# Low Temperature Magnetism of Low-Spin Iron(II) Cation High-Spin Iron(III) Anion Complexes. Supporting Evidence for the True Nature of So-called 'Spin-Triplet Iron(II)' Compounds

#### E. KÖNIG,\* G. RITTER

Institut für Physikalische und Theoretische Chemie and Physikalisches Institut, Abt. II, Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, D-8520 Erlangen, F.R.G.

and B. KANELLAKOPULOS

Heisse Chemie, Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe, D-7500 Karlsruhe, F.R.G.

Received July 31, 1981

The magnetism of a number of compounds previously believed to contain spin triplet iron(II) ground states has been investigated between 0.96 and 300 K. <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer effect including magnetic hyperfine interaction shows that the compounds involve both low-spin iron(II) as well as high-spin iron(III) ions. In combination with additional chemical studies, a double salt formulation has thus been proposed. It is demonstrated that the magnetic behaviour of the compounds is consistent with their formulation as  $[Fe^{II}(dimine)_3/2[Fe^{III}(dianion)_3](1/2dianion)\cdot x H_2O$  where dimine = 2,2'-bipyridyl, 1,10-phenanthroline or 4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline and dianion = oxalate or malonate.

## Introduction

Recently, we have reported the first preparation of a number of low-spin iron(II) cation high-spin iron(III) anion complexes of the type [Fe<sup>II</sup>(di $mine)_{3}m[Fe^{III}(dianion)_{3}]_{n} (m:n = 1:1, 3:2 \text{ or } 2:1)$ where diimine = 1,10-phenanthroline, 4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline or 2,2'-bipyridyl and dianion = oxalate or malonate [1]. The <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer spectra of these compounds display a single doublet characterized, e.g. for  $[Fe(phen)_3]_3[Fe(ox)_3]_2 \cdot 15 H_2O$ , by the quadrupole splitting  $\Delta E_Q = 0.25 \pm 0.01$  mm s<sup>-1</sup> and the isomer shift  $\delta^{IS} = +0.33 \pm 0.02$  mm s<sup>-1</sup> (at 308 K) almost independent of temperature. However, the application of an external magnetic field of, say, 50 kG at 4.2 K resolves the spectrum into two individual patterns, thus demonstrating that the H = O spectrum is, in fact, the result of a superposition of two spectra with almost identical values for  $\Delta E_{\alpha}$ and  $\delta^{1S}$ . In the magnetic field, a central Collins-type spectrum [2] characteristic for low-spin iron(II) and a six-line hyperfine spectrum typical for high-spin

iron(III) are usually obtained. The results have been of some consequence for the clarification of the nature of several red diimine iron compounds which were previously believed to contain a 'spin-triplet iron(II)' [3, 4]. Based on the practical identity of the <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer spectra of these compounds with those of the low-spin iron(II) cation high-spin iron-(III) anion complexes refered to above, and taking into account the results of additional physical measurements and chemical studies, the 'spin-triplet iron-(II)' compounds have been reformulated as the double salts [Fe<sup>11</sup>(diimine)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[Fe<sup>111</sup>(dianion)<sub>3</sub>](<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>dianion)•xH<sub>2</sub>O [1].

In order to provide additional support for the true nature of the so-called 'spin-triplet iron(II)' compounds, we report, in this paper, on the measurements of magnetism between 0.96 and 300 K for the complexes where the diimine is 2,2'-bipyridyl or 4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline and the dianion is oxalate or malonate. In addition, the previously published [4] magnetic data down to 1.00 K for  $[Fe(phen)_3]_2$  [Fe(ox)<sub>3</sub>] (1/2 ox)·14 H<sub>2</sub>O and [Fe- $(phen)_3]_2$  [Fe(mal)<sub>3</sub>] ( $\frac{1}{2}$  mal)·20 H<sub>2</sub>O have been converted to the new stoichiometry. The obtained magnetic data are compared with results of other physical measurements such as <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer spectra and discussed with respect to the nature of the red lowspin iron(II) cation high-spin iron(III) anion complexes.

## Experimental

The compounds of the type  $[Fe^{II}(diamine)_3]_2$ -[Fe<sup>III</sup>(diamion)\_3](<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>diamion)•xH<sub>2</sub>O where diamine = bipy, 4.7-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-phen and diamion = ox<sup>2-</sup>, mal<sup>2-</sup>, were prepared following procedures published separately [3, 4]. The correct stoichiometry was determined in the course of a recent study [1].

