# **Thermodynamic and Kinetic Studies on Complex Formation of Alkaline Earth Metal Ions with Diaza-Crown Ethers in Methanol\***

B. G. COX

*Department of Chemistry, University Stirling, Stirling FK9 4LA, U.K.* 

#### P. FIRMAN and H. SCHNEIDER

Max-Planck-Institut für Biophysikalische Chemie, 3400 Göttingen, F.R.G.

Received June 7,1982

*Stability constants K, and rate constants of formation and dissociation of alkaline earth metal complexes with the diaza-crown ethers (2,2) and (2,2-Me2) in methanol are reported, together with corresponding thermodynamic parameters. It is shown that it is possible to determine the dissocia*tion rate constants  $k_d$  of these crown ether com*plexes from stopped-flow experiments. The values*  of  $K_{\bullet}$  and  $k_{d}$  for (2,2) complexes are displaced paral*lel with respect to the corresponding values for (2,2-*   $Me<sub>2</sub>$ ) complexes, and variations of  $K<sub>s</sub>$  with metal ion *mdius are reflected in similar (inverse) variations of kd. However, enthalpies and entropies of reaction and activation point to differences in the behaviour of the two ligands.* 

# **Introduction**

 $\Delta$ he ability of macrocyclic ligands (e.g. crown) thers) to form inclusion complexes with metal ions, including alkali metal ions, whose stabilities are larger than those formed with open chain ligands of corresponding chemical structure, has attracted much interest in experimental studies of their chemical properties **[l] .** A variety of methods have been used to determine stability constants,  $K_s$ , of the complexes, the values of which range from log  $K_s$  $\sim$  1 to log K<sub>s</sub> > 15, where the time required for equilibration in titration experiments may cause difficulties.

Comparatively few experimental data are available for the kinetics of formation of crown ether type complexes, most of which has come from ultra-

sonic relaxation and NMR measurements [2]. The rate constants are much too high for determination by fast-mixing experiments. However, in the present paper it is demonstrated that the dissociation rates of complexes formed between alkaline earth metal ions and monocyclic diaza-crown ethers  $(2,2)$  (I) and  $(2,2-Me<sub>2</sub>)$  (II) are accessible by stopped-flow measurements in methanol. There is an inverse relationship between the variations in stability constants and dissociation rate constants with ionic radius of the metal ion, in agreement with earlier results for crown ether and cryptand complexes.



Unlike 18-crown-6, the diaza-crown ethers do not distinguish selectively between  $Sr^{2+}$  and  $Ba^{2+}$ , because the ring cavity diameter of the diaza-ligands is smaller than that of 18crown-6, which forms the most stable alkaline earth metal complex with Ba<sup>2+</sup>. An isokinetic correlation between activation entropies and activation enthalpies for the proton-catalysed dissociation process of  $(2,2$ -Me<sub>2</sub>) complexes and of  $(2,2-Me<sub>2</sub>)$  complexes suggest a constancy of the reaction mechanism throughout a series of complexes.

# 0 Elsevier Sequoia/Printed in Switzerland

<sup>\*</sup>A complete list of experimental data is available on request.

TABLE I. Stability Constants (log  $K<sub>e</sub>$ ) and Thermodynamic Parameters of Complexing of Alkaline Earth Metal Ions with Diaza-Crown Ethers in Methanol at 25 °C ( $K_s$  in  $M^{-1}$ ).

ligand	ion	$logK_s^a$	$-\Delta H/kJ$ mol $^{-1}$ b	$T\Delta S/kJ$ mol $^{-1}$ <sup>c</sup>
(2,2)	$Ca2+$	3.5	< 12.0	
(2,2)	$S_I^{2+}$	5.7	11.3	21.2
(2,2)	$Ba^{2+}$	5.9	13.4	20.2
$(2,2-Me2)$	$Ca2+$	4.2	15.6	8.6
$(2,2-Me_2)$	$Sr^{2+}$	6.5	25.0	12.1
$(2,2-Me_2)$	$Ba2+$	6.9	34.6	4.7

 ${}^{\text{a}}$ Errors  $\pm$  0.1 in logK<sub>s</sub>.  ${}^{\text{b}}$ Errors  $\pm$  2.1 kJmol<sup>-1</sup>.  ${}^{\text{c}}$ Errors  $\pm$  2.7 kJmol<sup>-1</sup>.

