Photochemistry of Pentaamminethiocyanatocohalt(II1) Ion

A. VOGLER and H. KUNKLLY Fachbereich Chemie, Universität Regensburg, 84 Regensburg, Germany Received December 17, 1974

*Irradiation of the first ligand field band of Co(NH,), SCN*²⁺ in aqueous solution did not lead to any efficient *photochemical reaction. Irradiation of the first CT band led to a redox decomposition with formation of Co=' and to a simultaneous linkage isomerization with formation of Co(NH₃)₅NCS²⁺. At 333 nm irradiating wavelength the quantum yields were 0.48 for redox reaction and 0.24 for isomerization. In the solid state CT excitation led to the linkage isomerization without* ' *redox decomposition. These results are discussed with regard to the photochemistry of the complex ions* $Co(NH_3)_{5}NO_2^{2+}$ and $Co(NH_3)_{5}NCS^{2+}$.

Introduction

The photochemistry of aqueous Co(II1) complex ammines and acidoammines has been extensively inversion and actival minimizes has been executively in sugarcu. The majority of these protochemical actions is certainly intracted by CT cached states. σ excited, the incentifiation of the reactions which ronow CT excitation is not yet completely understood. The main reactions are redox decompositions and sub- $\frac{1}{2}$ remarks the remarkable exceptions. A remarkable exception is $\frac{1}{2}$ remarkable exception is $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ is nitro-nitrito-ni which also undergoes nitro-nitrito linkage isomerization.³⁻⁵

The complex $Co(NH_3)_5SCN^{2+}$ offered the op- $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{$ $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is subset of Co(NH) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$ linkage isomerization. The choice of $Co(NH₃)₅SCN²⁺$ was initiated by an accidental observation of Buckingham, Creaser, and Sargeson, who investigated the thermal thiocyanato-isothiocyanato linkage isomeriza- ϵ C (NH,), ϵ C $^{11}_{2}$ for $^{11}_{2}$ found that the this isomethica m_{tot} or $\text{co}(m_{3}/\text{s})$. They found that this isomerization occurred also under the influence of light in the infrared spectrometer.

The comparison of the photochemistry of $Co(NH_3)$, SCN^{2+} and of its linkage isomer $Co(NH_3)_5 NCS^{2+}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ and the hinder isomer country, shown in the shown in th and interesting and present study. The present study of the present study. The present study. The present study another interesting aspect of the present study. The homolytic bond splitting which may follow CT excita-
tion of both complexes should generate the same radical point complexes should generate the same $\frac{d}{dx}$ pair $\frac{d}{dx}$ $\frac{d}{dx}$ and $\frac{d}{dx}$. If the reactivity $\frac{1}{2}$ in a both links is much the total to the theory should be the theory should be the toexcitation of both linkage isomers should lead to the same product distribution.

Experimental

Materials

 $[Co(NH₃)₅NCS](ClO₄)₂¹⁰$ and $[Co(NH₃)₅SCN]Cl₂⁶$ were prepared according to known procedures. The compounds were of good spectroscopic purity; their visible and ultraviolet absorption spectra, shown in $\frac{1}{2}$ and the avoir absorption spectra, snown in ϵ and their and specular

Photolysis Procedures

The light source used was a 100 W Osram highpressure mercury lamp. A Schott interference filter 333 ϵ is the ultraviolet radiation emitted to the wavelength of the mercury line at $\frac{333}{100}$, $\frac{333}{100}$, $\frac{31}{100}$, $\frac{31}{100}$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$ filter GG 495 transmitted light longer $\frac{100}{100}$ than $\frac{100}{100}$ $T_{\rm TOV}$ mm.

the photolyses were callied out at footh temperature in a 5 cm cylindrical spectrophotometer cell, using concentrations of complex such as to have essentially complete light absorption. The solutions were $0.01M$ in perchloric acid. An aliquot of the solution to be analyzed was kept in the dark, at the same temperature, so that any thermal reaction could be noted and allowed for. However, correction for the thermal reaction was negligible. The total amount of photolysis was limited to about 10% to avoid secondary photochemical reactions. Absorbed light intensities were determined by Ferrioxalate actinometry¹² or by Reineckate actinometry¹² in the visible region.

