Contribution from the Institute for Atomic Research and Department of Chemistry Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50010, U.S.A.

The Crystal and Molecular Structure of the Perchlorate and Chloride of I(NH<sub>a</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(CN)Co<sup>III</sup>]<sup>2+</sup>\*

### W. Ozbirn and R. A. Jacobson

Received March, 10, 1970

The structures of the perchlorate and chloride of  $[(NH_3)_5(CN)Co^{III}]^{2+}$  have been determined by threedimensional single-crystal x-ray analysis. Final conventional and weighted R factors are 0.082 and 0.119 respectively for the perchlorate and 0.080 and 0.105 respectively for the chloride. These structure determinations indicate the cyanide bonding mode is Co-C = N.

Both structures are orthorhombic belonging to space group Cmcm. Reduced cell parameters for the perchlorate compound are a=8.164(1), b=20.737(4), c=7.724(1) Å, and those for the chloride are a = 10.190(1), b = 8.740(1), c = 10.335(2) Å.

### Introduction

Transition metal cyanide ion coordination has long been the subject of much conjecture between experimenters from various fields. In many cyanide complexes, metal to carbon bonding is often assumed and this assumption is found in many textbooks.<sup>1</sup>

Spectral studies attempted thus far have been unable to unambiguously determine the cyanide ion coordination. Results of infrared studies on a series of cyanides favor the metal-ion to carbon atom coordination; however, the alternate coordination cannot be completely rejected.<sup>2,3</sup> Kuroda and Gentile<sup>4</sup> have recently analyzed the visible and ultraviolet spectra of two cobalt-cyanide complexes and they interpret their results in terms of Co-N coordination.

Early x-ray diffraction studies of transition metal cyanide complexes, such as the ferrocyanide ion or KAg(CN)<sub>2</sub>,<sup>5</sup> were interpreted to indicate that the metal ion is attached to the carbon atom. However, even with today's modern instrumentation and high speed computers, atoms differing by only one electron can still be difficult to distinguish from one another. Even though neutron diffraction is better suited to this type of problem, only the molecular structures

(\*) Work was performed in the Ames Laboratory of the U. S. Atomic Energy. Commission. Contribution No. 2698.
(1) L. Pauling, «The Nature of the Chemical Bond», 3rd. Ed Cornell University Press (1960).
(2) L. Jones, J. Chem. Phys., 26, 1578 (1957).
(3) L. Jones, J. Chem. Phys., 27, 468 (1957).
(4) K. Kuroda and P. S. Gentile, Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Letters, 3, 151 (1967).
(5) N. V. Sidgwid, «Chemical Elements and Their Compounds», Vol. 1, Clarendon Press, Oxford, England, p. 671 (1950).
(6) N. A. Curry and W. A. Runciman, Acta. Cryst., 12, 674 (1958).
(7) A. Sequeria and R. Chidamboram, Acta. Cryst., 20, 910 (1966).
(8) R. C. Seccombe and C. H. Kennard, J. Organometal. Chem., 18, 243 (1969).

18, 243 (1969).

of K<sub>3</sub>Co(CN)<sub>6</sub>,<sup>6</sup> K<sub>2</sub>Zn(CN)<sub>4</sub>,<sup>7</sup> and Hg(CN)<sub>2</sub><sup>8</sup> have been attempted thus far by neutron diffraction. All of these studies have indicated metal to carbon bonding.

In 1968, Birk and Espenson<sup>9</sup> reported the chromium(II) oxidation by  $[Co(NH_3)_5(CN)]^{2+}$ . The kinetics and mechanism of the reaction were explained in terms of a C-bonded cobalt(III). However, the Nbonded model is not entirely excluded. Therefore, we decided to undertake the single-crystal x-ray diffraction analysis of [(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(CN)Co<sup>III</sup>](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. 0.5-H<sub>2</sub>O

During the refinement of the above complex the perchlorate ions were found to be disordered. Consequently, we decided to carry on the single-crystal x-ray study of [(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(CN)Co<sup>III</sup>]Cl<sub>2</sub> in conjunction with the above analysis.

Data Taking Procedure. All x-ray intensity data were taken on a Hilger-Watts four-circle diffractometer interfaced to an SDS 910 computer in a real time mode. Zirconium-filtered molybdenum radiation was used.