## **Experimental and Results**

#### *Materials*

The ligand  $1,7,10,16$ -tetraoxa-4,13-diazacyclooctadecane, (2,2) was purchased from Merck and used without further purification. The ligand 4,13 dimethyl-1,7,10,16-tetraoxa4,13-diazacyclooctadecane,  $(2,2-Me<sub>2</sub>)$  was synthesized from ligand  $(2,2)$ using the procedure of Clark [3]. An aqueous solution of 35% formaldehyde (0.02 mol) was mixed together with  $3 g (0.01 mol)$  ligand  $(2,2)$  and a solution of 95% formic acid (0.05 mol). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 12 h under heating. The heating was continued for several hours after addition of concentrated hydrochloric acid. The mixture was then made strongly basic with NaOH, and extracted with chloroform. After drying over sodium sulfate the extract was distilled under vacuum (with Buechi Kugelrohr Destille). The purity was then checked by acid titration (>99%) and NMR. The transparent viscous substance dissolved in  $CDCl<sub>3</sub>$  has NMR signals:  $-CH_2-N$ : 2.70 ppm (Triplet), N-CH<sub>3</sub>: 2.32 ppm (Singlet),  $-CH_2-O$ : 3.65 ppm (Singlet + Triplet), Dried methanol (Merck, max  $0.01\%$  H<sub>2</sub>O) was used as solvent. Inorganic salts:  $Sr(NO<sub>3</sub>)$ , (Merck) and  $Ba(CIO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  (Merck) were dried before use. A stock solution of  $Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> \cdot 4H<sub>2</sub>O$  was prepared and dried over molecular sieves (3 A) for several days. Trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (EGA), anhydrous AgClO<sub>4</sub> (Alfa) and  $(Et)<sub>4</sub>NCIO<sub>4</sub>$  from Fluka were used as purchased.

#### *Stability Constant Measurements*

*The* stability constants of the alkaline earth metal ion complexes,  $ML^{2+}$ , listed in Table I, were determined by potentiometric titrations using the  $Ag/Ag<sup>+</sup>$  electrode to monitor the silver ion concentration in equilibrium (I), as previously described [4]. In each titration experiment

$$
ML^{2+} + Ag^{+} \rightleftharpoons M^{2+} + AgL^{+}
$$
 (1)  $k_e = k_d + k_H[H^{+}]$  (2)

solution of ligand L  $(C_7 = 5.0 \cdot 10^{-3}$  M and cation  $M^{2+}$  (C<sub>25</sub> = 1.0°C+10<sup>-2</sup> )  $\overline{M}$  was added to 10 ml of a silver salt solution  $(C<sub>1</sub> = 1.0 \cdot 10^{-3} M)$ . The titrations were also repeated at a constant ionic strength  $I = 0.05$  *M*, and the results obtained agree within an experimental error of  $\pm 0.1$  in logK<sub>s</sub>. The stability constants of the silver ion complexes with ligand (2,2) ( $log K_s$  = 9.99 ± 0.05) and with ligand (2,2- $Me<sub>2</sub>$ ) ( $log K<sub>s</sub> = 9.69 \pm 0.05$ ) were determined from direct potentiometric measurements of silver ion concentrations in solution with the ligands. Tetraethylammonium perchlorate was used as supporting electrolyte and for the solution in the bridge (0.1  $\hat{M}$ ) forming a connection to the reference cell (0.001  $M$  Ag<sup>+</sup>/Ag).

## *Heat of Reaction Measurements*

The heats of complexation were measured using a calorimeter manufactured by Tronac Inc., model 450. Experimental data were treated according to the procedure described by Eatough et *al.* [5]. In a preliminary run the heat of dilution of the ligand solution  $(C_L = 0.1 \text{ M})$  which was added to the corresponding metal ion solution ( $C_M = 0.01$  *M*; 40 ml) was found to be less than  $2 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ , and was therefore neglected.

