Photoinduced Deoxygenation of Peroxotitanium(IV) Porphyrins

CHRISTOPHER J. BOREHAM, JEAN-MARC LATOUR, JEAN-CLAUDE MARCHON*

Laboratoire d'Electrochimie Organique et Analytique, Département de Recherche Fondamentale, Centre d'Etudes Nucléaires de Grenoble, 85X, 38041 Grenoble Cedex, France

BRIGITTE BOISSELIER-COCOLIOS and ROGER GUILARD*

Laboratoire de Synthèse et d'Electrosynthèse Organométallique, Université de Dijon, 6 Boulevard Gabriel, 21000 Dijon, France

Received March 22, 1980

In two recently published reports, photolysis of a transition metal peroxo complex has been shown to yield dioxygen by photoinduced reductive elimination. Thus, $Ir^{III}(O_2)Cl(CO)(PPh_3)_2$ afforded Vaska's complex $Ir^1Cl(CO)(PPh_3)_2$ and molecular oxygen in its triplet ground state [1], while *trans*-bisperoxomolybdenum(VI) tetra(*p*-tolyl)porphyrin yielded the corresponding *cis*-dioxomolybdenum(VI) complex and dioxygen in its presumably triplet state [2]. In this communication, we wish to report that titanium-(II) porphyrin intermediates and dioxygen are generated by photolysis of peroxotitanium(IV) tetraphenylporphyrins, and that a peculiar photooxidation behavior observed in this system suggests singlet character of the evolved dioxygen.

Photolysis of benzene solutions of the peroxotitanium(IV) porphyrins $Ti(O_2)(TPP)$ and $Ti(O_2)-(TmTP)$ [3] lead to the corresponding oxotitanium-(IV)porphyrins almost quantitatively (ca 95% isolated yields). In a typical experiment, a weighed amount (ca. 50 mg) of the more soluble $Ti(O_2)(TmTP)$ complex was dissolved in 2 ml of dry benzene under argon; the solution was degassed by four freezepump-thaw cycles, then irradiated for 30 minutes with a high-pressure mercury lamp with glass windows under stirring. Vigorous gas evolution was observed. Analysis by mass spectrometry identified the gaseous product as dioxygen, suggesting the overall stoichiometry:

$$2 \operatorname{Ti}(O_2)(\operatorname{TmTP}) \xrightarrow{h\nu} 2 \operatorname{TiO}(\operatorname{TmTP}) + O_2 \qquad (1)$$

When the starting complex was doubly labelled with ¹⁸O, only ¹⁸O₂ and Ti¹⁸O(TmTP) were obtained, indicating that the evolved dioxygen and the oxo ligand were derived exclusively from the starting peroxo ligand* in agreement with reaction 1. Furthermore, irradiation of a 1:1 mixture of unlabelled and doubly labelled Ti(O₂)(TmTP) gave ¹⁶O₂ and ¹⁸O₂ in a 1:1 molar ratio within experimental error, and no ¹⁶O¹⁸O could be detected. The O–O bond of the starting peroxo complex is thus found intact in the

*Ti(¹⁸O₂)(TmTP) was prepared by stirring TiO(TmTP) with 1,1-dimethylhydrazine in THF solution under ¹⁸O₂ (ca. twofold excess) containing more than 99% ¹⁸O, and was recrystallized from CH₂Cl₂-CH₃OH to give a 94% yield. IR (KBr pellet): 856 cm⁻¹ (ν_{O-O}), cf. reference 4. This preparation presumably involves autoxidation of 1,1-dimethylhydrazine producing *in situ* hydrogen peroxide which is scavenged by the oxotitanium(IV) porphyrin, cf. reference 5.

Experiment number	Moles of peroxo complex ×10 ⁵ 6.74	Volume of O_2 liberated in ml (% of calculated) ^b	Other gases in ml	
1		0.396 (48)	$2.5 \times 10^{-3} c$	
2 ^d	6.97	0.370 (43)	4.7×10^{-3} c	
3 ^{d,e}	6.74	0.215 (26)	1.1×10^{-2} f	
4 ^g	7.13	0.468 (54)	$1.4 \times 10^{-2} c, f$	

TABLE I. Amounts of O₂ Liberated upon Irradiation of Ti(O₂) (TmTP).^a

^aca. 50 mg (6.68×10^{-5} mol) of peroxo-complex dissolved in 2 ml benzene were irradiated with a high-pressure mercury lamp for 30 min in an evacuated Pyrex tube. ^bBased on equation 1, the calculated volume of O₂ liberated is 0.82 ml at 25 °C, 1 atm for 50 mg of peroxo-complex. ^cMass spectral peak at m/e = 44 corresponding to CO₂. ^dCyclohexene (0.25 ml) was added to the reaction mixture. ^{e 18}O-labelled peroxo-complex. ^fMass spectral peaks at m/e = 48 and 30 only, corresponding to C¹⁸O₂ and C¹⁸O. ^gPhotolysis of a 1:1 mixture of unlabelled and labelled peroxo-complexes gave 0.231 ml of ¹⁶O₂ and 0.237 ml of ¹⁸O₂, and no ¹⁶O¹⁸O was detected.

