

^a All of the peaks are multiplets.

On the basis of the above data we proposed¹ a structure analogous to Baikie and Mills' o-semidine structure and Dekker and Knox's azobenzene-type structure

wherein the Fe-Fe plane is perpendicular to the benzocinnoline plane and the N-N bond is not totally ruptured, but each N atom acts as a three-electron donor. The tetraazapyrene complex presumably has the Fez- $(CO)_6$ structure complexed to each azo link. The infrared spectrum of *2* compared with the spectra of 1 and the N,N'-dioxide in the $1400-1620$ cm⁻¹ region indicates a simplification in the complex compatible with lower N-N bond order.^{11,15}

Recent single-crystal X-ray analysis has now confirmed the proposed structure and has been reported on in detail in a separate communication.¹⁶ Preliminary data indicate the N-N bond to be a "normal" single bond of 1.40 *8.* These values are similar to another recently reported¹⁷ complex which contains an N-N bond.

Experimental Section

Iron pentacarbonyl was purchased from GAF and used ab received. The starting azo compounds were obtained commercially or prepared by literature procedures. In each case the complexes were characterized by elemental analysis and nmr (Varian A-60), ir (PE-221), and mass spectra (AEI MS-9). **A4** general procedure, used for preparing all of the complexes, is given below. Column chromatography was the preferred purification procedure although some complexes could be sublimed.

Benzo [c] cinnolinehexacarbonyldiiron (2).-A mixture of benzo- $[c]$ cinnoline (2.04 g, 0.0113 mol) and iron pentacarbonyl (4.43 g, 0.0226 mol) in decalin (50 ml) was heated with stirring at 150° until gas evolution ceased (about 1 hr). The mixture was cooled and filtered and the red filtrate chromatographed on alumina. Petroleum ether (bp *30-60')* was used to elute the decalin. Petroleum ether-benzene (4:1) eluted the complex, dark red crystals, 4.1 g (79%) , mp 145° dec. Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₈- $N_2O_6Fe_2$: C, 46.99; H, 1.75; N, 6.09; O, 20.87; Fe, 24.28. Found: C, 47.38; H, 1.79; N, 6.24; O, 20.73; Fe, 24.18.

3,B-Dichlorobenzo [c] **cinnolinetriphenylphosphinepentacar**bonyldiiron. -3,8- Dichlorobenzo **IC]** cinnolinehexacarbonyldiiron (0.21 g, 0.0004 mol) and triphenylphosphine (0.10 g, 0.0004 mol) in benzene *(35* ml) were heated at reflux for 2 hr. The solution was cooled and the solvent was evaporated under vacuum. The red solid product was triturated with petroleum ether and ethanol resulting in an orange solid, 0.2 g (66%), mp 215° dec. *Anal.* Calcd for $C_{85}H_{21}N_2O_5Cl_2PFe_2$: C, 55.08; H, 2.77; N, 3.67; C1, 9.29; P, 4.06; Fe, 14.61. Found: C, 55.11; H, 2.80; N,4.08; C1, 9.32; P,4.36; Fe, 14.92.

CONTRIBUTION NO. 1636 FROM THE CENTRAL RESEARCH DEPARTMENT, EXPERIMENTAL STATION. WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19898 E. 1. DU **POKT** DE SERIOURS **ASD** COMPASY.

Complexes of Tetraethylenepentamine with Iron(I1) and Iron(II1). An Iron(I1) Carbonyl Derivative

BY L. RUSSELL MELBY

Received .innuiziy 8, 1970

Tetraethylenepentamine (tetren) forms well-characterized complexes with $Co(II)$, $Co(III)$, $Cr(III)$, $1-4$ and Cu(II).⁵ Stability constants with other transition metal ions $6-8$ and several nontransition metal ions 8 have been determined, but published work with iron has been limited to a stability constant measurement and unsuccessful attempts to prepare complexes with $Fe(II)$ and $Fe(III)^6$ and an examination of the Fe(II1) derivative, in solution, as a catalyst for hydrogen peroxide decomposition.