Data were taken using a  $\theta$ -2 $\theta$  step scan procedure with steps of 0.01 degrees in theta and a counting time of 0.4096 seconds per step. The number of steps through a given reflection varies with theta;  $[50+(2\times\theta)]$  where  $\theta$  is the peak center in degrees. Stationary-crystal, stationary-counter background measurements were made at the beginning and end of the step scan, each measurement being made for onehalf the total scan time-

As a general check on electronic and crystal stability, the intensities of three standard reflections were measured periodically during the data collection period. Approximately 25 reflections per hour were obtained and logged on an IBM seven track tape.

### **Experimental Section**

 $[(NH_3)_5(CN)Co^{III}](ClO_4)_2 . 0.5H_2O$ -----X-ray.

Crystals of pentaaminecyanocobalt<sup>III</sup> perchlorate were prepared by the method of Siebert.<sup>10</sup> Recrystallization from a water solution yielded red-orange crystals.

(9) J. P. Birk and J. H. Espenson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 1153 (1968) (10) H. Siebert, Z. Anorg. Allgem. Chem., 327, 63 (1964).

Ozbirn, Jacobson | Structure of the Perchlorate and Chloride of  $[(NH_3)_3(CN)Co^{11r}]^{2+}$ 

Preliminary precession photographs (Mo Ka) showed the unit cell to be orthorhombic with systematic absences  $hk\ell$  when  $h+k \neq 2n$ , and  $hO\ell$  when l≠2n. These absences are consistent with space groups Cmcm, Cmc2<sub>1</sub>, or Ama2 (alternate setting). The unit cell parameters at 25°C are a=8.164(1), b=20.737(4), c=7.724(1) Å. These parameters and their standard deviations were obtained by a leastsquares fit to 15 independent reflections. The center of each reflection was found by left-right top-bottom beam splitting on the previously described Hilger-Watts diffractometer. Any error in the instrumental zero was eliminated by centering the reflection at both  $+2\theta$  and  $-2\theta$ . The calculated density of 1.92 g/cm<sup>3</sup> based on four molecules per unit cell agrees quite well with the observed density of 1.90 g/cm<sup>3</sup> which was determined by flotation techniques.

For data collection a cylindrical crystal with radius 0.08 mm and a height of 0.34 mm was mounted on a glass fiber. The latter direction was the *c* crystal axis and was coincident with the spindle axis. Complete three-dimensional data to  $\sin\theta/\lambda = 0.7035$  at 25°C were taken for the four octants with positive  $\ell$  index. The rest of the experimental arrangement for intesity collection has been discussed in some detail above.

The intensity data were corrected for Lorentzpolarization effects. The absorption coefficient,  $\mu$ , is 18.14 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The maximum and minimum transmission factors are 78.58 and 77.16% respectively, which were calculated using a modified version of Busing and Levy's ABCOR program.<sup>11</sup> Therefore, no absorption correction was made.

Of the 4543 possible observations, 1488 were found to be less than three times the standard deviation in the background and were subsequently disregarded. The three sigma criteria were tested on 1154 symmetry extinct reflections and were found to hold 96% of the time. Since four octants of equivalent intensity data were collected, a confidence test<sup>12</sup> was applied. This test was used to determine if any piece of a set of equivalent data should be disregarded. Only 112 of the remaining 3055 possible observations failed this confidence test. The individual values of  $F_0^2$  from given equivalent sets were then averaged to yield 750 independent  $F_0^2$ values. The standard deviations of  $F_0^2$  were estimated from the averages of the individual intensities and their standard deviations.

[(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(CN)Co<sup>III</sup>]Cl<sub>2</sub>-----X-ray.

Crystals of pentaaminecyanocobalt(III) chloride, prepared by the method of Siebert<sup>10</sup> and recrystallized from a water solution, are orthorhombic with cell dimensions a = 10.190(1), b = 8.740(1), c =10.335(2) Å,  $D_m = 1.78$  gm cm<sup>3</sup> (by flotation), for Z = 4,  $D_c = 1.76$  gm cm<sup>3</sup>. Systematic absences ( $hk\ell$  when  $h+k \neq 2n$  and  $h0\ell$  when  $\ell \neq 2n$ ) indicate space groups Cmcm, Cmcm2<sub>1</sub>, or Ama2 (alternate setting).