### *Kinetic Measurements*

The dissociation reactions of the complexes were observed conductimetrically. For each run a mixture of the complex  $(C_7 = 2.0 - 10.0 \cdot 10^{-4} M_C = 6.0 - 10^{-4} M_C$  $20.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$  M) was reacted with a large excess of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid  $(1.0-10.0 \cdot 10^{-3} M)$ . The observed rates were always first order, and were treated by standard methods. In all cases the experimental dissociation rate constants,  $k_{\rm e}$ , were found to be linearly dependent on the proton concentration, namely on the mean value [H'] between the concentration at the beginning of the replacement reaction and at its end (eqn. (2)).

$$
k_e = k_d + k_H[H^+]
$$
 (2)

TABLE II. Dissociation Rates,  $k_d$ , and Protonation Catalysis Constants,  $k_H$ , of Complexes between Alkaline Earth Metal Ions and the Ligands (2,2) and (2,2-Me<sub>2</sub>) in Methanol.<sup>a</sup>

T/C	$Ca^{2+}-(2,2)$		T/C	$Ca^{2+}-(2,2-Me_2)$	
	$k_d/s^{-1}$	${\rm k}_{\rm H}/\dot{M}^{-1}~{\rm s}^{-1}$		$k_d/s^{-1}$	${\rm k_H}\!/M^{-1}\ {\rm s}^{-1}$
-5	10.4	262	$-10$	4.2	1414
$\boldsymbol{0}$	15.1	356	$-5$	6.0	1793
5	21.6	480	$\bf{0}$	8.6	2241
${\bf 10}$	30.4	699	5	$\frac{11.6}{38.4}$ b	2777
15	42.7	835	25		6150 <sup>b</sup>
25	80.3 <sup>b</sup> $r^2$ = 0.999 <sup>c</sup>	$1489^b$ $r^2 = 0.996^{\rm c}$		$r^2$ = 0.999 <sup>c</sup>	$r^2 = 0.999^{\rm c}$
$\mathrm{T}/^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	$Sr^{2+}-(2,2)$		T/C	$Sr^{2+}(2,2-Me_2)$	
	$k_d/s^{-1}$	${\rm k}_{\rm H}/\dot{M}^{-1}~{\rm s}^{-1}$		$k_d/s^{-1}$	$\mathrm{k_H}\!/M^{-1}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$
5	0.49	109	10	0.18	70
10	0.89	139	15	0.28	107
15	1.7	156	20	0.40	153
20	2.7	200	25	0.58	223
25	4.2	249		$r^2 = 0.999^{\rm c}$	$r^2 = 0.999^c$
	$r^2 = 0.997^c$	$r^2 = 0.994^c$			
T/C	$Ba^{2+}(2,2)$		$\mathrm{T}/\mathrm{^{\circ}\!C}$	$Ba^{2+}(2,2-Me_2)$	
	$k_d/s^{-1}$	${\rm k}_{\rm H} / \textit{M}^{-1}~{\rm s}^{-1}$		$k_d/s^{-1}$	${\rm k_H}\!/M^{-1}~{\rm s}^{-1}$
$\bf{0}$	1.4	341	10	0.37	52
5	2.0	452	15	0.58	62
10	2.9	595	20	0.90	69
15	4.1	762	25	1.4	72
20	$\begin{array}{c} 5.8 \\ 8.0 \end{array}$	971		$r^2 = 0.999^{\circ}$	$r^2 = 0.962^{\rm c}$
25		$1240^{b}$			
	$r^2$ = 0.999 <sup>c</sup>	$r^2$ = 0.999 <sup>c</sup>			

 ${}^{\text{a}}\Delta k_{\text{d}} = \pm 5\%$ ;  $\Delta k_{\text{H}} = \pm 10\%$ .  ${}^{\text{b}}$  Extrapolated.  ${}^{\text{c}}\text{r}^2$  = correlation coefficient for lnk vs. 1/T.

