A Green's Function Approach to the Photoelectron Spectrum of Irontetracarbonyl Dibromide: Fe(CO)₄Br₂

MICHAEL C. BijHM

Institut fiir Organische Chemie der Ilniversitdt, Irn Neuenheimer Feld 270, D-6900 Heidelberg, F.R.G. Received May 15,198l

*The vertical ionization potentials of irontetracarbonyl diary and the vertical containers of tronierracarbonyl dibromide, Fe(CO)*⁴Br₂, were determined by means of the Green's function approach in the computational framework of an improved CNDO *Hamiltonian. The theoretically determined sequence of the ionization events was* $Br_{\pi} < Fe$ 3d $< Fe$ FeBro, which leads to a straightforward assignment of the *measured ionization energies in the outer valence region. The ground state properties of the title com*pound are studied by means of the CNDO approach; *the degree of metal->ligand and ligand->metal charge transfer for the various ligands (Br,* CO_{ax} *,* CO_{eq} *) of the dibromide is analyzed.*

Introduction

The relative ordering of ionization potentials in the relative ordering of following potentials in transition metal polycarbonyl halides in the outer valence region has been the subject of discussion since the first published photoelectron (PE) spectra of $Mn(CO)_{5}X$ derivatives [1]. In the case of $Mn(CO)_{5}Cl$, contradicting assignments with respect to the ionization sequence of the Mn 3d and the chlorine lone-pair electrons have been derived on the basis of semiempirical MO calculations $[2, 3]$ and based on ab $initio$ (minimal basis) approaches $[4]$. In both types of calculations the validity of Koopmans' theorem $\frac{1}{2}$ calculations the validity of **N**oopmans theorem but measured $(\Gamma_{v, j} = \Gamma_{v, j} = -\epsilon_j)$ where the $t_{\rm w}$ measured vertical ionization energies, $t_{\rm w,1}$, are related to minus the canonical molecular orbitals energies, ϵ_i , of the electronic ground state. In the meantime various semiquantitative theoretical models for the coupling between the 3d orbitals of the transition metal center and halide lone-pairs have been presented $[6-8]$. On the other hand, consistent explanations of the PE spectra were derived on the basis of experimental correlation techniques (e.g. band intensities under $He(I)$ and $He(II)$ conditions, band shifts in series of related compounds [9]). Two typical examples where quantitative theoretical results are still missing are the PE spectra of Fe(CO)₄X₂ (X = Br, J) which have been measured by Levenson and coworkers [10].

In recent publications we have shown that the in fecent publications we have shown that the ionization energies of transition metal compounds in the lower energy region can be calculated with sufficient accuracy in the framework of a perturbational approach based on the Green's function technique $[11, 12]$. The computational basis for the many-body approach is an improved all valence Hamiltonian of the CNDO/INDO type $[13]$. In this formalism electronic relaxation and correlation in the ground state and the cationic hole-states are taken into account. In the case of $Fe(CO)₄H₂$ [14] and in various dihalide complexes of the general formula Cp_2TiX_2 (Cp = cyclopentadienyl) [15] ionization potentials have been calculated that were close to the experimental results. Therefore we found it of interest to apply the perturbational method to $Fe(CO)₄Br₂, I$.

Calculations

A detailed description of the Green's function A detailed description of the Green's function method in transition metal compounds has been given in our recent contributions $[11, 12, 14-16]$, general reviews on this topic are summarized in ref. 17. In the following only a short outline is presented. The vertical ionization potentials derived in the oneparticle Green's function approach are given by those ω values for which the matrix of the inverse Dyson equation [18] has eigenvalues equal to zero.

$$
G^{-1} = (G^{\circ})^{-1} - \Sigma(\omega) = \omega I - \epsilon - \Sigma(\omega)
$$
 (1)

 σ symbolizes the matrix of the Green s function and G^o is the free counterpart. If canonical Hartree-Fock (HF) orbitals are used as one-electron basis $(G^o)⁻¹$ is given by $\omega I - \epsilon$ where I is the unit matrix of proper size and ϵ the diagonal matrix of the canonical

molecular orbitals (CMO's). C (0) symbolizes the selfmolecular orbitals (CMO s). $\mathcal{L}(\omega)$ symbolizes the selfenergy part which can be expanded into different orders of perturbation.

