# **Uranyl Complexes of Acetamidoxime and Benzamidoxime. Preparation, Characterization, and Crystal Structure**

E. G. WITTE, K. S. SCHWOCHAU

*Institute of Chemistry, Nuclear Research Centre (KFA) Jiilich, D-51 70 Jiilich, F.R.G.* 

*G.* HENKEL and B. KREBS

Anorganisch-Chemisches Institut der Universität, D-4400 Münster, F.R. G.

Received September 5, 1983

*The uranyl complexes*  $[UO_2]$  *acetamidoxime*)<sub>4</sub>] - $(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  (1) and  $[UO<sub>2</sub>/benzamidoxime)<sub>4</sub>](NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·xS$  $(S = nitromethane \text{ or } 1, 2-dichloroethane, x < 1)$  (2) *were prepared by the reaction of uranyl nitrate with the corresponding amidoxime in ethanolic solution, and characterized by thermal analysis and infrared spectroscopy. The crystal structures of the acetamidoxime complex and the 1,2\_dichloroethanecontaining benzamidoxime complex (2a) were determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction measurements and refined to*  $R_1 = 0.018$  and 0.070, respec*tively. I crystallizes in the monoclinic space group I21 c with* a = *14.929(3),* b = *8.946(2), c = 16.790(4) A,*   $\beta$  = 96.01(2)<sup>o</sup> and **Z** = 4, whereas crystals of 2*a* are tri*clinic, space group P*  $\bar{I}$  *with a = 9.890(4), b = 14.226-*(6), c = 15.227(6) Å,  $\alpha$  = 75.76(3),  $\beta$  = 87.13(3),  $\gamma$  =  $81.22(3)^{\circ}$  and  $Z = 2$ . In both complexes the linear *uranyl group is equatorially surrounded by four oxygen atoms of monodentate amidoxime ligands, the mean bond lengths in 1 and 2a being:*  $U - O<sub>uranyl</sub>$  $= 1.775$  and 1.78 Å and  $U - O_{amidoxime} = 2.308$  and *2.26 A, respectively. In accordance with infrared spectroscopic results the nitrate ions are not coordinated to uranium, but interact with the ligand molecules via hydrogen bonds.* 

### **Introduction**

Our studies on the extraction of uranium from seawater revealed hydroxylamine derivatives of poly- (acrylonitriles), socalled poly(acrylamidoximes), to be capable of accumulating uranium from natural seawater by more than 3600 ppm at the natural pH of 8.1-8.3  $[1-3]$ . With regard to loading capacity and uranium selectivity, these resins are superior to hydrous titanium oxide [4], the hitherto most promising sorbent for the recovery of uranium from seawater [5]. Beside cyclic imidoxime groups, openseawater [5]. Beside eyene amidoxim

 $\mathcal{C}$ <sup>11011</sup> were shown to  $NH<sub>2</sub>$ 

0020-1693/84/\$3 .OO

be mainly bound to the polymeric framework. For this reason we were interested in studying the complexing behaviour of the amidoxime functional group toward uranyl ions, in particular the coordination symmetry and the kind of donor atoms in monomeric uranyl amidoxime model complexes.

Amidoximes [6, 7], imide monoximes [8] and imide dioximes [9, lo] form coloured complexes with uranyl ions in solution. Benzamidoxime [11], salicylamidoxime  $[12]$  and nicotinamidoxime  $[13]$ , which are reported to compose 1:1 complexes with  $UO_2^{2+}$ in solution, have been used as reagents for the analytical determination of uranium. Uranyl complexes of  $o$ -methylbenzamidoxime [14] and benzanilidoxime [15] have been studied in solution and the compounds  $[UO_2(C_6H_5\cdot NH\cdot C(C_6H_5):NO)_2]$  [15] and  $[UO<sub>2</sub>(OH)(HONH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>1</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> (NH<sub>2</sub>):NO)]$  [16] are known to exist in the solid state.

X-ray structure analyses of Co(H), Co(III), Ni(II), Cu(II), Pb(I1) and Pt(I1) complexes of amidoximes revealed the oxime nitrogen to be coordinated to the metal atom  $[17-23]$ , but also the existence of fivemembered chelate rings of  $Ni(II)$  with  $O, N'$ -coordinated benzamidoximate [24] and of Mo(V1) with N-hydroxy-N-methylacetamidinate [25] has been established by X-ray diffraction.

We have prepared and isolated for the first time cationic uranyl complexes of acetamidoxime and benzamidoxime [26] which have been characterized by thermal analysis, infrared spectroscopy, and single crystal X-ray structure determinations. To our knowledge these are the first reported crystal structure analyses of amidoxime uranyl complexes.

### **Experimental**

### *Preparation of the Ligands*

Acetamidoxime and benzamidoxime were obtained from acetonitrile and benzonitrile, respectively, by reaction with butanolic or aqueous ethanolic

0 Elsevier Sequoia/Printed in Switzerland

hydroxylamine solutions according to standard methods [27, 28]. Acetamidoxime, m.p.: 138 °C [29]; benzamidoxime, m.p.: 79  $^{\circ}$ C.

