Hydrozirconation/transmetalation of acetylenic stannanes. New 1,1-dimetallo reagents

Bruce H. Lipshutz* and Robert Keil

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106 (USA)

(Received October 13, 1993)

Abstract

Treatment of 1-tributylstannylalkynes with $Cp_2Zr(H)Cl$ affords olefinic intermediates substituted by both Bu_3Sn and Cp_2ZrCl groups on the terminal sp²-like carbon. These stereodefined reagents can be selectively transmetalated at zirconium to afford cuprates which deliver product vinyl stannanes in both substitution and Michael addition reactions.

Key words: Hydrozirconation; Transmetalation; Zirconium complexes; Hydride complexes; Tin alkyne complexes

Introduction

1,1-Dimetallo reagents 1 offer unique opportunities to effect sequential multiple carbon-carbon and/or carbon-heteroatom bonds [1]. Those which are sp² carbonbased (1b) are particularly attractive in that they provide access to polysubstituted alkenes of defined stereochemistry ($G_1 \neq G_2$) [2], assuming that clean chemodifferentiation of the metals attached can be achieved.



Previously, we had noted that acetylenic stannanes could be converted to Z-vinyl stannanes (3) via a trivial hydrozirconation-proton quenching scheme [3]. The intermediacy of a 1,1-dimetallo species (2) was demonstrated by selective treatment of 2 with I_2 to afford isomerically pure iodostannane (4). More useful, however, would be the selective transmetalations of 2 to reactive organometallics, including cuprate reagents capable of efficient C-C bond forming reactions [4]. Realization of such a procedure would complement well established prior art as a means of preparing polysubstituted alkenes (e.g. via carbometallation of alkynes [5]). In this report, we describe chemospecific ligand exchange reactions of 1,1-dimetallo species (2), induced by higher order cyanocuprates (5), to various trisubstituted alkenes (7) (Scheme 1).

Experimental

A representative procedure for the preparation of diene (13) (see Table 2) is as follows. A 10 ml round bottom flask was charged with 45 mg (0.17 mmol) zirconocene chloride hydride and 3 ml of THF. To the stirred suspension were added 50 mg (0.15 mmol) of 1-tributylstannylpropyne. The solution was stirred until it became clear and colorless (10 min). The flask was cooled to -78 °C at which point 0.63 ml (0.17 mmol, 0.27 M in THF) of 2-thienyl cyanocuprate and 0.23 ml (0.34 mmol, 1.45 M in ether) of methyllithium were added. The solution was stirred for 10 min before 23





^{*}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

TABLE 1. Conjugate addition reactions of cuprate 6a

Stannane	Enone	Product ^a	Yield (%) ^{b, c}	
CH3- = -SnBn3	\diamond	O SnBus	79	
O SnBu ₃ Si-	\checkmark		93	
t-BuMe₂SiO	$\mathring{\mathcal{O}}$		77	
t-BuMe ₂ SiO	Å	O TID SnBu ₃ OTBDMS	71	
O SnBu₃ −Si− ⊥	\diamond		74	

^aFully characterized by IR, NMR, MS and HRMS data. ^bIsolated, chromatographically purified materials. ^cBased on enone.

TABLE 2. Alkylation reactions of cuprate 6b

Stannane	Alkylating agent ^a	Product ^b	Yield (%) ^{c, d}
(i-Pr) ₃ SiO SnBu ₃	<i>∽</i> ^{Br}	OSi(<i>i</i> -Pr) ₃ SnBu ₃ O	75
CH3SuBn3	Br Br	Br SnBu ₃	88
CH3Subna	, CI	SnBu ₃	87
CH3SuBn3	Br		78
Si-0 SnBu ₃	Br		86
SnBu ₃	BnO		73

^aUsed in slight excess (1.3–1.8 equiv.). ^bFully characterized by IR, NMR, MS and HRMS data. ^cIsolated, chromatographically purified materials. ^dBased on acetylenic stannane.

