The Chemistry of Uranium. Part 32. The Electronic Structure of UCl<sub>4</sub> and ThCl<sub>4</sub> Complexes of some Neutral Donor Ligands

### C. M. DEMANET

National Chemical Research Laboratory, P.O. Box 395, Pretoria 0001, Republic of South Africa

#### and J. G. H. DU PREEZ

Uranium Chemistry Research Unit, University of Port Elizabeth, P.O. Box 1600, Port Elizabeth, Republic of South Africa

Received June 23, 1983

Studies of the X-ray photo-electron spectra (XPS) of uranium compounds recently became a useful tool to obtain information about their valence electronic structure [1]. It was indicated that for  $UF_4$  and  $UCl_4$ the 5f electrons are essentially localised on the metal, even in the gas phase [2, 3]. From a comparison between the tetrafluorides and chlorides of uranium and thorium the only significant differences in electronic structure were the presence of 5f electrons in the tetravalent uranium and their absence in thorium. A similar situation occurs in the X-ray photo-electron spectra of solid  $UO_2$  and  $ThO_2$  [4], where except for the existence of a low-lying 5f ionization in  $UO_2$ , the ThO<sub>2</sub> and UO<sub>2</sub> valence-band photo-electron spectra are nearly identical. During the recent studies of the XPS of  $MCl_4L_2$  compounds where M = Th and U and L = tris(dimethylamido)phosphine oxide(tdpo) and triphenylarsine oxide (tpao), somewhat surprising results were obtained which indicated the presence of 5f electrons in thorium.

# Experimental

Pure MCl<sub>4</sub>tdpo<sub>2</sub> and MCl<sub>4</sub>tpao<sub>2</sub> (M = U, Th) were synthesised as described previously [5]. The samples (in powder form) were dissolved in the appropriate solvents: nitromethane for UCl<sub>4</sub>tdpo<sub>2</sub>, dichloromethane for UCl<sub>4</sub>tpao<sub>2</sub> and acetone for ThCl<sub>4</sub>tdpo<sub>2</sub> and ThCl<sub>4</sub>tpao<sub>2</sub>. A thin film was then recrystallised on a gold plate and the sample introduced in this form inside the spectrometer.

The X-ray photo-electron spectra were obtained at room temperature on a AEI200B (Kratos) instrument using non-monochromatized MgK $\alpha$  radiation (h $\nu$  = 1253.6 eV). Although the use of non-monochromatized X-rays and the preparation of the sample in the form of a thin film on a gold plate minimize the positive charge build-up at the surface of insulating material due to the emitted photo-electrons, a slight charging effect was observed for  $UCl_4tdpo_2$ . The technique to estimate the extent of charging is based on the evolution of the shift of a peak as a function of the X-ray intensity [6]. The correction for the charging is included in the reported results. Three independent measurements were recorded for each compound and the binding energies (B.E.) quoted are the mean of these three measurements.

The intensity of a peak is measured by the area under a gaussian simulation of the signal. Only relative intensities (intensity ratios) are reported.

### **Results and Discussion**

The 4f spectra of U(IV) and Th(IV) complexes show in addition to the  $4f^{7/2}$  and  $4f^{5/2}$  peaks a satellite structure understood as a shake-up process (Fig. 1). The satellites observed correspond to a



Fig. 1. 4f spectra of ThCl<sub>4</sub>tdpo<sub>2</sub> and UCl<sub>4</sub>tdpo<sub>2</sub>.

valence electron excitation simultaneously with photo-emission and obey the monopole selection rule. The electronic transition observed corresponds to the excitation of an electron of a molecular orbital with strong ligand character (Cl3p) to an orbital mainly of 5f character based on the metal centre. In this respect, the 4f spectra of the  $UCl_4L_2$  and ThCl<sub>4</sub>L<sub>2</sub> complexes investigated here are very similar

© Elsevier Sequoia/Printed in Switzerland

 TABLE I. Relative Positions and Intensities of Shake-up

 Satellites in Th4f and U4f Spectra.

	Satellite position in e.V.		Satellite/Parent peak intensity ratio	
	4f <sup>5/2</sup>	4f <sup>7/2</sup>	4f <sup>5/2</sup>	4f <sup>7/2</sup>
ThCl4tdpo2	7.0	5.5	0.90	0.01
ThCl4tpao2	6.6	5.6	0.21	0.07
ThCl <sub>4</sub> <sup>a</sup>	5.3	5.7	0.13	0.10
UCl4tdpo2	5.0	5.2	0.23	0.05
UCl <sub>4</sub> tpao <sub>2</sub>		3.3	_	0.19
UCl <sub>4</sub> <sup>b</sup>	_	6.1	-	0.30
<sup>a</sup> From ref. 7.	<sup>b</sup> From ref. 8.			

to the ones reported in the literature for UCl<sub>4</sub> and ThCl<sub>4</sub> (Table I) [7,8]. The conclusion is therefore reached that the presence of the organic ligands (L) has little influence on the metal-halide bond. On the other hand, the intensity of the satellite lines is indicative of the 5f admixture in the LUMO (lowest unoccupied molecular orbital). A difference between UCl<sub>4</sub>L<sub>2</sub>, ThCl<sub>4</sub>L<sub>2</sub> on the one side and UCl<sub>4</sub> and ThCl<sub>4</sub> on the other is distinctly observable (Table I). The contribution of 5f orbitals in the LUMO of the hexacoordinated complexes is apparently reduced, probably because they are involved with the axial ligands L.