# Preparation of [UO<sub>2</sub>(acetamidoxime)<sub>4</sub>](NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>

A solution of 2.0 g (4 mmol)  $UO_2(NO_3)_2.6H_2O$ in 100 ml ethanol was added to a stirred solution of 3.0 g (40 mmol) acetamidoxime in 200 ml ethanol at 50  $^{\circ}$ C. The reaction mixture was treated with 900 ml diethyl ether, resulting in the precipitation of a vellow complex which was collected by filtration. washed, and dried under vacuum. Yield: 2.6 g (93%). The microcrystalline product is easily soluble in water, methanol, and ethanol but insoluble in methylene chloride. Recrystallization from nitromethane yielded yellow needles, m.p. 191 °C. Anal. Calcd. for  $C_8H_{24}N_{10}O_{12}U$ : C, 13.92; H, 3.50; N, 20.29; U, 34.48. Found: C, 13.88; H, 3.56; N, 20.36; U, 33.91. Crystals suitable for structure determination were grown from a methanol/nitromethane solution  $(1:8 \text{ v/v})$  by slow evaporation over a molecular sieve  $(5 \text{ Å})$ .

# **Preparation** of  $IUO_2/b$ enzamidoxime $|_4I/NO_3|_2 \cdot xS$  $(S = nitromethane \ or \ 1.2\text{-dichloroethane})$

Solutions containing 1.5 g (3 mmol)  $UO_2(NO_3)_2$ .  $6H<sub>2</sub>O$  in 5 ml ethanol and 1.7 g (12 mmol) benzamidoxime in 10 ml ethanol were mixed and heated at 50 °C. After evaporation to dryness the residue was dissolved in hot nitromethane and left for crystallization, yielding 2.6 g (90%) of an orange-<br>yellow complex, m.p. 87-89 °C. Anal. Calcd. for

 $[UO<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>8</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>](NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> \cdot 0.4CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 35.42; H,$ 3.47; N. 15.31; U. 24.72. Found: C. 35.70; H. 3.54; N, 15.05; U, 25.36. The crystals were readily soluble in water, methanol and acetone but almost insoluble in methylene chloride. Recrystallization from a nitromethane/1,2-dichloroethane solution (1:5  $v/v$ ) by slow evaporation over molecular sieve  $(5 \text{ Å})$  resulted in yellow needle-shaped crystals suitable for X-ray structure determination, m.p.  $110-115$  °C. Anal. Calcd. for  $[UO_2(C_7H_8N_2O)_4](NO_3)$ , 0.4C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>: C. 35.36; H. 3.46; N. 14.32. Found: C. 35.10; H. 3.43; N, 14.19.

# IR Spectra and Thermal Analysis

Infrared spectra (400-4000  $cm^{-1}$ ) were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 225 spectrophotometer using KBr pellets and nujol mulls. Simultaneous thermogravimetry and differential thermal analysis were carried out with a Netzsch thermoanalyzer, model STA 429. The samples (about 50 mg) were heated at a rate of  $1 K min<sup>-1</sup>$  in a dynamic nitrogen atmosphere.

### X-Ray Data Collection and Reduction

Single crystals of 1 and  $2a$  were used for the X-ray measurements. X-ray intensity data were collected at  $-133$  °C on a Syntex P2, four circle diffractometer equipped with a graphite monochromator and a scintillation counter. The unit cell parameters were obtained by least-squares refinements of the setting angles of 15 high-order reflections. They are given in Table I, together with relevant information concerning experimental conditions and details of the

TABLE I. Details of Data Collection and Structure Refinements for 1 and 2a.

	1	2a
a, A	14.929(3)	9.890(4)
$b, \lambda$	8.946(2)	14.226(6)
$c, \AA$	16.790(4)	15.227(6)
$\alpha$ , $^{\circ}$		75.76(3)
$\beta$ , $^{\circ}$	96.01(2)	87.13(3)
$\gamma$ , $^{\circ}$		81.22(3)
$V, \mathbb{A}^3$	2230.1	2052.0
Space group	12/c	PI.
Z	4	$\overline{2}$
$d_{\text{calc}}$ , g cm <sup>-3</sup>	2.056	1.679
Temperature, °C	$-133$	$-133$
Cryst. dimension, mm	$0.150 \times 0.750 \times 0.186$	$0.5 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$
$\mu$ (MoK $\alpha$ ), cm <sup>-1</sup>	69.7	65.1
Radiation	MoΚα	$Mo$ K $\alpha$
Scan speed (intensity depending), $o_{\text{min}}$ -1	$4 - 30$	$4 - 30$
$(\sin \theta)/\lambda$ cutoff, $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$	0.64	0.54
Scan mode	$2\theta-\theta$	$2\theta-\theta$
Total number of independent reflexions	2227	5392
Reflexions used (in parentheses:		
rejection criterion)	1868 ( $I < 2\sigma(I)$ )	4368 ( $I < 2\sigma(I)$ )
Number of variables	190	405
$R_1, R_2$	0.018, 0.025	0.070, 0.071