 μ l (21 mg, 0.23 mmol) of methallyl chloride were added. After 2 h at -78 °C, the solution was poured into 15 ml of 10% aqueous sodium bicarbonate and 10 ml of diethyl ether, and the organic layer was separated. Following extraction with ether and washing with brine, the combined organic layers were dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered through a bed of Celite, and finally concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting oil was chromatographed using reverse phase silica gel [6] to yield 52 mg (87%) of Z-3-tributylstannyl-5-methylhexa-2,5diene. IR (neat) (cm⁻¹): 2900, 1650, 1455. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.09–6.03 (1H, q, J=10.5 Hz), 4.72 (1H, d, J=1.5 Hz), 4.61 (1H, d, J=1.5 Hz), 2.83 (2H, s), 1.73–1.71 (3H, d, J=10.5 Hz), 1.66 (3H, s), 1.45–1.41 (6H, m), 1.3–1.26 (6H, m), 0.89–0.87 (9H, t, J=8 Hz), 0.80–0.77 (6H, t). EIMS *m*/*z* (rel. int.) 329 (100), 328 (32), 327 (76), 177 (45); HREIMS calc. for C₁₅H₂₉¹²⁰Sn (-C₄H₉): 329.1291; found 329.1291.

Results and discussion

The dimetallo reagent 2 can be viewed as both a vinyl stannane, as well as a vinyl zirconocene, each portion of which is a candidate for transmetalation to the corresponding mixed vinyl cuprate. Since Behling *et al.* [7] showed that while the former is subject to ligand exchange with $R_2Cu(CN)Li_2$ in THF, ambient temperatures over the course of 1–2 h are usually needed. By contrast, vinyl zirconocenes participate in identical processes, but do so at -78 °C in minutes [8]. Hence, the selective conversion of 1,1-dimetallo intermediates (2) to cuprates (6) was found to take place quite smoothly at these colder temperatures.

A variety of acetylenic stannanes [9] was converted to 2 using Schwartz' reagent [10] in THF at room temperature. Simply cooling the resulting solution of 2 to -78 °C followed by the addition of MeLi (1 equiv.) and $Me_2Cu(CN)Li_2$ (5, L=Me, 1 equiv.) (premixed using 3MeLi+1CuCN) induces transmetalation to 6a (Scheme 2). Introduction of an α,β -unsaturated ketone leads, upon work-up and isolation, to vinyl stannanecontaining product ketones (8) reflecting the essentially regio- and stereospecific nature of the hydrozirconation/ transmetalation sequence (based on TLC, NMR and VPC analyses of reaction mixtures). Several representative cases are illustrated in Table 1. Although unhindered enones readily participate, more sterically demanding educts such as mesityl oxide and isophorone give low yields of desired 1,4-adducts, as do nitroolefins. The presence of additives (e.g. $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ [4, 11] or TMS-Cl [4, 12]) did not improve the process.

Transmetalations of 2 with the mixed thienyl methyl cuprate (5, L = 2-thienyl [13]) lead to 6b, which undergo alkylations to trisubstituted olefins (9) using either allylic or benzylic halides as electrophiles. Unactivated iodides or bromides were not acceptable partners in these couplings, as anticipated from earlier studies [14]. Primary, unactivated triflates, however, may be used to effect alkylations of 6b. Examples of these couplings are shown in Table 2. Noteworthy cases include the use of acetylenic stannane (11) which contains an ester moiety*, and the trapping of 6b with 2,3-dibromopropene to give the vinyl bromo, vinyl stannyl 1,4-diene



*For cuprate couplings wherein an ester, among other electrophilic groups, is present within the reagent, see ref. 15.

(12) of defined olefinic geometry. Unfortunately, neither unactivated epoxides nor silyl halides reacted with cuprates 6b even upon warming the reaction mixture to -30 °C.

Products of these couplings, by virtue of the vinylstannane residue now present, have the potential for further manipulation at the carbon-bearing tin site to non-organometallic-containing trisubstituted olefins. For example, a cuprate-mediated transmetallation/coupling could be envisioned [7]. Alternatively, conversion to a halide derivative [16], as in the transformation of 10 to iodide 14 (eqn. (1)), inverts the polarity of an olefin from that of a nucleophilic to an electrophilic partner in Stille-type couplings based on palladium chemistry [17].



In summary, a new 1,1-dimetallo reagent has been developed which allows for the controlled conversion of acetylenic stannanes to trisubstituted olefins of strictly defined geometries. Further methodological advances which take advantage of vinyl zirconocene intermediates will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge financial support for this work from the NIH (GM 40287) and the National Science Foundation (CHE 93-03883).