This note describes the isolation of crystalline complexes of tetraethylenepentamine with Fe(I1) wherein carbon monoxide occupies the sixth coordination position **(1)** and of acidotetraethylenepentamine complexes of Fe(II1) wherein the two iron atoms are presumably oxygen bridged to form the dimeric species **2.10**

$[(\text{tetren})\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}\text{CO}][\text{X}^-]_2$ **1** ${[(tetren)Fe^{III}]_2O}^{4+[X^{-}]_4}$ $\overline{2}$

Experimental Section

Tetraethylenepentamine was vacuum distilled and the fraction of bp $155-160^{\circ}$ (1.0-1.5 mm) was used. Hydriodic acid

(1) H. B. Jonassen and F. W. Frey, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **75**, 1524 (1953).

(2) J. Selbin, J. *Inoug. Yucl. Chenz.,* **17,** 84 (1961).

(3) D. A. House and C. S. Garner, *Inorg. Chem.*, **5**, 2097 (1966).

(5) G. G. Schlessinger, *Gannon Coll. Chem. J.*, 1 (1965); *Chem. Abstr.*, 64, 189336 (1966).

(6) H. B. Jonassen, A. Schaafsma, and L. Westerman, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 62, 1022 (1958).

(10) I wish to thank **a** referee for suggesting this possibility. I had originally proposed **a** monomeric structure with an iron-amide bond formed by abstraction of a proton from an amino group of the ligand.

⁽¹⁵⁾ We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Mr. Norman Colthup in interpreting the infrared spectra.

⁽¹⁶⁾ R. J. Doedens, *Znorg. Chenz.,* **9,** 429 (1970).

⁽¹⁷⁾ R. J. Doedens and J. A. Ibers, $ibid.$, **8**, 2709 (1969).

⁽⁴⁾ D. A. House and C. S. Garner, *ibid., 6,* **272** (1967).

⁽⁷⁾ D. W. Margerumand J. D. Carl-, *J. Amev. Chem. Soc.,* **88,** 1639 (1966)

⁽⁸⁾ C. N. Reilley and A. Vavoulis, *Anal. Chem.*, **31**, 243 (1959).

⁽⁹⁾ G. Wada, T. Nakamura, K. Terauchi. and **1.. Saka,** *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap., 37,* 447 (1964); *Chem.* Abslr., **61,** Q157e (1961).

(5570) was freshly distilled from hypophosphorous acid. All other chemicals were reagent grade.

Solution infrared spectra were taken in Irtran-2 cells¹¹ $(0.025$ mm) on a Perkin-Elmer Model *237* and mull spectra were taken on a Perkin-Elmer Model 621 spectrophotometer.

(tetren)Fe^{II}CO²⁺ in Water.-To a solution of 2.8 g (15 mmol, *75* mequiv) of tetraethylenepentamine in 5 ml of deaerated water, under nitrogen with cooling and stirring, was slowly added 6 ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid, and then 1.4 g (5 mmol) of ferrous sulfate heptahydrate was added. The mixture was stirred until the ferrous sulfate had dissolved, filtered under nitrogen, and alkalized to pH 8.5 with 6 *N* sodium hydroxide. Carbon monoxide was passed into the solution which rapidly changed from light green to deep dichroic red-green. After 3 hr a portion was transferred, with exclusion of air, to an Irtran-2 infrared cell; the spectrum showed a strong, sharp coordinated CO band at 1940 cm^{-1} . The CO band was eliminated by passing nitrogen or argon through the solution for 16 hr but was restored by passing carbon monoxide in for 3 hr.

[(tetren)Fe^{II}CO][Cl][ClO₄] (3).—A solution was prepared as above, carbon monoxide was passed in for 16 hr, and 5 g of sodium perchlorate in 5 ml of deaerated water was added. The flask was packed in ice and kept cold for 2 hr while carbon monoxide addition was continued. The yellow-brown crystalline rosette clusters were collected on a filter in the absence of air and washed by decantation with ethanol. Exposure to air was now permissible and the solid was washed on the filter with ethanol and then with ether. The material was briefly air dried and then vacuum dried for 2 hr. It is reasonably stable at room temperature but is best stored in a freezer. The yield was 1.4 g (68%). *Anal.* Calcd for $C_8H_{23}Cl_2FeN_5O_5$ (formula weight 408): C, 26.5; H, 5.7; C1, 17.4; Fe, 13.7; N, 17.2. Found: C, 26.3; H, *5.8;* C1, 17.1; Fe, 13.8; K, 16.9.