structure solutions and refinements. The intensities of both data sets were connected for a set of absorption. of both data sets were corrected for absorption. In the case of  $I$  a numerical method based on the Gaussian integration procedure was applied, whereas in the case of 2*a* an empirical correction  $(\psi$ -scan) was performed. In the final steps of the data reduction performed. In the final steps of the data reduction  $\frac{1}{2}$ applied.<br>The variance of I was calculated as  $\sigma(I)^2 = S +$ 

The variance of I was calculated as  $v(t) = 5 +$  $\mu_1$  +  $\mu_2$   $\mu_3$  /  $\mu_5$  /  $\mu_8$  /  $\mu_1$  where  $\mu_5$ ,  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are the scan and individual background counts respectively and  $t_s$  and  $t_n$  are their counting times.

### *Solutions and Refinements of the Structures*  all calculations, including the data reduced  $\frac{1}{2}$  reduced reduced reduc-

An calculations, including those of the data reduc-Syntex External and SHELTL programs of the Syntex EXTL and SHELXTL program packages. The positions of the uranium atoms as starting points for the solutions of both structures were derived from Patterson syntheses. Repeated least-squares refinements followed by difference Fourier syntheses revealed the positions of all atoms in the unit cell of  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Due to see the sum atoms in the unit cent of discussion and nitrate residues, the nitrate r disordered benzamidoxime and nitrate residues, the H atoms in the structure of  $2a$  could not be directly located. Their contributions to the structure factors. were neglected in the refinement procedures.

Final full-matrix least-squares refinement cycles using anisotropic temperature factors for most of the non-hydrogen atoms and isotropic temperature<br>factors for the remaining ones converged to  $R_1$  =  $\frac{1}{2}$  - IF, III)  $\frac{1}{2}$  - 0.018 and  $\frac{1}{2}$  -  $\frac{1}{2}$  $\mathcal{L}(\|\Gamma_0\| - \|\Gamma_0\|)/\mathcal{L}|\Gamma_0| - 0.010$  and  $R_2 - \mathcal{L}(\|\Gamma_0\| - 0.070)$  $[Fe/H]$  /  $\angle W F_0$  ]  $\sim$  - 0.023 for 1 and  $K_1$  - 0.070 and  $R_2$  = 0.071 for 2a, respectively. The function mini- $\text{mz}$  w  $\alpha$  w  $\alpha = 2w(\text{F}_0) - \text{F}_c$ ), w being defined as  $\mathbf{w} = [\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}_0) + (\mathbf{v}.\mathbf{v})\mathbf{r}_0]$  with  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}_0) = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r})$ .  $Lp^{-1}/2F_o$ . Atomic scattering factors for spherical neutral free atoms (all except  $H$ ) or bonded  $(H)$ atoms, as well as anomalous scattering contributions. were taken from the International Tables [30].

The final positional and thermal parameters with standard deviations for  $I$  and  $2a$  are summarized in Tables II and III. The phenyl group of the disordered benzamidoxime ligand in the structure of  $2a$  is represented in Table III by calculated C atoms that define an ideal hexagon. The atomic labelling schemes for the cations of  $I$  and  $2a$  are given in Figs. 1 and 2. Stereoscopic projections of the cations with thermal ellipsoids (50% probability) of all non-hydrogen atoms of  $I$  and the U and O atoms of  $2a$  (other atoms: arbitrary circles) are displayed in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively.

### **Results and Discussion**

 $\mathbf{r}$  is used using the contraction of urangement of urbit  $\mathbf{r}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ nitrate with a IO-molar  $\frac{1}{2}$  a  $\frac{1}{2}$ .



Fig. 1. Projection of the  $100<sub>2</sub>$ 



rig. 2. Projection of the  $100<sub>2</sub>$ 

benzamidoxime led to the formation of crystalline benzamuoxime leu to me formation of crystalling uranyl complexes showing a molar ratio U: amidoxime of 1:4, as indicated by elemental analysis. In contrast to  $[UO_2(\text{acetamidoxime})_4](NO_3)_2$ , the benzamidoxime complex  $[UO<sub>2</sub>(benzamidoxime)<sub>4</sub>]$ - $(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  xS was found to contain non-stoichiometric amounts of the solvents when crystallized from nitromethane  $(S = CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>)$  or nitromethane/1,2-dichloroethane  $(S = C_2H_4Cl_2)$  solutions. In Fig. 5 the thermoanalytical curves of the complex compounds are represented. The decomposition of the acetamidoxime complex starts at about 150 "C and is accompanied





<sup>a</sup> The temperature factors are defined as in Table II. The atoms  $C(9)$  to  $C(14)$  are calculated to define a regular hexagon (see text).