$$
\Sigma(\omega) = \Sigma^{(2)}(\omega) + \dots + \Sigma^{(\infty)}(\omega)
$$
 (2)

 μ cm σ are employed in the perturbational approach $\Sigma^{(1)}(\omega)$ vanishes and $\Sigma^{(2)}(\omega)$ is the leading term of the infinite expansion. On the basis of our previous experience we have used a renormalized model potential for the infinite series $(\Sigma^{\text{eff}}(\omega))$ where $\Sigma^{(2)}(\omega)$ is renormalized by means of a geometric approximation. Theoretical details are discussed in ref. 14. . 14.
The computational framework for the MO calcula-

the computational framework for the MO calculations on I is the CNDO variant of a recently developed ZDO model (CNDO/INDO method) for transition metal complexes [13]. We have adopted bondlengths and angles of the dihydride complex $Fe(CO)_4H_2$ [19] while a FeBr distance of 2.26 Å has been assumed [20].

Ground State Properties

The valence orbitals of M(CO)IIX2 transition metal The valence orbitals of $M(CO)_{4}\Lambda_2$ transition metal derivatives are wellknown and have been discussed in terms of a Wolfsberg-Helmholtz one-electron Hamiltonian of the Extended Hückel (EH) type [21, 22]. The CNDO results on I are summarized in Table I. Fe(CO)₄Br₂ belongs to the point group C_{2v} and can be considered as a perturbed octahedron. The irreducible representations correspond to the coordinate system displayed above.

The four highest occupied MOs of I are ligand combinations with predominant Br lone-pair charac-

TABLE 1. Valence Orbitals of Fe(CO)₄Br₂ According to a CNDO Calculation. The Orbital Energies (ϵ_i) are given in eV. The Composition (%), the Type as well as the Irreducible Representation (Γ_i) of the MO Ware Functions are Indicated.

MО	Γ_{1}	MO-type	ϵ_i	%Fe	%Br	%CO
31	7b ₁	$Br_{\pi}/3d_{\pi z}$	-10.24	6.9	52.2	40.9
30	8a ₂	Br_{π}	-10.99	0.5	80.3	19.2
29	12a ₁	$Br_{\pi}/3d_{\tau^2}$	-11.16	7.8	51.4	40.8
28	4b ₂	Br_{π}	-11.58	1.0	64.9	34.1
27	7a ₂	$FeBr\sigma/3d_{xy}$	-12.03	13.8	55.2	31.0
26	11a ₁	$FeBr\sigma/3d_{2}$	-12.49	10.6	62.3	27.1
25	6b ₁	$3d_{\nu z}/Br_{\pi}$	-13.35	70.0	10.9	19.1
24	3b ₂	$3d_{\text{vz}}$	-13.82	86.5	0.7	12.8
23	$10a_1$	$3d_{x^2-y^2}$	-14.01	86.2	0.2	13.6

ter: $/b_1$, ba_2 , $1/a_1$ and $4b_2$ (see Fig. i). The br_{π} amplitudes in $7b_1$ are destabilized by means of the $3d_{xz}$ orbital of the iron center. Important carbonyl admixtures reduce the Br contributions in $7b_1$ (52.2%). $8a_2$ at -10.99 eV is less influenced by fragment orbitals of the $Fe(CO)₄$ moiety while a strong metal halide coupling is encountered in $12a_1$. The fourth member of the Br_{π} set (4b₂) is found at -11.58 eV. As shown in Table I an energy width of 1.34 eV is spanned by the four Br_{π} linear combinations. The following two complex orbitals are FeBro MO s (7a₂ and 11a₁). The center of gravity $(-12.26$ eV) is separated by about 1.25 eV from the center of gravity of the Br_{π} orbitals. It is seen that the Fe 3d contributions in $7a_2$ and $11a_1$ exceed the iron 3d admixtures in the lone-pair orbitals.