[(tetren)Fe^{II}CO][ClO₄]₂ (4). To a solution of 2.8 g of tetraethylenepentamine in 5 ml of water, under nitrogen, was added dropwise, with cooling and stirring, 6 ml of *727,* perchloric acid. *To* this solution was added 1.4 g of ferrous sulfate heptahydrate and **4** ml of water, and stirring was continued until the salt had dissolved. The solution was filtered in the absence of air, carbon monoxide was continuously passed in, and 6 *N* sodium hydroxide added to achieve pH 8.5. Carbon monoxide was passed through for 5 hr, a solution of 5 g of sodium perchlorate in 5 ml of water was added, the gas inlet tube was removed and the flask was stoppered and stored overnight in a refrigerator. The dull tan needles were collected and washed as above; yield, 0.2 g *(8%).* Anal. Calcd for C₉H₄₃Cl₂FeN₅O₉ (formula weight 472): C, 23.0; H,4.9; N, 14.8. Found: C, 22.8; H,4.9; N, 14.7.

 $[(\text{tetren})\text{Fe}^{II}CO][I]_2$ (5).—A solution prepared from 5.6 g of tetraethylenepentamine, 10 ml of water, 21 ml of 55% hydriodic acid, and *2.8* g of ferrous sulfate, under a carbon monoxide atmosphere, was alkalized to pH 8.5 with 6 *N* sodium hydroxide and was transferred to a pressure bottle flushed with carbon monoxide. The bottle was pressured to 3 atm with carbon monoxide and allowed to stand undisturbed at room temperature for 3 days. A brown stalagmitic crystalline deposit had formed. After pressure release the supernatant liquid was quickly decanted (nitrogen or carbon monoxide atmosphere), and the product was washed by decantation with ethanol, then collected and washed on a funnel with ethanol and ether, and vacuum dried; yield, 3.0 g (57%). When the mixture was allowed to stand for 6 days, the yield was 76% . Anal. Calcd for $C_9H_{23}FeI_2N_5O$ (formula weight 527): C, 20.5; H, 4.4; Fe, 10.6; I, 48.1; N, 13.3. Found: C, 20.6; H, 4.3; Fe, 10.3; I,47.9; N, 13.4.

 $\{[(\text{tetren})\text{Fe}^{III}]_2\text{O} \}$ [I]₄ (6).—To a solution of 5.7 g of tetraethylenepentamine in 25 ml of deaerated water was added 25 ml of 6 *N* hydrochloric acid, and *to* this was added, under nitrogen, a filtered solution of *2.8* g of ferrous sulfate in 10 ml of deaerated water. Still under nitrogen, the solution was alkalized to pH 8.5 with 6 *N* NaOH, and a solution of 5.0 g of potassium iodide in 10 ml of water was added. The solution was poured into a large

crystallizing dish and allowed to stand in air for 2 hr. The shiny brownish black crystals were collected on a coarse-frit funnel, washed very quickly with one 20-ml portion of ice-cold water, and then washed by decantation with several portions of ethanol. Finally, the product was washed on the funnel in the usual way with ethanol and ether and vacuum dried; yield, $1.8 \text{ g } (34\%)$. *Anal.* Calcd for $C_{16}H_{46}Fe_2I_4N_{10}O$ (formula weight 1014): C, 19.0; H, **4.5;** Fe, 11.0; N, 13.8. Found: C, 19.5; H, 4.7; Fe, 11.2; N, 13.9.

