

considered [9] but, since there are two chlorides per ruthenium, this implies an unsymmetrical structure and a more complex ^{31}P NMR would be expected. Conductivity data ruled out ionic species.

Closer examination of complex **1** that we prepared revealed that it did contain small amounts of nitrogen. We had initially considered this to be due to residual traces of the pyridyl ketone, since nitrogen was said to be absent [1]. However, we have now demonstrated that the synthesis of **1** is *not* catalytic in ketone but involves a 1:1 stoichiometric reaction between RuCl_2P_3 and, for example, 2-acetylpyridine. This is readily shown by a spectrophotometric titration of the rapid reaction in CHCl_3 , **1** having a visible absorption maximum at 580 nm, while undissociated RuCl_2P_3 has absorption maxima at 480 and 750 nm [11], and $[\text{RuCl}_2\text{P}_2]_2$ has a broad maximum at about 500 nm. The absorption at 580 nm increases to a maximum with added acetylpyridine concentration at a 1:1 ratio with the ruthenium. The elemental analysis is consistent with an empirical formula $\text{RuCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7\text{NO})$ [calc. for $\text{C}_{43}\text{H}_{37}\text{NOCl}_2\text{P}_2\text{-Ru}$; C, 63.1; H, 4.5; N, 1.7; Cl, 8.7. Found C, 62.2; H, 4.6; N, 1.6; Cl, 7.8%], and we measured cryoscopically an average molecular weight of 800 in 1,2-dibromoethane which shows the complex to be monomeric [calc. 817], although Vriends *et al.* [1] reported an osmometric molecular weight of 1277. Proton NMR readily confirms the presence of one acetylpyridine per two triphenylphosphines in the complex. Further, the methyl resonance of the acetyl group (τ 7.60) is shifted about 0.2 ppm upfield from that of the free ligand, while the 6-H of the pyridine ring (τ 0.46) is shifted about 0.9 ppm downfield, and these data strongly suggest that the acetylpyridine is chelated via the carbonyl and nitrogen. The lack of an IR band in the 1700–1800 cm^{-1} region also shows coordination via the acetyl carbonyl; several bands in the 1550–1600 cm^{-1} region could be attributed to $\nu(\text{CO})$, and bands at 1020, 780, 730, and 620 cm^{-1} are likely due to the coordinated pyridine [12]. A ^{31}P NMR AB pattern results from either *cis* or *trans* chloride isomers of a $\text{RuCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2(\text{C}_7\text{H}_7\text{NO})$ complex containing *cis* phosphines; the six-coordinate chelate structure also explains more readily the non-fluxional behavior at ambient conditions. We favor slightly the *trans*-isomer due to the dominance of a single band at 325 cm^{-1} [$\nu(\text{Ru-Cl})$] in the 400–250 cm^{-1} region, although the exact nature of **1** will be elucidated by X-ray analysis which is reported to be underway [1]. Our complex **2** is certainly the

$[\text{RuCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]_2$ dimer, presumably with square pyramidal geometry at the ruthenium.

Vriends *et al.* [1] also report ^{31}P NMR data for the species produced *in situ* in DMA by H_2 reduction of RuCl_3P_2 , equation (1); a single broad resonance at 55.7 ppm (from 20 to -10°C) was tentatively attributed to $\text{RuCl}_2\text{P}_2(\text{DMA})$. The ^{31}P NMR spectrum of $[\text{RuCl}_2\text{P}_2]_2$ (our complex **2**) in DMA at 25°C is a sharp singlet at 64.9 ppm and this we attribute [3] to $\text{RuCl}_2\text{P}_2(\text{DMA})_2$ by analogy with other such six-coordinate complexes [7]. On adding excess chloride to the $[\text{RuCl}_2\text{P}_2]_2/\text{DMA}$ system, the singlet is shifted to 48.1 ppm; visible spectral measurements [13] indicate formation of a dimer, possibly a triple chloro-bridged species such as $\text{Ru}_2\text{Cl}_5(\text{PPh}_3)_4^-$. The *in situ* species formed according to equation (1) does contain excess chloride, and the broad resonance at 55.7 ppm [1] could result from an exchanging equilibrium mixture of $\text{RuCl}_2\text{P}_2(\text{DMA})_2$ and the pentachloro dimer.

Acknowledgements

We thank the N.R.C. for financial support and Johnson Matthey and Co. Ltd., for a loan of ruthenium.

References

- 1 R. C. J. Vriends, G. V. Koten and K. Vrieze, *Inorg. Chim. Acta Letters*, 26, L29 (1978).
- 2 B. R. James and D. K. W. Wang, *Chem. Comm.*, 550 (1977).
- 3 D. K. Wang, *Ph.D. Dissertation*, University of British Columbia, (1978).
- 4 B. R. James, A. D. Rattray and D. K. W. Wang, *Chem. Comm.*, 792 (1976).
- 5 B. R. James, L. D. Markham and D. K. W. Wang, *Chem. Comm.*, 439 (1974).
- 6 B. R. James, L. D. Markham, B. C. Hui and G. L. Rempel, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, 2247 (1973).
- 7 J. D. Gilbert and G. Wilkinson, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, 1749 (1969); R. K. Poddar and U. Agarwala, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 35, 567 (1973).
- 8 K. G. Caulton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 96, 3005 (1974); P. R. Hoffman and K. G. Caulton, *ibid.*, 97, 4221 (1975).
- 9 P. W. Armit, A. S. F. Boyd and T. A. Stephenson, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, 1663 (1975).
- 10 J. S. Valentine, *Chem. Comm.*, 857 (1973).
- 11 B. R. James and L. D. Markham, *Inorg. Chem.*, 13, 97 (1974).
- 12 N. S. Gill, R. H. Nutall, D. E. Scaife, and D. W.A. Sharp, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 18, 79 (1961).
- 13 B. R. James and A. D. Rattray, to be published.