**The Electronic Structure of the Trisulfur Trinitride Anion** 

VEDENE H. SMITH, Jr.\*, JOHN R. SABIN\*\*, EWA BROCLAWIK\*\*\* and JANUSZ MROZEK\*\*\*

*Department of Chemistry, Queen's University, Kingston, Ont. K7L 3N6, Canada and Quantum Theory Project, University of Florida, Gainesville, Fla. 32601, U.S.A.* 

Received April 15, 1983

The trisulfur trinitride anion,  $S_3N_3$ , is the first example of a planar cyclic S-N anion among the known binary sulfur-nitrogen species. Its electronic structure has been the subject of recent discussion and debate  $[1-5]$ . According to the suggestions of Banister [6, 8] this compound should be a  $10\pi$ electron Huckel-type system. However, in recent publications, Turner and coworkers [2,5] concluded on the basis of the results of a CND0/2 L(ocalized) M(olecular) O(rbital) study that  $S_3N_3$ <sup>-</sup> is a four  $\pi$ -electron system with six out-of-plane lone pair electrons. The authors  $[2, 5]$  also claim that symmetry breaking occurs and produces a set of inequivalent sulfur atoms and a set of inequivalent nitrogen atoms despite the full symmetry  $(D_{3h})$  assumed. Chivers and coworkers [4] suggested that this was due to the non-uniqueness of the Edmiston and Ruedenberg [9] transformation to localized orbitals used by Turner *et al.* [2], the Turner structure, 1, being recognized as one of the canonical structures,  $1-3$ . They also pointed out [4] that they did not find symmetry breaking when they required only  $C_{2v}$  symmetry (with the nuclei still in their  $D_{3h}$ positions). In their reply, Turner *et al. [5]* pointed out that the two nonequivalent sets of sulfur and nitrogen atoms occurred already in the Mulliken population analysis based on canonical orbitals, i.e.



\*Permanent address: Department of Chemistry, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario K7L 3N6. Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

\*\*Permanent address: Quantum Theory Project, University of Florida, Gainesville, Fla. 326 11, USA.

\*\*\*On leave from Institute of Catalysis, Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow, Poland.

\*\*\*\*On leave from Department of Theoretical Chemistry, Jagiellonian University, Cracow, Poland.

prior to the transformation to LMO s. They contended as well that their LMO structure *1,* did not imply the delocalized representation 4, based upon the three canonical structures 1-3. Chivers *et al.*  [1,4] also found a clear  $\pi$ -electron picture of the molecule in their  $DVM$ -X $\alpha$  calculations.

In order to clarify these points, we have made calculations by means of the  $SCF-X\alpha-S(cattered)$ W(ave) method as well as new CNDO/2 calculations of both C(anonical) MO and LMO types.

# **Introduction SCF-X-SW Calculations**

Our  $S_3N_3$ <sup>-</sup> electronic structure calculations have been carried out by means of the SCF-X $\alpha$ -SW method, a basically first principles procedure with a few structural parameters  $[10, 11]$ . We determined the geometry of  $S_3N_3^-$  from the averaged structural data [1, 12] namely a planar symmetric six-member ring  $(D_{3h})$  with bond distances equal to 1.6 Å and bond angles equal to 120°. The atomic sphere radii have been chosen according to Norman's rule [13] with 20% degree of overlap and the touching outersphere. The atomic exchange parameters  $(\alpha_{\text{HF}})$  have been taken from Schwarz [14] with the simple average of the atomic values used in the intersphere and outersphere regions. Partial waves up to  $1 = 2$  were used in the S and N atomic spheres and up to  $1 = 4$  in the outersphere. The spin-restricted, frozen-core version of the method has been used.

The results are summarized in Table I, where we have listed the valence and virtual energy levels together with their respective group symmetry labels, occupancy and the charge contained in the sulfur, nitrogen, outer and interspheres respectively. The order of the levels is generally the same as that obtained by Chivers et al.  $[1, 4]$  using the DVM-X $\alpha$ method. The only reordering is between the  $1a_2$ " and  $3a_1$ ' levels which are very close in energy to each other. However, the description of the valence  $2a_1$ ' and 3a,' orbitals is different as can be seen after inspection of the wavefunction plots given in Fig. 1. We found the  $2a_1'$  level to be a slightly bonding p-type combination, in contrast to the sulfur lone pair classification of Chivers and coworkers [1, 4]. The  $3a_1'$  level appears to be a strongly antibonding combination of sulfur and nitrogen s orbitals with some p admixture, which is similar to the Chivers' description. Thus we would suggest the picture of four strong  $\sigma$  bonds (1a<sub>1</sub>' 1e', and 1a<sub>2</sub>') with the weaker  $\sigma$  bonding contributions of 2e', 2a<sub>1</sub>', and 3e' being largely offset by the antibonding contribution from the  $3a_1'$ , and 4e' levels. We found the S-N bonds to be distinctly polar, the appropriate charges are:  $Q_S = +0.33$  and  $Q_N = -0.66$ . This may be

