

Isonicotinic Acid N-Oxide Salts of some Bivalent Metal Ions

RAMAMURTHY PALEPU* and MARY M. MORRISON**

Department of Chemistry, College of Cape Breton, Sydney, N.S., Canada

Received June 2, 1979

Introduction

Since 1961, several studies have been made on the complex forming ability of pyridine monocarboxylic acids and their N-oxides. The picolinate ligand has the tendency to function as a bidentate N,O-chelating agent [1–3]. Nicotinate N-oxide complexes with dipositive 3 d metal ions were studied by Speca *et al.* [4]. These compounds seemed to be hexacoordinated polynuclear complexes with coordination through N–O oxygen and one of the COO oxygens, while the other carboxylate oxygen is hydrogen bonded to water.

As part of a program on the preparation and characterization of bivalent metal complexes of heterocyclic acid N-oxides, a series of bivalent metal isonicotinate N-oxide complexes were prepared and studied. While the work was in progress at our labs, Gelfand *et al.* [5] published the results of the preparation and characterization of isonicotinate N-oxide complexes of Fe, Co, Ni, Zn, Mn and Cu. Our results agree with the published results of Gelfand *et al.* and in the present communication we wish to present the results of some bivalent metal complexes of isonicotinic acid N-oxide that were not studied by Gelfand *et al.* [5].

Experimental

Isonicotinic acid N-oxide (Reilly Tar and Chemical Corp.) was used as received. Reagent grade metal salts and ammonium carbonate were used in the preparation of complexes. Isonicotinic acid N-oxide was dissolved in water by adding ammonium carbonate until the effervescence diminished. The solution was then allowed to stand on a water bath until precipitation of the ammonium salt occurred. Aqueous solutions of metal salt and ammonium isonicotinate N-oxide were combined using an excess of the latter. The resulting solutions were heated on a water bath to promote precipitation. The new complexes were

filtered, washed, allowed to dry in the atmosphere and then stored in a dessicator over Drierite. The complexes were insoluble in water and in most organic solvents, but were soluble in aqueous mineral acid solutions. Metal analysis was made by standard procedures [6–7]. C, H, N analysis was obtained using a Perkin-Elmer C, H, N analyser (Table I).

Percentage of water in the complexes was determined by Thermogravimetric analysis (Table III). Infrared spectra (Table II) were obtained with KBr disks (4000–250 cm^{-1}) by using a P.E. 180 spectrophotometer. Thermal analysis and D.S.C. studies were carried out on a Dupont 990 Thermal analyser (Tables III and IV) and X-ray powder diffraction studies were obtained by the tape method on a Philips-Norelco diffractometer (Table V).

Discussion

Infrared Spectra

In the region 3600–3200 cm^{-1} in most complexes broad medium bands were observed attributable to the simultaneous presence of aqua ligands and lattice water [8]. In the 1800–800 cm^{-1} region isonicotinic acid N-oxide exhibits the characteristic $\nu_{\text{C}=\text{O}}$ (1720, 1708 cm^{-1}), $\nu_{\text{C}-\text{O}}$ (1439 cm^{-1}), $\nu_{\text{N}-\text{O}}$ (1290 cm^{-1}) and $\delta_{\text{N}-\text{O}}$ (867–852 cm^{-1}) absorptions [9]. In the new complexes the ν_{CO_2} (assym) lowered to 1675–1640 cm^{-1} and ν_{CO_2} (sym) to 1375 cm^{-1} . These features are in favour of the participation of the carboxylate oxygens in coordination [8]. Coordination through N–O oxygen is demonstrated by shifts of $\nu_{\text{N}-\text{O}}$ mode to lower frequency and small $\delta_{\text{N}-\text{O}}$ shifts [10–11]. Other ligand bands at 1800–800 cm^{-1} such as ν_{CC} and ν_{CN} modes [12] are not affected by coordination as much as the ν_{CO_2} and $\nu_{\text{N}-\text{O}}$ modes (Table II). No attempt was made to assign the bands in the 450–250 cm^{-1} region to $\nu_{\text{M}-\text{O}}$, as these bands are weak and present in the free acid. The water δ H–O–H mode in the complexes could not be assigned because of the overlapping with the strong absorption due ν_{CO_2} (assym) and ($\nu_{\text{CC}} + \nu_{\text{CN}}$). These bands become sharper in the infrared spectrum of dehydrated compounds, further substantiating the above assumption. From the infrared spectral data it is concluded that the carboxylate groups in the present study function in the same manner as in the case of other bivalent metal complexes reported by Gelfand *et al.* [5].

Thermal Analysis

T.G.A. was used to determine the percentage of water in the complexes (Table III). The results agree well with the proposed formulae. In the case of the Barium salt, the water is given off in two steps. This is

*To whom the correspondence should be addressed.

**Graduate Student, Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.

TABLE I. Analytical Data for Isonicotinate N-oxide (L)-Bivalent Metal Complexes.