© Elsevier Sequoia/Printed in Switzerland

<sup>\*</sup>Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Magnetic susceptibilities were measured over the range 0.96 to 303.5 K by the Faraday method. The equipment employs a 10 inch. electromagnet (Bruker-Physik) with Henry-type pole caps, an electrical microbalance (Sartorius type 4102), and the required cryogenic equipment. Temperatures below 4.2 K were achieved by pumping on the helium reservoir with a Roots pump (Leybold-Heraeus type WS-250), all connecting pipes and flanges being of 65 mm diameter or larger. Temperatures in the cryogenic range were measured using a gold/iron vs. chromel thermocouple and checked by noting the vapor pressure of helium. The thermocouple was calibrated against a Pt or Ge resistor placed at the position of the sample. All measurements were performed at six different field strengths, viz. 3.50, 5.55, 8.60, 10.90, 12.00 and 13.10 kG. The diamegnetic corrections applied to  $\chi_{m_2}$  are: Fe, -13; bipy, -105; 4,7-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-phen, -152; ox<sup>2-</sup>, -25; mal<sup>2-</sup>, -34; H<sub>2</sub>O, -13 × 10<sup>-6</sup> cgs mol<sup>-1</sup>. The effective magnetic moment was obtained according to  $\mu_{eff} = 2.828 \sqrt{\chi_m^{corr}T}$  where  $\chi_m^{corr}$  is the molar magnetic susceptibility corrected for the diamagnetism of all constituents and T the temperature in K.

<sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer effect measurement were performed using a spectrometer of the constant acceleration type (Nuclear Data ND 2400, modulation of the pulse-height achieved by the driving wave form) operating in the multiscaler mode. A superconducting magnet capable of producing 55 kG was used in the magnetic hyperfine studies. For more details we refer to a previous publication [1].

#### **Results of Magnetic Measurements**

For the compounds  $[Fe(phen)_3]_2[Fe(ox)_3](1/2$ ox)  $\cdot 14 H_2O$  and [Fe(phen)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[Fe(mal)<sub>3</sub>]( $\frac{1}{2}$ mal)  $\cdot -$ 20 H<sub>2</sub>O, the previously obtained magnetic susceptibilities [4] have been converted to the new molecular weights. Results for a number of specific temperatures are collected in Table I. For the compounds  $[Fe(bipy)_3]_2[Fe(ox)_3](1/2ox) \cdot 8 H_2O, [Fe(bipy)_3]_2$  $[Fe(mal)_3](1/2mal) \cdot 8 H_2O, [Fe(4,7-(CH_3)_2-phen)_3]_2$  $[Fe(ox)_3](1/2ox) \cdot 14 H_2O$ and  $[Fe(4,7-(CH_3)_2$ phen)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[Fe(mal)<sub>3</sub>]( $^{1}/_{2}$ mal)·20 H<sub>2</sub>O, magnetic measurements were performed on samples of weight between 2.115 and 12.961 mg over a temperature range of typically 0.96 to 303.5 K, the results being listed in Tables II-V. For temperatures between 0.96 and 4.20 K, data obtained for six different field strengths (H = 3.50 to 13.10 kG) are listed individually, whereas above 4.20 K, the average values for the six fields are given. In addition, the temperature dependence of the effective magnetic moment  $\mu_{eff}$  is illustrated, for the four new compounds, in Fig. 1 and 2. The Figures also contain, as an example, plots of the inverse molar magnetic susceptibility,  $1/\chi_m^{c \text{ orr}}$ , versus temperature, for two of the compounds.

From the Figures and the data of Tables II–V it is evident that, for the four low-spin iron(II) cation high-spin iron(III) anion complexes containing 2,2'bipyridyl and 4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline as diimine ligands,  $\mu_{eff}$  is practically independent of temperature between 300 and about 10 K. Below 10 K, the effective magnetic moment assumes a continu-

Α			В			
T (K)	$10^6 \chi_m^{corr}$ (cgs mol <sup>-1</sup> )	μ <sub>eff</sub> (BM)	Т (К)	$10^6 \chi_{\rm m}^{\rm corr}$ (cgs mol <sup>1</sup> )	μ <sub>eff</sub> (BM)	
1.00	891 249	2.67	0.97	913639	2.66	
1.80	683 210	3.14	1.95	692 111	3.29	
2.31	580 637	3.28	2.31	620 672	3.39	
3.31	447 311	3.44	3.05	508 591	3.52	
4.20	368 858	3.52	4.20	395 798	3.65	
8.80	193 803	3.69	7.10	263 212	3.87	
13.60	130 246	3.76	11.10	170405	3.89	
77.3	22 240	3.71	77.3	24 271	3.87	
147.1	11 910	3.74				
174.6	9 890.0	3.72				

TABLE I. Recalculated Magnetic Susceptibility  $\chi_m^{corr\,a}$  and Effective Magnetic Moment  $\mu_{eff}^{b}$  for [Fe(phen)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[Fe(ox)<sub>3</sub>]-(<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>ox)·14 H<sub>2</sub>O (A)<sup>c</sup> and [Fe(phen)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[Fe(mal)<sub>3</sub>](<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>mal)·20 H<sub>2</sub>O (B)<sup>d</sup>.