The dissociation constant  $k_d$  of the uncatalyzed path was obtained by extrapolation of  $k_e$  towards  $[H^{\dagger}] =$ 0. An ionic strength, I, correction was applied to  $(k_e)$  $-$  k<sub>d</sub>), the contribution of the acid catalysed dissociation path of  $k_e$  [6], using activity coefficients  $\gamma_{\pm}$  calculated from the Davies equation [7]:

$$
-\log \gamma_{\pm} = \frac{A\sqrt{I}}{1 + \sqrt{I}} - 0.3 \text{ Al}
$$
 (3)

The final values of  $k_d$  and  $k_H$  were determined from a plot of the corrected  $k_e$  values,  $k_e$  (I = 0) =  $k_d$ +  $(k_e(I \neq 0) - k_d)\gamma_{\pm}^4$ , vs. [H<sup>+</sup>]. Several further iterations were found to be necessary since the change in k<sub>d</sub> between first and second treatment was around 5%. However,  $k_H$  was reduced by a factor of 0.2 to 0.5 as result of the ionic strength correction.

Values of  $k_d$  and  $k_H$  at the temperatures of the experiments are listed in Table II. The rate constants for dissociation,  $k_d$  and  $k_H$  values at 25 °C, together with the enthalpies and entropies of activation, given in Table III, were obtained from appropriate Arrhenius plots. The rate constants for complex formation,  $k_f$ , were calculated from  $k_d$  and the corresponding stability constant,  $K_s$ , using eqn. (4).

$$
k_{\mathbf{f}} = K_{\mathbf{s}} \cdot k_{\mathbf{d}} \tag{4}
$$

#### **Discussion**

In X-ray diffraction studies it has been shown that the macrocyclic crown ethers  $18$ -crown-6 (18C6) and the two related compounds, monoaza-18-crown-6 and  $1.10$ -diaza-18-crown-6,  $(2.2)$ , in which one or two ether oxygens of 18C6 are replaced by nitrogen (NH), form ring cavities of similar sizes. The ionic radii of  $K^*$ ,  $Ag^*$ , and  $Ba^{2+}$  match best the cavity size of the ligands and, for example, the complex

Ligand	Ion	$k_d/s^{-1}$ a	$k_f/M^{-1}$ s <sup>-1</sup>	$k_H/M^{-1}$ s <sup>-1</sup> b	$(k_H k_d^{-1})/M^{-1}$
(2,2)	$Ca2+$	80.3	$2.5 \cdot 10^{5}$	1489	20.9
(2,2)	$Sr^{2+}$	4.2	$2.1 \cdot 10^{6}$	249	59.3
(2,2)	$Ba2+$	8.0	$6.4 \cdot 10^{6}$	1240	155
$(2,2-Me2)$	$Ca2+$	38.4	$6.1 \cdot 10^5$	6150	160
$(2,2-Me2)$	$\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$	0.58	$1.8 \cdot 10^{6}$	223	384
$(2,2-Me2)$	$Ba2+$	1.4	$1.1 \cdot 10^{7}$	72	51.3
Ligand	Ion	$\Delta H_{d}^{\dagger}/kJ$ mol <sup>-1</sup> <sup>c</sup>	$T\Delta S_d^{\dagger}/kJ$ mol <sup>-1 d</sup>	$\Delta H_H^{\ddag}/kJ$ mol <sup>-1 e</sup>	$T\Delta S_{\text{H}}^{\ddagger}/kJ$ mol <sup>-1 f</sup>
(2,2)	$Ca2+$	42.8	$-19.3$	36.1	$-18.9$
(2,2)	$Sr^{2+}$	72.7	$+3.4$	25.3	$-34.1$
(2,2)	$Ba2+$	45.0	$-22.9$	32.4	$-23.0$
$(2,2-Me2)$	$Ca2+$	38.7	$-25.3$	24.9	$-26.5$
$(2,2-Me_2)$	$\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$	51.8	$-22.5$	51.4	$-8.3$
$(2,2-Me2)$	$Ba^{2+}$	59.1	$-13.1$	12.5	$-49.8$

TABLE III. Dissociation and Formation Rates and Activation Parameters for Complexes between Alkaline Earth Metal Ions and Diaza-Crown Ethers in Methanol at 25 °C.