Fig. 3. Stereoscopic view of the  $[UO<sub>2</sub>(\text{accelamine})<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>$  cation with thermal ellipsoids of the non-hydrogen atoms (50%) probability level,  $-133$  °C).



Fig. 4. Stereoscopic view of the  $[UO_2(benzamidoxime)_4]^2$ <sup>+</sup> cation with thermal ellipsoids of the U and O atoms (50% probability level,  $-133$  °C).

by exothermic peaks at 180, 210 and 270 "C. No thermal effect is observed below 150 "C. The benzamidoxime complex, however, exhibits a  $\frac{1}{2}$ 2) with an endothermic peak at 85  $^{\circ}$ C in the case of the nitromethane compound and a decomposition step between 70 and 105  $\degree$ C (TG 2) with an endothermic peak at 95 $^{\circ}$ C in the case of 1,2-dichloroethane as constituent. The weight losses of 2.6 and 4.0% respectively correspond to 0.4 mol of solvent per mol of the benzamidoxime complex. After the evolution of the solvents the benzamidoxime complex starts to decompose exothermally at 125  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and shows DTA peaks at 145, 180, 210, and 215 °C. An appreciable decrease of the solvent fraction x is observed during storage of the crystals, demonstrating that the solvent molecules are not held very strongly in the lattice.

The infrared spectra of both complexes I and 2 exhibit absorptions which can be assigned to ionic nitrate groups. However, the band of the doubly degenerate stretching vibration of the free nitrate ion is split into strong absorptions at 1310 and 1383  $cm^{-1}$ , indicating a symmetry of the nitrate lower

than  $D_{3h}$ . A comparable splitting is observed for the nitrate combination bands at 2420 and 2340  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  of the acetamidoxime complex. This separation is smaller than for uranyl complexes containing unidentate or bidentate nitrate groups [31, 32] but similar to the splitting of 80  $cm^{-1}$  reported for the complex  $[UO<sub>2</sub>(urea)<sub>4</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)](NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> [33]$ , the nitrato groups of which are not coordinated to the uranyl ion but involved in an extensive system of hydrogen bonds, as demonstrated by a neutron diffraction study [34]. Obviously, the interaction of the nitrate ions with the acetamidoxime and benzamidoxime ligands via hydrogen bonds gives rise to their reduced symmetry in the uranyl complexes.  $S$ trong bands at 1577 cm<sup>-1</sup> of Land 1652 cm<sup>-1</sup> of

 $2$  are attributed to the  $C-N$  stretching mode of the  $\alpha$  are attributed to the  $C-1$  stretching mode of the amidoxime ligands. In free acetamidoxime and benz-<br>amidoxime these bands occur at 1656 and 1650  $cm^{-1}$ , respectively. The high frequency shift in the case of acetamidoxime can be associated with protonation or the oximic nitrogen on complexation, in accordance with assignments made for the band near 1690 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the hydrochlorides of substituted acetamidoximes [35]. The asymmetric stretching



Fig. 5. TG and DTA curves of the complexes  $[UO<sub>2</sub>(\text{acetamid-})]$  $oxime)_4$  $(NO_3)_2$  (a),  $[UD_2(benzamidoxime)_4](NO_3)_2 \cdot 0.4$ - $CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>$  (b), and  $[UO<sub>2</sub>(benzamidoxime)<sub>a</sub>](NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> \cdot 0.4C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>a</sub>$  $Cl<sub>2</sub>$  (c). The recording sensitivity of TG 2 is 10 times that of **TG 1.** 

vibration of the  $UO<sub>2</sub>$  group is observed as a strong band at 915 and 887 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the spectra of 1 and 2, respectively. The spectra of 2 differ only in the appearance of characteristic absorptions of nitromethane  $(1550 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  and 1,2-dichloroethane (710)  $T$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

The crystal structures of  $I$  and  $2a$  display discrete  $[UO<sub>2</sub>(ligand)<sub>4</sub>]$ <sup>2+</sup> cations, nitrate ions which are not coordinated to uranyl, and in the case of the benzamidoxime complex 1,2-dichloroethane molecules. The uranium atoms are approximately squarebipyramidally surrounded by four oxygen atoms of the monodentate amidoxime groups and the two oxygen atoms of the uranyl group as axial ligands (see Figs. 3 and 4). In the acetamidoxime complex. the ligand molecules exist as zwitterions with deprotonated oxygen and protonated oxime nitrogen. For the benzamidoxime complex the same bonding of H atoms in the ligands can be assumed, but could not be established unambiguously with respect to the lower precision of the X-ray diffraction data. A similar zwitterionic arrangement of monodentate hydroxylamine ligands with coordinated oxygen has been reported for the uranyl complex  $[UO<sub>2</sub>(NH<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>$ .  $(NH_3O)_2$   $\cdot$  2H<sub>2</sub>O, the structure of which was solved by neutron diffraction [36].