The valence region of the XPS spectra also provides insight into the electronic configuration of actinide compounds. In the region of 0.0 to 15.0 eV two bands are generally observed in uranium tetrahalides. The first band (A), closer to the Fermi level, is attributed to the 5f levels while the second one (B) is made-up of U7s, 6d, 5f and halide p character [4, 8]. The valence region of our six-coordinate uranium-(IV) complexes differs very little from U tetrahalide spectra, though the intensity of bands A and B are closer to each other in UCl<sub>4</sub>L<sub>2</sub> than in UCl<sub>4</sub> itself.

It has been shown [1] that the valence spectra of U(IV) and Th(IV) tetrahalide complexes are very similar, with the difference however that in the thorium compounds the band associated with the metal 5f ionization is not observed. This is explained by the fact that these Th(IV) compounds have a 5f<sup>0</sup> electronic configuration. However, from a comparison of the valence region of UCl<sub>4</sub>L<sub>2</sub> and ThCl<sub>4</sub>L<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 2) it can be seen that a band very similar to the U5f appears in the spectra of the thorium complexes which is absent in ThCl<sub>4</sub> (Table II). It must therefore be concluded that in ThCl<sub>4</sub>L<sub>2</sub>, the 5f orbitals of thorium are no longer empty. It can be assumed that they are populated from the 2p orbitals of the coordinating oxygen atoms of the axial ligands.

This evidence for a covalent character in the Th–O bond of the neutral ligand is in agreement with structural data obtained for a series of  $UCl_4L_2$  complexes in which the degree of tetragonal distortion (U–O



Fig. 2. Valence bands A and B of UCl<sub>4</sub>tdpo<sub>2</sub> and ThCl<sub>4</sub>tdpo<sub>2</sub>.

TABLE II. Valence Bands of  $ThCl_4L_2$  and  $UCl_4L_2$  Compounds.

	A <sub>ine.</sub> v.	В		
ThCl4tdpo2	3.5	5.7	$I_A \simeq I_B$	
ThCl4tpao2	3.7	5.4	$I_A \simeq I_B$	
ThCl4 <sup>a</sup>	_	5.7		
UCl4tdpo2	3.5	6.0	$I_A > I_B$	
UCl4tpao2	3.8	5.6	$I_{A} < I_{B}$	
UCl4 <sup>b</sup>	1.6	4.4	$I_A > I_B$	

<sup>a</sup>From ref. 7. <sup>b</sup>From ref. 8.

bond shortening and corresponding U–Cl bond lengthening) could be correlated with the donor strength of the neutral ligand [9]. In the case of L = triethylarsine oxide the shortest U–O bond (2.16 Å) and longest U–Cl bond (2.665 Å) were obtained as compared to 2.24 Å and 2.618 Å for the analogous bonds in UCl<sub>4</sub>tppo<sub>2</sub> (tppo = triphenylphosphine oxide). The above XPS results seem to suggest that very significant covalent character exists between the quadrivalent actinides (U and Th) in those compounds where the coordination number is relatively low, namely six, and the metal is bonded to a readily distortable oxygen atom.

### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, the Nuclear Development Corporation and the University of Port Elizabeth for financial assistance.

# Inorganica Chimica Acta Letters

#### References

- 1 J. M. Dyke, G. D. Josland, A. Morris, P. M. Tucker and J. W. Tyler, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 2, 77, 1273 (1981).
- 2 J. M. Dyke, N. K. Fayad, A. Morris, I. R. Trickle and G. C. Allen, J. Chem. Phys., 72, 3822 (1980). 3 J. P. Connerade, M. W. D. Mansfield, M. Cukier and M.
- Pantelouris, J. Phys. B., 13, L235 (1980).
- 4 B. W. Veal and D. J. Lam, Phys. Rev. B., 10, 4902 (1974).
- 5 J. G. H. Du Preez, B. J. Gellatly and M. L. Gibson, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton, 1062 (1977).
- 6 C. M. Demanet and J. G. H. Du Preez, to be published. 7 G. M. Bancroft, T. K. Sham, J. L. Esquivel and S. Larsson,
- Chem. Phys. Letters, 51, 105 (1977). 8 E. Thibaut, J. P. Boutique, J. J. Verbist, J. C. Levet and H. Noël, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104, 5266 (1982).
- 9 J. G. H. Du Preez, B. J. Gellatly, G. Jackson, L. R. Nassimbeni and A. Rodgers, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 27, 181 (1978).