<sup>a</sup>Per Fe atom. Total molecular weight M = 1809.04 a.u. for (A) and M = 1966.23 a.u. for (B). Diamagnetic correction  $\chi_{min}^{dia} = -1077 \times 10^{-6}$  cgs/mol for (A) and  $\chi_{min}^{dia} = -1186 \times 10^{-6}$  cgs/mol for (B). Experimental uncertainty between 0.2 and 0.9%, dependent on temperature. <sup>b</sup>Per Fe atom.  $\mu_{eff} = 2.828 (\chi_{min}^{corr} T)^{1/2}$ , experimental uncertainty approximately ±0.005 BM. <sup>c</sup>Previous formulation as Fe(phen)<sub>2</sub>ox •5 H<sub>2</sub>O.

TABLE II. Magnetic Susceptibilities  $\chi_g$  and  $\chi_m^{corr a}$  and Effective Magnetic Moment  $\mu_{eff}^{b}$  for [Fe(bipy)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>[Fe(ox)<sub>3</sub>](1/2-ox)•8 H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>c,d</sup>.

н	Т	$10^6 \chi_g$	$10^6 \chi_m^{corr}$	<sup>µ</sup> eff	
(kG)	(K)	(cgs/g)	(cgs/mol)	(BM)	
3.50	0.96	2658.3	1 379 767	3.255	
5.55		2382.9	1 236 852	3.082	
8.60		1950.1	1 012 259	2.788	
10. <b>9</b> 0		1771.9	919 784	2.657	
12.00		1699.5	882 214	2.603	
13.10		1561.8	810 757	2.495	
3.50	1.17	2312.7	1 200 423	3.352	
5.55	1.35	1917.7	995 134	3.278	
8.60	1.46	1605.2	833 278	3.119	
10. <b>90</b>	1.58	1388.6	720878	3.018	
12.00	1.68	1293.9	671 734	3.004	
13.10	1.77	1306.6	678 325	3.099	
3.50	2.64	1254.3	651 185	3.708	
5.55	2.69	1188.3	616 935	3.643	
10. <b>9</b> 0	2.82	1034.3	537 019	3.480	
12.00	2.91	976.8	507 181	3.436	
13.10	3.00	927.3	481 493	3.399	
3.50	3.21	1030.8	535 203	3.707	
5.55	3.38	<b>9</b> 77.0	507 285	3.703	
10.90	3.56	875.1	454 405	3.597	
12.00	3.65	838.5	435 412	3.565	
13.10	3.75	799.9	415 382	3.529	
	4.20	732.87	380 597	3.575	
	4.56	681.70	354 044	3.593	
	5.58	574.80	298 570	3.650	
	7.50	446.20	231 834	3.729	
	9.10	370.45	192 525	3.743	
	12.85	268.59	139667	3.789	
	15.95	217.58	113 197	3.800	
	20.37	171.26	89 160	3.811	
	24.65	140.73	73 316	3.802	
	33.33	104.18	54 350	3.806	
	48.88	70.525	36 885	3.797	
	61.55	56.627	29 673	3.822	
	77.42	44.948	23 612	3.824	
	97.75	35.743	18836	3.837	
	119.2	29.255	15 468	3.840	
	141.2	24.865	13 190	3.859	
	177.8	19.605	10462	3.857	
	251.6	13.841	7 469.9	3.877	
	278.6	12.296	6 667.5	3.854	
	292.0	11.735	6 376.7	3.859	
	303.5	11.409	6 207.8	3.882	

<sup>a</sup>Per Fe atom. Total molecular weight M = 1556.83 a.u. Diamagnetic correction  $\chi_{m}^{dia} = -861 \times 10^{-6}$  cgs/mol. Experimental uncertainty between 0.2 and 0.9%, dependent on temperature. Sample weight 12.961 mg. <sup>b</sup>Per Fe atom.  $\mu_{eff} = 2.828 (\chi_{c}^{corr} T)^{1/2}$ , experimental uncertainty approximately ±0.005 BM. <sup>c</sup>Previous formulation as Fe(bipy)<sub>2</sub>ox·3 H<sub>2</sub>O. <sup>d</sup>Between 0.96 and 3.75 K, the values listed apply to the field strengths H = 3.50 to 13.10 kG individually. At and above 4.20 K, the average values for the six fields are given.

TABLE III. Magnetic Susceptibilities  $\chi_g$  and  $\chi_m^{corra}$  and Effective Magnetic Moment  $\mu_{eff}^{b}$  for [Fe(bipy)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> [Fe(mal)<sup>3</sup>]-(1/2mal)·8 H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>c,d</sup>.

