A ³¹P{¹H} NMR Study of the Reactions of $[Rh_2(\mu-Cl)_2(cod)_2]$ with Unsymmetrical, Bidentate Ligands and Hydrogen

GORDON K. ANDERSON* and RAVI KUMAR

Department of Chemistry, University of Missouri-St. Louis, St. Louis, Mo. 63121, U.S.A. (Received September 17, 1987)

Summary

[Rh₂(μ -Cl)₂(cod)₂] reacts with Ph₂PCH₂CH₂OMe (PC₂O), Ph₂P(CH₂)₃NMe₂ (PC₃N), PBuⁿPh₂ or PPh₃ to give [Rh(cod)L₂]Cl (L = PC₂O, PC₃N, PBuⁿPh₂, PPh₃). In the presence of hydrogen, [Rh(cod)L₂]Cl is converted to [RhClH₂L₃]. In contrast, [Rh(cod)-(PC₂O)₂]BPh₄ reacts with H₂ to give [RhH₂(PC₂-O)₂S₂]BPh₄ (S = solvent). With Ph₂PCH₂CH₂NMe₂ (PC₂N) or Ph₂PCH₂CH₂SMe (PC₂S), [Rh₂(μ -Cl)₂-(cod)₂] reacts to form the chelate complexes *cis*-[Rh(PC₂N)₂]⁺ or *cis*-[Rh(PC₂S)₂]⁺, neither of which reacts with hydrogen under ambient conditions. The products of the reactions are characterized *in situ* by ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy.

Introduction

Hydrogenation reactions catalyzed by rhodium phosphine complexes have been studied extensively [1-3]. A key step in such reactions is dissociation of a phosphine ligand to generate a coordinatively unsaturated (or solvent-associated) species, as demonstrated by kinetic [4] and spectroscopic [5] studies of Wilkinson's catalyst, [RhCl(PPh₃)₃]. Schrock and Osborn have shown [6] that cationic rhodium complexes, [Rh(diene)L₂]⁺, with noncoordinating anions are catalyst precursors for olefin hydrogenation. In polar solvents these complexes react with hydrogen, by reduction of the diene, to give the solvent-associated species [RhH₂-L₂S₂]⁺ (S = solvent), from which solvent displacement by the olefin occurs readily.

Since these solvent-associated complexes are quite unstable, it seemed to us that more stable analogues might be formed with unsymmetrical, bidentate ligands. One end of the ligand would coordinate weakly, and thus be similar to a solvent molecule, but the chelate effect would confer additional stability on the complex. We report here a ³¹P{¹H} NMR study of the reactions of $[Rh_2(\mu-Cl)_2(cod)_2]$ with Ph₂PCH₂CH₂OMe (PC₂O), Ph₂P(CH₂)_nNMe₂ (n = 2, PC₂N; n = 3, PC₃N) and Ph₂PCH₂CH₂SMe (PC₂S), and the interactions of the products with hydrogen.

Results and Discussion

When $[Rh_2(\mu-Cl)_2(cod)_2]$ is treated with 4 molar equivalents of PC2O in acetone or acetonitrile solution, a deep orange color develops, and the ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum exhibits a doublet at $\delta(P)$ 25.6 with ${}^{1}J(Rh, P) = 149$ Hz. (With less than 4 equivalents of PC₂O the same species is formed, but some $[Rh_2(\mu -$ Cl)2(cod)2] remains.) This complex is identified as $[Rh(cod)(PC_2O)_2]Cl$, by comparison of its NMR parameters with those of [Rh(cod)(PPh₃)₂]Cl prepared analogously here, and those of [Rh(nbd)- $(PPh_3)_2$ ClO₄, reported elsewhere [7]. When the reaction of $[Rh_2(\mu-Cl)_2(cod)_2]$ with PC₂O or PPh₃ is performed in the presence of NaBPh₄, identical $^{31}P{^{1}H}$ NMR spectra are obtained, suggesting that in $[Rh(cod)L_2]Cl$ (L = PC₂O, PPh₃) no interaction exists between the chloride ion and the square planar rhodium(I) cation. In $[Rh(cod)(PC_2O)_2]^+$ the PC₂O ligand is coordinated through phosphorus only.