^{*}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Solvent (ml)	Sensitizer $(mol \times 10^4)$	Conditions	Relative Yields in % of:		
			2-cyclohexen-1-ol	2-cyclohexen-1-one	epoxide
benzene	H ₂ TPP	hv	traces	traces	traces
(20)	(4.4)	(75 hr)			
benzene	Ti(O ₂) (TPP)	dark	none	none	none
(30)	(4.4)	(55 hr)			
benzene	Ti(O ₂) (TPP)	h <i>v</i>	15	80	5
(30)	(4.4)	(28 hr)		$(3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol})^a$	
CH ₃ COOEt	Ti(O ₂) (TPP)	h <i>v</i>	32	45	23
(20)	(4.4)	(28 hr)		$(2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol})^{a}$	

TABLE II. Photosensitized Oxidation of Cyclohexene (2 ml) by Peroxotitanium(IV) Porphyrins.

^aTotal yield of oxidation products.

evolved dioxygen, implying a photoinduced reductive elimination and the generation of a formal titanium-(II) intermediate in a first step. In a second step, this intermediate undergoes an oxygen-atom transfer from another peroxotitanium(IV) porphyrin – known to be a two-electron oxidant [S] – yielding the corresponding oxotitanium(IV) complex (Scheme I).



The volume of released dioxygen, as determined by quantitative mass spectrometric analysis (Table I), was always lower by ca. 50% or more than that predicted from eqn. 1. Detection of CO₂ and CO in the evolved gases (Table I) led us to assume that the remaining oxygen, presumably in a singlet state, was lost as solvent oxidation products. Indeed, photoinduced oxidation of benzene is known to give open chain polyenic dialdehydes as well as uncharacterized phenols [6], and there is probably a sliding scale of oxidation products with carbon dioxide being the ultimate stable product. Consistent with this interpretation is the apparent relationship between the amounts of O_2 and CO_2 produced (Table I): the lower the yield of evolved dioxygen, the higher the volume of carbon dioxide detected, *i.e.* the loss of oxygen through solvent oxidation.

Cyclohexene was oxidized to a mixture of 2-cyclohexen-1-ol, 2-cyclohexen-1-one, and cyclohexene oxide upon irradiation in the presence of $Ti(O_2)$ -(TPP) under 1 atm of dioxygen. It is of interest that the relative yields of epoxide are rather high in these photosensitized oxidations (Table II). In contrast, autoxidation of cyclohexene via free radical chain processes catalyzed by iron, cobalt, and manganese porphyrin complexes [7] gives low epoxide selectively (2-13%), while oxygen transfer reactions catalyzed by iron and chromium porphyrins [8] lead to entirely different product distributions. The scope and mechanisms of these photooxidations are under investigation.

Titanium(III) porphyrins are obtained by zinc amalgam reduction of difluorotitanium(IV) porphyrins [9], but access to titanium(II) complexes by chemical reduction seems difficult: reaction of fluorotitanium(III) porphyrins with sodium anthracenide yields unstable products [10]. The probable intermediacy of a titanium(II) tetraphenylporphyrin in the photolysis of $Ti(O_2)$ (TPP) raises some hope concerning the stabilization and isolation of this reactive species. This observation, as well as several precedents in the titanocene series [11], suggest that photoreduction [12] in the presence of appropriate ligands might afford an alternative, clean preparative route to titanium(II) porphyrin complexes.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Henry Ledon for communicating us his manuscript cited in reference 2 prior to publication. This work was supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique through grants ERA 675 (Grenoble) and LA 33 (Dijon) and the attribution of a research fellowship to C.J.B.

References

- 1 G. L. Geoffroy, G. S. Hammond and H. B. Gray, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 3933 (1975).
- 2 H. Ledon, M. Bonnet and J. Y. Lallemand, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm., 702 (1979).
- 3 Abbreviations: TPP = meso-tetraphenylporphinato; TmTP = meso-tetra-m-tolylporphinato.
- 4 J.-M. Latour, B. Galland and J.-C. Marchon, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm., 570 (1979).
- 5 R. Guilard, J.-M. Latour, C. Lecomte, J.-C. Marchon, J. Protas and D. Ripoll, *Inorg. Chem.*, 17, 1228 (1978).
- 6 K. Wei, J. C. Mani and J. N. Pitts, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89, 4225 (1967).

- 7 D. R. Paulson, R. Ullman, R. B. Sloane and G. L. Closs, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm., 186 (1974); M. Baccouche, J. Ernst, J. H. Fuhrhop, R. Schlözer and H. Arzoumanian, *ibid.*, 821 (1977); Y. Ohkatsu and T. Tsuruta, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 51, 188 (1978); H. Ledon, C.R. Acad. Sci., Ser. C, 288, 29 (1979); I. Tabushi and N. Koga, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101, 6456 (1979).
- 8 J. T. Groves, T. E. Nemo and R. S. Myers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101, 1032 (1979); J. T. Groves and W. J. Kruper, Jr., ibid., 101, 7613 (1979).
- 9 J.-M. Latour, J.-C. Marchon and M. Nakajima, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101, 3974 (1979).
- 10 C. J. Boreham, J.-M. Latour and J.-C. Marchon, unpublished results.
- 11 H. Alt and M. D. Rausch, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 5936 (1974); E. Samuel and C. Giannotti, J. Organometal. Chem., 113, C17 (1976); M. D. Rausch, W. H. Boon and H. G. Alt, *ibid.*, 141, 299 (1977); E. Samuel, P. Maillard and C. Giannotti, *ibid.*, 142, 289 (1977); M. D. Rausch, W. H. Boon and E. A. Mintz, *ibid.*, 160, 81 (1978); M. Peng and C. H. Brubaker, Jr., Inorg. Chim. Acta, 26, 231 (1978).
- 12 E. I. Kapinus and I. I. Dilung, Khim. Vys. Energ., 9, 492 (1975).