(11) In addition to programs written at this Laboratory, other programs used in this work were Johnson's ORTEP to prepare Figures 1 and 2, Busing and Levy's ORFFE to calculate errors, a modified version of Busing and Levy's ABCOR program and Busing, Martin and Levy's ORFLS program. (12) R. B. Dean and W. J. Dixon, Anal. Chem., 23, 636 (1951). Complete three-dimensional X-ray diffraction intensity data to  $\sin\theta/\lambda = 0.904$  were taken at room temperature (Mo K $\alpha$ ) using a crystal with dimensions  $0.18 \times 0.28 \times 0.28$  mm along the *a*, *b*, and *c* crystal axes respectively, such that the *c* axis was along the spindle axis. The rest of the experimental arrangement for intensity collection has been previously described.

The intensity data were corrected for Lorentz-polarization effects and effects due to absorption. The three sigma test was applied to the intensity data and 682 of the 2003 possible observable reflections were rejected.

# Solution and Refinement.

 $[(NH_3)_5(CN)Co^{III}](ClO_4)_2 \cdot 0.5H_2O$ -----X-ray.

A Howells', Phillips' and Rogers' plot<sup>13</sup> was obtained using all the collected data and indicated the presence of a center of symmetry and hence the appropriate space group was assumed to be Cmcm. An unsharpened Patterson function was calculated and a vector which was assumed to be a Co-Co vector resulting from the c-glide was located on the Harker line. The positions of the cobalt ligand atoms were also indicated from this three-dimensional Patterson function. However, the direction of the cyanide group could not be determined; hence the immediate ligand atoms were all assigned nitrogen scattering factors. Two cycles of positional refinement on the cobalt and immediate ligand atoms produced a conventional R-factor of 0.43.

The initial structure factor and electron density calculation indicated the direction of the cyanide group. It was also evident the perchlorate ions were in two four-fold sets (mm symmetry) instead of an eight-fold set and were disordered. After accounting for all the perchlorate oxygens in disordered positions there was still one peak left about the size of one-fourth an oxygen. This peak was assumed to be part of the water molecule. A difference Fourier was then computed, and it was verified that all nonhydrogen atoms had been accounted for, and some anisotropic motion was evident.

A full-matrix least-squares refinement minimizing the weighted R-factor, wR =  $(\Sigma w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / \Sigma w |F_o|^2)^{1/2}$ , was initiated with all atoms isotropic using the program of Busing *et al.*<sup>11</sup> and the atomic scattering factors of Hanson *et al.*<sup>14</sup> Two trial structures were assumed for refinement, one having the carbon atom of the cyanide group adjacent to the cobalt and the other having the nitrogen atom next to the cobalt. When the conventional R-factor  $(R = \Sigma |F_o| - |F_c|)$  $\Sigma |F_0|$ ) in both cases fell below 0.15, anisotropic refinement on all atoms except the disordered oxygens was begun. Since the temperature factors are a function of the occupancy numbers, it did not seem justifiable to refine the oxygens anisotropically. At this time the weighting scheme was modified from one based on counting statistics such that a plot of  $\omega \Delta^2$  versus  $F_0^2$  was a constant. The final convention-

(13) E. R. Howells, D. C. Phillips, and D. Rogers, Acta, Cryst., 3, 210 (1950). (14) H. P. Hanson, F. Herman, J. D. Lee, and S. Skillman, Acta Cryst. 17, 1040 (1964). Table 1a. Observed and calculated structure factors for [(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(CN)Co<sup>III</sup>)](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.0.5H<sub>2</sub>O