The third group of complex MO_s in the outer valence region is predominantly localized at the

transition metal center: $6b_1$, $3b_2$ and $10a_1$. $6b_1$ at -13.35 eV is of $3d_{xz}$ type and shows the strongest interaction with the CO and the Br ligands; the 3d character amounts to 70%; this value is smaller than the Fe contribution in $3b_2$ (86.5%) and $10a_1$ (86.2%). $3b_2$ at -13.82 eV is the $3d_{yz}$ orbital while $10a_1$ (-14.01 eV) is related to $3d_{x^2-y^2}$. In the case of an unperturbed octahedron these 3d functions are combined to the triply degenerate t_{2g} set. As shown in Fig. 1 all MO s in the lower energy region show significant σ donor or π^* acceptor contributions from the four carbonyl ligands. The MO scheme of 1 suggests the classification of $Fe(CO)₄Br₂$ as a d⁶ complex with three occupied orbitals strongly localized at the transition metal center.

This simple classification is only a rough model, which can be rationalized on the basis of the Fe AO population and the net charges [23] summarized in Table II and on the basis of the Wiberg bond indices [24] (Table III). A Fe net charge of 0.545 is predicted while the bromine ligands are near to electroneutrality. The CO_{eq} and CO_{ax} charges indicate

TABLE II. Atomic Population at the Transition Metal Center and Net Charges of $Fe(CO)₄Br₂$ According to a CNDO Calculation.

		Atomic Population		
Fe	4s	0.1085		
	$4p_x$	0.0697		
	$4p_y$	0.0862		
	$4p_z$	0.0370		
	$3d_z^2$	0.6814		
	$3d_{xz}$	1.9387		
	$3d_{yz}$	1.9614		
	$3d_x^2-y^2$	1.9554		
	$3d_{xy}$	0.6163		
		Net charge		
	Fe	0.5454		
	Bг	-0.0165		
	C_{eq}	0.0919		
	o_{eq}	-0.1575		
	C_{ax}	0.0662		
	O_{ax}	-0.2568		

TABLE III. Wiberg Bond Indices of $Fe(CO)₄Br₂$ According to a CNDO Calculation.

that the axial carbonyl ligands are the stronger acceptors in comparison to CO_{eq}. 0.191e are transferred to each CO_{ax} group while a net transfer of 0.066e to CO_{eq} is predicted. These charge distributions lead to the Wiberg indices collected in Table III. The FeBr index indicates significant covalent character. The calculated value of 0.393 lies between the FeC_{eq} (0.429) and the FeC_{ax} (0.366) indices.

The Calculated Ionization Energies

In the He(I) PE spectrum of I six maxima can be distinguished in the lower energy region [lo] . The first four peaks belong to a common bandsystem with vertical ionization energies of 8.76, 9.03, 9.34 and 9.66 eV. The fifth band is very broad and has an assumed maximum of 10.63 eV. The sixth peak in the PE spectrum of I is found at about 11.15 eV. The center of gravity of the first four ionization events (9.20 eV) is close to the center of gravity of Br_{π} ionization processes in Cp_2MBr_2 complexes [25] (e.g. $M = Ti 8.8$ eV, $M = Zr 8.90$ eV). Thus peaks $(l, (2),$ \circ and \circ have to be assigned to the complex MO s $7b_1$, $8a_2$, $12a_1$ and $4b_2$. In comparison to the Br_{π} IP's in $CH₂Br₂$, the lone-pair ionization energies are shifted by about 1.5 eV to lower energies in the Fe tetracarbonyl complex [26] .

The observed band intensities of peaks (5) and (6) suggest an assignment where (5) is associated with the three 'iron 3d' ionization events $(3b₂, 10a₁$ and $6b₂)$ as well as to one FeBr σ combination while Θ has its origin in the remaining σ MO. The Fe3d IP's in $Fe(CO)_aH₂$ are found at 9.65 eV [27] which means that the corresponding IP's are raised by about 1 eV if H is changed against Br.