H	T	$10^6 \chi_g$	$10^6 \chi_m^{corr}$	<sup>µ</sup> eff
(KG)	(K)	(cgs/g)	(cgs/mor)	
3.50	0.96	2360.7	1 263 996	3.115
5.55		2131.6	1 141 357	2.960
8.60		1787.8	957 388	2.711
10. <b>9</b> 0		1552.1	831 146	2.526
12.00		1407.1	753 527	2.405
13.10		1320.4	707 117	2.330
3.50	1.27	2024.5	1 084 025	3.318
5.55	1.44	1701.5	911 121	3.239
8.60	1.68	1427.9	764 662	3.205
10. <b>9</b> 0	1.78	1263.8	676 818	3.104
12.00	1.87	1166.5	624 733	3.057
13.10	1.93	1090.9	584 264	3.003
3.50	2.01	1458.0	780730	3.543
5.55	2.08	1363.9	730402	3.486
8.60	2.10	1256.7	673 017	3.362
10.90	2.18	1148.1	614 883	3.274
12.00	2.26	1069.8	572968	3.218
13.10	2.44	988.6	529 502	3.214
3.50	2.55	1202.6	644 057	3.624
5.55	2.63	1138.7	609 851	3.582
8.60	2.70	1064.4	570 078	3.509
10.90	2.99	943.6	505 412	3.476
12.00	3.09	880.7	471742	3.414
13.10	3.23	841.2	450 597	3.412
3.50	3.35	950.79	509 264	3.694
5.55	3.41	913.09	489 080	3.652
8.60	3.48	871.40	466 /64	3.604
10.90	3.54	829.10	444 120	3.340
12.00	3.65	789.10	422410	3.312
13.10	5.71	701.70	290 104	3.400
	4.20	/09.08	221 020	2 5 6 0
	4.80	570.01	210 245	3.309
	5.10	552.01	206 777	3.303
	5.65	505.00	230 777	3.720
	7.05	303.48 440.04	270 604	3.694
	7.03	449.04	240 071	3 770
	10.33	326 10	174 861	3 801
	12.09	272 43	146 131	3 759
	15 31	219 59	117 845	3 799
	19.51	169.45	91 005	3 785
	25 50	134 20	72 135	3,836
	29.80	114 50	61 590	3,833
	40.92	83.510	45 000	3.838
	47.38	70.964	38 284	3.809
	57.85	59.845	32 333	3.868
	68.46	51.198	27 704	3.895
	77.36	44.638	24 193	3.869
	109.2	31.744	17 290	3.886
	151.3	22.989	12 649	3.912
	200.0	17.280	9 547.5	3.908
	293.6	11.649	6 533.6	3.917

<sup>a</sup>Per Fe atom. Total molecular weight M = 1605.92 a.u. Diamagnetic correction  $\chi_{m}^{dia} = -892 \times 10^{-6}$  cgs/mol. Exper-(continued overleaf)

#### TABLE III. (Continued)

imental uncertainty between 0.2 and 0.9%, dependent on temperature. Sample weight 7.522 mg. <sup>b</sup>Per Fe atom. temperature. Sample weight 7.522 mg.  $\mu_{eff} = 2.828 (\chi_m^{corr} T)^{1/2}$ , experimental uncertainty approximately ±0.005 BM. CPrevious formulation as Fe(bipy)<sub>2</sub>-<sup>d</sup>Between 0.96 and 3.71 K, the values listed mal•3  $H_2O$ . apply to the field strengths H = 3.50 to 13.10 kG individually. At and above 4.20 K, the average values for the six fields are given.

TABLE IV. Magnetic Susceptibilities  $\chi_g$  and  $\chi_m^{corra}$  and Effective Magnetic Moment  $\mu_{eff}^{b}$  for  $[Fe(4,7-CH_3)_2$ -phen)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>-[Fe(ox)<sub>3</sub>] (<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>ox)•14 H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>c,d</sup>.