Bond distances and angles of the amidoxime complexes are given in Tables IV and V, respectively. The

TABLE IV. Selected Bond Lengths  $[A]$  of the  $[UO<sub>2</sub>(ace$ tamidoxime)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> and  $[UO<sub>2</sub>(benzamidoxime)<sub>4</sub>]$ <sup>2+</sup> Cations of  $1$  and  $2a$ 

$[UO2(acetamidoxime)4]^{2+}$			$[UO2(benzamidoxime)4]^{2+}$	
$U - O(1)$	1.775(2)	$U - O(1)$	1.789(11)	
$U - O(2)$	2.301(3)	$U - O(2)$	1.773(11)	
$U - O(3)$	2.313(2)	$U - O(3)$	2.305(10)	
		$U - O(4)$	2.201(12)	
		$U - O(5)$	2.296(11)	
		$U - O(6)$	2.247(12)	
$N(1) - O(2)$	1.366(4)	$N(1) - O(3)$	1.39(2)	
$N(3) - O(3)$	1.383(4)	$N(3)-O(4)$	1.36(2)	
$C(1) - N(1)$	1.300(5)	$N(5)-O(5)$	1.37(2)	
$C(1) - N(2)$	1.314(5)	$N(7)-O(6)$	1.38(2)	
$C(3)-N(3)$	1.299(5)	$C(1) - N(1)$	1.30(2)	
$C(3)-N(4)$	1.335(5)	$C(1) - N(2)$	1.35(2)	
$C(1) - C(2)$	1.488(6)	$C(8)-N(3)$	1.29(3)	
$C(3)-C(4)$	1.472(5)	$C(8)-N(4)$	1.35(3)	
		$C(15) - N(5)$	1.30(2)	
		$C(15) - N(6)$	1.34(2)	
		$C(22) - N(7)$	1.30(2)	
		$C(22) - N(8)$	1.35(2)	
		$C(1)-C(2)$	1.49(2)	
		$C(15)-C(16)$	1.50(3)	
		$C(22) - C(23)$	1.50(2)	

uranyl group turns out to be linear and perpendicular to the equatorial plane of the amidoxime oxygen atoms in both complexes. The U-O distances of  $1.775(2)$  and  $1.78$  Å (mean values) of the uranyl groups in  $I$  and  $2a$ , respectively, are in the expected range. The average equatorial  $U$ -O bond lengths, which in contrast to the uranyl bond length clearly depends on the equatorial coordination number [37-39], are 2.307 and 2.26 Å, respectively. The bond lengths agree well with those reported for other uranyl complexes having four oxygen atoms in the equatorial plane  $[40-45]$ , and are significantly shorter than the U-O bond lengths of the more common pentacoordinate uranyl complexes with five equatorially-arranged oxygen donor atoms (average  $(2.38 \text{ Å})$  [39, 46, 47].

The amidoxime groups are planar in both complexes (within experimental errors). The ligand molecules occur in the Z-configuration about the  $C=N_{\text{oxime}}$  bond, the thermodynamically stable configuration of free  $N'$ -unsubstituted amidoximes  $[48-51]$ , in which the amido group is *cis*-located to the oxygen atom.

The C-N<sub>oxime</sub> distances in *1* and 2a (average 1.299 and 1.30 Å, respectively) and the  $C-N_{\text{amide}}$ distances (average  $1.325$  and  $1.35$  Å, respectively) are similar and are between those expected for carbonnitrogen double and single bonds [52]. This result may be explained by delocalisation of electrons in the planar amidoxime system, as has been suggested