The calculated ionization energies in different orders of perturbation are summarized in Table IV. The renormalized self-energy approximation leads to a straightforward assignment of the measured ionization energies. $(1), (2), (3)$ and (4) are related to the Br_{π} combinations $7b_1$, $8a_2$, $12a_1$ and $4b_2$ with calculated IP's of 9.78, 10.35, 10.71 and 10.85 eV. Although the absolute values between measured and calculated ionization energies differ by about 0.8 eV, the relative gaps are predicted with high accuracy ($\textcircled{1}$ + (2) \rightarrow (3) \rightarrow (4) ; exp: 0.27 eV \rightarrow 0.31 eV \rightarrow 0.32 eV; theory: $0.57 \text{ eV} \rightarrow 0.36 \text{ eV} \rightarrow 0.14 \text{ eV}$). The net deviations from Koopmans' theorem, $I_{v,j}^{K}$, within the Br_{π} set are less than 0.8 eV.

Larger reorganization effects and important renormalization contributions are found within the Fe3d MO s $3b_2$, 10a₁ and $6b_1$. The calculated ionization energies amount to 11.19, 11.30 and 11.3 1 eV. In second order of perturbation $I_{v,j}^{K}$ deviations of 3.27, 3.37 and 2.53 eV are calculated, the renormaliztion corrections are 0.64,0.66 and 0.49 eV which lead to final Koopmans' defects of 2.63 eV

 (b_2) , 2.71 eV $(10a_1)$ and 2.04 eV (b_1) , respective- \overline{u} . Once again a difference of about 0.7 eV between theory and experiment is encountered.

The high energy side of peak (5) is assigned to the antisymmetric FeBro combination $(7a₂)$ while the sixth maximum corresponds to the symmetric $11a_1$ orbital. The calculated reorganization energies of 0.49 eV $(7a_2)$ and 0.81 eV $(11a_1)$ are close to the Koopmans' defects derived for the Br_{π} combinations.

TABLE IV. Comparison between the Measured Vertical Ionization Potentials ($I_{v,j}^{exp}$, last column) of Fe(CO)₄Br₂ and Calculated Ones, Assuming the Validity of Koopmans' Theorem $(I_{v,j}^K)$ and Using the Inverse Dyson Equation in a econd Order $(I_{V,j}^K + \Sigma_{j}^{(2)})$ and in a Renormalized Approxi-
pation (IK₎ + X_{j}^{eff}). All Values in eV.

Band	$\Gamma_{\bf i}$	$I_{\nu,j}^{\mathbf{K}}$	$I_{V, i}^{K} + \Sigma_{ii}^{(2)}$	$I_{V, j}^{K} + \Sigma_{jj}^{eff}$	$I_{v,j}^{exp}$
1	7b ₁	10.24	9.73	9.78	8.76
$\mathbf{2}$	8a ₂	10.99	10.28	10.35	9.03
3	$12a_1$	11.16	10.65	10.71	9.34
4	4b ₂	11.58	10.78	10.85	9.66
5	3b ₂	13.82	10.55	11.19	10.63 max a
	$10a_1$	14.01	10.64	11.30	
	6b ₁	13.35	10.82	11.31	
	7a ₂	12.03	11.47	11.54	
6	$11a_1$	12.49	11.57	11.68	11.16

a_{max: maximum.}

The computational results summarized in Table IV indicate clearly that neither Koopmans' theorem, $I_{v,i}^{K}$, nor the second order approximation to the selfenergy part $(I_{v,i}^K + \Sigma_{j}^{(2)})$ correspond to the measured sequence of the ionization energies. As long as $I_{v,i}^K$ is considered Br_{π} and FeBro are predicted on top at the three 'iron 3d' ionization processes. In the case of the second order approximation these IP's are shifted into the region of the Br_{π} ionization events. It is the renormalization procedure that leads to the measured IP sequence Br_{π} < Fe3d < FeBro.

Conclusions

The vertical ionization energies of $Fe(CO)₄Br₂$ in the outer valence region have been determined on the basis of the Green's function formalism in combination with semiempirical CNDO calculations. It has been shown that the IP's can be reproduced with sufficient accuracy. The standard error of estimate between measured and calculated ionization energies amounts to 0.15 eV, the regression coefficient is 0.964.

The sequence of the ionization energies differs from the ordering of the molecular orbitals in the

electronic ground state. In analogy to former investigations $[11, 12, 14, 15]$. a strong correspondence between the localization properties of the orbital wave function and the calculated reorganization energies is detected.