H (kG)	T (K)	10 <sup>6</sup> x <sub>g</sub> (cgs/g)	$10^6 \chi_m^{corr}$ (cgs/mol)	μ <sub>eff</sub> (BM)	H (kG)	Т (К)	10 <sup>6</sup> (cgs
3.50	0.96	1980.4	1 305 728	3.166	2.50	0.04	101/
5.55		1747.8	1 152 417	2.975	3.50	0.94	191
8.60		1439.8	949408	2.700	5.55		168.
10.90		1234.7	814 222	2.500	8.60		1390
12.00		1140.5	752 133	2.403	10.90		1201
13 10		1048.9	691 758	2 305	12.00		1086
3 50	1 19	1658 1	1 093 294	3 226	13.10		1016
5.50	1.19	1443 3	951 715	3 1 94	3.50	1.14	1661
9.60	1.54	1101 5	795 749	3 0 2 0	5.55	1.27	1415
10.00	1.40	1029.5	/03 /40 694 003	3.029	8.60	1.41	1181
10.90	1.60	1036.5	684 903	2.900	10.90	1.52	1029
2.50	1.67	933.9	030400	2.902	12.00	1.63	871
5.50	1.75	12/0.4	837755	3.424	3.50	1.75	1261
3.33	1.83	1177.1	776 257	3.371	5.55	1.86	1145
8.60	1.92	1043.0	687869	3.250	8.60	1.91	1021
10.90	1.97	947.5	624 923	3.138	10.90	1.99	928
12.00	2.03	882.3	581 949	3.074	12.00	2.18	846
13.10	2.08	835.3	550970	3.027	13.10	2.24	804
3.50	2.13	1106.1	729460	3.525	3.50	2.33	1018
5.55	2.18	1034.4	682 201	3.449	5.55	2.42	944
8.60	2.26	938.2	618 793	3.344	8.60	2.58	844
10.90	2.35	859.4	566 855	3.264	10.90	2.30	776
12.00	2.50	797.2	525 857	3.243	12.00	2.71	723
13.10	2.59	746.5	492 440	3.194	13 10	2.00	600
	4.20	549.4	362 528	3.490	2 50	2.94	707
	5.10	465.4	307 161	3.540	5.50	2 20	767
	5.72	414.5	273612	3.538	3.33	3.20	700
	7.94	315.7	208491	3.639	10.00	3.20	/00
	8.67	286.7	189 377	3.624	10.90	3.38	500
	13.10	199.6	131 967	3.718	12.00	3.60	621
	14.60	177.4	117 335	3.701	13.10	3.62	615
	24.00	108.47	71 902	3.715	3.50	3.67	678
	38.94	66.64	44 331	3.716	5.55	3.70	657
	52.72	49.41	32,974	3 729	8.60	3.79	628
	61.72	42.848	28 649 0	3 761	10.90	3.89	597
	66.26	40.300	26 969 5	3 780	12.00	3.95	576
	77 30	33 652	20 50 5.5	3 737		4.20	554
	99.05	26 170	17656 2	3 740		8.34	301
	109 10	20.170	16406 5	3.740		16.37	157
	154 55	17 405	11 970 0	3,04		27.72	93
	104 60	1/.403	00555	3.632		40.35	64
	194.30	14.333	9 833.5	3.913		52.55	49
	220.30	12.010	8 / 18.5	3.990		65.02	40
	270.15	10.835	7 548.6	4.038		77.43	33
	273.9	10.800	7 525.5	4.060		102.25	25
	293.0	10.054	7 033.8	4.060			20

<sup>a</sup>Per Fe atom. Total molecular weight M = 1977.36 a.u. Diamagnetic correction  $\chi_m^{dia} = -1221 \times 10^{-6}$  cgs/mol. Experimental uncertainty between 0.2 and 0.9%, dependent on temperature. Sample weight 2.115 mg. <sup>b</sup>Per Fe atom.  $\mu_{eff} = 2.828 (x_m^{corr} T)^{1/2}$ , experimental uncertainty approximately ±0.005 BM. CPrevious formulation as Fe(4,7- $(CH_3)_2$ -phen)<sub>2</sub>ox • 4 H<sub>2</sub>O! <sup>d</sup>Between 0.96 and 2.59 K, the values listed apply to the field strengths H = 3.50 to 13.10 kG individually. At and above 4.2 K, the average values for the six fields are given.

TABLE V. Magnetic Susceptibilities  $\chi_g$  and  $\chi_m^{corr a}$  and Effective Magnetic Moment  $\mu_{eff}^{b}$  for [Fe(4,7-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-phen)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>-[Fe(mal)<sub>3</sub>](<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>mal)·20 H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>c,d</sup>.

