Contribution from the Chemistry Department, Wakayama University, Masagocho, Wakayama, Japan and the Research Laboratories, Fujisawa Pharmaceutical Company, Kashimacho, Higashiyodogawa-ku, Osaka, Japan

Proton Magnetic Resonance Spectra of Malonato-Containing Cobalt(III) Complexes

# H. Yoneda and Y. Morimoto

Received July 12, 1967

The proton magnetic resonance spectra of [Co mal en<sub>2</sub>]- $NO_3$  and  $K[Co mal_2 en]$  were measured in  $D_2O$ . As a result, two kinds of effects due to chelation were found about the  $CH_2$  signal of the chelating malonato ring. First, the intensity of the  $CH_2$  signal of the malonato group was found to decrease with time and to disappear completely upon standing overnight. Second, the CH<sub>2</sub> signal of the malonato chelate ring in  $K[Co mal_2 en]$ was found to appear as a quartet, which suggests two kinds of hydrogen atoms in the same  $CH_2$  group.

# Introduction

High resolution nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy has shown its ability to provide informations about the structures of a large number of organic compounds, but only a few works<sup>1</sup> have been reported in the field of coordination chemistry. We have been interested in the studies on the proton magnetic resonance spectra of cobalt(III) ammine complexes and reported several papers on this subject.<sup>2</sup> In this paper we should like to report several interesting features of the proton magnetic resonance spectra of cobalt(III) complexes containing the OCC-CH2-COO group.

# **Experimental Section**

[Co mal en<sub>2</sub>]NO<sub>3</sub> <sup>3</sup> was prepared from Materials.  $[C_0CO_3en_2]NO_3$  and malonic acid. K $[C_0 mal_2 en]$ . H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>4</sup> was kindly supplied by Dr. J. Hidaka, Osaka University. Both complexes were analytically pure.

The spectra reported here N.M.R. measurements. were recorded on a Varian A60 Analytical n.m.r. All spectra were run in D<sub>2</sub>O, and spectrometer. chemical shifts were measured relative to the sodium salt of 3-(trimethylsilyl)-propane sulfonate as an internal reference.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Figure 1 shows the spectra of [Co mal en<sub>2</sub>]NO<sub>3</sub> dissolved in D<sub>2</sub>O. Curves a and b represent the spectra measured 5 and 30 minutes respectively after dissolution. The assignment of bands can be made



Figure 1. The p.m.r. spectra of [Co mal  $en_2$ ]NO<sub>3</sub> in D<sub>2</sub>O.

easily by considering their intensities. The band on the high field side is assigned to the CH2 protons of the ethylenediamine chelate ring, and the sharp peak just left of it is due to the CH<sub>2</sub> protons of the malonato The two broad bands on the low field chelate ring. side come from the two kinds of NH<sub>2</sub> groups of the ethylenediamine chelate ring. It is interesting to note that the sharp peak of the malonato  $CH_2$  protons changed with time (see curves a and b). This suggests that the malonato CH<sub>2</sub> protons are replaced by deuterium. Here it must be noted that this kind of exchange did not take place in the case of sodium malonate dissolved in  $D_2O$ . In this case, even when the temperature of the solution was raised to 90°C, no appreciable decrease in signal intensity was observed. Therefore, the exchange observed in the complex must be attributed to chelation. A similar kind of decrease in signal intensity was observed in the case of K[Co mal<sub>2</sub> en] too. Figure 2 shows the spectra of this Curves a and b represent the spectra complex. recorded 5 and 30 minutes respectively after dissolution. The signals of CH2 and NH2 protons of the

Yoneda, Morimoto | Proton Magnetic Resonance Spectra of Co<sup>III</sup> Complexes

 <sup>(1)</sup> For examples, P. Clifton and L. Pratt, Proc. Chem. Soc., 339
 (1963); R. J. Day and C. N. Reilley, Anal. Chem., 36, 1073 (1964); A. Chakravory, J. P. Fenessey and R. H. Holm, Inorg. Chem., 3, 1521 (1964); *ibid.*, 4, 26 (1965).
 (2) H. Yoneda and Y. Morimoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 39, 2180
 (1966); Proceedings of the 9th I.C.C.C., 153 (1966).
 (3) A. Werner, Lieb. Ann., 386, 80 (1912).
 (4) F. P. Dwyer, Ian K. Reid and F. L. Garvan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83 (1961).