References

- T. Sato, A. Kawasc and T. Hirosc, Synlett., (1992) 891; P. Knochel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 112 (1990) 7431; C.E. Tucker, S. AchyuthaRao and P. Knochel, J. Org. Chem., 55 (1990) 5446; S. AchyuthaRao and P. Knochel, J. Org. Chem., 56 (1991) 4591; B. Zheng and M. Srebnik, Tetrahedron Lett., 34 (1993) 4133; P. Knochel and J.F. Normant, Tetrahedron Lett., 27 (1986) 4431, 4427, 1043, 1039.
- J.R. Waas, A. Sidduri and P. Knochel, *Tetrahedron Lett., 33* (1992) 3717; H. Imanieh, D. MacLeod, P. Quayle and Y. Zhao, *Tetrahedron Lett., 33* (1992) 405; M. Lautens, P.H.M. Delanghe, J.B. Goh and C.H. Zhang, *J. Org. Chem., 57* (1992) 3270; T. Kusumoto, K. Nishide and T. Hiyama, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 63* (1990) 1947; C.E. Tucker and P. Knochel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc., 113* (1991) 9888; G. Erker, R. Zwettler, C. Kruger, R. Noe and S. Werner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc., 112* (1990) 9620; T. Yoshida and E. Negishi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc., 103* (1981) 1276; E. Negishi, *Chem. Scr., 29* (1989) 457; E. Negishi, T. Takahashi, *Synthesis* (1988) 1, and refs. therein.
- 3 B.H. Lipshutz, R. Keil and J.C. Barton, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 33 (1992) 5861.

- 4 B.H. Lipshutz and S. Sengupta, Org. React. (NY), 41 (1992) 135; P. Wipf, Synthesis, (1993) 537.
- 5 J.F. Normant and A. Alexakis, Synthesis, (1981) 841; D.E. Van Horn and E. Negishi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100 (1978) 2252; E. Negishi, Pure Appl. Chem., 53 (1981) 2333.
- 6 V. Farina, J. Org. Chem., 56 (1991) 4985.
- 7 J.R. Behling, K.A. Babiak, J.S. Ng, A.L. Campbell, R. Moretti, M. Koerner and B.H. Lipshutz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 110 (1988) 2641.
- 8 B.H. Lipshutz and E.L. Ellsworth, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 112 (1990) 7440; K.A. Babiak, J.R. Behling, J.H. Dygos, K.T. McLaughlin, J.S. Ng, V.J. Kalish, S.W. Kramer and R.L. Shone, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 112 (1990) 7441.
- 9 B.L. Williamson and P.J. Stang, *Synlett.*, (1992) 199, and refs. therein.
- 10 J. Schwartz and J.A. Labinger, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 15 (1976) 333.

- Y. Yamamoto, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 25 (1986) 947;
 B.H. Lipshutz, E.L. Ellsworth and T.J. Siahaan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 110 (1988) 4834; A.B. Smith and P.J. Jerris, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 106 (1984) 3693.
- 12 B.H. Lipshutz, S.H. Dimock and B. James, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 115 (1993) 9283, and refs. therein.
- 13 B.H. Lipshutz, J.A. Kozlowski, D.A. Parker, S.L. Nguyen and K.E. McCarthy, J. Organomet. Chem., 285 (1985) 437; H. Malmberg, M. Nilsson and C. Ullenius, Tetrahedron Lett., 23 (1982) 3823.
- 14 B.H. Lipshutz and K. Kato, Tetrahedron Lett., 32 (1991) 5647.
- 15 P. Knochel, M.J. Rozema, C.E. Tucker, C. Retherford, M. Furlong and S. AchyuthaRao, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 64 (1992) 361, and refs. therein; D.E. Stack, B.T. Dawson and R.D. Rieke, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 114 (1992) 5110, and refs. therein.
- 16 M. Pereyre, J.-P. Quintard and A. Rahm, *Tin in Organic Synthesis*, Butterworths, London, 1987.
- 17 J.K. Stille and W.J. Scott, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 108 (1986) 3033; W.J. Scott and J.E. McMurry, Acc. Chem. Res., 21 (1988) 47.