C(3f), C(0.351 Y + 0.5 Co B(2)	(4f), C(4f), C	5f) -2.795 0.277 (1) 0.180 (13)
H Equation: Co	Plane g: N(2 -0.094X - +1.316 (1)	2a), N(2b), N 0.854Y + 0.5	(2c) 512Z =	-3.107
P Equation: Co	lane h: $N(2 - 0.087X - 0.087X - 0.087X - 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000$	d), N(2e), N($0.855Y + 0.5$ ween Planes	(2f) (26Z) =	-0.363
b $116^{\circ}31'$ c $115^{\circ}05'$	b-c	128° 25' 186° 26'	ce cf	184° 31′ 52° 05′
d $57^{\circ}24'$	b-e	53° 27'	d-e	120° 23'
e 63°03′ f 193°14′	b-f c-d	76° 25′ 57° 43′	d–f e–f	109° 46′ 129° 49′
h 1°08				10
lanes are def	fined as c_1X -	$+ c_2 Y + c_3 Z$	= <i>d</i> , w	here X , Y ,

N(1a)-N(1a)-N(1b)-Co-N(Co-N(Co-N(Co-N(Co-N(Co-N(B(1)-N B(1)-1 B(1)-N B(1)-1 B(1)-1B(1) - FC(5a)-C(5b)-C(5c)-N(1c)-N(2c)109.6 (8) C(5f)-N(1f)-N(2f)111.3 (8) Av C(5)-N(1)-N(2) 109.9(11) N(1a) - N(2a) - C(3a)106.7 (8) N(1d)-N(2d)-C(3d)106.8 (8) N(1b)-N(2b)-C(3b)106.8 (8) N(1e)-N(2e)-C(3e)105.9 (8) N(1c)-N(2c)-C(3c)104.5(7) N(1f) - N(2f) - C(3f)105.5 (9) N(1)-N(2)-C(3)106.0(9) $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}$ N(2a) - C(3a) - C(4a)110.4 (9) N(2d)-C(3d)-C(4d)110.6(11) N(2b)-C(3b)-C(4b)109.8 (9) N(2e)-C(3e)-C(4e)112.0(10) N(2c)-C(3c)-C(4c)112.2(9) N(2f)-C(3f)-C(4f)112.1 (10) Av N(2)-C(3)-C(4)111.2 (10) C(3a)-C(4a)-C(5a)103.5 (9) C(3d)-C(4d)-C(5d)104.2 (11) 102.9(11) C(3b)-C(4b)-C(5b) 104.7 (10) C(3e)-C(4e)-C(5e)C(3c)-C(4c)-C(5c)105.1(10) C(3f)-C(4f)-C(5f)104.0 (10) \mathbf{Av} C(3)-C(4)-C(5)104.1 (8) C(4a)-C(5a)-N(1a)109.9(9) C(4d)-C(5d)-N(1d)108.6 (10) C(4b)-C(5b)-N(1b) 109.1 (9) C(4e)-C(5e)-N(1e)110.8 (10) C(4c)-C(5c)-N(1c)108.6 (10) C(4f)-C(5f)-N(1f)107.0 (10) Av C(4)-C(5)-N(1)109.0(13)

 a See footnotes to Table V.

The Pyrazolyl Rings

Each of the six five-membered pyrazolyl rings is planar within the limits of experimental error, rootmean-square deviations from planarity each being less than 0.009 Å (see Table VII).