<sup>83 (1961).</sup> 

## **Experimental Section**

Preparation of the Substituted Pyridine N-Oxides. Pyridine N-oxide, 4-methoxy- and 4-methylpyridine Noxide were obtained commercially. The 4-nitro and 4-chloro substituted ligands were prepared from pyridine N-oxide by the method of Ochiai.<sup>16</sup> The melting points of the two products were consistent with literature values.

Preparation of the Complexes. In general a solution of the hydrated metal perchlorate in 2,2-dimethoxypropane and absolute ethanol was stirred for three hours at room temperature, a procedure which served to dehydrate the perchlorate. The complexes were then prepared by the addition of this mixture to an excess of an ethanolic solution of the ligand. A molar ratio, ligand: perchlorate, of 10:1 was employed. The products, which generally crystallized immediately or upon standing for a short time, were filtered, washed with ethanol and dried in vacuo. For R = H, it was frequently found unnecessary to carry out the initial dehydration procedure and complexes were prepared simply by mixing warm methanol or ethanol solutions of the hydrated perchlorate and the ligand.

In the reaction with copper(II) perchlorate the use of the above conditions yielded a hexakis product for  $R = H_1$ , but tetrakis products with  $R = OCH_3$ ,  $CH_3$ , Cl and NO<sub>2</sub>. For the unsubstituted pyridine N-oxide, the tetrakis species was isolated by the use of stoichiometric quantities of the ligand and copper(II) perchlorate.

Analytical Data. Microanalyses for carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen were performed by Galbraith Laboratories, Inc., Knoxville, Tennesse. Metal analyses were carried out following the method reported by Guerrin et al.<sup>17</sup> The analytical data are presented in Table I.

Spectral Measurements. Infrared spectra in the region 1300-250 cm<sup>-1</sup> were recorded on a Perkin Elmer, Model 521, grating spectrophotometer. The samples were measured as nujol mulls supported between caesium bromide plates.

Diffuse reflectance spectra of solid samples were obtained on a Beckman DU spectrophotometer fitted with the standard reflectance attachment and employing a block of magnesium carbonate as standard.

Visible spectra were also recorded as mineral oil mulls in the region 350-1500 mµ on a Cary 14 recording spectrophotometer. The mulls were smeared on filter paper and run against a reference consisting of a similar piece of filter paper soaked in mineral oil.

Magnetic Measurements. Magnetic susceptibilities were determined by the Faraday method using equipment and procedures which have been described previously.<sup>18</sup> Mercury tetrathiocyanatocobaltate(II) was used as magnetic susceptibility standard<sup>19</sup> and diamagnetic corrections were estimated from Pascal's constants.20

## **Results and Discussion**

The Complexes. For M = Fe(III), Co(II), Ni(II) and Cu(11), mixing ethanolic solutions of the ligand and