$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{C} = 0 \\ \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{F} = \mathbf$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	) is is is (1)	$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 &$				$ \begin{array}{c} y = (-6) y = $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 &$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<ul> <li>4 J 226 - 194</li> <li>4 A 715 L40</li> <li>4 S 266 - 180</li> <li>4 S 266 - 211</li> <li>8 S 268 - 211</li> <li>10 S 113 - 134</li> <li>10 S 113 - 134</li> <li>10 S 113 - 114</li> <li>10 S 10 S 114</li> </ul>	9         1         0.4         0         0         2.64         0         0         2.64         0         0.5         2.64         0         1.16         1.16         1.11         1.1	1/0         04         110           20         04         110           1/2         1/2         1/2           1/2         1/2         1/2           21         1/4         1/2           21         1/4         1/2           1/2         1/2         1/2           1/2         1/2         1/2           1/2         1/2         1/4           1/2         1/2         1/2           1/2         1/2         1/2           1/2         1/2         1/2           1/2         1/2         1/2	0 [4 22* 32] 3 Jb 106 [Eb 6 16 11 Ga 6 16 136 143 1 [3] 356 344 1 [3] 356 344 3 [4] 155 344 3 [4] 156 374 3 [4] 256 -[3] 5 [4] 156 -[3]	I     1.57     1.58       J     1.4     4.55     4.75       J     8.4     2.65     2.96       L     3.6     1.50     3.76       L     3.6     1.60     3.76       L     3.6     5.71     3.86       L     3.6     5.71     3.86       L     3.6     5.71     3.66       L     3.6     5.71     3.66       L     3.6     7.76     7.61       J     3.6     7.76     7.81       J     3.6     7.77     7.81       J     3.6     7.77     7.81	9 (4 )23 )23 0 04 )09 (01 2 20 )24 (03 4 20 04 93 5 21 93 04 5 21 93 04 1 4 05 4 20 45 4 20 45 4 20 45 4 20 45 5 0 345 417 2 0 584 53	m         R         FQ         FC           3         0         1.22         A31A           d         3         1.51         A24           v         0         2.66         -2.01           1         1.11         -1.14           0         v         3.21         04           1         3.11         0.05           2         3.100         0.05           2         5.100         1.05           2         6.135         -1.18           2         4.135         -1.18           2         4.25         -1.44           1         9         4.2         -1.44

Table 1b. Final positional parameters for  $[(NH_3)_3(CN)Co^{11})]$ - $(ClO_4)_1 \cdot 0.5H_1O^{a}$ 

Atom	x	у	z
Co	0.0	0.11100(6)	0.25 b
Cl(1)	0.0	0.74530(17)	0.25
C1(2)	0.0	0.45085(41)	0.25
C1(3)	0.0	0.38648(35)	0.25
C	0.0	0.02018(65)	0.25
N(1)	0.0	0.20740(53)	0.25
N(2)	0.0	-0.03473(59)	0.25
N(3)	0.17077(64)	0.11000(29)	0.06931(69)
O(1)	0.1442(24)	0.3483(09)	0.25
0(2	0.1360(30)	0.4828(12)	0.25
O(3)	0.0	0.4239(06)	0.4000(15)
O(4)	0.1214(20)	0.7373(08)	0.1172(15)
O(5)	0.0	0.8113(13)	0.25
O(6)	0.0	0.6767(28)	0.25
O(7)	0.0	0.5569(13)	0.25

<sup>a</sup> Numbers in parenthesis represent standard deviations occurring in the last digits of the parameter. <sup>b</sup> Numbers not followed by standard deviations are fixed by symmetry in this and subsequent tables.

al and weighted R-factors are 0.082 and 0.119 respectively for the Co-C-N model, 0.085 and 0.123 respectively for the Co-N-C model.

The observed and calculated structure factors for the Co-C-N model in space group Cmcm are given in Table Ia. The final positional, anisotropic temperature factors and standard errors are given in Tables Ib and Ic. In Table Ib, Cl(2) and Cl(3) are the half chlorines and O(7) is the water molecule. The more important interatomic distances, angles and their errors (calculated using the function and error program of Busing *et all.*<sup>11</sup>) are summarized in Table Id.

It may be noted here that the average effective isotropic B for the ammonia nitrogens is 4.2 Å. In the Co-C-N model the effective isotropic B's for C and N are 4.4 and 7.5 Å<sup>2</sup>, respectively, while in the Co-N-C model the effective isotropic B's for C and N are 6.0 and 6.0 Å<sup>2</sup> respectively. Also, the experimental ratio of C:N integrated electron densities for the Co-C-N model is 0.864 while the calculated value is 0.857. For the Co-N-C model the experimental ratio of N:C integrated electron densities is 0.867.

## [(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(CN)Co<sup>III</sup>]Cl<sub>2</sub>----X-ray.

An unsharpened Patterson map was calculated using all observed reflections. All non-hydrogen atomic positional parameters were found and placed accordingly in the unit cell. The direction of the cyanide group was assumed to be the same as in the perchlorate case.

Using the full-matrix least-squares program of Busing et al.<sup>11</sup> and the scattering factors of Hanson et al.<sup>14</sup> an isotropic refinement was undertaken on all atoms. After four iterations using a Co–C–N model in space group Cmcm the conventional and weighted R-factors were 0.112 and 0.094 respectively. After four cycles of anisotropic refinement the weighting scheme was modified such that a plot of  $\omega \Delta^2$  versus  $F_o^2$  was a constant. The final conventional and weighted Rfactors are 0.076 and 0.101 respectively.