Acknowledgement

This work has been supported by the Stiftung Volkswagenwerk. The assistance of Mrs I. Grimmer in the preparation of the manuscript is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- S. Evans, J. C. Green, M. L. H. Green, A. F. Orchard and D. W. Turner, *Disc. Faraday Sot., 47,* 112 (1969).
- 2 R. F. Fenske and R. L. DeKock, Znorg. *Chem., 8,* 1053
- 3 D. L. Lichtenberger, A. C. Sarapu and R. F. Fenske, (1970). *Inorg. Chem., 12, 702* (1973).
- M. F. Guest, M. B. Hall and I. H. Hillier, Mol. Phys. 25, 629 (1973).
- 5 T. Koopmans, *Physica, I, 104* (1934).
- 6 R. A. N. McLean, J. *Chem. Sot. Dalton Trans., 1568* (1974).
- I M. B. Hall and R. F. Fenske, *Znorg. Chem., 11,* 1619 (1972).
- 8 D. L. Lichtenberger and R. F. Fenske, J. *Am. Chem. Sot., 98, 50* (1976).
- 9 B. R. Higginson, D. R. Lloyd, S. Evans and A. F. Orchard, J. *Chem. Sot. Faraday ZZ, 71,* 1913 (1975).
- \mathbf{a} R. A. Levenson, J. L. Cihonski, P. Milazzo and C. P. Caesar, *Inorg. Chem., 14, 2578* (1978).
- 11 M. C. BGhm and R. Gleiter, *Theor. Chim. Acta, 57, 315* (1980); M. C. BGhm and R. Gleiter, *Chem. Phys., 64, 183 (1982);* M. C. BGhm, R. Gleiter and W. Petz, *Znorg. Chim. Acta, 1.59, 255* (1982).
- 12 M. C. Bahm, Z. *Naturforsch., 36a, 1361* (1981); M. C. BBhm, *Znorg. Chim. Acta, 61,* 19 (1982); M. C. Bahm, Z. *Phys. Chem. Neue Folge, 1129,* 149 (1982).
- 13 M. C. BGhm and R. Gleiter. *Theor. Chim. Acta. 59. 127.* 153 (1981).
- 14 M. C. BGhm, *Znorg. Chem.,* in press.
- 15 M. C. BGhm. *Znorn. Chim. Acta.* in press.
- 16 M. C. BGhm; *Ber.-Bunsenges. Phys.Chem., 86, 56* (1982); M. C. BGhm, *Chem. Phys., 167, 255* (1982).
- 17 F. Ecker and G. Hohlneicher, *Theor. Chim. Acta, 25, 289* (1972); P. O. Nerbrant, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 9, 901 (1975); L. S. Cederbaum and W. Domcke. *Adv. Chem. Phys., 36, 205 (1977); W. v. Niessen, L. S. Cederbaum,* W. Domcke and J. Schirmer, in: 'Computational Methods in Chemistry'. ed. J. Bargon. Plenum Press. New York (1980).
- 18 F. J. Dyson, *Phys. Rev., 75, 486* (1949).
- 19 E. A. McNeil1 and F. R. Scholer, J. *Am. Chem. Sot., 99, 6243* (1977).
- 20 G. Constant, J.-C. Daran and Y. Jeannin, J. *Solid State* Chem., 2, 421 (1970); G. Constant, J.-C. Daran and Y. Jeannin, *Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 35, 4093 (1973).
- 21 J. K. Burdett. J. *Chem. Sot. Faraday ZZ, 70.* 1599 (1974).
- 2 **M. Elian and R. Hoffmann,** *Inorg. Chem.*, 14, 1058 (1975).
- *23* R. S. Mulliken, J. *Chem. Phys., 23, 1833, 2343 (1955).* 24 K. B. Wiberg, *Tetrahedron, 24, 1083 (1968).*
- 24 K. B. Wiberg, *Tetrahedron, 24, 1083 (1968)*.
- C. Cauletti, J. P. Clark, J. C. Green, S. E. Jackson, I. L. *Spectrosc., 18, 61 (1980).*
- *26* D. W. Turner, C. Baker, A. D. Baker and C. R. Brundle, D. W. Turner, C. Baker, A. D. Baker and C. R. Brundle Molec<mark>u</mark>' $(1970).$
- M. F. Guest, B. R. Higginson, D. R. Lloyd a