н	Т	10 <sup>6</sup> χ <sub>g</sub>	$10^6 x_m^{corr}$	$\mu_{eff}$
(kG)	(K)	(cgs/g)	(cgs/mol)	(BM)
3.50	0.94	1917.5	1 364 770	3.203
5.55		1683.8	1 198 489	3.002
8.60		1390.5	989 803	2.728
10.90		1201.3	855 184	2.536
12.00		1086.8	773 716	2.412
13.10		1016.2	723 483	2.332
3.50	1.14	1661.7	1 182 765	3.284
5.55	1.27	1415.5	1 007 590	3.199
8.60	1.41	1181.4	841 025	3.080
10.90	1.52	1029.3	732 804	2.985
12.00	1.63	871.3	620 385	2.844
3.50	1.75	1261.8	898 231	3.546
5.55	1.86	1145.5	815 482	3.483
8.60	1.91	1021.8	727 468	3.334
10.90	1.99	928.4	661 01 2	3.243
12.00	2.18	846.9	603 024	3.242
13.10	2.24	804.0	572 500	3.203
3.50	2.33	1018.2	724 906	3.675
5.55	2.42	944.2	672 254	3.607
8.60	2.58	844.4	601 245	3.522
10. <b>90</b>	2.71	776.4	552 862	3.462
12.00	2.88	723.3	515 081	3.444
13.10	2.94	690.4	491 672	3.400
3.50	3.14	787.3	560 618	3.752
5.55	3.20	750.6	534 505	3.699
8.60	3.28	708.7	504 693	3.639
10.90	3.38	668.6	476 161	3.588
12.00	3.60	621.5	442649	3.570
13.10	3.62	615.3	438 237	3.562
3.50	3.67	678.6	483 276	3.766
5.55	3.70	657.9	468 548	3.724
8.60	3.79	628.7	447 772	3.684
10.90	3.89	597.3	425 430	3.638
12.00	3.95	576.5	410 631	3.602
	4.20	554.82	395 205	3.643
	8.34	301.05	214 644	3.784
	16.37	157.97	112841	3.844
	27.72	93.40	66 899	3.851
	40.35	64.550	46 372	3.868
	52.55	49.730	35 827	3.880
	65.02	40.653	29 368.5	3.908
	77.43	33.388	24 199.3	3.871

(Continued on facing page)

3.879

18 397.7

TABLE V. (Continued)

H (kG)	T (K)	10 <sup>6</sup> x <sub>g</sub> (cgs/g)	10 <sup>6</sup> x <sup>corr</sup> (cgs/mol)	<sup>µ</sup> eff (BM) 3.931	
	147.95	17.733	13 060.6		
	204.20	12.780	9 536.47	3.946	
	253.00	10.360	7 814.61	3.976	
	303.20	8.788	6 696.11	4.030	

<sup>a</sup>Per Fe atom. Total molecular weight M = 2134.54 a.u. Diamagnetic correction  $\chi_{max}^{dia} = -1330 \times 10^{-6}$  cgs/mol. Experimental uncertainty between 0.2 and 0.9%, dependent on temperature. Sample weight 2.827 mg. <sup>b</sup>Per Fe atom.  $\mu_{eff} = 2.828(\chi_{max}^{corr} T)^{1/2}$ , experimental uncertainty approximately ±0.005 BM. <sup>c</sup>Previous formulation as Fe(4,7-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-phen)<sub>2</sub>mal·7 H<sub>2</sub>O. <sup>d</sup>Between 0.94 and 3.95 K, the values listed apply to the field strengths H = 3.50 to 13.10 kG individually. At and above 4.2 K, the average values for the six fields are given.

ously decreasing character. Thus, at 0.96 K, the values of  $\mu_{eff}$  obtained are (for a field strength H = 13.10 kG) 2.495, 2.330, 2.305 and 2.332 BM for the 2,2'-bipyridyl oxalato, 2,2'-bipyridyl malonato, 4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline oxalato and 4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline malonato complex, serially. This is very similar to the magnetic behaviour of the corresponding 1,10-phenanthroline complexes,  $\nu iz$ . [Fe(phen)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> [Fe(ox)<sub>3</sub>] ( $\frac{1}{2}$  ox)·14 H<sub>2</sub>O and

 $[Fe(phen)_3]_2$   $[Fe(mal)_3](1/2mal)\cdot 20$  H<sub>2</sub>O, which assume at about 1.00 K  $\mu_{eff}$ -values of 2.67 and 2.66 BM, respectively (*cf.* Table I).

#### Discussion

In order to demonstrate the nature of the low-spin iron(II) cation high-spin iron(III) anion complexes discussed in this paper, Fig. 3 shows, as an example, the <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer effect spectrum of [Fe(bipy)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>- $[Fe(ox)_3](1/2 ox) \cdot 8 H_2O$ . Evidently, at H = 0 and 4.2 K, the compound shows a single closely spaced doublet with a quadrupole splitting  $\Delta E_Q = 0.33 \pm 0.01 \text{ mm s}^{-1}$  and an isomer shift  $\delta^{1S} = +0.24 \pm 0.02 \text{ mm s}^{-1}$ . The values for  $\Delta E_Q$  and  $\delta^{1S}$  at higher temperatures, e.g. at 300 K, are practically identical to the values at 4.2 K. The observed doublet is formed by a superposition of the Mössbauer spectra of the lowspin iron(II) cation and the high-spin iron(III) anion. Indeed,  $\Delta E_Q = 0.39$  mm s<sup>-1</sup>,  $\delta^{1S} = +0.32$  mm s<sup>-1</sup> have been reported for the [Fe(bipy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> cation [5], whereas the anion  $[Fe(ox)_3]^{3-}$  is known to produce the parameter values  $\Delta E_Q = 0.32$  mm s<sup>-1</sup>,  $\delta^{18} = +0.25$ mm  $s^{-1}$  [6]. An experimental separation of the two spectra would thus not be expected. A resolution may be achieved, however, if the effect of an external magnetic field of, say, 55 kG, on the <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer resonance absorption is investigated, cf.



