The Photochemical Substitution Reactions of [Mo-(CO)<sub>4</sub>(dppe)] with PF<sub>3</sub>, Me<sub>2</sub>NPF<sub>2</sub> and MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>

GARY ANDOLFATTO, ROBERT GRANGER and LOUIS K. PETERSON

Department of Chemistry, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, B.C., V5A 1S6, Canada

Received November 19, 1984

The thermal substitution reactions of [(chelate)- $Mo(CO)_4$ ] complexes, where 'chelate' = N-N [1-3], P-P [3-5], S-S [3], and N-P [3] bidentate ligands, with various Lewis bases L = amine, phosphine and phosphite have been described. These reactions give products, and follow kinetics that depend upon the nature of L and the chelating ligand. The postulated primary steps include: (i) unimolecular dissociation of CO [6,7], (ii) nucleophilic attack by L on [(chelate)Mo(CO)\_4] [1,7], and (iii) reversible dissociation of one end of the chelating ligand [7]. Products of the type [(chelate)Mo(CO)\_3L], [Mo(CO)\_4-L\_2] and [Mo(CO)\_3L\_3] have been isolated from these reactions.

In this paper we report our studies of the photochemical reactions of  $[(Mo(CO)_4(dppe)]$  with the ligands PF<sub>3</sub>, Me<sub>2</sub>NPF<sub>2</sub> and MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, and the isolation of the new compounds  $[Mo(PF_3)_4(dppe)]$ ,  $[Mo(Me_2NPF_2)_6]$  and  $[Mo(CO)_2(dppe)(MeN(PF_2)_2)]$ . Comparative studies of the reactions of P(OMe)<sub>3</sub> and dppe with  $[Mo(CO)_4(dppe)]$  were also done in order to clarify the nature of some of the reaction intermediates.

## Discussion

Under thermal conditions, [Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>(dppe)] is known to resist attack by nucleophiles, and elevated temperatures that facilitate CO dissociation are required in order to effect substitution [3, 5]. Dobson [5] has postulated that strong  $\pi$ -accepting phosphites are better able to compete with CO than Ph<sub>3</sub>P, Ph<sub>3</sub>Sb and n-octylamine. In these cases the reaction kinetics indicate a reversible, rate-determining dissociation of CO to give a five-coordinate intermediate. On the basis of  $\pi$ -bonding and 'site preference' [8] considerations, it is proposed that a CO group cis to dppe is preferentially lost. In studies of the substitution reactions of  $[Mo(CO)_4(P-en)]$  (P-en = H<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-PH<sub>2</sub>), products of the type fac- and mer-[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>-(P-en)L, and  $[Mo(CO)_2(P-en)L_2]$  have been characterized [4].

We have examined the reactions of  $[Mo(CO)_4$ -(dppe)] with various proportions of ligands  $L = PF_3$ ,

0020-1693/85/\$3.30

Me<sub>2</sub>NPF<sub>2</sub>, MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, (MeO)<sub>3</sub>P and dppe in solution in THF and in toluene, under photochemical conditions. The progress of the reactions, in pyrex vessels, were monitored by <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy, and by intermittently measuring the amount of evolved carbon monoxide. In all cases, a yellow to amber color rapidly developed, and <sup>31</sup>P NMR signals attributable to fac-[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(dppe)L] appeared. Evidence for the latter was based upon: (i) a doublet (L =  $P(OMe)_3$ ) or a doublet of multiplets (L = fluorine containing ligands), slightly upfield relative to  $\delta^{31}P$ ([Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>(dppe)]), due to the equivalent <sup>31</sup>P nuclei of dppe coupled with the spin 1/2 phosphorus nucleus of the L ligand  $(J_{P-P} \cong 30-35 \text{ Hz})$ ; and (ii) a signal, downfield from 'free' L, attributable to the coordinated L ligand. This signal, in the cases L =PF<sub>3</sub> and P(OMe)<sub>3</sub>, was split into a triplet by coupling with the equivalent <sup>31</sup>P nuclei of dppe, the coupling constants ( $J \approx 30-35$  Hz) being typical for a fac configuration [9–11]. The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra of coordinated  $Me_2NPF_2$  and  $MeN(PF_2)_2$  in fac-[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>-(dppe)L] showed complex coupling features [12-14] which were not sufficiently resolved to provide coupling constant data.

In two cases, viz.  $L = Me_2NPF_2$  and  $P(OMe)_3$ , <sup>31</sup>P signals attributable to monodentate and to free dppe were also observed, in addition to those due to  $[Mo(CO)_3(dppe)L]$ . These observations provide direct evidence of the opening of the chelate ring prior to its displacement. In the photolysis of  $[Mo(CO)_4(dppe)]$  and excess dppe, <sup>31</sup>P NMR evidence indicated the initial formation of *fac*- $[Mo(CO)_3(dppe)L]$  (L = monodentate dppe) prior to ring closure to give  $[Mo(CO)_2(dppe)_2]$ .