 $<sup>b</sup>$ Error ± 10%.</sup>  $d_{\text{Error}} \leq \pm 3.0 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ .  $e_{\text{Error}} \leq \pm 5.0 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ .  $f_{\text{Error}}$  $^{\mathrm{a}}$ Error ± 5%.  $\rm{^{c}E}$ rror  $\leq$  ± 2.5 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>.  $\pm 6.0 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ .

stability of  $K^+$  with a given ligand is larger than those formed with either larger or smaller alkali metal cations. Similarly, among the alkaline earth cations, Ba<sup>2+</sup> forms the most stable complex. The interactions of these ions with the donor atoms of the ligands are primarily of a simple electrostatic nature, whereas covalent bonding is involved in Ag<sup>+</sup> complexing. The difference is reflected strongly in the effect of replacing an oxygen atom in 18C6 by nitrogen, which has been shown by Frensdorff [8] and Izatt et al. [9] to weaken appreciably the complexing of  $K^*$  $(\Delta$ logK<sub>s</sub> = -2.2 in methanol), but to increase the stability constants of  $Ag^+$  complexes ( $\triangle logK_e$  = +1.7 in water). These trends continue when the stabilities of complexes of  $(2,2)$  with K<sup>+</sup> and Ag<sup>+</sup> are included. However, the stabilities of the corresponding Ba<sup>2+</sup> complexes are less sensitive to the replacement of O by N in 18C6 (Table I and [10- $12$ ]).

A comparison of alkaline earth metal complexes of  $(2,2)$  with those of  $(2,2$ -Me<sub>2</sub>) shows an almost constant difference in  $\log K_s$  of 0.7-0.9, presumably because of the greater availability of electrons in N-CH<sub>3</sub> than N-H. This is reflected also in the  $pK_a$ values of the first protonation, which are larger for  $(2,2$ -Me<sub>2</sub>) than for  $(2,2)$  both in water and methanol  $[13]$ .

The variation of  $\log K_s$  with the radius of the alkaline earth metal ions indicates that the cavity radius of the two diaza-crown ethers lies between the ionic radii of  $Sr^{2+}$  and  $Ba^{2+}$ , and is smaller than that of

18C6. The stability constants of these two ions are amost equal for  $(2,2)$  complexes and also for  $(2,2)$ -Me<sub>2</sub>), while the stability of Ba $(18C6)^{2+}$  is larger than that of  $Sr(18C6)^{2+}$  [11]. This conclusion is supported by the results of X-ray diffraction studies. Comparison of the free ligands is less appropriate, because of an elliptical arrangement of the oxygen atoms in 18C6 [14] and an almost planar circular arrangement of the heteroatoms in  $(2,2)$  [15], but a clearer picture emerges from the structures of the corresponding K<sup>+</sup> complexes. X-ray diffraction studies on  $K(18C6)^*$  complexes show a circular cavity with a diameter of  $2.74 - 2.86$  Å [16]. The cavity diameter of  $K(2,2)$ <sup>+</sup> is smaller, due to the larger van der Waals' radius of the nitrogen atom  $[17]$ , and the distance between  $K^+$  and N in  $K(2,2)^+$  corresponds to a cavity diameter value of 2.71 Å. In view of this we assume that  $Sr^{2+}$  is located centrosymmetrically in the ring cavities of  $(2,2)$  and  $(2,2$ -Me<sub>2</sub>) while Ba<sup>2+</sup>, which is too large to be properly accommodated by the cavities, occupies a site somewhat displaced from the plane of the heteroatoms. On the other hand, Ca<sup>2+</sup> is too small to completely fill the cavity of the ligands in a planar conformation and the heteroatoms may wrap around  $Ca^{2+}$  in order to increase their interaction with  $Ca^{2+}$  (cf. Na(18C6)<sup>\*</sup>) [18]. The higher solvation energy of Ca<sup>2+</sup> compared to that of Sr<sup>2+</sup> and Ba<sup>2+</sup>, and the energy necessary to distort the ligands, both contribute to the small stability constants of  $Ca(2,2)^{2+}$  and  $Ca(2,2-)$  $Me<sub>2</sub>)<sup>2+</sup>$ .

*Alkaline Metal Ions with Diaza-Crown Ethers 165* 



Fig. 1. Stability constants  $(K_e)$  and rates of dissociation  $(k_A)$ and formation  $(k_f)$  of alkaline earth metal complexes with (2,2) and (2,2-Me<sub>2</sub>) in methanol at 25 °C.

