

## Spectroscopic Studies of Substituted Imidazole Complexes. III. 2-Methylbenzimidazole Complexes of Divalent Cobalt, Nickel, Copper, and Zinc

D. M. L. Goodgame, M. Goodgame, and G. W. Rayner Canham

Received August 9, 1971

The preparations are reported of the 2-methylbenzimidazole (= L) complexes  $ML_2X_2$  ( $M = Co, Ni, Cu, or Zn, X = Cl, Br, or NO_3$ ) and  $ML_2I_2$  ( $M = Co, Ni, or Zn$ ). The results of electronic and low-frequency vibrational spectral and magnetic susceptibility measurements are presented and are used to assign coordination geometries. E.p.r. data are also given for the copper complexes.

### Introduction

Benzimidazoles with a wide variety of 2-substituents have interesting and useful biological properties. For example, 2-trifluoromethylbenzimidazole derivatives have been patented as herbicides,<sup>1</sup> and 2- $\alpha$ -hydroxybenzylbenzimidazole has anti-virus activity.<sup>2</sup> As part of a study of the coordination behaviour of molecules of this general type we have investigated metal complexes of 2-methylbenzimidazole (= L), since it is both useful itself as a herbicide,<sup>3</sup> and is also the simplest member of the class. We report here the results for divalent cobalt, nickel, copper, and zinc.

### Experimental Section

**Preparation of Complexes.** All complexes were dried in vacuum over  $P_2O_5$ . Analyses (C, H, and N) for all compounds were good.

**$CoL_2X_2$  and  $ZnL_2X_2$  ( $X = Cl, Br, or I$ ).** Solutions of the metal salt and 2-methylbenzimidazole in acetone were mixed in 1:2 mole ratio. The mixture was evaporated to small bulk and a little benzene was added. The crystals formed were filtered off, washed with benzene, and recrystallised from acetone/carbon tetrachloride.

**$CuL_2X_2$  ( $X = Cl, Br, or NO_3$ ).** Solutions of the copper salt and 2-methylbenzimidazole in ethanol were mixed in 1:2 mole ratio. On standing, crystalline solids were formed, and were filtered off, and washed with ethanol and ether.

**$ML_2(NO_3)_2$  ( $M = Co, Ni, or Zn$ ).** The metal nitrate was dissolved in 2,2-dimethoxypropane, and a 2:1 mole ratio of 2-methylbenzimidazole was added. The solution was evaporated to small volume and was allowed to crystallise (addition of benzene was necessary for the zinc complex). The compounds were recrystallised from acetone/carbon tetrachloride, and were washed with carbon tetrachloride.

**$NiL_2X_2$  ( $X = Cl, Br, or I$ ).** These were prepared as described previously.<sup>4</sup>

**Physical Measurements.** These were made as described previously<sup>5</sup>

### Results and Discussion

All the compounds isolated have the stoichiometry  $ML_2X_2$ ; the steric requirements of 2-methylbenzimidazole presumably preclude the formation of complexes with a higher ligand: metal ratio. With the cobalt halides, complexes of essentially tetrahedral geometry are formed. Their magnetic moments and intense reflectance spectra (Table I) agree well with those expected for this stereochemistry, with  $\Delta$  values of 4000 ( $X = I$ ) to 4500  $cm^{-1}$  ( $X = Cl$ ).

Although the compound  $CoL_2(NO_3)_2$  has a relatively low magnetic moment (4.47 B.M. at room temperature) its electronic spectrum does not resemble those of distorted tetrahedral cobalt(II) compounds. It is probably six coordinate, with chelating nitrate groups.

All the cobalt(II) nitrate complexes which are known, or may be reasonably supposed, to be of this type have rather similar electronic spectra (Table II). In each case, in addition to the strong, broad, or multiple, band in the 17,500-21,500  $cm^{-1}$  region expected for the  ${}^4T_{1g}(F) \rightarrow {}^4T_{1g}(P)$  transition for an octahedral cobalt(II) complex and bands in the near infrared region, there is a weak to medium intensity band at 12,000-14,500  $cm^{-1}$ . The exact position of this extra band depends on the type of neutral ligand present, being near 12,000  $cm^{-1}$  for oxygen donors<sup>6</sup> and at 13,400-14,500  $cm^{-1}$  for nitrogen donors.<sup>7-9</sup> This de-

(1) See, for example, D.E. Burton, A.H. Lambie, J.C.L. Ludgate, G.T. Newbold, A. Percival, and D.T. Sagers, *Nature*, 208, 1166 (1965).