| Table I.              | Analytical Data  |   |          |       |          |       |       |       |       |       |
|-----------------------|------------------|---|----------|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Complex               |                  |   | Analyses |       |          |       |       |       |       |       |
| [M(4-RC,H,NO),](CIO,) |                  |   | С        |       | <u> </u> |       | N     |       | Μ     |       |
| M                     | R                | n | Found    | Calcd | Found    | Calcd | Found | Calcd | Found | Calcd |
| Cr(111)               | OCH <sub>1</sub> | 3 | 39.19    | 39.23 | 3.88     | 3.82  | 7.19  | 7.63  | _     | 4.72  |
| $C_{\tau}(111)$       | CH'              | 3 | 42.94    | 43.03 | 4.42     | 4.21  | 8.52  | 8.37  |       | 5.18  |
| Cr([[])               | н                | 3 | 38.91    | 39.12 | 3.44     | 3.28  | 8.91  | 9.13  |       | 5.65  |
| Cr(III)               | CI               | 3 | 31.82    | 31.95 | 2.50     | 2.14  | 7.23  | 7.45  |       | 4.61  |
| Fe(111)               | OCH,             | 3 | 39,35    | 39.13 | 3.99     | 3.80  | 7.41  | 7.61  | 5.04  | 5.06  |
| Fe(111)               | CH,              | 3 | 42.73    | 42.86 | 4.19     | 4.20  | 8.08  | 8.33  | 5.76  | 5.54  |
| Fe(111)               | Н                | 3 | 38.35    | 38.95 | 3.29     | 3.27  | 8,52  | 9.09  | 6.12  | 6,04  |
| Fe(111)               | Cl               | 3 | 31.68    | 31.85 | 2.05     | 2.14  | 7.48  | 7.42  | 5.05  | 4.94  |
| Fe(III)               | NOz              | 3 | 30.09    | 30.15 | 2.20     | 2.02  | 13.84 | 14.07 | 4.78  | 4.67  |
| Co(H)                 | OCH,             | 2 | 42.72    | 42.86 | 4.20     | 4.17  | 8.45  | 8.34  | 5.86  | 5.85  |
| Co(II)                | CH,              | 2 | 47.56    | 47.39 | 4.67     | 4.64  | 9.09  | 9.21  | 6.50  | 6.46  |
| Co(II)                | н                | 2 | 43.78    | 43.50 | 3.85     | 3.65  | 9.96  | 10.15 | 7.11  | 7.11  |
|                       | Cl               | 2 | 34.64    | 34.81 | 2.20     | 2.34  | 8.00  | 8.12  | 5.69  | 5.69  |
| Co(1()                | NO,              | 2 | 32.70    | 32.82 | 2.32     | 2,20  | 15.16 | 15.31 | 5.37  | 5.37  |
| Ni(1)                 | CH,              | 2 | 47.53    | 47.40 | 4.59     | 4.64  | 9.10  | 9.21  | 6.24  | 6.43  |
| NICID                 | н                | 2 | 43.47    | 43.51 | 3.88     | 3.65  | 9.97  | 10.15 | 7.16  | 7.09  |
| Ni(LD                 | C1               | 2 | 35.01    | 34.81 | 2.30     | 2.34  | 7.98  | 8.12  | 5,60  | 5.67  |
| Ni(11)                | NO <sub>2</sub>  | 2 | 32.86    | 32.82 | 2.36     | 2.20  | 15.10 | 15.31 | 5.36  | 5.35  |
| *Cu(11)               | OCH,             | 2 | 37.79    | 37.77 | 3.81     | 3.67  | 7.12  | 7.34  | 8.21  | 8.33  |
| *Cu(II)               | CH <sub>1</sub>  | 2 | 41.03    | 41.23 | 3.94     | 4.04  | 7.95  | 8.02  | 8.99  | 9.09  |
| Cu(11)                | н                | 2 | 43.75    | 43.26 | 3.78     | 3.63  | 10.20 | 10.09 | 7,71  | 7.63  |
| *Cu(11)               | Н                | 2 | 37.17    | 37.38 | 3.12     | 3.14  | 8.60  | 8.72  | 9.90  | 9.89  |
| *Cu(II)               | CI               | 2 | 30.71    | 30.77 | 2.16     | 2.07  | 6.87  | 7.18  | 8.08  | 8.14  |
| *Cu(11)               | NO <sub>2</sub>  | 2 | 29.44    | 29.19 | 2.04     | 1.96  | 13.85 | 13.62 | 7.73  | 7.72  |

\* Empirical formula [Cu(4-RC<sub>5</sub>H<sub>1</sub>NO)<sub>4</sub>](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.

(16) E. Ochiai, J. Org. Chem., 18, 548 (1953). (17) G. Guerrin, M. V. Sheldon and C. N. Reilley, Chemist Analyst, 49, 36 (1960).

(18) W. F. Hatfield, C. S. Fountain and R. Whyman, Inorg. Chem.
5, 1855 (1966).
(19) B. N. Figgis and R. S. Nyholm, J. Chem. Soc., 4190 (1958).
(20) J. Lewis and R. G. Wilkins, «Modern Coordination Chemistry» Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, N. Y., p. 403 (1960).

Inorganica Chimica Acta | 1:1 | June, 1967