 $\{[(\text{tetren})\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}]_2\text{O} \}\left[\text{Cl}_2\right]\left[\text{ClO}_4\right]_2\cdot3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (7).—A half-scale preparation as described for *5* was carried out, but instead of potassium iodide a mixture of 5 g of solid sodium chloride and 3 g of sodium perchlorate was stirred into the solution in an erlenmeyer flask under nitrogen. The flask was then allowed to stand open to the air for 16 hr; black crystals in a dark purple supernatant fluid had formed. The crystals were collected as described above; yield, 0.5 g. *Anal*. Calcd for C₁₆H₅₂Cl₄Fe₂N₁₀O₁₂ (formula weight 830): C, 23.2; H, 6.3; Cl, 17.1; Fe, 13.5; N, 16.8. Found: C, 23.2; H, 5.8; C1, 16.7; Fe, 13.0; K, 16.3.

Discussion

The assigned formulations of the CO complexes **3-5** are evident from their elemental compositions and infrared spectra but acquisition of other structural information is complicated by their insolubility in all but aqueous media and by their instability in solution. They dissolve in water with gas evolution, and even with rigorous exclusion of air rustlike decomposition products are formed.

As to the Fe(II1) complexes, elemental analysis would not distinguish between the oxygen-bridged dimeric structure 8 and an amide-iron bonded monomeric structure such as 9. However, the room-temper-

ature magnetic susceptibility of the iodide 6 corresponds to 1.95 BM *per* iron atom which decreased to 1.2 BM at 196°K. **l2** This temperature dependence argues against an isolated Fe(II1) ion as in 9 but can be accounted for by assuming weak antiferromagnetic coupling between unpaired electrons of two low-spin Fe(II1) ions mediated by an oxide bridge as in 8.

Commercially available tetraethylenepentamine is usually a mixture of isomers and higher and lower homologs, and simple fractional distillation serves at best to concentrate pentamine isomers. It seems certain that

⁽¹¹⁾ Barnes Engineering Co., Stamford, Conn.

⁽¹²⁾ I am indebted to Dr. J. F. Weiher of this laboratory for the magnetic susceptibility measurements.

these complexes incorporate only the linear pentamine since identical results were obtained with pentamine purified *via* the hydrochloride⁸ as with distilled pentamine fraction. Moreover, amine isolated by acidic decomposition of the complexes was shown by gas chromatography to comprise only one component and its retention time was identical with that of the major peak from hydrochloride-purified amine.

In their work on complexes of the type [(tetren)CoCl]- $[X^{-}]_2$, House and Garner were able to distinguish between geometrical isomers, mainly on the basis of differences in $NH₂$ bending and NH stretching frequencies.³ The major infrared bands for the iron complexes are listed in Table I, but these data cannot be correlated

TABLE I INFRARED SPECTRA OF TETRAETHYLENEPENTAMINE-IRON DERIVATIVES⁴

Compd	NH2 str	$_{\rm co}$	$NH2$ bend
3	3310 m	1940 vs	1620 m
	3140s		1600 w
4	3270 s	1960 vs	1600 m
5	3150s	1950 vs	1600 m
6	3150 vs^b		1580 s
7	$3200 s^{b,c}$		1650 w
			1590 m

^a Nujol mulls. ^b Doublet. ^c Also 3450 cm⁻¹ assigned to H₂O.

with those of House and Garner so the question of geometry remains open.

Acknowledgments.-The author wishes to thank Dr. G. W. Parshall for helpful discussions and Miss Eleanor G. Applegate for technical assistance.

> CONTRIBUTION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90007

The Action of Dimethyl Sulfide on Pentaborane(9)'

BY INDU B. MISHRA AND AXTON B. BURG

Recehed February 16, 1970

New insight into the action of bases on pentaborane- (9) comes from its vapor-phase reaction with dimethyl sulfide at temperatures above 75° , to form diborane (or a BH_3 complex) and a resin containing $(CH_3)_2S$. Stronger aprotic bases such as trimethylamine were known to form 2:1 solid adducts with B_bH_9 , $2-4$ but heating these with excess base (in a closed chamber) produced 2 units of the base-BH₃ complex per B_5H_9 . It seemed obvious that the base directly removed $BH₃$ from the structural pattern

