The Synthesis of 1,1-Dipyrazolylcycloalkane Complexes of Cobalt(II), Nickel(II), Zinc(II) and Mercury-(II)

LOUIS K. PETERSON and HEATHER E. W. RHODES Department of Chemistry, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, B.C., V5A 1S6, Canada

Received April 15, 1980

There is considerable interest in the chemistry of metal complexes formed by poly(pyrazolyl)-Group III anionic ligands [1-4] and by corresponding neutral-alkane [1] and -phosphine [5, 6] ligands. The bidentate dipyrazolylalkanes [1, 7], dipyridyl and o-phenanthroline [8, 9] ligands coordinate to metals via the common pyridine-type of donor centre. The complexes formed, however, are likely to exhibit significant structural and chemical differences relating to electronic structure, chelate ring size and molecular flexibility or rigidity. A recent publication [10] on chromium(II) complexes of methylenedipyrazoles (R2pz)2CH2 prompts us to report our studies of the complexing properties of 1,1-dipyrazolylcycloalkanes. In common with other dipyrazolylmethanes and related systems, these bidentate ligands are capable of forming complexes

TABLE I. Complexes of 1,1-Dipyrazolylalkanes^{1,2}.



Fig. 1. n = 1, 1,1-dipyrazolylcyclobutane (*but*) complexes; n = 2, 1,1-dipyrazolylcyclopentane (*pent*) complexes; n = 3, 1,1-dipyrazolylcyclohexane (*hex*) complexes. M = Co(II), Ni(II), Zn(II) or Hg(II) with other attached ligands.

containing a six-membered $C(N-N-)_2M$ metallocycle. The metallo-ring, however, is fused at a quaternary carbon atom that is common to the cycloalkane ring (Fig. 1). Now the six-atom $[E(N-N)_2M]$ skeletal arrangements in related systems range from relatively flexible boat conformations to planar or even shallow chair structures [4, 11]. Hence, we anticipate that the ring size of the cycloalkane moiety will dictate the external bond angles about the quaternary carbon centre [12], and thus the 'bite' of the dipyrazolyl ligand. With a view to studying expected structural and/or property modifications, we have prepared a series of 1,1-dipyrazolylcycloalkane (L) complexes of

		% Yield	Analytical Data, %					
			Observed			Calculated		
			C	N	Н	c	N	Н
CoCl ₂ but·H ₂ O	Ia	72	35.7	16.7	4.21	35.74	16.67	4.19
$CoCl_2pent \cdot 0.5(H_2O)$	Ib	59	38.6	16.7	4.24	38.72	16.42	4.40
CoCl ₂ hex•H ₂ O	Ic	58	39.0	15.2	4.51	39.58	15.39	4.94
CoCl ₂ mhex	Id	80	43.6	15.5	5.00	43.35	15.55	5.04
NiCl ₂ but•H ₂ O	Ila	90	36.1	17.0	3.87	35.80	16.72	4.21
NiCl ₂ pent	IIb	80	39.5	16.3	4.45	39.81	16.20	4.25
NiCl ₂ hex•H ₂ O	IIc	80	39.4	15.3	4.77	39.70	15.42	4.99
$Zn(ClO_4)_2(but)_2$	IIIa	43	37.4	17.0	4.12	37.48	17.49	3.75
Zn(ClO ₄) ₂ pent·2H ₂ O	IIIb	62	26.3	11.6	3.93	26.27	11.15	3.58
$Zn(ClO_4)_2hex \cdot 2H_2O$	IIIc	74	27.8	11.1	3.75	27.99	10.89	3.89
HgCl ₂ but	1Va	33	26.4	12.5	2.74	26.13	12.18	2.63
HgCl ₂ pent	IVb	65	27.5	11.9	2.86	27.88	11.83	2.89
HgCl ₂ hex	IVc	34	29.6	-	3.31	29.54	11.49	3.31

¹but, pent, hex and mhex = 1,1-dipyrazolyl-cyclobutane, -cyclopentane, -cyclohexane and -4-methylcyclohexane, respectively. ²The colours were: Ia, purple; Ib, blue; Ic, blue; Id, pale blue; the anhydrous nickel(II) complexes were yellow-brown, while the aquated complexes were green; the complexes of zinc(II) (IIIa-c) and mercury (IVa-c) were white. Co(II), Ni(II), Zn(II) and Hg(II), and have isolated the types MLX_2 , ML_2X_2 , $MLX_2 \cdot H_2O$ and $MLX_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ (Table I).