The monodentate MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> ligand in fac-[Mo-(CO)<sub>3</sub>(dppe)(MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)] showed two <sup>31</sup>P resonances, as triplets  $(J_{P-F} \approx 1100 \text{ Hz})$  of multiplets corresponding to the coordinated ( $\delta$  <sup>31</sup>P = 174 ppm,  $\Delta = 7$ ) and to the free ( $\delta$  <sup>31</sup>P = 111 ppm,  $\Delta = 0$ ) phosphorus centres. The photolysis of [Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>-(dppe)] and MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> was monitored until  $\approx 2$ equivalents of CO had been collected. The solvent was removed, leaving off-white crystals of [Mo(CO)<sub>2</sub>-(dppe)(MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)]. The mass spectrum of this product showed the parent ion (P(<sup>98</sup>Mo)<sub>obs</sub> = 719, P<sub>calcd</sub> = 719) and major species corresponding to the sequential loss of two CO groups, followed by the loss of MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. A very weak signal due to Mo(CO)-(dppe) was observed.

During continued photolysis, a *fac*- to *mer*-isomerization of  $[Mo(CO)_3(dppe)L]$  complexes was apparent, as evidenced by (iii) the appearance of two sets of <sup>31</sup>P signals, attributable to inequivalent P nuclei of dppe, one being *trans* and one *cis* to L. The  $J_{P-P}$  coupling constants were 130–140 Hz and 30–35 Hz, respectively, values that are typical for the assigned con-

© Elsevier Sequoia/Printed in Switzerland

figurations [9–11]. The former signal was 8–10 ppm downfield, and the latter 1–2 ppm downfield from the <sup>31</sup>P signals of [Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>(dppe)]; and (iv), a downfield shift of the <sup>31</sup>P signal due to the L ligand. In one case (L = P(OMe)<sub>3</sub>), this signal was resolved into a doublet of doublets, arising from coupling with the *trans* ( $J_{P-P} \approx 130$  Hz) and the *cis* ( $J_{P-P} \approx 35$  Hz) phosphorus nuclei of dppe. The reported derivative *mer*-[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(dppe)(P(OEt)<sub>3</sub>)], prepared by thermal means, also shows the two sets of <sup>31</sup>P signals described in (iii) and (iv) above [10].

In addition to the above noted fac-mer-isomerization of  $[Mo(CO)_3(dppe)L]$  the appearance of signals due to further photolytic substitution products was noted. For  $L = PF_3$ , the mixed products  $[Mo(CO)_x]$  $(PF_3)_{4-x}(dppe)$ ] were observed but were not separated. Accordingly a mixture of [Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>(dppe)] and a 10-fold excess of  $PF_3$  was photolysed (in pyrex) for 20 hours. Most of the CO (> 90%) was eliminated. Upon removal of the volatile components under vacuum, white crystals of [Mo(PF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>(dppe)], slightly contaminated with  $[Mo(CO)(PF_3)_3(dppe)]$ , were obtained. The former was purified by recrystallization from dichloromethane. The mass spectrum of  $[Mo(PF_3)_4(dppe)]$  showed the parent ion  $(P(^{98}Mo)_{obs})$ = 848,  $P_{calcd}$  = 848) and species corresponding to the sequential loss of four PF<sub>3</sub> groups, in patterns consistent with the isotopic constitution of Mo. The base peak corresponded to Mo(dppe). The same base peak was observed in the mass spectrum of [Mo(CO)4-(dppe)]. The next lower mass fragment corresponded to  $Mo(PPh_2)_2$ , representing the extrusion of the  $CH_2CH_2$  (Mass = 28) moiety. The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of [Mo(PF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>(dppe)] consisted of an upfield multiplet, centred at 52 ppm, due to the equivalent P atoms of dppe, and two downfield quartet sets of multiplets corresponding to the two magnetically distinct pairs of PF<sub>3</sub> groups. On the basis that the <sup>31</sup>P resonance for the L ligand in mer-[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>-(dppe)L] occurs downfield from that of the fac isomer, the signals centered at 158 and at 156 ppm may be assigned to the two pairs of  $PF_3$  ligands that are trans and cis, respectively, to the dppe ligand. Because of numerous spin-spin couplings, all of the above signals were complex and most coupling constants could not be evaluated accurately.

The minor product  $[Mo(CO)(PF_3)_3(dppe)]$  showed a weak carbonyl vibration at 1913 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and a mass spectrum consisting of the parent ion, together with species corresponding to the stepwise loss of three PF<sub>3</sub> groups, followed by the loss of the unique carbonyl group. The base peak again corresponded to Mo(dppe).

The photolysis of  $[Mo(CO)_4(dppe)]$  and  $Me_2NPF_2$ (1:6 molar ratio) lead to the complete elimination of dppe and the formation of an inseparable mixture of products. A sample, which was recrystallized from  $CH_2Cl_2/hexane$ , gave a mass spectrum showing the parent ions of  $[Mo(CO)(Me_2NPF_2)_5]$ ,  $[Mo(CO)_2 (Me_2NPF_2)_4]$  and  $[Mo(CO)_3(Me_2NPF_2)_3]$ . Sequential losses of  $Me_2NPF_2$  and CO were evident, and the strongest signal was due to  $Mo(Me_2NPF_2)_2$ .