Compound	% C		% H		% N		% Metal	
	Found	Calc.	Found	Calc.	Found	Calc.	Found	Calc.
MgL ₂ ·6H ₂ O	35.27	35.25	4.92	4.89	6.92	6.85	6.00	5.95
CaL ₂ ·2H ₂ O	40.25	40.87	3.52	3.43	7.58	7.95	11.11	11.38
BaL ₂ ·3H ₂ O	31.09	30.80	3.10	2.99	6.09	5.99	28.95	29.38
CdL ₂ ·2H ₂ O	33.85	33.94	2.83	2.85	6.80	6.59	26.32	26.47
PbL ₂ ·1.5H ₂ O	28.47	28.21	2.31	2.35	5.39	5.49	40.10	40.59

TABLE II. Infrared Spectra.^a

Compound	Absorptions (cm ⁻¹)									
CdL ₂ ·2H ₂ O	3230 (s, b), 1440 (m), 555 (w),	3100 (w), 1375 (s), 505 (w),	2940 (m, b), 1210 (s), 470 (w),	1640 (s), 870 (m), 400 (w),	1610 (m, sh), 852 (s), 330 (w),	1590 (s), 685 (m), 260 (m)	1550 (s), 665 (w),	1485(m), 639 (s),		
PbL ₂ ·1.5H ₂ O	3400 (m, b), 1380 (s, b), 505 (w),	3100 (w), 1210 (s), 455 (w),	1675 (s), 860 (m), 365 (w)	1615 (m), 808 (w), 320 (w)	1585 (s), 680 (m),	1550 (m), 665 (w),	1480 (m), 635 (s),	1435 (m),		
BaL ₂ ·3H ₂ O	3380 (s, b), 1430 (m), 535 (w),	3200 (b), 1384 (s, b), 515 (w),	3100 (w), 1220 (s), 450 (m),	1640 (s), 860 (m), 365 (m),	1610 (m, sh), 825 (w), 310 (w)	1585 (s), 680 (m),	1540 (m), 662 (w),	1475 (m), 634 (s),		
CaL ₂ ·2H ₂ O	3330 (s, b), 1430 (m), 554 (w),	3180 (w), 1395 (s), 502 (w),	3040 (w), 1212 (s), 465 (w),	1630 (s), 865 (s), 354 (w),	1605 (s, sh), 815 (w), 295 (m),	1580 (s), 685 (m), 275 (w)	1550 (s), 664 (w),	1475 (m), 630 (m),		
MgL ₂ ·6H ₂ O	3380 (s, br), 1484 (m), 545 (w),	3120 (w), 1430 (m), 510 (w),	3090 (w), 1385 (s, br), 375 (w),	2940 (w), 1225 (s), 315 (w),	1660 (m), 865 (m), 275 (w).	1625 (m), 830 (w),	1592 (s), 670 (m),	1544 (m), 635 (m),		

^aL = isonicotinate N-oxide.

TABLE III. Thermogravimetric Analysis.

Compound	Dehydration Temp. (°C)	% of Wt. Loss		Explanation
		Found	Cal.	
MgL ₂ ·6H ₂ O	50-210	26.00	26.40	Loss of 6H ₂ O
CaL ₂ ·2H ₂ O	120-260	10.50	10.20	Loss of 2H ₂ O
BaL ₂ ·3H ₂ O	30-97	7.0	7.7	Loss of 2H ₂ O
	97-150	4.1	3.9	Loss of H ₂ O
CdL ₂ ·2H ₂ O	90-200	8.4	8.5	Loss of 2H ₂ O
PbL ₂ ·1.5H ₂ O	40-110	5.4	5.5	Loss of 1.5H ₂ O

further supported by two dehydration endotherms in the DSC study. The loosely bound water comes off first followed by the strongly held water. Most of the compounds underwent violent decomposition disrupting the balance pan and therefore the complete weight loss studies could not be carried out. In the Differential Scanning calorimetric studies (Table IV) the first endotherm corresponds to dehydration followed by a small exotherm which is not reversible. X-ray diffraction studies before and after these exotherms indicate that the peak is due to recrystallization of the anhydrous complexes formed before

TABLE IV. D.S.C. Studies.

Compound	Peak Temp. (°C)	Description
MgL ₂ ·6H ₂ O	140	Endotherm Dehydration
	295	Exotherm Crystallization
	400	Exotherm Decomposition
CaL ₂ ·2H ₂ O	200	Endotherm Dehydration
	387	Exotherm Decomposition
	418	
BaL ₂ ·3H ₂ O	63	Endotherm Dehydration
	112	Endotherm Dehydration
	276	Exotherm Crystallization
	392	Exotherm Decomposition
480		
CdL ₂ ·2H ₂ O	150	Endotherm Dehydration
	253	Exotherm Crystallization
	360	Exotherm Decomposition
	480	
PbL ₂ ·1.5H ₂ O	80	Endotherm Dehydration
	203	Exotherm Crystallization
	320	Exotherm Decomposition
	440	

decomposition. The final exotherms are due to violent decomposition of the complex. No attempts were made to identify the products.