*Analytical Procedures Col*ain (II) _i

Cobalt (II)
Cobaltous ion was determined by forming the blue covalidation, was determined by forming the black tipics foll, ω_1 expansion a water-accrone some tion and measuring the absorbance ($\varepsilon = 1.7 \times 10^3$ at 625 nm), according to a literature procedure.¹³

Thiocyanate .

Free things in the second by a ding and an interesting and an interest First direction of the international extension of α and β aliquot of the irradiated (or dark) solution to a fourfold volume of a reagent consisting of $0.1M$ ferric nitrate in $0.5M$ perchloric acid, and measuring the absorbance due to the resulting ferric thiocyanate complex ($\varepsilon = 4.3 \times 10^3$ at 450 nm).¹²

Figure 1. Electronic spectra of $Co(NH_3)_6SCN^{2+}$ (-----) and $Co(NH_3)_6NCS^{2+}$ (----).

Measurements

Infrared spectra were measured with a Perkin Elmer 325 IR spectrophotometer. Samples were KBr pellets. Visible and ultraviolet spectra were measured in 1 cm cells with a Cary 14 recording spectrophotometer and a Zeiss PMQ II spectrophotometer for measurements at selected wavelengths.

Results

The absorption spectra of $Co(NH₃)₅SCN²⁺$ and $Co(NH_3)_{5}NCS^{2+}$ (Figure 1) exhibit their first LF maxima at 512 nm (ϵ = 74) and at 498 nm (ϵ = 179).6 The intense absorption maxima at 288 nm $(\varepsilon = 15,600)$ and at 306 nm $(\varepsilon = 1490)$ are assigned to SCN⁻ and NCS⁻ to Co(III) CT transitions of both complexes. Corresponding assignments have been made for the absorption bands of the two linkage isomers $Co(CN)_{5}SCN^{3-}$ and $Co(CN)_{5}NCS^{3-}$.¹⁴

Photolysis in Aqueous Solution

The complex ion $Co(NH_3)_5SCN^{2+}$ was sufficiently stable under our experimental conditions. Hence any interference by thermal reactions⁶ was excluded. $Co(NH₃)₅SCN²⁺$ did not undergo any efficient photochemical reaction if the irradiating wavelength was restricted to the region of the LF band ($\lambda > 480$ nm). The quantum yield of any of the possible reactions was estimated to be smaller than 10^{-3} .

Upon irradiation of the CT band (333 nm irradiating wavelength) $Co(NH₃)₅SCN²⁺$ underwent a redox decomposition. $Co²⁺$ was formed with the quantum yield $\Phi = 0.48$. This redox reaction was accompanied by a change of the absorption spectrum (Figure 2). It was assumed that the products of the redox decomposition have a negligible absorption down to at least 300 nm. The extinction at longer wavelengths should then decrease according to the amount of decomposition. However, the decrease of the extinction was dependent on the wavelength and at 475 nm there was no change at all (Figure 2). It follows that in addition to the redox products another complex must have been formed, which had a larger extinction than the starting complex at least at 475 nm. The most probable candidate for another photoproduct was $Co(NH₃)₅ NCS²⁺$ which has a higher extinction than $Co(NH₃)₅SCN²⁺$ almost over the entire wavelength region down to 320 nm (Figure 1). A comparison showed that the ratio of the extinction coefficients of the isothiocyanato and thiocyanato isomers is indeed highest at 475 nm. If the redox decomposition and linkage isomerization were the only photochemical reactions the ratio of both reaction modes can be calculated from the extinction coefficients of the two linkage isomers at 475 nm. The calculation showed that two thirds of the photolyzed ions underwent a redox decomposition and the remaining third was transformed into its linkage isomer. The change of the extinction at any other wavelength should then satisfy the equation:

$$
A_o - A = [Co-NCS](3\varepsilon_{Co-SCN} - \varepsilon_{Co-NCS})
$$

The agreement was better than 5% for all wavelengths above 400 nm. Hence the assumption that only the redox decomposition and linkage isomerization took