The ligands 1,1-dipyrazolyl-cyclobutane (but), cyclopentane (pent), -cyclohexane (hex) and 4methylcyclohexane (mhex) readily coordinate with metal ions in acetone solution. Thus, HgCl₂ gives anhydrous derivatives [HgCl₂L] (IVa-b), while CoCl₂ and NiCl₂ tend to give aquated complexed $[MCl_2L \cdot H_2O]$ (M = Co, Ia, Ic; M = Ni, IIa, IIc). Under stringently dry conditions, however, the anhydrous complexes [CoCl₂(mhex)] (Id) and [Ni-Cl₂(pent)](IIb) were obtained. Surprisingly, the reactions of equimolar solutions of the aquated zinc-(II) ion and but gave the anhydrous 2:1 complex $[Zn(ClO_4)_2(but)_2]$ (IIIa). Under similar conditions, the ligands pent and hex gave di-aquo-1:1 complexes $[Zn(ClO_4)_2L \cdot 2H_2O]$ (IIIb, c). Thus, it appears that the larger NN 'bite' in but may be more ideal for tetrahedral coordination about Zn** than the corresponding 'bites' in *pent* and *hex*, giving preference to the observed anhydrous 2:1 complex IIIa.

Preliminary ¹H NMR data shows that the pyrazolyl rings are equivalently coordinated in the diamagnetic mercury complexes IVa-c. The cobalt-(II) and nickel(II) complexes are high spin (Ia-d, $\mu_{eff} \cong 4.9$ B.M.; IIa-c, $\mu_{eff} \cong 3.3$ B.M.). Details of spectroscopic and structural studies will be reported at a later data.

Experimental

The ligands 1,1-dipyrazolyl-cyclobutane (but), -cyclopentane (pent), -cyclohexane (hex) and 4methylcyclohexane (mhex) were prepared according to published methods [13]. Anhydrous $CrCl_2$ was prepared from the hydrated salt by refluxing in thionyl chloride [14]. Complexes (see Table I) were prepared on a 1 millimolar scale, as follows:

Cobalt(II) complexes: A solution of the appropriate ligand in acetone was added to a stirred, equimolar solution of $CrCl_2$ in acetone. The solution volume was reduced under vacuum, yielding coloured crystals which were filtered off and pumped dry.

Nickel(II) complexes: Equimolar solutions of NiCl₂· $6H_2O$ and ligand in acetone were mixed together. The solvent was removed under vaccum, giving a coloured solid which was washed several times with ether and finally dried under vacuum.

Zinc(II) complexes: The method was the same for the cobalt(II) complexes, except that $Zn(ClO_4)_2$. $6H_2O$ was used in place of $CrCl_2$.

Anhydrous complexes: (a) of mercury(II). The method was the same as for the cobalt(II) complexes, except that $HgCl_2$ was used in place of $CoCl_2$.

(b) $[CoCl_2(mhex)]$ (Id). The solvent (acetone) was dried before use and the reaction was conducted under dry nitrogen according to the procedure for the cobalt(II) complexes above.

(c) $[NiCl_2(pent)]$ (IIb). A solution of $NiCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ in acetone/2,2-dimethoxypropane was heated gently until the colour changed from green to yellow-brown. The solution was cooled, and an equimolar amount of 1,1-dipyrazolylcyclopentane in dry acetone was added. The solution was pumped to dryness, the residue was washed with dry ether, and finally pumped dry under vacuum.

Analyses (C, H and N, see Table I) were performed by the University Analyst, Simon Fraser University.

Acknowledgement

We thank the Natural Research Coucnil of Canada for financial support.

References

- 1 S. Trofimenko, Adv. Chem. Ser., 150, 289 (1976); Chem. Rev., 72, 497 (1972).
- 2 R. B. King and A. Bond, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 1334, 1338, 1343 (1974).
- 3 K. R. Breakell, D. J. Patmore and A. Storr, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton, 749 (1975).
- 4 D. F. Rendle, A. Storr and J. Trotter, Can. J. Chem., 53, 2944 (1975).
- 5 H. B. Davis, J. K. Hoyano, P. Y. Leung, L, K. Peterson and B. Wolstenholme, Can. J. Chem.,
- 6 J. K. Hoyano and L. K. Peterson, Can. J. Chem., 54, 2697 (1976).
- 7 S. Trofimenko, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 5118 (1970).
 8 R. Davis, in 'Inorganic Chemistry of the Transition Elements', Chemical Society Specialist Periodical Reports (1972).
- 9 K. Akaboni, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 37, 2075 (1975).
- 10 F. Mani and R. Morassi, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 36, 63 (1979).
- 11 N. W. Alcock and J. F. Sawyer, Acta Crystallogr., B30, 2899 (1974).
- 12 R. T. Morrison and R. N. Boyd, in 'Organic Chemistry', Allyn and Bacon, 2nd Edition, (1966).
- 13 K. I. Thé, L. K. Peterson and E. Kiehlmann, *Can. J. Chem.*, 51, 2448 (1973).
- 14 D. M. Adams and J. B. Raynor, in 'Advanced Practical Inorganic Chemistry', J. Wiley and Sons, London (1965).