0020-1693/83/\$3.00 0 Elsevier Sequoia/Printed in Switzerland

Inorganica Chimica Acta Letters

TABLE I. Orbital Energy Levels for Planar (D<sub>3b</sub>) S<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub> Calculated with the SCF-Xa-SW Method.

Orbital	$-$ Energy [Ry]	Occu- pancy	Qs	$Q_N$	Qint	Qout
$1a_1$	1.838	2.0	0.147	0.153	0.092	0.007
1e	1.696	4.0	0.131	0.177	0.066	0.009
2e'	1.351	4.0	0.185	0.122	0.054	0.020
$1a_2'$	1.055	2.0	0.159	0.163	0.021	0.014
$2a_1$	0.872	2.0	0.133	0.129	0.151	0.061
3a <sub>1</sub>	0.798	2.0	0.131	0.097	0.228	0.087
1a <sub>2</sub>	0.788	2.0	0.104	0.096	0.364	0.035
$1e^{\bar{r}}$	0.647	4.0	0.096	0.119	0.312	0.042
3e'	0.640	4.0	0.095	0.150	0.170	0.093
4e'	0.603	4.0	0.145	0.132	0.084	0.084
2e''	0.366	4.0	0.127	0.094	0.275	0.061
" 2a <sub>2</sub>	0.169	0.0	0.079	0.138	0.235	0.115
4a <sub>1</sub>	0.165	0.0	0.015	0.009	0.493	0.432
5e'	0.049	0.0	0.118	0.048	0.205	0.296



Fig. 2. Contour plot of the  $1a_2$ <sup>"</sup> orbital density.



Fig. 3. Contour plot of the 1e" orbital density.

Fig. 1. Contour plots of a)  $2a_1'$  and b)  $3a_1'$  orbitals.

contrasted with the atomic charges  $Q_S = 0.56 = -Q_N$ for  $S_4N_4$  and  $Q_S = 0.48 = -Q_N$  for  $S_2N_2$  found [15] with the SCF-Xa-SW method.

Another interesting aspect of the  $S_3N_3$ <sup>-</sup> electronic structure is its  $\pi$ -electron system. There are  $3 \pi$ -type<br>levels occupied, namely  $1a_2$ ",  $1e$ " and  $2e$ ". Two of them,  $1a_2$ <sup>"</sup> and the degenerate pair  $1e$ " are bonding combinations of S and N p orbitals, the highest occupied orbital, the 2e" one, has antibonding character. We provide plots of the charge densities for these three  $\pi$  levels in Figs. 2, 3 and 4, respectively. The pictures to indicate clearly that these levels are entirely  $\pi$  and  $\pi^*$  orbitals, not lone pair levels, and thus the  $S_3N_3$ <sup>-</sup> anion can be described as a 10  $\pi$ electron system. However, the occupied  $\pi^*$  levels make it different from the usual aromatic system in the sense of Hückel and Banister.

# CNDO/2 Calculations

The results of our calculations by means of the CNDO/2 method are presented in Table II while the



Fig. 4. Contour plot of the 2e" orbital density.

LMO<sub>s</sub> are described in Table III. Our LMO<sub>s</sub> were determined using the Edmiston-Ruedenberg scheme [9]. In the latter table, the atomic orbital coefficients in each LMO are listed together with its percentage localization. For the evaluation of the latter quantity we follow the Turner procedure [2] of TABLE II. Present CNDO/2 Results for  $S_3N_3$ .