$[UO2(acetamidoxime)4]2+a$		$[UO2(benzamidoxime)4]2+$		
$O(1)-U-O(1')$	180	$O(1) - U - O(2)$	176.7(5)	
$O(1)-U-O(2)$	91.19(10)	$O(1) - U - O(3)$	85.3(4)	
$O(1) - U - O(2')$	88.81(10)	$O(1) - U - O(4)$	92.4(5)	
$O(1)-U-O(3)$	88.90(10)	$O(1)-U-O(5)$	91.2(4)	
$O(1)-U-O(3')$	91.10(10)	$O(1)-U-O(6)$	90.7(5)	
$O(2)-U-O(2')$	180	$O(2)-U-O(3)$	91.4(4)	
$O(2)-U-O(3)$	89.64(8)	$O(2)-U-O(4)$	88.0(5)	
$O(2) - U - O(3')$	90.36(8)	$O(2)-U-O(5)$	88.6(5)	
$O(3)-U-O(3')$	180	$O(2) - U - O(6)$	92.6(5)	
$N(1)-O(2)-U$	121.8(2)	$O(3)-U-O(4)$	93.4(4)	
$N(3)-O(3)-U$	118.2(2)	$O(3)-U-O(5)$	91.0(4)	
$O(2)-N(1)-C(1)$	121.1(3)	$O(3)-U-O(6)$	175.4(4)	
$O(3) - N(3) - C(3)$	120.5(3)	$O(4)-U-O(5)$	174.5(4)	
$N(1) - C(1) - N(2)$	121.5(4)	$O(4)-U-O(6)$	89.2(4)	
$N(2) - C(1) - C(2)$	120.4(3)	$O(5)-U-O(6)$	86.7(4)	
$C(2)-C(1)-N(1)$	118.2(3)	$N(1)-O(3)-U$	127.4(8)	
$N(3)-C(3)-N(4)$	120.1(3)	$N(3)-O(4)-U$	136.4(9)	
$N(4)-C(3)-C(4)$	120.5(3)	$N(5)-O(5)-U$	123.8(9)	
$C(4)-C(3)-N(3)$	119.4(3)	$N(7)-O(6)-U$	129.7(9)	
		$O(3) - N(1) - C(1)$	118(1)	
		$O(4) - N(3) - C(8)$	116(2)	
		$O(5) - N(5) - C(15)$	116(1)	
		$O(6) - N(7) - C(22)$	115(1)	
		$N(1) - C(1) - N(2)$	121(1)	
		$N(2) - C(1) - C(2)$	120(1)	
		$C(2)-C(1)-N(1)$	120(1)	
		$N(3)-C(8)-N(4)$	122(2)	
		$N(5) - C(15) - N(6)$	123(2)	
		$N(6) - C(15) - C(16)$	120(2)	
		$C(16) - C(15) - N(5)$	117(2)	
		$N(7) - C(22) - N(8)$	123(2)	
		$N(8) - C(22) - C(23)$	119(2)	
		$C(23) - C(22) - N(7)$	118(2)	

TABLE V. Selected Bond Angles  $\lceil \cdot \rceil$  of the  $\lceil \text{UO}_2(\text{acctamidoxime})_4 \rceil^{2+}$  and  $\lceil \text{UO}_2(\text{benzamidoxime})_4 \rceil^{2+}$ Cations of 1 and 2a.

<sup>a</sup> Symmetry transformation for the primed atoms:  $-x$ ,  $-y$ ,  $-z$ .

for other amidoximes and amidoxime complexes [19, 24, 52–54]. The N–O bonds in both complexes (average  $1.374$  and  $1.38$  Å, respectively) are somewhat shorter than those usually found for free amidoximes  $(1.41-1.44 \text{ Å})$ , but are similar to those reported for some  $N_{\text{oxime}}$  coordinate oxamidoxime complexes  $[20, 55, 56]$ .

In free amidoximes the bond angle  $C-N-O$  has been found to vary between 109 and  $113^{\circ}$  [49-54.  $57-61$ ]. In the acetamidoxime and benzamidoxime uranyl complexes the average  $C-N-O$  angles are 120.8 and  $116^\circ$ , respectively. This increase may be explained by the protonation of the oxime nitrogen. On complexation of this nitrogen atom  $C-N-O$ angles up to  $121^\circ$  have been observed [24, 53, 56].

The nitrate ions are not coordinated to the uranium atom in both complexes, but are involved in a system of hydrogen bonds. As in the case of  $[UQ_2]$ .  $(unea)<sub>4</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  [34], the deviation of the nitrate ions from  $D_{3h}$  symmetry is not as large as for

bidentate nitrato groups. In the acetamidoxime complex the average  $N-O$  distance was found to be 1.246 Å with none of the individual values differing. by more than three  $\sigma$  from the average. The individual O-N-O angles differ only slightly from  $D^{\circ}$ .

In the structure of  $I$  each oxygen atom of the nitrate ion is bonded to oxime or amide nitrogen atoms via hydrogen bonds. Though the H atoms in the structure of  $2a$  could not be located, short interionic  $O_{\text{nitrate}} \dots N_{\text{oxime}}$  contacts indicate similar hydrogen bonds.

In conclusion, the study points out that the uranyl ions in the amidoxime complexes investigated are coordinated by the oxygen atoms of the monodentate amidoxime ligands. On complexation a zwitterionic arrangement of the oxime groups is achieved. resulting in a high base strength of the oxygen donor atoms which form strong bonds to the typical 'hard' Lewis acid  $UO_2^{2+}$ . The equatorial coordination number 4, found in both amidoxime complexes, is uncommon in crystals of uranyl complexes with organic ligands [40,62-651.

### Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to the Commission of the European Communities (002-79-l EXUD) and to the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for financial support.