The dissocation rate constants,  $k_d$ , in Table III support the interpretation given for the variation of  $log K_s$  with ionic radii and ligand type. Figure 1 shows that  $\log k_d$  changes mirror those in  $\log K_s$ for each of the ligands: the dissociation rate constants of  $(2,2$ -Me<sub>2</sub>) are smaller than those of the (2,2) complexes and changes in log k, values  $b$ etween  $S_r^{2+}$  and  $Bs^{2+}$  are insignificant. The  $\frac{1}{\alpha}$  rate constants, k<sub>r</sub>, calculated from K and  $k_d$  values using eqn. (4), show a linear but slight dependence upon the reciprocal radii of the metal ions. Values of  $k_f$  lie between  $2 \cdot 10^5$ and  $1.10^7$   $M^{-1}$  s<sup>-1</sup> and are very similar in magnitude to  $k_f$  values for several quite different macrocyclic ligands such as dibenzo-18-crown-6  $(Sr^{2+})$ ,  $k_f = 9.6 \cdot 10^4$   $M^{-1}$  s<sup>-1</sup> at -15 °C) [19], antamanide  $(Ca^{2+}, k_f = 4.9 \cdot 10^3 M^{-1} s^{-1}$  at 25 °C) [20] or the macrobicyclic (2,2,2) (Sr<sup>2+</sup>, k<sub>f</sub> = 5.4. 10<sup>4</sup>  $M^{-1}$  s<sup>-1</sup> at  $-15$  °C) [19]. The similarity of the k<sub>f</sub> values for these ligands of widely differing structure suggest that the stepwise desolvation of the ions during complex formation, and not conformational preequilibria of the ligands (perhaps except for antanamide) [20] , is the rate determining process.

In the experimental procedure used to obtain dissociation rate constants [21] an excess of acid was mixed with a solution of a metal complex, and the decrease in concentration of the metal complex with time was observed conductimetrically. This is possible because the proton mobility is much larger than the mobility of the liberated metal or the protonated complex. The observed dissociation rate constants,  $k_e$ , were found to be dependent upon the

proton concentration as in eqn.  $(2)$ , where  $k_d$  is the rate constant for direct dissociation of a complex and  $k_H$  represents a proton catalyzed path. In experiments with macrobicyclic cryptand ligands it was found that  $k_H$  and  $k_H/k_d$  values decrease with increasing stability constant (and hence decreasing  $k_d$  values) for ions smaller than the cavity size, and become negligibly small when the ion size matches or exceeds the cavity size  $[6, 21, 22]$ . For these cryptate complexes a proton will only interact with the nitrogen atom of the cryptand when the electron lone pair of the nitrogen atom is in an exo-conformation, so that the exo-endo equilibrium determines the contribution of  $k_H$  to the total dissociation process. When the metal ion equals or exceeds the cavity in size, an exo-conformation is very unlikely, if only because of the reduction in distance between the oxygen atoms in different chains accompanying an endo-exo conversion. For much more flexible ligands such as  $(2,2)$  and  $(2,2-Me<sub>2</sub>)$  no such simple relation exists between  $k_H/k_d$  and  $k_d$ . This may be seen from the data in column six of Table III where variations in  $k_H/k_d$  contrast sharply with the known behaviour of cryptates  $[6, 21, 22]$ . Only the  $k_H$  values for (2,2-Me<sub>2</sub>) and the  $k_H/k_d$  values for (2,2) change monotonically with ionic radius. Only the  $Sr^{2+}$  complexes have  $k_H$  values of comparable magnitude. In the  $Ba^{2+}$  complexes, the metal ion is displaced from the plane of the heteroatoms, as discussed above, and a proton may attack a nitrogen atom from the opposite side, perhaps hindered more by the  $CH<sub>3</sub>$  group than by the N-H proton. On the other hand, protonation of nitrogen in the  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  complexes probably requires an endoexo conformational change and this should be easier in the N-CH<sub>3</sub> than in the N-H system  $[23]$ . The bulky  $CH<sub>3</sub>$  group also presumably reduces the residual metal ion-ligand contact more strongly then the N-H proton in  $(2,2)$ .