Refinement in space groups  $Cmc2_1$  and Ama2 using a Co-C-N model gave weighted discrepancy factors of 0.095 and 0.091. However, both of these cases resulted in meaningless distortions of the cations and shifts in the anion positions. The centric space group Cmcm was also indicated by the HPR stati stical test.<sup>13</sup>

Also, refinement of a Co-N-C model was carried out in space group Cmcm. Final conventional and

Table Ic. Final anisotropic temperature coefficients  $\times 10^3$  (Standard errors  $\times 10^3$  are given in parenthesis after the parameter)<sup>a</sup>

Atom	β11	β22	β33	β12	βι	βυ
Co(1)	716(20)	197(3)	919(25)	0	0	0
	1799(61)	261(8)	1883(63)	0	0	0
Cl(2)	838(72)	390(20)	1398(98)	0	0	0
Cl(3)	1436(06)	298(18)	1979(127)	0	0	0
C	2723(308)	227(33)	1064(181)	0	0	0
N(1)	1826(196)	238(27)	1526(187)	0	0	Ō
N(2)	5392(492)	228(35)	1620(219)	0	0	0
N(3)	1336(79)	375(16)	1559(187)	3(27)	374(70)	-50(28)
O(1)	74(4)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	` <u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
O(2)	95(5)	_				<del></del>
O(3)	98(3)				_	_
O(4)	123(3)			_	_	
O(5)	100(6)	<u> </u>	_	_		
0(6)	76(3)	·			_	
O(7)	67(5)			—	—	

<sup>a</sup> Dashed lines indicate that the  $\beta_{11}$  term is the isotropic **B**×10 followed by the errors ×10. The form of the anisotropic temperature factor expression is  $[-(\beta_{11}h^2 + \beta_{22}k^2 + \beta_{32}l^2 + 2\beta_{12}hk + 2\beta_{13}hl + 2\beta_{22}kl)]$ .

Table Id. Selected interatomic distances and angles in  $[(NH_3)_5(CN)C\sigma^{111}](ClO_4)_2 . 0.5H_2O^{a}$ 

Atoms	Distance, Å	Atoms	Angle, deg.
Co-C	1.883(0.013)	CCoN(3)	89.3(0.2)
Co-N(1)	1.999(0.011)	$N(3)^{b}-Co-N(3)$	89.9(0.3)
Co-N(3)	1.972(0.005)	O(4) - Cl(1) - O(6)	83.3(0.7)
C-N(2)	1.138(0.017)	O(4)-Cl(1)-O(4) b	87.3(1.1)
Cl(1)-O(4)	1.435(0.015)	O(3) - Cl(2) - O(2)	102.8(0.5)
Cl(1)-O(5)	1.369(0.028)	O(2)-CI(2)-O(2) b	118.3(2.4)
Cl(1)O(6)	1.421(0.058)	O(3)-Cl(2)-O(3) °	128.5(1.2)
Cl(2)—O(2)	1.293(0.026)	O(3)-CI(3)-O(1)	108.1(0.4)
Cl(2)O(3)	1.286(0.012)	O(1)-Cl(3)-O(1) b	112.2(1.6)
Cl(3)O(1)	1.418(0.021)	O(3)-Cl(3)-O(3) °	112.3(1.0)
Cl(3)—O(3)	1.395(0.013)		

<sup>a</sup> See Table Ib. <sup>b</sup> Implies atom transformed to (x, y, z). <sup>c</sup> Implies atom transformed to  $(x, y, \frac{1}{2}-z)$ .

weighted R-factors in this case are 0.080 and 0.105. The observed and calculated structure factors for the Co-C-N model in space group Cmcm are given in Table IIa. The final positional, anisotropic temperature factors and standard errors are given in Tables IIb and IIc respectively. The more impor-



Figure 1. Crystal structure of  $[(NH_3)_3(CN)Co^{11}]Cl_2$ , the x-axis points (left to right) across the page, the y-axis points up the page and the z-axis points out of the page.

Inorganica Chimica Acta | 4:3 | September, 1970

tant distances, angles and their errors are summarized in Table IId.



Figure 2. Crystal structure of  $[(NH_3)_3(CN)CO^{111}](ClO_4)_2$ . 0.5H<sub>2</sub>O, the x-axis points up the page, the y-axis points across the page (right to left) and the z-axis points out of the page.