### References

- 1 K. Schwochau, L. Astheimer, H.-J. Schenk and E. G. Witte, Z. *Naturforsch., 37b, 214* (1982). H.-J. Schenk, L. Astheimer, E. G. Witte and K. Schwochau,
- *Sep. Sci. and Technol., 17,* 1293 (1982). Sep. Sci. and Technol., 17, 1293 (1982).<br>3 L. Astheimer, H.-J. Schenk, E.G. Witte and K. Schwochau,
- *Sep. Sci. and'Technol., 18; 307* (1983). K. Schwochau, L. Astheimer, H.-J. Schenk and E. G.
- Witte, *Chemiker-Zeitung, 107,* 177 (1983). Wille, Chemiker-Lettung, 107, 177 (1963).<br>M. H. Ganadall, J. M. France, M. D. Dudey, G. B. Kiel,
- *6*  V. Stuika, J. Kvapil and M. Kuras', Z. *Anal.* Chem., 179, M. H. Campoen, J. M. Franc, N. D. Duuey, G. K. Kiel,<br>V. Marse, F. W. Woodfield, G. F. Binney, M. B. Jante, **R. MESC., F. W. WOODHER, S. E. BIHRY, M. K. JAHRY, P.** G. Anderson and G. T. Clark, Rep. XN-RT-15 (1979).
- *7*  **101 (1061)**. 401 (1961).<br>V. Cerdá and C. Mongay, *Quim. Anal., 31*, 137 (1977).
- *8 8 F. Buscard and C. Mongay, <i>Quim. Anal.*, *21*, 12*1* (1977)
- *9*  F. Buscarons and H. Ituriaga, *Annales Real Sot. Esp. Fis.*  1. Dust<br>(1073).
- 10 J. Rius, C. Mongay and .V. Cerda, *Affinidad, 38, 235 Quim., 63, 95* (1967).
- 11 V. Armenu, S. Visan and A. Meghea, *Rev. Chim.*  J. ILIUS<br>71001)
- $\overline{12}$ *(Bucharest), 29,459* (1978). D. Bandyouadhayay, J. *Znd. Chem. Sot.. 33. 269* (1956).
- $\frac{1}{2}$ K. K. Trip&hi and D. Banerjea, Z. *Anal. 'Chem., 168, 326*
- 14 A. Koiucharova and K. Manolov, *Nauchni Tr.-Plovdivski*  13 K. K. Tripathi and D. Banerjea, Z. Anal. Chem., 168, 326 (1959).
- 15 G. Manoussakis and T. Kouimtzis, J. Inorg. *Nucl. Chem., Univ., 13, 93* (1975).
- $\overline{16}$ *31, 3851* (1969). M. Kuras'and E. Ruii&a, *Chem. Listy, 47, 1870* (1953).
- $19 \n17$ D. L. Cullen and A. C. Lingafelter, Inorg. *Chem., 9, 1865*
- 18 C. D. Stout, M. Sundaralingam and G. Hung-Yin Lin, 17 D. L. Cullen and A. C. Lingafelter, *Inorg. Chem.*, 9, 1865 (1970).
- *Acta Crystallogr., B28, 2136* (1972).
- *Acta Crystallogr.*, B28, 2136 (1972).<br>19 Ö. Bekaroglu and S. Sarisaban, Acta Crystallogr., B34, 20 H. Endres, T. Jannack and B. Prickner, *Acta Crystallogr.,*
- 21 H. Endres, *Acta Crystallogr., 838,* 1313 and 1316 (1982). *B36, 2230* (1980).
- 22 H. Endres and N. Gent, *Acta Crystallogr., C39, 704*
- 23 A. B. Goel, S. Goel and D. Vanderveer, *Inorg. Chim.*  n. en<br>71093).
- 24 L. Malatesta, G. La Monica, M. Manassero and M. Sansoni, *Acta, 54, L5* (1981).
- 25 K. Wieghardt, W. Holzbach, E. Hofer and J. Weiss, Chem. *Gazz. Chim. Ital., 110, 113* (1980).
- 26 E. G. Witte. L. Astheimer. H.-J. Schenk and K. *Ber., li4, 2760* (1981).
- 27 R. Lenaers, C. Moussebois and F. Eloy, *Helv. Chim. Acta,*  Schwochau, Ber. Bunsenges Phys. Chem., 83, 1121 (1979).
- 28 P. Kruger, *Chem. Ber., 18, 1053 (1885).*  45, 441 (1962).
- 29 K. P. Flora, B. van? Riet and G. L. Wampler, *Cancer*
- *Res., 38,* 1291 (1978).
- 31 J. I. BUHOCK, J. MOIR. INICI. CHEIR., 27, 2231 (1701)<br>22 R. Garrieri, G. Marangoni, G. Baolucci and E. Forsellini 32 R. Graziani, G. Marangoni, G. Paolucci and E. Forsellini, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., 818 (1978).
- 33 B. Zarli, G. Dall'Olio and L. Sindellari, J. Inorg. *Nucl. Chem., 38,491* (1976).
- 34 N. K. Dalley, M. H. Mueller and S. H. Simonsen, *Inorg. Chem., 11, 1840* (1972).