TABLE V. X-Ray Powder Diffraction Patterns of $ML_2 \cdot xH_2O$ Complexes. L = Isonicotinate N-oxide (d spacings, Å; I in parentheses).

INO	M = Mg x = 6	M = Ca x = 2	M = Ba x = 3	M = Cd x = 2	M = Pb x = 1.5
7.25(4)	7.60(100)	8.00(100)	8.67(100)	7.13(15)	7.12(36)
6.22(18)	5.27(8)	6.17(12)	5.30(33)	5.74(35)	5.79(76)
5.92(9)	4.88(51)	4.90(24)	5.03(83)	5.45(25)	5.43(32)
5.61(4)	3.83(18)	4.29(6)	4.35(33)	5.09(18)	4.69(64)
5.51(5)	3.42(62)	4.03(13)	4.18(46)	4.15(100)	4.35(24)
5.06(100)	3.21(5)	3.99(17)	4.10(79)	3.93(15)	4.30(28)
4.91(13)	3.15(21)	3.86(6)	3.83(21)	3.80(15)	4.22(100)
3.76(24)	2.91(3)	3.70(8)	3.70(58)	3.33(93)	3.66(20)
3.69(23)	2.57(29)	2.56(59)	3.66(38)	3.20(20)	3.57(60)
3.66(8)	2.41(16)	3.47(5)	3.51(8)	3.09(63)	3.41(68)
3.63(4)	1.92(4)	3.27(6)	3.30(46)	2.94(28)	3.24(28)
3.51(10)	1.86(4)	3.12(41)	3.12(21)	2.85(30)	3.18(64)
3.39(8)		3.08(5)	3.09(29)	2.78(10)	3.12(96)
3.32(5)		3.03(8)	3.05(17)	2.60(10)	3.02(16)
3.24(8)		2.96(5)	2.93(25)	2.52(5)	2.87(48)
3.15(11)		2.85(4)	2.88(13)	2.41(8)	2.84(24)
3.11(7)		2.81(4)	2.76(17)	2.37(5)	2.72(16)
3.03(5)		2.65(12)	2.71(13)	2.34(23)	2.69(16)
3.01(5)		2.57(4)	2.67(13)	2.07(15)	2.59(28)
2.53(9)		2.45(3)	2.64(17)	2.01(18)	2.51(28)
2.44(6)		2.40(9)	2.58(17)	1.97(8)	2.45(36)
2.16(3)		2.19(3)	2.48(21)	1.85(8)	2.35(32)
2.06(3)		2.14(5)	2.41(29)		2.28(32)
1.90(6)		2.03(3)	2.36(13)		2.07(32)
		1.99(6)	2.28(8)		
		1.94(4)	2.17(13)		
		1.91(10)	2.12(8)		
		1.89(3)	2.09(17)		
			1.99(13)		
			1.89(8)		
			1.81(13)		

X-Ray Diffraction Studies

A comparison of the X-ray diffraction pattern of the complexes indicates that the structures are not isomorphous. The X-ray powder pattern of Mg complex which is hexahydrate is very similar to the hexahydrates of Zn, Co, Ni [5] indicating that they are about the same structure, but cannot be characterized as isostructural. Other complexes exhibited several strong, sharp lines indicating high crystallinity.

In conclusion, the solubility characteristics and the infrared spectral evidence of the new complexes are definitely in favour of polynuclear in nature as suggested by Gelfand *et al.* [5].

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the National Research Council for their generous support and Dr. E. A. Secco of the Department of Chemistry, St. Francis Xavier University, Antigonish, Nova Scotia, Canada, for providing facilities required to carry out this research.

References

- 1 A. B. P. Lever, J. Lewis and R. S. Nyholm, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 5262 (1962).
- 2 T. G. Delia, M. A. Little and D. X. West, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 35, 1400 (1973).
- 3 S. A. Boyd, R. E. Kohram and D. X. West, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 38, 607 (1976).
- 4 A. N. Specca, L. S. Gelfand, L. L. Pytlewski, C. Owens and N. M. Karayannis, *Inorg. Chem.*, 15 (7), 1493 (1976).
- 5 L. S. Gelfand, L. L. Pytlewski, D. L. Cosgrove, C. M. Mikulski, A. N. Specca and N. M. Karayannis, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 32, 69 (1979).
- 6 H. A. Flaschka, 'E.D.T.A. Titrations, Pergamon Press (1964).
- 7 A. L. Vogel, 'Quantitative Inorganic Analysis', 2nd Edition, Longmans, Green and Company, N.Y. (1958).
- 8 K. Nakamoto, 'Infrared Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds', 2nd Edition, Wiley-Interscience, N.Y. (1970).
- 9 G. Costa and E. Pauluzzi, *C.A. 51*, 4823b (1957).
- 10 L. C. Nathan and R. O. Ragsdale, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 10, 177 (1974).
- 11 A. N. Specca, L. S. Gelfand, L. L. Pytlewski, C. Owens and N. M. Karayannis, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 39, 537 (1977).
- 12 A. R. Katvitzky and J. N. Gardner, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 2192 (1958).