When hydrogen is introduced into an acetone solution of [Rh(cod)(PC₂O)₂]Cl at ambient temperature, the solution becomes lighter in color and conversion to a single PC₂O-containing species occurs. The latter exhibits a doublet of doublets at $\delta(P)$ 25.5 and doublet of triplets at $\delta(P)$ 9.0 in its ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum, and the smaller ${}^{1}J(Rh, P)$ values are indicative of formation of a rhodium(III) complex [5,8]. The ¹H NMR spectrum of this complex at -40 °C contains two broad hydride resonances at $\delta(H)$ –15.2 and –7.6 (d, ²J(P, H) 157 Hz). The complex is identified as $[RhClH_2(PC_2O)_3]$, by comparison of its ¹H and ³¹P{¹H} NMR parameters with those of [RhClH₂(PPh₃)₃] formed by addition of H₂ to Wilkinson's catalyst [5]. The complex $[RhClH_2(PC_2O)_3]$ exists as the single isomer 1. On standing at ambient temperature [RhClH₂(PC₂- O_{3} slowly loses H₂ to give the PC₂O analogue of Wilkinson's catalyst (Table I). In contrast, addition

0020-1693/88/\$3.50

^{*}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Complex	δ(P) ^a	${}^{1}J(Rh, P)$ (Hz)	$^{2}J(P, P)$ (Hz)		
$[Rh(cod)(PPh_3)_2]^+$	27.1 d ^b	147			
$[Rh(cod)(PC_2O)_2]^+$	25.6 d	149			
$[Rh(cod)(PC_2N)]^+$	41.4 d ^b	161			
$cis - [Rh(PC_2N)_2]^+$	56.5 d ^b	180			
$cis - [Rh(PC_2S)_2]^+$	63.8 d ^b	161			
[RhCl(PPh ₃) ₃]	31.1 dd	144	37		
	47.9 dt	190			
$[RhCl(PC_2O)_3]$	28.6 dd	138	40		
	43.5 dt	185			
[RhClH ₂ (PPh ₃) ₃]	40.2 dd	114	18		
	20.6 dt	90			
$[RhClH_2(PC_2O)_3]$	25.5 dd	110	20		
	9.0 dt	90			
$[RhClH_2(PC_3N)_3]$	31.9 dd	111	21		
	13.0 dt	93			
[RhClH ₂ (PBu ⁿ Ph ₂) ₃]	31.2 dd	112	20		
	13.6 dt	93			
$[RhH_2(PPh_3)_2(acetone)_2]^+$	37.6 d	112			
$[RhH_2(PC_2O)_2(acetone)_2]^+$	28.9 d	110			

TABLE 1. ³¹P{¹H} NMR Parameters for Rhodium Complexes Containing Phosphine Ligands

^a Recorded in	acetone solution at	t ambient t	emperature,	except	where	stated.	Chemical	shifts ar	e in ppm	relative	to extern	al
85% H ₃ PO ₄ .	^b Recorded in acet	onitrile solu	ution at ambi	ient tem	peratur	e.						



of H₂ to an acetone solution of $[Rh(cod)(PC_2O)_2]$ -BPh₄ results in the formation of an unstable complex which is presumed to be $[RhH_2(PC_2O)_2(ace$ $tone)_2]BPh_4$ (Table I) [6], since the value of $\delta(P)$ is much smaller than would be expected for a complex with chelated PC₂O [9].

A consideration of the stoichiometry of the reaction of $[Rh(cod)(PC_2O)_2]Cl$ with H₂ reveals that only two-thirds of the rhodium present may be accounted for by $[RhClH_2(PC_2O)_3]$, whereas the remainder must be in a form which contains no PC₂O. The reaction does not appear to involve decomposition to rhodium metal, so the remaining rhodium may be in the form of $[Rh_2(\mu-Cl)_2(cod)_2]$. The mechanism of this reaction is unclear, but it is obviously initiated by addition of H₂ to the [Rh- $(cod)(PC_2O)_2]^+$ cation. Related ligand rearrangement reactions occur when platinum(II) complexes are treated with SnCl₂ [10-12].