(2) A.C. Hollinshead and P.K. Smith, *J. Pharm. Exp. Therapeutics*, 123, 54 (1958).

(3) U.S. Borax and Chemical Corp., Brit. pat. 1, 015, 937 (1966).

(4) M. Goodgame and M.J. Weeks, *J. Chem. Soc. (A)*, 1156, (1966).

(5) D.M.L. Goodgame, M. Goodgame, P.J. Hayward, and G.W. Rayner Canham, *Inorg. Chem.*, 7, 2447 (1968).

(6) F.A. Cotton, D.M.L. Goodgame, and R.H. Soderberg, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2, 1162 (1963).

**Table I.** Reflectance Spectra and Room Temperature Magnetic Moments of the Complexes.

Compound	$\mu_{\text{eff}}(\text{B.M.})$	$\nu_{\text{max}} (\text{cm}^{-1})$
CoL <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	4.46	4550 br, 6150, 7300, 8800, 15,900, ~16,200 sh, 17,400 <sup>a</sup>
CoL <sub>2</sub> Br <sub>2</sub>	4.57	4170 br, 5650, 6900, 8700, 15,300, 15,600, 17,200 <sup>a</sup>
CoL <sub>2</sub> I <sub>2</sub>	4.59	~4000 br, 5650, 6850, 8500, 14,800, ~15,100 sh, 16,700, <sup>a</sup> 26,800
CoL <sub>2</sub> (NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	4.47	7450, ~8700 sh, 14,100, 18,200, ~21,300 sh
NiL <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> <sup>b</sup>	3.60	6750, 10,000, ~12,100 sh, 15,500, 18,300
Zn(Ni)L <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	—	6600, 10,100, ~12,200 sh, 15,000, 17,700
NiL <sub>2</sub> (NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	3.05	9100, ~13,300 sh, 15,700, ~23,300 sh, 24,600
CuL <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	1.78	13,200, ~17,000 sh; 24,400 br
CuL <sub>2</sub> Br <sub>2</sub>	1.76	~14,500 sh, 16,400, 23,800 br
CuL <sub>2</sub> (NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	1.75	~13,700 sh, 17,200, 26,800
» (95°K)	—	13,900, 17,900, 26,500

<sup>a</sup> Spin-forbidden bands above 17,000 cm<sup>-1</sup> omitted. <sup>b</sup> From. ref. 4.

**Table II.** Electronic spectra of some complexes CoL<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.

L	$\nu, \text{cm}^{-1}$					Ref.
Me <sub>3</sub> PO	5660	7800	11,900	17,850	19,050	6
Ph <sub>3</sub> PO		7580	11,900	17,920	~19,000 sh	6
Ph <sub>3</sub> AsO	~6700 sh	7810	~11,800 sh	17,450	~18,700 sh	6
					~20,600 sh	
benzimidazole	7840	9010	13,790	17,860	~20,000 sh	7
2-methylimidazole	7870	9500	14,500		18,600	8
1,2-dimethylimidazole	7900	8800	13,400		18,500	9
2-methylbenzimidazole	7450	~8700 sh	14,100	18,200	~21,300 sh	<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> This work

**Table III.** Vibrational Spectra (400-90 cm<sup>-1</sup>) of 2-methylbenzimidazole and some of its complexes.

Compound	$\nu_{\text{max}} (\text{cm}^{-1})$
L	324w, 285m, ~270sh, 261s, 197mbr, 132w
L (R) <sup>a</sup>	334w, 281w, 123s.
CoL <sub>2</sub> (NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	326m, 307s, 285m, ~268sh, 220m, 165s
NiL <sub>2</sub> (NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	332s, 309s, 291s, 262sbr, ~240sh, ~160s, vbr, ~100s, vbr.
ZnL <sub>2</sub> (NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	323w, 307m, 280m, ~260sh, ~200w, vbr, ~170mbr, ~100m, vbr.
CuL <sub>2</sub> (NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	343s, 327s, 300s, br, 257m, 185s, 132s, 102m
CuL <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	331w, 290m, 276s, 270s, 220w, 181s, 160s.
CuL <sub>2</sub> Br <sub>2</sub>	343s, 326s, 292s, 240m, 214s, 175s, 124s.