Fig. 1. Temperature dependence of the effective magnetic moment,  $\mu_{eff}$ , for  $[Fe(bipy)_3]_2[Fe(ox)_3](1/2ox) + 8 H_2O$  ( $\Box$ ) and  $[Fe(bipy)_3]_2[Fe(mal)_3](1/2mal) + 8 H_2O$  ( $\blacksquare$ ) between 0.96 and 303.5 K (left ordinate). For  $[Fe(bipy)_3]_2[Fe(ox)_3](1/2ox) + 8 H_2O$  the inverse molar magnetic susceptibility,  $1/\chi_{m}^{corr}$ , is also shown ( $\bigcirc$ ; right ordinate).



Fig. 2. Temperature dependence of the effective magnetic moment,  $\mu_{eff}$ , for  $[Fe(4,7-CH_3)_2-phen)_3]_2[Fe(ox)_3](1/2ox)\cdot14 H_2O$ (D) and  $[Fe(4,7-(CH_3)_2-phen)_3]_2[Fe(mal)_3](1/2mal)\cdot20 H_2O$  (D) between 0.94 and 303.2 K (left ordinate). For  $[Fe(4,7-CH_3)_2-phen)_3]_2[Fe(ox)_3](1/2ox)\cdot14 H_2O$  the inverse molar magnetic susceptibility,  $1/\chi_{m}^{corr}$ , is also shown (O; right ordinate).

Fig. 3. As a consequence of the magnetic hyperfine interaction, the doublet originating in the low-spin iron(II) cation is transformed into a Collins-type spectrum [2] at the center; the additional six-line spectrum which may be characterized by the effective field  $H_{eff} = 509 \text{ kG}$  is typical for iron(III) and is therefore attributed to the high-spin iron(III) anion. The remaining complexes dealt with in this study show a behaviour which is closely similar to that of  $[Fe(bipy)_3]_2[Fe(ox)_3](1/2 ox) \cdot 8 H_2O$ , some of the obtained Mössbauer effect data being shown in Table VI.

The measured values of the magnetic susceptibility  $\chi_{m}$  are the average values of the susceptibilities for the low-spin iron(II) cations and the high-spin iron-(III) anion contained in the complex under considera-tion. The  $[Fe(ox)_3]^{3-}$  ion, e.g., is known to show at 297.5 K an effective magnetic moment  $\mu_{eff}$  = 5.92 BM [7]. If the value of  $\mu_{eff}$  = 3.859 BM for the compound  $[Fe(bipy)_3]_2[Fe(ox)_3](1/2 ox) \cdot 8 H_2O$  at 292.0 K is employed (cf. Table II), the effective magnetic moment  $\mu_{eff} = 2.19$  BM may be easily estimated for the  $[Fe(bipy)_3]^{2+}$  cation within the compound. This value is considerably higher than the moment value  $\mu_{eff} = 0.82$  BM which was reported for [Fe(bipy)<sub>3</sub>](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·3 H<sub>2</sub>O at 297.6 K [8]. It should be noted, however, that siginificantly higher magnetic moments have also been found for various tris diimine iron(II) complexes, the increased values

having been generally attributed to temperatureindependent paramagnetism [8]. The ion [Fe-(mal)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> shows, at 297.5 K, a magnetic moment  $\mu_{eff} = 5.87$  BM [7] and thus a closely similar result is obtained for the [Fe(bipy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> ion if the magnetic data for  $[Fe(bipy)_3]_2[Fe(mal)_3](1/2mal)\cdot 8H_2O$  are used. Finally, even somewhat higher values of  $\mu_{eff}$ , viz. 2.68 BM and 2.67 BM, are found for the [Fe(4,7- $(CH_3)_2$ -phen)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> cation within the compounds  $[Fe(4,7-(CH_3)_2-phen)_3]_2[Fe(ox)_3](1/2 ox) \cdot 14 H_2O$ and  $[Fe(4,7-(CH_3)_2-phen)_3]_2[Fe(mal)_3](1/2mal)\cdot 20$  $H_2O$ , respectively. A contribution to the magnetism of a low-spin 3d<sup>6</sup> ion, by the second-order Zeeman effect, as high as that observed in the present study is certainly unusual. Increased values of the magnetic moment may be due to a significant admixutre of states of higher spin multiplicity which will be particularly notable if the complex ion considered is close to the  ${}^{1}A_{1}/{}^{5}T_{2}$  cross-over point. Indeed, this situation has been often discussed for tris diimine iron(II) ions such as those considered here [8]. However, some chemical effects such as differences in hydration of the samples cannot be ruled out. In view of the high molecular weight and the specific properties of these complexes, conditions such as the amount of hydration are difficult to control. For more details we refer to a previous publication [1].