In order to determine whether the ether function is important in facilitating the ligand rearrangement described above, we investigated the analogous reaction with PBuⁿPh₂. The n-butyl group is the same size as the ether-containing chain in PC₂O. Treatment of an acetone solution of $[Rh_2(\mu-Cl)_2(cod)_2]$ with 4 molar equivalents of PBuⁿPh₂, followed by the addition of H_2 , results in the formation of [RhCl- $H_2(PBu^nPh_2)_3$] as the only phosphorus-containing species in solution. In fact, similar reactions with PPh₃ or PC₃N proceed analogously (Table I). Thus it is clear that the presence of the ether function in PC₂O, or the amine in PC₃N, is unnecessary for the ligand rearrangement reaction to occur following the addition of H_2 to [Rh(cod)L₂]Cl. It is also apparent that this reaction is favored over chelation of PC₂O or PC₃N upon removal of the diene by hydrogenation.

The above reactions may be summarized as shown in Scheme 1. The direction of the reaction of [Rh-(cod)L₂]⁺X⁻ with hydrogen does not depend on the nature of L, but does depend on the initially uncoordinated anion. Each reaction involves reduction of the diene and hence is irreversible. Where the anion is chloride there is clearly a link between the cationic rhodium(I) complexes prepared by Schrock and Osborn [6, 7] and Wilkinson's catalyst, *i.e.* both [Rh(cod)L₂]Cl and [RhClL₃] react with hydrogen to form [RhClH₂L₃].

When $[Rh_2(\mu-Cl)_2(cod)_2]$ is treated with 2 molar equivalents of PC₂N in the presence of NaBPh₄ in acetonitrile solution the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum exhibits doublets at $\delta(P)$ 41.4, ¹J(Rh, P) 161 Hz, and $\delta(P)$ 56.5, ¹J(Rh, P) 180 Hz. The large downfield shifts are indicative of chelated PC₂N ligands [9]. Addition of 2 further equivalents of PC₂N causes complete conversion to the latter species, which is therefore identified as the *cis*-[Rh(PC₂N)₂]⁺ cation. The *cis* geometry is inferred from the magnitude of





the one-bond coupling constant, which is considerably greater than those observed for [Rh(diphos $phine)_2]^+$ complexes which contain mutually *trans* phosphines [13]. The other species, which is observed only at PC₂N:Rh ratios less than 2, is believed to be $[Rh(cod)(PC_2N)]^+$. The formation of chelate complexes with PC₂N illustrates the greater nucleophilicity towards rhodium(I) of the amine compared with the ether function, and the easier formation of five-membered chelate rings compared with their six-membered counterparts.

The only phosphorus-containing product of the reaction of $[Rh_2(\mu-Cl)_2(cod)_2]$ with PC₂S in acetonitrile solution is *cis*- $[Rh(PC_2S)_2]Cl$, irrespective of the PC₂S:Rh ratio employed. The *cis*- $[Rh(PC_2-S)_2]^+$ cation has been prepared previously [14], as well as analogous species with Ph₂PCH₂CH₂SEt [14] and Ph₂PCH₂CH₂SPh [14, 15]. Addition of H₂ to an acetonitrile solution of *cis*- $[Rh(PC_2N)_2]^+$, as its chloride or tetraphenylborate salt, or *cis*- $[Rh(PC_2S)_2]Cl$ causes no change in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum.

Solutions of the rhodium phosphine complexes are oxygen-sensitive, with the phosphine oxides being the ultimate products. It has been shown [14] that $[Rh(Ph_2PCH_2CH_2SR)_2]^+$ (R = Me, Et, Ph) reacts with dioxygen to form adducts, which are believed to adopt trigonal bipyramidal geometries. We also find that when an acetonitrile solution of *cis*-[Rh(PC₂S)₂]Cl is exposed to air or dioxygen for a few minutes an intermediate complex is formed (δP 52.8 d, ¹J(Rh, P) 124 Hz), but extensive oxidation of PC₂S occurs also. Longer exposure to air causes complete conversion to the phosphine oxide (δ (P) 28.3).

