Homogeneous Hydrogenations in Aqueous Solutions Catalyzed by Transition Metal Phosphine Complexes

F. JOÓ*, Z. TÓTH and M. T. BECK*

Institute of Physical Chemistry, Kossuth Lajos University, H-401 0 Debrecen, Hungary

Received September 14, 1977

As was already shown [I], sulphonated triphenylphosphine (Dpm) can be efficiently used for the preparation of water soluble transition metal phosphine complexes. This permits the study of reactions in aqueous solutions catalyzed by water soluble analogs of triphenylphosphine complexes. Furthermore, recently a new method of heterogenization of homogeneous catalysts has been reported, based on this type of complexes [2]. In the course of our systematic study of this class of compounds, $HRu(OOCCH₃)$ - $(Dpm)_3$ (I), $RuCl_2(Dpm)_2$ (II) and a red ruthenium hydride, most likely $HRuCl(Dpm)_3$, (III) were prepared and used as catalysts of the hydrogenation of several oxo- and $>C=C<$ unsaturated acids.

Catalysts (I) and (II) were prepared following published procedures [3, 41 but using Dpm instead of PPh₃. IR data of HRu(CH₃COO)(Dpm)₃: $v_{\text{Ru--H}}$ 1996 cm⁻¹, $v_{\text{OCO,sym.}}$ 1435 cm⁻¹, $v_{\text{OCO,asym.}}$ 1528 cm⁻¹. Integrated NMR intensities show $Dpm/CH_3COO = 3$. The crude product is contaminated by KCl, therefore it analyses for $P = 5.5\%$ (calc. 7.12%). There is no characteristic band in the IR spectrum of RuCl₂- $(Dpm)_2$; of the several weak bands in the 230-330 cm^{-1} region none can be safely assigned to $v_{\text{Ru}-\text{Cl}}$. Again, due to NaCl contamination, the product contains a somewhat lower percentage of phosphorus than required by the formula (6.16%; talc. 6.87%). Catalyst (III) can easily be obtained by the action of molecular hydrogen on aqueous HCl solutions of $RuCl₃$ and Dpm, as well as by the reaction of $H₂$ and $RuCl₂(Dpm)₂$ in the presence of excess Dpm. Its composition is supported by kinetic data of hydrogenation reactions (dependence of catalytic activity on Dpm/Ru ratio), and the close resemblance of its electronic spectrum ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 510 \text{ nm}$) to that of $HRuCl(PPh₃)₃$.

When 0.1 *M* acetate buffer was used as solvent $(pH = 4.8)$, (I) proved to be a very effective catalyst of the hydrogenation of unsaturated acids, compared to its moderate activity in the case of oxo-acids (Figure 1, curves 1 and 2). At $pH = 1$ (adjusted using methanesulphonic acid) the hydrogenation rates of

Fig. 1. Kinetic curves of the hydrogenation of a) crotonic acid, catalysed by (I) , (I) , (II) (3) , (III) (5) ; b) pyruvic acid, catalysed by (I) (2) , (II) (4) , (III) (6) ; c) fructose, catalysed by (III) (7). Conditions are given in the legend of the Table.

both types of acid are almost equal and somewhat lower than that of the oxo-acids at higher pH.

Contrary to (I), (II) is much more effective in the hydrogenation of $>C=O$ than in the case of $>C=C <$ bonds both at low and high pH values $(cf.$ Figure 1, curves 5 and 6). The hydrogenation rate of pyruvic acid increased exponentially with increasing pH, while that of crotonic acid was almost unaffected by similar changes of pH.

In neutral aqueous solutions (II) is an active catalyst of the hydrogenation of $>C=C<$ bonds, and inactive in the case of $>C=O$ bonds. Keto-acids coordinate strongly to the catalyst, indicated by the immediate colour change (brownish-green to reddishbrown), and the resulting complexes are inactive for hydrogenation. This conclusion is supported by the fact that the rather fast hydrogenation of crotonic acid is completely inhibited by the addition of pyruvie acid (Figure 1, curves 3 and 4). Using 0.1 *M* HCl solutions as solvent, very slow hydrogenation of pyruvic acid can be observed, while the rate of hydrogenation of crotonic acid drops to about one third of that measured at pH \simeq 7.