A mixture of  $[Mo(CO)_6]$  and  $Me_2NPF_2$  (1:10 molar ratio) in toluene was photolysed for 15 hours, while evolved CO was removed at intervals. The complex  $[Mo(Me_2NPF_2)_6]$  was isolated in high yield (> 90%). The mass spectrum of the recrystallized product showed the parent ion  $(P(^{98}Mo)_{obs.} = 776;$  $P_{ealed.} = 776)$  and strong signals due to Mo- $(Me_2NPF_2)_x$  (x = 1 – 5). In addition, weak signals corresponding to the loss of one fluorine atom, and to the loss of one  $Me_2N$  group from the Mo- $(Me_2NPF_2)_x$  fragments were observed. The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of  $[Mo(Me_2NPF_2)_6]$  showed a triplet  $(J_{P-F} = 1080 \text{ Hz})$  of multiplets, centered at 145 ppm.

## Conclusions

The photochemical reaction of  $[Mo(CO)_4(dppe)]$ with the ligands  $L = PF_3$ ,  $Me_2NPF_2$ ,  $MeN(PF_2)_2$ ,  $P(OMe)_3$  and dppe initially gives fac- $[Mo(CO)_3(dppe)L]$ , in agreement with the findings of Dobson et al. [15] that  $[Mo(CO)_4(dppe)]$  undergoes substitution via loss of one of the trans pair of carbonyl groups, the five-coordinate  $[Mo(CO)_3(dppe)]$  intermediate being square-pyramidal and non-fluxional at ambient temperature. The fac products readily isomerize, under photochemical conditions, to the mer configuration. By comparison, fac- $[Mo(CO)_3(dppe)-(Ce_{H_{11}NII_2})]$  is stable at room temperature but isomerizes at 125 °C, whereas fac- $[Mo(CO)_3(dppe)-(Ce_{H_{11}NII_2})]$  is stable even at elevated temperatures [15].

The complexes  $[Mo(CO)_3(dppe)L]$  react further with excess L in two different ways. For  $L = PF_3$ and  $MeN(PF_2)_2$ , carbonyl substitution leads to  $[Mo(CO)_x(dppe)(PF_3)_{4-x}]$  and  $[Mo(CO)_2(dppe)-(MeN(PF_2)_2)]$ . With  $L = Me_2NPF_2$  and  $P(OMe)_3$ , however, displacement of dppe as well as CO occurred, giving products of the type  $[Mo(CO)_x(L)_{6-x}]$ . Thus, the first two ligands, which exhibit strong  $\pi$ bonding properties [16], compete only with CO, whereas the strongly  $\sigma$ -donating ligands  $Me_2NPF_2$ and  $P(OMe)_3$  compete with the  $\sigma$ -donating dppe ligand as well. Likewise, in aminemetalcarbonyl complexes, ligand lability increases with increase in basicity of the amine moiety [2].

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a NSERC, Canada, Undergraduate Research Scholarship (to R.G.), a Province of British Columbia Youth Employment Grant (to G.A.) and a NSERC, Canada, Operating Grant (to L.K.P.).

## References

- 1 J. R. Graham and R. J. Angelici, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87, 5590 (1965).
- 2 J. R. Graham and R. J. Angelici, Inorg. Chem., 6, 992 (1967).
- 3 G. R. Dobson and L. W. Houk, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1, 287 (1967).
- 4 G. R. Dobson and A. J. Rettenmaier, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 6, 507 (1972).
- 5 G. C. Faber and G. R. Dobson, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 2, 479 (1968).
- 6 G. R. Dobson and J.-C. Rousche, J. Organomet. Chem., 179, C42 (1979).
- 7 G. R. Dobson, Acc. Chem. Res., 9, 300 (1976).

- 8 J. D. Atwood and T. L. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 3160 (1976).
- 9 (a) F. B. Ogilvie, J. M. Jenkins and J. G. Verkade, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 1916 (1970); (b) R. D. Bertrand, F. B. Ogilvie and J. G. Verkade, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 1908 (1970).
- 10 S. O. Grim, D. A. Wheatland and P. R. McAllister, Inorg. Chem., 7, 161 (1968).
- 11 J. F. Nixon and J. R. Swain, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1038 (1972).
- 12 C. G. Barlow, R. Jefferson and J. F. Nixon, J. Chem. Soc. A; 2692 (1968).
- 13 G. S. Reddy and R. Schmutzler, Inorg. Chem., 6, 823 (1967).
- 14 R. B. King and T. W. Lee, Inorg. Chem., 21, 319 (1982).
- 15 G. R. Dobson, K. J. Asali, J. L. Marshall and C. R. McDaniell, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 8100 (1977).
- 16 R. B. King, Acc. Chem. Res., 13, 243 (1980).