 $d_{\rm NS}$  = 1.600 Å Bond angles NSN and SNS = 120" B.E. = -0.2171 A.U. (136.2 kcal/mol)  $E_{total} = -65.7452$  A.U. *Net Atomic charges:*   $S(1) = S(2) = S(3) = 0.041$  $N(1) = N(2) = N(3) = -0.375$ *Orbital charges: S(1) S(2)* and S(3) N(2) N(1) and N(3) 3s 1.6146 1.6146 1.5737 1.5737 3px 1.4203 1.1089 1.3367 1.0394 3PY 1.0050 1.3165 0.9403 1.2376 3Pz 1.6874 1.6874 1.5240 1.5240  $3d_{z^2}$  0.0086 0.0086  $3d_{xz}$  0.0181 0.0824  $3d_{\text{vz}}$  0.1039 0.0396  $3d_{\mathbf{x}^2 - \mathbf{y}^2}$  0.0603 0.0454  $3d_{xy}$  0.0405 0.0553

associating the given LMO with a bond ( $\sigma$  or  $\pi$ ) or atom (lone pair) and truncating it in such a way as to include contributions from the atomic orbitals of the atom(s) participating in the bond or lone pair. It should be noted that the coefficients  $C_{\text{TLMO}}$  of the LMO's truncated in this manner do not necessarily sum to unity. The percent localization is defined by % Localization =  $\Sigma_i (C_{\text{TT MO}})^2 \times 100$ .

Examination of Table II reveals that the present CND0/2 results differ from those reported previously in two respects. The energy (total) is lower and most importantly, the Mulliken net atomic charges are the *same* for all three sulfur atoms  $(q_s = 0.041)$  and the *same* for all three nitrogen atoms  $(q_N = -0.375)$  and are very similar to those found by Chivers *et al.*  $(q_s =$ 0.065,  $q_N = -0.40$ ). Thus the question of possible symmetry breaking is answered—there is none in this type of calculation. This leads to the question as to why it was found in the previous CNDO/2 calculation. We speculate that the CND0/2 calculations employed the socalled method B [16] of parameterization for second-row elements. As pointed out by Sabin *et al.* [17], the original method B is not rotationally invariant. The present calculations were rotationally invariant.

We find by inspection of Table III that the seventeen occupied MO's have been transformed into a) six  $N-S$   $\sigma$ -bonding type orbitals for the framework of the ring, *i.e.* numbers  $3, 4, 6, 9, 14$  and  $15$ ; b) six lone-pairs (in the molecular plane) on each of the atoms, *i.e.* numbers 1, 2, 5, 8, 12 and 13; c) three  $\pi$ type (out-of-plane) lone-pairs on  $N(1)$ ,  $S(6)$  and  $S(5)$ , *i.e.* numbers 11, 16, and 17; and d) two  $\pi$ -bonding orbitals between  $N(2) - S(4)$  and  $S(4) - N(3)$ , *i.e.* numbers 7 and 10. It should be noted that all of these orbitals are more than 92% localized with the excep-

TABLE III. Localized Orbitals of  $S_3N_3$ .

