

interactions with living organisms are largely passive and they have no known biological function.

Transuranic elements are transported through the different compartments of marine ecosystems mainly by means of physical and chemical processes. Adsorption on suspended particulate material plays an important role in determining their accumulation in bottom sediments, which ultimately represent the storage reservoir. Subsequent remobilization is largely dependent upon geological processes. Benthic organisms have a minor role, usually through their own movements causing a rearrangement of upper sediment layers.

Biota are exposed to transuranic elements mainly through surface contact and ingestion (filter-feeding organisms). Transfer to man through the food chains is not relevant: biomagnification phenomena do not occur and concentration factors generally decrease with trophic level. Within the past years, concentration factors for Np, Pu, Am, and Cm have been determined in several species, but most data have been obtained for Pu-239. In algae and invertebrates, concentration factors for this radionuclide are in the range 100–10,000, while in vertebrate tissues lower values ranging from 1 to 100 have been observed.

Transuranic nuclides are of particular concern for the assessment of the radiological impact associated with the disposal of high-level radioactive wastes. Research on the long-term environmental behaviour of these man-made elements is considered with high priority in radiation protection programmes.

E29

Use of Higher Oxidation States of Trans-Plutonium Elements for Their Determination

I. A. LEBEDEV* and B. F. MYASOEDOV

V. I. Vernadsky Institute of Geochemistry and Analytical Chemistry, Academy of Sciences of U.S.S.R., Moscow, U.S.S.R.

Besides the main oxidation state +3, transplutonium elements (TPE) possess a much more rich range

of oxidation states than analogous lanthanides. Lately Am(VII), Cm(VI), Cf(IV) and Cf(V), Md(I) have been added to the known Am(IV), Am(V), Am(VI), Cm(IV), Bk(IV), Md(II) and No(II). The number of practical methods for obtaining and stabilizing such forms as Am(IV), Am(V), Am(VI), Bk(IV), Fm(II) and Md(I) have been elaborated during systematic investigations of unusual oxidation states of TPE. This permitted to spread their use in technology and analytical practice.

The use of Am(V) gives a great effect at americium isolation from other TPE. They usually obtain Am(V) in two steps: at first Am(III) is oxidized to Am(VI) and then Am(VI) is reduced to Am(V). Persulphate ions are often used as oxidizer with heating. We have elaborated more suitable methods of oxidation: by the mixture of $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8 + \text{AgNO}_3$ without heating and electrochemically. In the latter case Am(III) is oxidized on platinum anode at potential of 2 V in a slight acidic solution (0.02–0.1 M HClO_4 , ≤ 0.01 M H_3PO_4). It is easy to reduce Am(VI) to Am(V) by lowering the anode potential to 1.27 V. However, there is often no necessity to reduce specially Am(VI) since the extractant or the sorbent can act as reducer.

Am(V) extraction by several extractants: 1-phenyl-3-methyl-4-benzoylpyrasolone-5 (PMBP), di-2-ethylhexylphosphoric acid (HDEHP), ammonium pyrrolidinedithiocarbamate (NH_4PDTC), mixture of PMBP and tri-n-octylphosphine oxide (TOPO), pycrolic acid (PA), from different solutions has been studied (Table I).

Am(V) is extracted by different extractants from solutions with pH = 5, while extraction of trivalent actinides can be suppressed by complexing agents—acetate ions or $\text{K}_{10}\text{P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61}$ (PW). The separation coefficient (K_s) of Am(V) and Cm(III) in these conditions during one-fold extraction cycle reaches 5×10^3 . On the contrary, trivalent actinides can be separated by extraction from 0.1 M HClO_4 or HNO_3 if complexing agents are absent.

Reagent NH_4PDTC can be used also for Am(V) separation from tri- and hexavalent actinide ions by the precipitation method. More than 99% of Am(V) coprecipitates with PDTC at pH = 5, while copre-

TABLE I.

Aqueous phase	Organic phase	Distribution coef.	
		AM(V)	ME(III)
0.01 M NH_4NO_3 , pH = 5 (acetate buffer)	0.06 M NH_4PDTC in the mixture of i-pentanol and ethanol	30	0.02*
0.1 M NH_4NO_3 , pH = 5 (acetate buffer)	0.05 M PMBP in i-butanol	11.6	50
the same + 0.001 M PW	the same	12.7	0.002
the same + 0.001 M PW	0.5 M HDEHP in octane	30	0.01
0.1 M HClO_4 + 0.01 M H_3PO_4	0.05 M PMBP + 0.025 M TOPO in cyclohexane	0.02	900
0.1 M HNO_3	0.16 M PA in methyl-i-butyl-ketone	0.26	194