Figure 2. Spectral changes during the photolysis of $3.8 \times 10^{-3} M$ Co(NH₃)₅SCN²⁺ in $10^{-2} M$ HClO₄; 333 nm irradiating wavelength. Reading downward: 0 min (a), 15 min, 30 min, 60 min (d) irradiation time.

place is certainly justified. However, the accuracy of the measurements is not great enough to exclude the formation of very small amounts of other photoproducts as for example $Co(NH_3)_{5}H_2O^{3+}$. If secondary photolysis of $Co(NH_3)_5NCS^{2+}$ was avoided the change of the optical density at any wavelength was not large due to the high extinction of $Co(NH₃)₅NCS²⁺$. The photolysis followed a zeroth order kinetics up to 7% of photolyzed starting complex.

Since the efficiency of the photoisomerization is independent of the concentration of $Co(NH₃), SCN²⁺$ the rearrangement should occur by an intramolecular mechanism. The photolysis produced also free NCSwith the quantum yield $\Phi = 0.33$. The formation of NCS- must then result from side reactions of the redox decomposition as it has been observed in similar cases. $8,15$

Photolysis in the Solid State

Since the extinction of the CT bands of $Co(NH₃)₅$ $SCN²⁺$ and its linkage isomer is rather high any photoisomerization of pure $[Co(NH₃)₅SCN]Cl₂$ would be restricted to the surface of the crystals and hence difficult to detect. A dilution was achieved by incorporation of $[Co(NH₃)₅SCN]Cl₂$ in KBr pellets. These pellets are also useful for the detection of linkage isomerization by i.r. spectroscopy.

Upon irradiation of the LF band of $Co(NH₃)₅SCN²⁺$ $(\lambda > 480 \text{ nm})$ the i.r. spectrum did not change. However, shorter-wavelength irradiation into the CT band initiated a linkage isomerization with formation of $[Co(NH₃)₅NCS]Cl₂$ as indicated by the change of the i.r. spectrum as has been observed previously.⁶ The

first observation of this photoisomerization⁶ which stimulated the present investigation was possibly due to the exposure of the starting complex to the UV light of the light source in the i.r. spectrometer. The linkage isomerization was apparently the only photochemical reaction in the solid state. Co^{2+} was not detected in an aqueous solution of the irradiated KBr pellets.

Discussion

It was shown that aqueous $Co(NH₃)₅SCN²⁺ under$ went a photoredox decomposition which is accompanied by a linkage isomerization with formation of $Co(NH₃)₅ NCS²⁺$. At 333 nm irradiating wavelength the quantum yields were $\Phi = 0.48$ for redox reaction and $\Phi = 0.24$ for isomerization. If solid $[Co(NH₃)₅]$ $SCN|Cl₂$ was irradiated only the linkage isomerization took place.

These photochemical reactions of $Co(NH₃)₅SCN²⁺$ are quite similar to those of $Co(NH₃)₅NO₂²⁺$ which underwent a redox decomposition and a simultaneous linkage isomerization with formation of $Co(NH₃)₅$ $ONO²⁺.^{3–5}$ The photoisomerization also occured in the solid state.³ However, in contrast to $Co(NH_3)_5$ $NO₂²⁺$ which was photosensitive over its entire absorption spectrum the photoreactivity of $Co(NH_3)_{5}$ $SCN²⁺$ was restricted to the CT region. Upon irradiation of the LF band no photochemical change was observed. Hence we conclude that the photochemical reactions of $Co(NH₃)₅SCN²⁺$ were initiated by an excited CT state.

In analogy to $Co(NH_3)_5NO_2^{2+3-5}$ the photochemistry of $Co(NH_3)_5$ SCN²⁺ may be explained on the basis of a radical pair model. $3-5,7,8,15$ The separation of the radicals $Co(NH_3)_5^{2+}$ and NCS which were produced in the primary step following CT excitation led to the redox reaction whereas the linkage isomerization should be the result of a primary or secondary geminate recombination of the radicals. In the solid state a separation of the radical pair is apparently not possible. Hence only the linkage isomerization was observed.