The decrease of the effective magnetic moment below 10 K is due to the depopulation of all paramag-



Fig. 3. <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer effect spectra for  $[Fe(bipy)_3]_2$  [Fe-(ox)<sub>3</sub>] (<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>ox) · 8 H<sub>2</sub>O at 4.2 K for magnetic field strength H O and H = 55 kG. The magnetic field is parallel to the direction of the  $\gamma$ -rays.

netic states which are contributing to the overall  $\mu_{eff}$  values at the higher temperatures. A similar behaviour has been described previously for various other iron-(II) complexes [9, 10]. The argument is also supported by the observation that the Curie law is closely followed down to 1.00 K by all the compounds investigated. For [Fe(4,7-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-phen)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> [Fe(ox)<sub>3</sub>]-(<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> ox)·14 H<sub>2</sub>O, the slope of the  $1/\chi_{m}^{corr}$  line changes at about 140 K, a Curie–Weiss law,  $\chi_{m} = C_{m}/(T - \Theta)$  with  $\Theta = -50$  K, rather than the Curie law being followed above that temperature. It is not clear at present whether a change in the crystal lattice or the population of another level from the <sup>6</sup>A<sub>1</sub>(t<sup>5</sup><sub>2</sub>) manifold of the iron(III) ion is the origin of this observation.

In summary, the magnetic behaviour down to below 1.00 K of the compounds previously believed to contain spin-triplet iron(II) ground states is consistent with their new formulation as double salts involving low-spin iron(II) complex cations and a highspin iron(III) complex anion. The nature of the compounds has been established on the basis of  ${}^{57}$ Fe Mössbauer effect magnetic hyperfine studies.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors appreciate financial support by the Stiftung Volkswagenwerk and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie.

TABLE VI. 57 Fe Mössbauer Effect Parameters for Low-Spin Iron(II) Cation High-Spin Iron(III) Anion Complexes.

Compound	T (K)	$\frac{\Delta E_Q^a}{(mm \ s^{-1})}$	$\delta^{\text{IS }b}$ (mm s <sup>-1</sup> )	H <sub>eff</sub> (kG)
$[Fe(bipy)_3]_2[Fe(ox)_3](1/2ox)\cdot 8H_2O$	4.2	0.33	+0.24	509
$[Fe(bipy)_3]_2[Fe(mal)_3](1/2mal) \cdot 8 H_2O$	4.2	0.34	+0.23	521
$[Fe(4,7-(CH_3)_2-phen)_3]_2[Fe(ox)_3](1/2ox)\cdot 14H_2O$	4.2	0.27	+0.26	509
$[Fe(4,7-(CH_3)_2-phen)_3]_2 [Fe(mal)_3] (1/2mal) \cdot 20 H_2O$	4.2	0.27	+0.26	521

<sup>a</sup>Experimental uncertainty ±0.02 mm s<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>b</sup>Isomer shifts  $\delta^{IS}$  are listed relative to natural iron at 298 K. Experimental uncertainty ±0.03 mm s<sup>-1</sup>.

## References

- 1 E. König, G. Ritter and H. A. Goodwin, *Inorg. Chem. 20*, 3677 (1981).
- 2 R. L. Collins and J. C. Travis, *Mössbauer Effect Methodology*, 3, 123 (1967).
- 3 E. König and K. Madeja, Inorg. Chem., 7, 1848 (1968).
- 4 E. König, G. Ritter and B. Kanellakopulos, J. Chem. Phys., 58, 3001 (1973).
- 5 R. L. Collins, R. Pettit and W. A. Baker, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 28, 1001 (1966).
- 6 P. K. Gallagher and C. R. Kurkjian, *Inorg. Chem.*, 5, 214 (1966).
- 7 H. C. Clark, N. F. Curtis and A. L. Odell, J. Chem. Soc., 63 (1954).
- 8 E. Sinn, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 3, 11 (1969).
- 9 E. König, G. Ritter and B. Kanellakopulos, J. Phys. (C), 7, 2681 (1974).
- 10 E. König, G. Ritter, W. Irler and B. Kanellakopulos, J. Phys. (C), 10, 603 (1977).