

Isomerization of Olefin Catalyzed by Arenetricarbonylmolybdenum

BICH-THUY LE-THI and MICHAEL F. FARONA*

Department of Chemistry, The University of Akron, Akron, Ohio 44325, U.S.A.

Received October 4, 1978

The catalytic properties of arenetricarbonylchromium toward isomerization of olefins have been investigated by Frankel and co-workers [1–3], but corresponding molybdenum complexes have been largely ignored. We have investigated the molybdenum derivatives and found them in general to be more active than their chromium analogues; furthermore, where mixtures of isomerized olefins are possible, the selectivities toward one isomer or another differ considerably between the two metals.

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Table I shows the data on the isomerization of olefins using toluenetricarbonylmolybdenum, (Tol)Mo(CO)₃, as the catalyst; also included are (Tol)W(CO)₃, (Mes)W(CO)₃ (mes = mesitylene), and (Tol)Cr(CO)₃ catalysts for comparison.

As can be seen in the Table, both Mo and W are much more active catalysts toward isomerization of olefins than corresponding Cr compounds (compare data on methylenecyclohexane and allylbenzene). Even where weaker electron-donating arenes are used on ArCr(CO)₃ such as methyl benzoate, higher temperatures are required (160–175 °C) and generally lower conversions are realized [1, 3].

One example which illustrates differences in selectivities between ArMo(CO)₃ and ArCr(CO)₃ catalysts is in the isomerization of 1,5-cyclooctadiene. Whereas (methylbenzoate)Cr(CO)₃ promotes an 85% conversion of 1,5-COD to 1,4- and 1,3-COD in selectivities of 16 and 84%, respectively, in the same reaction catalyzed by (Tol)Mo(CO)₃, 65% conversion of 1,5-COD is accomplished leading to selectivities of 54%, 1,3-COD and 40%, 1,4-COD. After 120 hr, the final product mix contains 15% 1,5 55% 1,3 and 30% 1,4-COD. Apparently, equi-

TABLE I. Isomerization of Olefins Catalyzed by Arene Group VIB Tricarbonyls.

Catalyst	Olefin (% Conversion)	Products (% Selectivity)	Conditions
(Tol)Mo(CO) ₃	1-Pentene (72)	2-Pentene (100) ^a	100 °C, 24 hr
	1-Hexene	2-Hexene (26) ^a 3-Hexene (74) ^a	120 °C, 24 hr
	1,5-Cyclooctadiene (65)	1,3-Cyclooctadiene (54) 1,4-Cyclooctadiene (46)	120 °C, 24 hr
	1,5-Cyclooctadiene (85)	1,3-Cyclooctadiene (65) 1,4-Cyclooctadiene (35)	120 °C, 120 hr
	1,4-Cyclohexadiene (74)	1,3-Cyclohexadiene (100)	110 °C, 24 hr
	Allylbenzene (73)	<i>trans</i> -β-Methylstyrene (54) <i>cis</i> -β-Methylstyrene (46)	105 °C, 24 hr
	Methylenecyclohexane (98)	1-Methylcyclohexene (100)	110 °C, 24 hr
(Mes)Mo(CO) ₃	Allylbenzene (63)	<i>trans</i> -β-Methylstyrene (70) <i>cis</i> -β-Methylstyrene (30)	105 °C, 24 hr
(Tol)W(CO) ₃	Methylenecyclohexane (99)	1-Methylcyclohexene (100)	110 °C, 4 hr
(Mes)W(CO) ₃	Allylbenzene (21)	<i>trans</i> -β-Methylstyrene (73) <i>cis</i> -β-Methylstyrene (27)	105 °C, 12 hr
(Tol)Cr(CO) ₃	1,4-Cyclohexadiene (1)	1,3-Cyclohexadiene (100)	120 °C, 12 hr
	Allylbenzene (14)	β-Methylstyrene (100)	105 °C, 24 hr
	Methylenecyclohexane (15)	1-Methylcyclohexene (100)	110 °C, 3 hr
	Methylenecyclohexane (19)	1-Methylcyclohexene (100)	110 °C, 9 hr

^aStereochemistry about the double bond not determined. Abbreviations: Tol = toluene; Mes = mesitylene.

librium conditions among the three isomers are approached after extended reaction time.

Frankel [2], in studies of the isomerization of 1,4-hexadiene and 1,4-cyclohexadiene by Cr catalysts, proposed a mechanism involving a 1,3-allylic hydrogen shift. We prepared 3-phenyl-3,3-d₂-1-propene (C₆H₅CD₂CH=CH₂) and studied its isomerization to the deuterated β-methylstyrene. The nmr spectrum of the product was consistent with that predicted for C₆H₅CD=CH-CH₂D. Therefore, our preliminary investigation into the mechanism of isomerization of olefins catalyzed by ArMo(CO)₃ is in accord with the allylic process proposed by Frankel.

Experimental

Physical Methods

Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were obtained on a Varian EM-360 (60 MHz) spectrometer, and in the case of 3,3-d₂-allylbenzene and deuterated β-methylstyrene, a Varian HR300 MHz spectrometer, using tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. Samples were separated and analyzed on a Hewlett-Packard Analytical Gas Chromatograph model 5750 equipped with a flame ionization detector, using helium as the carrier gas. The packing in the column was composed of 10% Tergitol NP-35 on 50-100 mesh Chromosorb-P. In all cases, retention times were compared to those of authentic samples, and corrected for response ratios.

Starting Materials

Olefins were purchased from Chemical Samples Co. and used as received. The catalysts were prepared according to the method of Nicholls and Whiting [4]. The deuterated olefin 3-phenyl-3,3-d₂-1-propene was prepared according to the procedure of Hendrix and Von Rosenberg [5].

Isomerization of Olefins

Since all olefins were isomerized in essentially the same manner, only the reaction of 1-pentene will be given in detail. Table I gives the reaction times and temperatures for all olefins.

Into a 200 ml pressure reaction bottle was put 10 ml of 1-pentene (6.4 g, 0.09 mol). The olefin was deaerated for 5 min with nitrogen gas, then 0.3 g of (Tol)Mo(CO)₃ (0.001 mol) was added. A magnetic stir bar was placed in the bottle, the bottle sealed, and then heated to 100 °C in an oil bath for 24 hr. The reaction mixture was filtered through powdered neutral alumina and subjected to gas chromatographic analysis which showed a yield of 71.5% 2-pentene and 28.5% unreacted 1-pentene.

References

- 1 E. N. Frankel and R. O. Butterfield, *J. Org. Chem.*, **34**, 3930 (1969).
- 2 E. N. Frankel, *J. Catal.*, **24**, 358 (1972).
- 3 E. N. Frankel, *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.*, **47**, 33 (1970).
- 4 B. Nicholls and M. C. Whiting, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 551 (1959).
- 5 W. T. Hendrix and J. L. Von Rosenberg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **98**, 4850 (1976).