While the stability constants and rates of formation and dissociation emphasise the similarities between the  $(2,2)$  and  $(2,2-Me<sub>2</sub>)$  complexes, the corresponding thermodynamic parameters point to some quite significant differences. Inspection of Table I shows that enthalpies and entropies of complexation contribute additively to the magniude of logK, but in different proportions for (2,2) and (2,2)  $\overline{M}_{\text{ex}}$ ). In the case of (2,2) the entropic contribution dominates for  $Sr^{2+}$  and  $Ba^{2+}$ , and  $logK_s$ ,  $\Delta H$  and  $\Delta S$  values are very similar for the two cations. The large positive  $\Delta S$  values may be mainly a result of the desolvation of the metal ions since the solvation entropies (T $\Delta S$ ) of Sr<sup>2+</sup> and Ba<sup>2+</sup> in methanol are  $-46$  kJmol<sup>-1</sup> and  $-34$  kJmol<sup>-1</sup> [24]. Just the opposite behaviour is observed for  $(2,2-Me<sub>2</sub>)$ complexes, where  $\Delta H$  values make the major contribution to  $log K_s$ . The enthalpies of complex formation become substantially more negative with



Fig. 2.  $T\Delta S_H^{\dagger}$  vs.  $\Delta H_H^{\dagger}$  for the proton catalysed dissociation of alkaline earth metal complexes with  $(2,2)$  -o- and with  $(2,2-Me<sub>2</sub>)$   $-•$  in methanol.

increasing ionic radii, and the similar logK, *values* for  $Sr^{2+}$  and  $Ba^{2+}$  in this case is a result of compensating AS values. Alkaline earth complexes with 18C6 in methanol show similar variations in  $\Delta H$  with cation size, and as expected from  $\log K_s$ ,  $\text{Ba}(18\text{C6})^{2+}$  has the most negative  $\Delta H$  value. In the absence of suitable data in the literature it is difficult to interpret the compensating  $\Delta S$  values for  $(2,2, M_{0.4})$  comshows of  $Da^{2+}$  and  $S_r^{2+}$  and the much more positive entropies of complexation for (2,2).

Differences between  $(2,2)$  and  $(2,2-Me<sub>2</sub>)$  are further demonstrated by the activation enthalpies and entropies,  $AH^{\dagger}$  and  $TAF^{\dagger}$  in Table III. Except for  $S_r(2,2)^{2+}$ , AH $\pm$  and AS<sup> $\pm$ </sup> contribute additively to  $\mu(x, y)$ ,  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_0$  controlled dominant quantity. The activation parameters for the proton catalysed dissoactivation parameters for the proton catalysed disso-<br>ciation pathway (Table III) for complexes of (2,2) and  $(2,2$ -Me<sub>2</sub>) show a single linear dependence of  $T\Lambda S^{\dagger}$  on  $\Lambda U^{\dagger}$ , with a slight deviation of the data  $f_{\text{eq}}$  Ca(2,2 Me<sub>2</sub>)<sup>2+</sup>. The existence of an isokinetic  $\frac{c_1 + c_2 + m v_2}{m}$  . The existence of an isommetric mechanism throughout a series.

## Conclusion

In the present paper experiments are described which demonstrate that it is possible to determine dissociation rate constants for alkaline earth metal complexes with diaza-crown ethers from stoppedflow experiments. The similarities between  $K_s$  and  $k_d$  and the monotonic dependence of logk<sub>f</sub> on ionic radius suggest a similarity in behaviour of alkaline earth metal complexes with  $(2,2)$  and  $(2,2-Me<sub>2</sub>)$ . However, thermodynamic and activation parameters point to differences between the two diaza-crown ethers and the data for  $(2,2$ -Me<sub>2</sub>) complexes, which show some similarities to corresponding data for 18C6 complexes, appear to be simpler to interpret. Solvation of the metal complexes has not been specifically taken into account to date, and it is possible that this makes a major contribution to the differences between the  $(2,2)$  and  $(2,2-Me<sub>2</sub>)$  complexes. Thus the N-H bond should be strongly polarised in the presence of an  $M^{2+}$  ion, leading to significant interactions involving the hydrogen atom and solvent molecules. Two recent studies have provided evidence of strong H-bonding interactions between amine hydrogens of coordinated  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  or ethylenediamine ligands and solvent molecules [25, 26] and similar effects may be operating here.