<sup>a</sup> (R) indicates Raman Spectrum

pendence of the band position on the ligand field strength and its intensity suggest that the upper level of the transition concerned originates from the <sup>4</sup>F free ion term and not from <sup>2</sup>G. It is probably a component of  $\nu_1$  (<sup>4</sup>T<sub>1g</sub>(F)→<sup>4</sup>T<sub>2g</sub> in O<sub>h</sub>), the pronounced splitting reflecting the very low symmetry in this class of compound, as is further shown by the relatively low magnetic moment.

Pseudotetrahedral structures have been assigned<sup>4</sup> to the complexes NiL<sub>2</sub>X<sub>2</sub> (X = Cl, Br, or I) on the basis of their electronic spectra and magnetic moments. The <sup>3</sup>T<sub>1</sub>→<sup>3</sup>T<sub>2</sub> transition ( $\nu_1$ ) appears as a symmetrical band near 7000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and shows no sign of splitting either at low temperature, or, in the case of the chloride, on dilution in the isomorphous zinc complex. However, other components of this transition may be hidden by the <sup>3</sup>T<sub>1</sub>→<sup>3</sup>A<sub>2</sub> transition, or be below the range of our measurements.

All the copper compounds appear to have distorted six-coordinate structures. They each have a pair of *d-d* bands at 13,000-18,000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, plus an intense band at high energy (Table I). This last moves to higher energy in the sequence Br<sup>-</sup> < Cl<sup>-</sup> < NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and presumably arises from anion→metal electron transfer.

**Vibrational Spectra.** For the compounds ML<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (M = Co, Ni, or Zn), the internal vibrations of the nitrate group are similar to those found<sup>10</sup> for complexes of the type Mpy<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, where py is pyridine or a substituted pyridine, which were considered to contain chelating nitrate groups, though the positions of  $\nu_1$  for our compounds (1475-1490 cm<sup>-1</sup>) are at the low end of the reported range.

For CuL<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, the  $\nu_1$  frequency (1440 cm<sup>-1</sup>) is distinctly lower than for the cobalt, nickel and zinc compounds and is closer to, though still above, the values quoted<sup>10</sup> for monodentate nitrate groups. Since copper nitrate-complexes usually have  $\nu_1$  towards

(7) M. Goodgame and F.A. Cotton, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **84**, 1543 (1962).

(8) D.M.L. Goodgame, M. Goodgame and G.W. Rayner Canham, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **3**, 399 (1969).

(9) D.M.L. Goodgame, M. Goodgame, and G.W. Rayner Canham, *J. Chem. Soc. (A)*, 1923 (1971).

(10) A.B.P. Lever, *Inorg. Chem.*, **4**, 1042 (1965).

**Table IV.** Vibrational Spectra (400-90  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) of Pseudotetrahedral Complexes of 2-methylbenzimidazole

Compound	Ligand Bands	$\nu(\text{M-L})$	$\nu(\text{M-X})$	Other Bands
$\text{CoL}_2\text{Cl}_2$	326m, 297sh, 280m, 178m	229w, 210w	311s <sup>a</sup>	150s, 130s, 106m
$\text{CoL}_2\text{Br}_2$	329m, 321m, 302s, 287m, 279m, 170s	210m	249s, 236s	129s, 100m, br
$\text{CoL}_2\text{I}_2$	329m, 299s, 282s, 174w		(218sh, 208s) <sup>b</sup>	120m
$\text{NiL}_2\text{Cl}_2$	330sh, 305s, 282m, 177m	211m	315s <sup>a</sup>	167m, 154m, 125m, 109w
$\text{NiL}_2\text{Br}_2$	329m, 322m, 304s, 291w, 280m, 174 m	202w	246s, 238m	128m, 100m, br
$\text{NiL}_2\text{I}_2$	330m, 323m, 302s, 283m, 279m, 174w		(226m, 216m, 200m)	153m, 112s
$\text{ZnL}_2\text{Cl}_2$	330w, 322w, 303s, 287s, 280w, 176w	197s	<sup>a</sup>	152s, 125s
» (R) <sup>c</sup>	309w, 290m, 184w			144s, 104m
$\text{ZnL}_2\text{Br}_2$	329w, 321w, 297m, 286m, 278w, 170m	189s	229s, 218s	131m, 100w, br
» (R)	171m		226m	139s, 98sh
$\text{ZnL}_2\text{I}_2$	328m, 323m, 297s, 277s		(195vs, 187s)	160wm, 97m
» (R)	285w, 167sh			159m, 97m