- *CREM., 11, 1040 (1972).*<br>25 C. L. D.H. G. M. M. Marshumy and L. Bauer, J. Org. *Chem., 29, 2873* (1964). *36* H. W. W. Adrian and A. Van Tets, *Acta Crystallogr., B35,*
- *153* (1979).
- *37* D. A. Clemente, G. Bandoli, F. Benetollo and A. Marzotto, J. *Cryst. Mol. Struct., 4,* 1 (1974). *38* L. Di Sipio, E. Tondello, G. Pelizzi, G. Ingletto and A.
- Montenero, *Cryst. Struct. Commun., 3, 297* (1974). Montenero, Cryst. Struct. Commun., 3, 297 (1974).<br>39 G. Bandoli and D. A. Clemente, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.,
- 43, 2843 (1981).
- 40 L. R. Nassimbeni and A. L. Rodgers, *Cryst. Struct. Commun., 5, 301* (1976).
- 41 B. Morosin, *Acta Crystallogr., 834, 3732* (1978). 42 S. A. Linde, Yu. E. Gorbunova and A. V. Lavrov, *Russ.*
- *J. Inorg. Chem.. 25.* 1105 (1980).  $J.$  HOTEL, CHETH., 2J, 1103 (1900).<br>42 S. A. Linde, Nu. E. Corbunova, A. V. Lavrov and A. B.
- 44 Pobedina, *Inorg. Materials, 17, 776* (1981). A. N. Fitch, B. E. F. Fender and A. F. Wright, *Acta*
- $\overline{48}$ *Crystallogr., B38,* 1108 (1982). J. H. Bums,Inorg. *Chem., 22, 1174* (1983).
- 79<br>46
- 47 J. McB. Harrowfield, D. L. Kepert, J. M. Patrick, A. H. A. Zalkin, H. Ruben and D. H. Templeton, Inorg. *Chem., 18,* 519 (1979).
- 48 0. Exner and N. Motekov, *Coil. Czech. Chem. Commun.,*  White and S. F. Lincoln, J. *Chem. Sot. Dalton Trans., 393* (1983).
- 49 K. J. Dignam, A. F. Hegarty and M. J. Begley, J. *Chem. 47, 814* (1982).
- 50 X. Solans, C. Miravitlles, J. P. Declercq and G. Germain, *Sot., Perkin Trans. II, 704* (1980).
- 51 G. A. Jeffrey, J. R. Ruble, R. K. McMullan, D. F. *Acta Crystallogr., B36, 3121* (1980).
- 52 A. Dondoni, G. Gilli and M. Sacerdoti, J. *Chem. Sot.,*  DeFrees and J. A. Pople, *Acta Crysfallogr., B37, 1381*  DeFrees and J. A. Pople, Acta Crystallogr., B37, 1381 (1981).
- 53 A. Gieren and B. Dederer, *Acta Crystallogr., B33, 3296 Perkin Trans. H, 1036* (1976).
- 54 H. Gozlan, R. Michelot, C. Riche and R. Rips, *Tefra-*A. UICI<br>(1077).
- 55 *hedron, 33, 2535* (1977). hedron,  $33$ ,  $2535$  (1977).<br>Ö. Bekaroglu, S. Sarisaban, A. R. Koray and M. L.
- 56 H. Endres, *Acta Crystallogr., B36, 57, 1347* (1980). Ziegler, Z. *Naturforsch., Teil B, 32, 387* (1977).
- 57 D. Bright, H. A. Plessius and J. de Boer, J. *Chem. Sot.,*
- 58 E. Arte, J. P. Declerq, G. Germain and W. Van Meerssche, *Perkin Trans. IZ, 2106* (1973). *Bull. Sot. Chim. Belg., 87, 573* (1978).
- $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ H. Gozlan and C. Riche, *Acta CYyst., B32, 1662* (1976).
- $\frac{39}{60}$ 61 B. Tinant, J. P. Declerq, G. Germain and M. Van C. Miravitlies and X. Solans, *0yst. Struct. Commun., 9,*  60 C. Miravitlles and X. Solans, Cryst. Struct. Commun., 9, 621 (1980).
- MEEISSCHE, DUIL DOC. CHIM. DEIG., 90, 1177 (1961).<br>CA L. G. Bussel, M. B. Du Plessis, L. D. Messimbeni, J. G. H. Meerssche, *Bull. Sot. Chim.* Belg., 90, 1177 (1981).
- 63 R. Juhen, N. Rodier and P. Khodadad, *Acta Crystallogr.,*  Du Preez and B. J. Gellatly, *Acta Crystallogr., B33, 2062*  (1977).
- 64 G. Bombieri, E. Forsellini, J. P. Day and W. I. Azeez, J. *B33, 2411* (1977).
- 65 N. W. Alcock, P. De Meester, T. J. Kemp, J. *Chem. SOC., Chem. Sot. Dalton Trans., 677* (1978).
- *Perkin Trans. II,* 921 (1979).