The kinetics of hydrogenation follow the usual pattern [5], the rate determining step being the regeneration of the catalyst during the hydrogenation of the intermediate alkyl complex. Figure 1 demonstrates that there are significant differences in the stability of the different catalyst-substrate complexes (e.g. curves 1, 3 and 6). Usually one can observe product inhibition with decreasing number of catalytic cycles. However, in the hydrogenation of pyruvic acid catalysed by (III), the latter was found as high as 1300 mol H_2 /mol catalyst. Some of the kinetic data are presented in Table I.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be directed.

Substrate	Catalyst: Solvent:	$HRu(CH_3COO)(Dpm)_3$ 0.1 <i>M</i> acetate buffer, $pH = 4.8$	RuCl ₂ (Dpm) ₂ H ₂ O $pH = 6 - 7$	$HRuCl(Dpm)$ ₃ 0.1 M _{Cl} $pH = 1$
$C_6H_5-CO-COOH$		46 ^a		115
$CH3$ -(CH ₂) ₂ -CO-COOH		74^a		70
$CH_3 - CO - (CH_2)_2 - COOH$		25 ^a		13
$CH_3 - (CH_2)$ ₅ - CO-COOH		74^a		
$CH_3 - (CH_2)_{7} - CO - COOH$		48 ^a		
$HOOC-(CH2)2 - CO-COOH$		67 ^a		95
$trans-CH3-CH=CH-COOH$		198	164	23
trans- C_6H_5 – CH=CH–COOH		92		30
cis -HOOC-CH=CH-COOH		92		23
trans-HOOC-CH=CH-COOH		92		49
$CH2=C(COOH)-CH2-COOH$				84
$CH2=CH-CH=CH-COOHc$		175		
$HO-CH2-CO-CH2-OH$				60
Fructose				5 ^b

TABLE I. Comparison of the Catalytic Activity of HRu(CH₃COO)(Dpm)₃, RuCl₂(Dpm)₂ and HRuCl(Dpm)₃, as Expressed in mol H_2 /mol Catalyst·hr.

Unless otherwise stated: 2×10^{-4} mol substrate, 1×10^{-5} mol (I) or (II) or 5×10^{-6} mol (III) in 10 cm³ solvent (Dpm/Ru = 5:1). p_{H_2} = 610 mmHg, at 1 atm total pressure. t = 60.0 ± 0.1 °C.

 a_{As} before, but 5 \times 10⁻⁴ mol substrate. b As before, but 2 \times 10⁻³ mol substrate and 5 \times 10⁻⁵ mol catalyst. CBoth double bonds are hydrogenated.

Kruse and Wright [6] have reported the homo- **References** geneous catalytic hydrogenation of carbohydrates under the catalytic action of $RuHCl(PPh₃)₃$ in DMA solutions at 75 °C and 3 atm hydrogen pressure. We have found that the hydrogenation of fructose is catalysed with moderate activity by (III) in 0.1 M aqueous HCl solutions (Figure 1, curve 7 and Table I). Under similar conditions 1,3-dihydroxy-acetone is rapidly hydrogenated.

- 1 F. Joe and M. T. Beck, *Reaction Kinetics and Catalysis Letters, 2, 251 (1975).*
- *2 Y.* Dror and J. Manassen, *J. Molec. Catal., 2, 219 (1977).*
- 3 S. D. Robinson and M. F. Uttlev. *J. Chem. Sot. Dalton.* 1912 (1973).
- 4 R. K. Poddar and U. Agarwala, *Indian J. Chem., 9, 477* (1971).
- 5 B. R James, *Inorg. Chim. Acta, 4, 77 (1970).*
- *6 W.* Kruse and L. W. Wright, Proc. *XVII ICCC (Hamburg),* p. 30 (1976) and personal communication.