For this compound the average isotropic B of the ammonia nitrogen is 2.4 Å<sup>2</sup>. In the Co–C–N model the effective isotropic B's for C and N are 2.1 and 3.0 A<sup>2</sup> respectively and the experimental ratio of C: N integrated electron densities is 0.873. In the Co–N–C model the effective isotropic B's for C and N are 2.5 and 2.6 Å<sup>2</sup> respectively, while the experimental ratio of N:C integrated electron densities is 0.900.

Description of the Structure. The crystal structures of [(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(CN)Co<sup>III</sup>]Cl<sub>2</sub> and [(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(CN)Co<sup>III</sup>]- $(ClO_4)_2$  are depicted in Figures 1 and 2 respectively. In both cases the cobalt atom lies in a position of mm crystallographic symmetry with the [(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(CN)-Co<sup>III</sup>]<sup>2+</sup> having approximately C4v symmetry. In Figure 2 the mirror plane at a quarter in z bisects the four nitrogens in the equatorial plane while in Figure 1 the four-fold nitrogens lie in the mirror planes. The disordered oxygens have been left out of Figure 2. The two chlorines labeled one half in Figure 2 share two oxygen atoms. Hence, one can imagine two tetrahedron joined together on an edge. The disorder about the other chlorine leads to an approximately octahedral arrangement of oxygen atoms. This by no means indicates that the geometry of a perchlorate ion is anything other tetrahedral.

Final positional parameters for [(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>(CN)-Table Ilb. Co111) ]Cl2 a

Atom	x	У	Z
Co	0.0	0.21599(09)	0.25
N(1)	0.0	0.44441(56)	0.25
N(2)	0.0	-0.13299(74)	0.25
N(3)	0.19289(38)	0.21765(46)	0.25
N(4)	0.0	0.21253(43)	0.05886(31)
C	0.0	-0.00230(68)	0.25
Čl	0.28386(10)	0.0	0.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> See Table Ib.

However, since the perchlorate ion is almost spherical by nature, it is not surprising in this case that it has little preference regarding its orientation in packing.

The average values of the Co-C, Co-N, and C-N bond lengths agree quite well with previously reported values in the literature.15-17

### Conclusion

The main interest in these structure determinations lies in the attempt to distinguish between the Co-C-N and Co-N-C models. We conclude that the former is the correct bonding mode on the following evidence:

(15) N. A. Curry and W. A. Runciman, Acta Cryst., 12, 674 (1959).
(16) Y. Tanito, Y. Saito, and H. Kuroya, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan,
25, 188 (1925).
(17) Y. Tanito, Y. Saito, and H. Kuyoya, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan,
26, 420 (1953).

Table IIc. Final anisotropic coefficients  $\times 10^5$  (Standard errors  $\times 10^5$  are given in parenthesis after the parameter)<sup>a</sup>

Atom	β11	β22	β33	β12	βιι	β23
Co	409(5)	470(6)	302(4)	0	0	0
N(1)	857(53)	579(49)	488(35)	0	0	0
N(2)	1046(66)	668(57)	616(43)	0	0	0
N(3)	490(25)	909(42)	535(26)	6(26)	0	0
N(4)	756(33)	813(37)	332(20)	0	0	17(23)
C	561(43)	682(53)	401(35)	0	0	0
Cl	556(8)	735(11)	494(7)	0	0	20(6)

<sup>a</sup> See footnote in Table Ic.

Table IId. Selected interatomic distances and angles in  $[(NH_3)_5(CN)Co^{\rm III})Cl_2\,{}^a$ 

Atoms	Distance, Å	Atoms	Angles, deg.
Co-N(1)	1.996(0.0005)	CCoN(3)	90.42(0.12)
Co-N(3)	1.965(0.004)	CCoN(4)	89.12(0.10)
Co-N(4)	1.975(0.003)	C-Co-N(3)	90.04(0.17)
Co-C	1.908(0.006		
CN(2)	1.142(0.008)		

<sup>a</sup> See Table Ib.

a) Integrated electron densities of both structures

favored the Co-C-N model.

b) In both cases, the Co-C-N model gave better agreement factors.

c) In both structures, the effective isotropic temperature factor of the atom bonded to the cobalt atom had a tendency to increase when the Co-N-C model was involked. The trend would, of course, be observed if a nitrogen were input where a carbon should be located.