It is then interesting to compare the photochemistry of $Co(NH_3)$, $SCN²⁺$ and its linkage isomer since CT excitation of both complexes should produce identical radicals. Earlier investigations have shown that CT excitation of aqueous $Co(NH_3)_5 NCS^{2+}$ led to a redox decomposition and an aquation with formation of $Co(NH₁)₅H₂O³⁺₇⁸$ The relative efficiencies of the two reaction modes were 70% redox reaction and 30% aquation.8 Both reactions have been assumed to have also the radical pair $Co(NH_3)_5^{2+}$ and NCS as a common precursor. The absence of any photoisomerization of $Co(NH₃)₅ NCS²⁺$ may be an indication that the radical recombination led always to the isothiocyanato isomer. However, the observation that only one of the linkage isomers, $Co(NH₃)₅ NCS²⁺$, undergoes an efficient photoaquation suggests that the reactivity of the radical pair generated in the first step is not independent of the way in which it is produced.

Any conclusive explanation of the different behavior of both isomers cannot yet be given. However, the occurrence of the photochemical linkage isomerization of the thiocyanato isomer may be releated to the observation that the Co-SCN bond is bent at the sulfur atom with an angle of 105° while the Co-NCS bond is linear.16 The radical pair formation following CT excitation should be associated with a motion of the coordinating ligand atom away from the metal center. In the case of the thiocyanato isomer this motion could lead to a rotation of the SCN radical. Its nitrogen atom may then move into a favorable position to reattach to the complex fragment. Alternatively, the different reactivity of both isomers may be used to support an excited state model.¹⁷ However, it is our opinion that in comparison to the radical pair model the excited

state mechanism is based on much more arbitrary assumptions which are difficult to verify.

Acknowledgement

Support for this research by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- A.W. Adamson, W.L. Waltz, E. Zinato, D.W. Watts, P.D. Fleischauer and R.D. Lindholm. *Chem. Rev.,* 68, 541 (196X).
- V. Balzani and V. Carassiti, "Photochemistry of Coordination Compounds", Academic Press, New York. N.Y., 1970.
- V. Balzani, R. Ballardini, N. Sahhatini and L. Moggi, Inorg. *Chem.,* 7, 1398 (1968).
- F. Scandola, C. Bartocci and M.A. Scandola, *J. Am. Chem. Sot.,* 95, 7898 (1973).
- F. Scandola, C. Bartocci and M.A. Scandola. *J. Phys. Chem.,* 78, 572 (1974).
- 6 D.A. Buckingham, 1. I. Creaser and A.M. Sargeson, Inorg. *Chem.,* 9, 655 (1970).
- 7 a) A. W. Adamaon and A.H. Sporer, *J. Am.* Chem. Sot., 80, 3865 (1958).
- b) A. W. Adamson and A. H. Sporer, *J. Inorg. Nucl.* Chem., 8, 209 (1958).
- 8 A. W. Adamson. *Discuss. Faraday Sot., 29, 163 (1960).*
- 9 J.F. Endicott and G. 3. Ferraudi. *J. Am. Chem. Sot., 96, 3681 (1974).*
- 10 R.L. Carlin and J. 0. Edwards, *J. Inorg. Nucl.* Chem., 6, 217 (195X).
- 11 C.G. Hatchard and C. A. Parker, *Proc. Roy. Sot., Ser. A,* 235, 518 (1956).
- 12 E.E. Wegner and A. W. Adamaon, *J. Am. Chem. Sot., 88, 394 (1966).*
- 13 R.E. Kitson, *Anal. Chem., 22, 664 (1950)*
- 14 D.F. Gutterman and H.B. Gray, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 93, 3364 (1971).
- A. Vogler and A.W. Adamson, *J. Phys.* Chem., 74, 67 15 (1970).
- M. R. Snow and R. Boomsma, *Acia Crystallogr., B 28,* 16 *1908 (1972).*
- 17 *see* Ref. 9 and references cited therein.