<sup>a</sup> One or more components of  $\nu(\text{M-Cl})$  obscured by ligand bands. <sup>b</sup> Bands in parentheses due to  $\nu(\text{M-L})$  and  $\nu(\text{M-X})$ . <sup>c</sup> (R) indicates Raman spectrum.

**Table V.** Observed g-values for some  $\text{Cu}(\text{2-methylbenzimidazole})_2\text{X}_2$  Complexes

X	X-band			Q-band		
	$g_1$	$g_2$	$g_3$	$g_1$	$g_2$	$g_3$
$\text{NO}_3$	2.06	2.09	2.30	2.07	2.10	2.31
Cl		2.06	2.29	2.06	2.08	2.30
Br		2.05	2.21	2.06	2.07	2.22

the low end of the range,<sup>10</sup> it seems unlikely that these are genuinely monodentate, but that unsymmetrical chelation is present in this complex.

The low-frequency vibrational bands of 2-methylbenzimidazole are given in Table III and those of some of its complexes in Tables III and IV. The ligand band at  $324 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  generally moves to higher energy in the complexes, and is somewhat enhanced in intensity, and the band-system at  $261\text{--}285 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  also moves to higher energy. One of the bands in this region may be related to the strong band at  $265 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in 2-methylimidazole, which also moves to higher energy on complex formation.<sup>8</sup> This band is probably due to a bending mode of the  $\text{H}_3\text{C}$ -ring grouping.

The profusion of ligand bands makes the identification of metal-ligand stretches difficult. However, for the pseudotetrahedral complexes most of the metal-anion stretching frequencies were observed (Table IV). There is also a metal-sensitive band, or pair of bands, at  $210\text{--}230 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  for cobalt,  $200\text{--}226 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  for nickel and  $187\text{--}197 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  for zinc, which may have appreciable metal-nitrogen stretching character. This is very close to the region associated with metal-iodine stretching frequencies, and for the iodides it is likely that appreciable interaction occurs between the  $\nu(\text{M-N})$  and  $\nu(\text{M-I})$  modes.

Below  $180 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , the spectra are more dependent on the anion than the metal. A band at  $170\text{--}180 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,

which may be the  $197 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  ligand band shifted to lower energy, appears in nearly all of the compounds, while the band at about  $130 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  has much greater intensity in the complexes. In addition, all the chlorides have a band near  $150 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  which is absent from the other complexes, except  $\text{NiL}_2\text{I}_2$ , where it may be due to a Ni-I stretching mode.

*E.P.R. Spectra.* Polycrystalline samples of  $\text{CuL}_2\text{X}_2$  ( $\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{or } \text{NO}_3$ ) were studied at both X-band ( $\sim 9.3 \text{ GHz}$ ) and Q-band ( $\sim 36.0 \text{ GHz}$ ) frequencies and the g-values were determined by the method of Kneubühl,<sup>12</sup> (Table V).

In the absence of X-ray structural information the observed g-values cannot be taken as the accurate molecular g-values. However in the case of the nitrate at X-band frequency and all three compounds at Q-band frequency, which provides better resolution, the  $g_1$  absorption is split. This indication of a rhombic distortion for the compounds is in agreement with the conclusions from the infrared spectrum of the nitrate, and, by analogy with the known structure of  $\text{Cu}(\text{N-methylimidazole})_2\text{Br}_2$ ,<sup>13</sup> with the probable structures of the halide complexes.

*Acknowledgments.* We thank the Agricultural Research Council for supporting this work.

- (11) C.C. Addison and W.B. Simpson, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 598 (1965).  
 (12) F.K. Kneubühl, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 33, 1074 (1960).  
 (13) B.D. Ludbrook, D. Rogers, and D.J. Williams, to be published.