Mean bond lengths and angles obtained from the six crystallographically independent pyrazolyl systems in $[HB(C_3N_2H_3)_3]_2Co^{II}$ are shown in Figure 3. They are in good agreement with values obtained from the pyrazole rings in trans-dichlorotetrakis(pyrazole)nickel-(II),³⁰ (C₃N₂H₄)₄NiCl₂, in which average bond distances (with N(2) bonded directly to the nickel(II) ion) are N(1)-N(2) = 1.344 Å, N(2)-C(3) = 1.325 Å, C(3)-C(4) = 1.391 Å, C(4)-C(5) = 1.364 Å, and C(5)-N-

(30) C. W. Reimann, A. D. Mighell, and F. A. Mauer, Acta Crystallogr., 23, 123 (1967).

a P Ζ are Cartesian coordinates which are related to the natural monoclinic cell coordinates (x, y, z) by the transformations: X = $xa + zc \cos \beta$; Y = yb; $Z = zc \sin \beta$. ^b Estimated standard deviations, shown in parentheses, are right adjusted to the least significant digit of the preceding number.

a -

a-4

a--

a--

a-g-



Figure 4.—Packing of $[HB(C_3N_2H_3)_3]_2Co^{II}$ molecules within the unit cell, as viewed down *a*.

(1) = 1.345 Å. [Bond distances obtained from an X-ray structural analysis of pyrazole itself³¹ are N(1)–N(2) = 1.36 Å, N(2)–C(3) = 1.31 Å, C(3)–C(4) = 1.41 Å, C(4)–C(5) = 1.34 Å, and C(5)–N(1) = (31) H. W. W. Ehrlich, *Acta Crystallogr.*, **13**, 946 (1960).

1.35 Å;³² this structural analysis is, however, of limited accuracy, since only hk0, h0l, and 0kl diffraction data were used.]

Finally, it may be noted that the $N(1)-N(2)^{25}$ bonds of the pyrazolyl rings are not parallel to the $B(1)\cdots$ $Co\cdots B(2)$ axis but are canted toward the boron atoms, due to the B–N bond distances being shorter than the Co–N distances. Thus, the average intraligand N- $(1)\cdots N(1')$ distance²⁵ is 2.513 Å, while the mean intraligand $N(2)\cdots N(2')$ contact is 2.892 Å.

Intermolecular Contacts

The packing of molecules within the crystal lattice (viewed down *a*) is illustrated in Figure 4. The individual $[HB(C_3N_2H_3)_3]_2Co^{11}$ molecules are separated by normal van der Waals distances, closest approaches (of each type) being: hydrogen \cdots hydrogen, 2.57 Å; carbon \cdots hydrogen, 2.84 Å; nitrogen \cdots hydrogen, 3.00 Å; boron \cdots hydrogen, 3.26 Å.

Acknowledgments.—We thank Dr. S. Trofimenko for providing the sample. This work has been generously supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant No. GP-8077). K. G. gratefully acknowledges the support of an NIH predoctoral fellowship.

(32) We have followed the suggestion of Reimann, et al., 30 that Ehrlich's 31 original assignment of N(1) and N(2) should be reversed.

Contribution No. 3986 from the Arthur Amos Noves Laboratory of Chemical Physics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91109

The Structure of μ -Amido- μ -nitro-bis[tetraamminecobalt(III)] Tetrachloride Tetrahydrate

BY ULF THEWALT AND RICHARD E. MARSH

Received December 19, 1969

 μ -Amido- μ -nitro-bis[tetraamminecobalt(III)] tetrachloride tetrahydrate, [(NH₃)₄Co(NH₂)(NO₂)Co(NH₃)₄]Cl₄·4H₂O, crystallizes in the monoclinic system, space group P2₁/m, with a = 10.328 (2), b = 15.103 (2), c = 7.756 (1) Å, $\beta = 124.10$ (2)°, and Z = 2. The crystal structure has been determined from three-dimensional X-ray data using the heavy-atom method and refined by least squares to an *R* index of 0.048. The cation has mirror symmetry and contains the planar group of atoms





Introduction

Binuclear cobalt complexes containing one or two bridging NO_2 groups were discovered and formulated by Werner¹ more than 50 years ago. However, the arrangement of the bridging NO_2 group could not be decided at that time. Three possible arrangements

(1) A. Werner, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 375, 1 (1910).