#### References

- 1 C. J. Pedersen, in 'Synthetic Multidentate Macrocyclic C. J. Feuersen, in Synanene muniquemate macrocyclic.<br>Compounds', Edited by D. M. Reed and J. J. Christensen,  $\mu_{\text{c}}$  and  $\mu_{\text{c}}$ ,  $\mu_{\text{c}}$  and  $\mu_{\text{c}}$ ,  $\mu_{\text{c}}$
- 2 G. W. Liesegang and E. M. Eyring, in 'Synthetic Multidentate Macrocyclic Compounds', edited by R. M. Reed dentate Macrocyclic Compounds', edited by R. M. Reed and J. J. Christensen, Academic Press, New York, 1978, pp. 245-287.
- 3 H. T. Clark, H. B. Gillespie and S. Z. Weisshans, J. Org. *Chem.. 55,* 4571 (1933).
- J. Gutknecht, H. Schneider and J. Stroka. *Inorg. Chem.,*  17, 3326 (1978). D. J. Eatoueh. R. M. lzatt and J. J. Christensen, *Zkermo-*
- *chim. Acta.2,'203,219,233* (1972). chim. Acta, 3, 203, 219, 233 (1972).<br>B. G. Cox, H. Schneider and J. Stroka, *J. Am. Chem.*
- *Sot., 100, 4746 (1978).*
- *C.* W. Davies, 'Ion Association', Buttenvorths, London, 1962.
- H:K. Frensdorff, *J. Am. Chem. Sot., 93, 600* (1971).
- 10 G. Anderegg, *Helv. Chim. Acta, 58, 1218 (i975).*  R. M. Izatt, J. D. Lamb, R. E. Asay, G. E. Maas, J. S. Bradshaw and J. J. Christensen. *J. Am. Chem. Sot., 99, 6134* (1977).
- $\overline{v}$  G. Andelegg, Helv. Chim. Actu, Jo, 1210 (17/3).<br>1. D. M. Jack, D. E. Terry. D. L. Haumore. L. D. Hansen,
- $\frac{1}{2}$  T. D. Lamb, D. M. Latt. C. S. Swain and J. J. Christensen, N. K. Dalley, A. G. Avondet and J. J. Christensen, *J. Am. Chem. Sot., 98, 7620 (1976).*
- 13 P. Gramain and Y. F&e, Nouv. *J. Chim., 3, 53* (1979). *J. Am. Chem. Sot., 102, 475* (1980).
- 14 J. D. Dunitz andP. Seiler, *Acta Cryst., B30, 2739* (1974).
- M. Herceg and R. Weiss, *Bull. Sot. chim. France, 549*
- 15 M. Herceg and R. Weiss, Bull. Soc. Chim. France, 549 (1972).
- $\epsilon$ P. Groth, *Acta Chem. &and., 25,* 3189 (1971).
- $\frac{0}{7}$ D. Moras, B. Metz, M. Herceg and R. Weiss, *Bull. Sot. Chim. France, 551* (1972). J. D. Dunitz. M. Dobler, P. Seiler and R. P. Phizackerley,
- 18 *Acta Cryst., 830, 2733 (1974).*
- 19 B. G. Cox, P. Firman and H. Schneider, *Inorg. Chim Acta, 64, L263* (1982).
- 20 W. Burgermeister, T. Wieland and R. Winkler, *Eur. J. Biochem.. 44. 305. 311* (1974).
- 21 B. G. Cox and H. Schneider, *J.'Am. Chem. Sot., 99, 2809*  ), U. CC<br>1077).
- 22 B. G. Cox, I. Schneider and H. Schneider, *Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem., 84, 470 (1980).*
- 23 F. G. Riddell, "The Conformational Analysis of Hetero-24 cyclic *Compounds* Compounds and American control Compounds Compounds and American compounds and g. Compounds, London, Academic Fless, 1960.<br>M. Griss - A. M. Galomon, in 'Physical Chemistry of
- Organic Solvent Systems', edited by A. K. Covington and Organic Solvent Systems', edited by A. K. Covington and T. Dickinson, Plenum Press, London, New York, 1973, p. 313.
- 25 H. M. Colquhoun and J. F. Stoddart, *J. Chem. Sot.*  26 S. Sahanie and **M.** J. Weaver, *J. Electroanal. Chem., 122, Chem.* Comm., 612 (1981).
- *171* (1981).