Experimental

The ligands PC_2O , PC_2N , PC_3N and PC_2S were prepared as described previously [16]. Triphenylphosphine was obtained from SCM Specialty Chemicals. PBu^nPh_2 was prepared by reaction of PPh_3 with Li metal in THF solution, followed by addition of excess Bu^nCl ; PBu^nPh_2 was obtained as a colorless oil in 46% yield by distillation under reduced pressure (boiling point 148 °C at 0.5 torr). [$Rh_2(\mu-Cl)_2$ -(cod)₂] was prepared by an established method [17]. Acetone and acetonitrile were obtained from Fisher. Acetone was distilled immediately prior to use; acetonitrile was refluxed over P_2O_5 , then distilled prior to use.

 ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectra were recorded on Varian XL-300 or JEOL FX-100 spectrometers at 121.4 and 40.3 MHz respectively. Chemical shifts are relative to external 85% H₃PO₄, positive shifts representing deshielding. The reactions were performed in 5 mm or 10 mm NMR tubes fitted with a valve containing an O-ring sealed PTFE piston with an axial bore, constructed by J. Young (London) and distributed by R. J. Brunfeldt, Bartlesville, Oklahoma. A typical procedure is outlined below.

Reaction of $[Rh_2(\mu-Cl)_2(cod)_2]$ with PC_2O

An acetone solution (3 ml) of PC₂O (60 mg, 0.25 mmol) was introduced into a 10 mm NMR tube. The tube was placed in a -78 °C slush bath, and [Rh₂(μ -Cl)₂(cod)₂] (30 mg, 0.061 mmol) was added. The tube was attached to the vacuum line and deaerated by two freeze-pump-thaw cycles. The tube was then filled with argon, the valve was closed, and the contents were examined by ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy. To introduce hydrogen, the solution was cooled to -78 °C and the tube was closed, the solution was allowed to warm to ambient temperature, then the solution was examined spectroscopically.

Acknowledgements

Acknowledgement is made to the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, and to the Research Corporation, Monsanto Co., the Missouri Research Assistance Act, and the University of Missouri Weldon Spring Fund for support of this work. A NSF instrumentation grant (CHE-8506671) is also gratefully acknowledged.

References

- 1 B. R. James, 'Homogeneous Hydrogenation', Wiley, New York, 1973.
- 2 B. R. James, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 17, 319 (1979).
- 3 J. Halpern, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 50, 11 (1981).
- 4 J. Halpern and C. S. Wong, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 629 (1973).
- 5 P. Meakin, J. P. Jesson and C. A. Tolman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 3240 (1972); C. A. Tolman, P. Z. Meakin, D. L. Lindner and J. P. Jesson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 2762 (1974).
- 6 R. R. Schrock and J. A. Osborn, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 2134 (1976); 98, 2143 (1976); 98, 4450 (1976).
- 7 R. R. Schrock and J. A. Osborn, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93, 2397 (1971).

- 8 T. G. Appleton, H. C. Clark and L. E. Manzer, Coord. Chem. Rev., 10, 335 (1973).
- 9 P. E. Garrou, Chem. Rev., 81, 229 (1981).
- 10 G. K. Anderson, H. C. Clark and J. A. Davies, *Inorg. Chem.*, 22, 427 and 434 (1983).
- 11 A. Albinati, P. S. Pregosin and H. Ruegger, *Inorg. Chem.*, 23, 3223 (1984).
- 12 G. K. Anderson, E. R. Corey and R. Kumar, *Inorg. Chem.*, 26, 97 (1987).
- 13 B. R. James and D. Mahajan, Can. J. Chem., 57, 180 (1979).
- 14 M. Bressan, F. Morandini and P. Rigo, *Inorg. Chim.* Acta, 77, L139 (1983).
- 15 A. R. Sanger, Can. J. Chem., 61, 2214 (1983).
- 16 G. K. Anderson and R. Kumar, *Inorg. Chem.*, 23, 4064 (1984).
- 17 J. Chatt and L. M. Venanzi, J. Chem. Soc., 4735 (1957).