leaving (BH) ₃ to form the observed thermally stable resin containing the base.² The same process seemed evident in the B_5H_9 -induced trimerization of $(CH_3)_2$ - $NBH₂$, wherein $(CH₃)₂NBH₂ \cdot B₄H₆$ could be recognized as an intermediate.⁵ However, no base as weak as $(CH₃)₂S$ could attack $B₅H₉$ by first removing $BH₃$, for the complex $(CH_3)_2S \cdot BH_3$ is extensively dissociated in the vapor phase,⁶ as B_5H_9 itself is not. Instead, then, it appears that $(CH_3)_2S$ invades B_5H_9 to displace $BH₃$ and attach itself to the remaining $B₄H₆$ moiety, which then undergoes a condensation to resin. The driving force of the reaction would be the extreme Lewisacid strength of the B_4H_6 moiety, beginning to take effect as the B-H-B bridges in B_5H_9 are loosened by thermal vibration. Thus it now seems reasonable that stronger bases, which require similar temperatures for dismemberment of B_5H_9 , also first seize B_4H_6 and displace $BH₃$, although the order of events is less obvious because the displaced $BH₃$ is irreversibly captured by these strong bases.

Experimental Section

In the initial experiment, a mixture of B_5H_9 with excess $(CH₃)₂S$ was heated at 75-90°, forming hydrogen, nonvolatile solids, and diborane, which appeared as the dissociable complex $(CH₃)₂S·BH₃$ on condensation at 25° ⁶. However, the decomposition of some of the diborane prevented a quantitative interpretation.

For a better experiment, we employed a vertical double-walled reaction vessel, with a 5 \times 18 cm outer tube electrically heated to temperatures as high as 250° , while the inner 2.5×12 cm coldfinger was cooled to -33" by refluxing liquid ammonia. **A** lower tubulature at $-23°$ collected BH₃ as the $(CH_3)_2S$ complex while the $B_5H_9-(CH_8)_2S$ mixture refluxed from the -33° coldfinger. Under these conditions it was possible to prove a quantitative process by the millimole stoichiometry accompanying the equation

$$
3\langle \text{CH}_3 \rangle_2\text{S} + \text{B}_5\text{H}_9 \longrightarrow
$$

\n
$$
8.91 \quad 3.060 \quad \text{H}_2 + (\text{CH}_3)_2\text{S} \cdot \text{BH}_3 + (1/n)[(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{SB}_2\text{H}_2]_{2n}
$$

\n
$$
-5.10 \quad -1.793 \quad 1.297 \quad 1.267
$$

\n
$$
3.81 \quad 1.267
$$

\n
$$
1.267
$$

This process was conducted in a series of stages at higher and higher outer wall temperatures. During 48 hr at 100° the progress was only 2% ; in 9 hr at 135°, 6% ; in 9 hr at 185°, 17%; and in 3 hr at $250-260^\circ$, 25% . The effective reaction temperatures must have been much lower, on account of rapid convection between the inner and outer walls.

The nonvolatile solid product included an amorphous resin but also a more definitely crystalline component, the X-ray powder pattern of which appeared as a series of peaks above a broad continuum. The relative displacements were 3.18 (s), 3.99 **(in),** 4.31 **(LV),** 4.58 **(w),** 5.16 **(w),** and 5.75 (vw)? Also

⁽¹⁾ It **is a** pleasure to acknowledge the generous support of this research by the Office of Naval Research.

⁽²⁾ A. B. Burg, *J. Amer. Chem.* **SOC., 79,** *PI29* **(1957).**

⁽³⁾ A. B. **Bui-g,** XVIIth Internatiunal Congress uf Pure **and** Applied Chemistry, **Butterworths,** London, 1960, **p 57.**

⁽⁴⁾ M.L. Denniston and S. G. Shore, Abstracts, 158th National Meeting **of** the American Chemical Society, New **York,** K. *Y.,* Sept 1969, No. INOII **104.**

⁽⁵⁾ **A.** B. Burg and J. S. Sandhu, *J. Amel.. Chm. SOL.,* **89, 1626** (1867).