	LMO Type	Atomic Orbital Coefficients	Locali- zation	
		N	S	%
1.	$[S(2)]_{\text{lp}\sigma}$		3s $-0.7662$ 99.27 3p <sub>x</sub> 0.3172 $3p_y$ -0.5491	
2.	$[S(3)]_{\text{lpd}}$		<b>3s</b> 0.7667 99.26 $3p_{x}$ - 0.3172 $3p_v - 0.5469$	
3.	$[N(1)-S(2)]_{\sigma}$	2s $-0.3117$ $2p_{\mathbf{X}}$ 0.6061 0.0857 $2p_v$	3s $-0.3300$ 98.41 $3p_x - 0.6226$ 0.0898 $3p_v$	
4.	$[N(3)-S(1)]_{\sigma}$	2s $-0.3109$ $-0.2285$ $2p_{\star}$ $2p_y - 0.5683$	3s $-0.3297$ 98.40 0.3890 $3p_{\rm T}$ 0.4945 $3p_v$	
5.	$[N(3)]_{\text{loq}}$	2s $-0.7687$ 0.3091 $2p_{\star}$ 0.5342 $2p_{\mathbf{y}}$		97.18
6.	$[N(2)-S(2)]_{\sigma}$	$-0.3119$ 2s $-0.3758$ $2p_{\star}$ $-0.4830$ 2p <sub>y</sub>	3s $-0.3297$ 98.42 0.2334 $3p_x$ 0.5845 3p <sub>v</sub>	
7.	$[N(1)-S(1)]_{\pi}$	0.8274 $2p_{z}$	0.4687 3p <sub>z</sub>	93.05
8.	$[S(1)]_{\text{loc}}$		3s $-0.7657$ 99.27 $-0.6347$ $3p_{\pm}$ $3p_y$ 0.0005	
9.	$[N(3)-S(3)]_{\sigma}$	2s $-0.3120$ 0.6059 $2p_{\pm}$ $2p_y -0.0857$	3s $-0.3291$ $3p_{x}$ -0.6231 $3p_y - 0.0908$	98.42
10.	$[N(3)-S(1)]_{\pi}$	0.8298 $2p_z$	0.4612 92.79 3p <sub>z</sub>	
11.	$[N(2)]_{\text{lp}}$	0.8648 $2p_z$		74.78
12.	$[N(2)]_{\text{lp}\sigma}$	2s $-0.7682$ 0.6177 $2p_{\pi}$ 0.0007 $2p_y$		97.17
13.	$[N(1)]_{\text{lpd}}$	2s $-0.7679$ $2p_{\overline{x}}$ $-0.3082$ $-0.5358$ $2p_{y}$		97.17
14.	$[N(1)-S(1)]_{\sigma}$	2s $-0.3132$ $2p_{x}$ -0.2293 $+0.5667$ $2p_y$	$-0.3309$ 98.42 3s 0.3888 $3p_x$ $-0.4941$ $3p_y$	
15.	$[N(2)-S(3)]_{\sigma}$	$-0.3122$ 2s $-0.3785$ $2p_{\pm}$ 0.4807 $2p_v$	3s $-0.3271$ 0.2323 3p <sub>1</sub> $3p_y - 0.5862$	98.40
16.	$[S(3)]_{\text{lpn}}$		0.8802 77.64 3p <sub>z</sub>	
17.	$[S(2)]_{\text{lpn}}$		0.8782 77.30 $3p_z$	

tion of the three  $\pi$ -type lone-pair LMO s which are about 75% localized.

The set of LMO's may be represented schematically by the structure 5 which is the same as *1* found by Turner and coworkers.



Since in our calculation the three sulfurs are equivalent and the three nitrogens are as well, the canonical structures  $1$ ,  $2$  and  $3$  may be obtained from one another by the appropriate rotation  $(120^{\circ})$  and 4 represents a superposition of these three localized structures.

## Acknowledgement

We thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for financial support of this research.

### References

1 J. Bojes, T. Chivers, W. G. Laidlaw and M. Trsic, J. *Am. Chem. Soc., 101, 4517 (1979).* 

- 2 A. A. Bhattacharyya, A. Bhattacharyya and A. G. Turner, Znorg. *Chim. Acta, 45, L13 (1980).*
- *3* B. M. Gimarc and N. Trinajstic, *Pure and Appl. Chem., 52,1443 (1980).*
- *4* T. Chivers, W. G. Laidlaw, R. T. Oakley and M. Trsic, Znorg. *Chim. Actu, 53, L189 (1981).*
- *5* A. A. Bhattacharyya, A. Bhattacharyya and A. G. Turner, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 64, L33 (1982).
- *6* A. J. Banister and H. G. Clarke, J. Chem. Sot. *Dalton Trans., 2661 (1972).*
- *7* A. J. Banister, *Nature (London), Phys. Sci., 237, 92 (1972).*
- *8* A. J. Banister, *Phosphorus and Sulfur, 5, 147 (1978).*
- *9 C.* Edmiston and K. Ruedenberg, *Rev. Mod. Phys., 35, 457 (1963).*
- 10 J. C. Slater, *Adv. Quantum Chem., 6,* 1 (1972).
- 11 K. H. Johnson, *Adv. Quantum* Chem., 7,143 (1973).
- 12 J. Bojes and T. Chivers, J. *Chem. Sot. Chem. Commun., 391 (1978).*
- *13* J. G. Norman Jr.,J. *Chem. Phys., 61,463O (1974).*
- 14 K. Schwarz, Phys. Rev., B5, 2466 (1972).
- 15 D. R. Salahub and R. P. Messmer, J. *Chem.* Phys., 64, 2039 (1976).
- 16 D. P. Santry,.I. *Am.* Chem. Sot., 90,3309 (1968).
- 17 J. R. Sabin, D. P. Santry and K. Weiss. J. *Am. Chem. Sot., 94,655l (1972).*