Determination of Isotopic and Total Thorium at Ultra-trace Level in Water by a-Spectroscopy and Micro-injection ICP-AES*

N. MlEKELEY**, M. G. R. VALE and C. L. PORT0 DA SILVEIRA

Department of Chemistry, Pontifical Catholic University *(PVC), R. Marqks de SZo Vicente 225, 22453 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*

The analytical chemistry of thorium became of great interest for geochemists and environmentalists when it was recognized that Th isotopes could be used as sensitive tools to obtain information about migration processes in nature (e.g. disequilibrium b etween 238 U/²³⁰Th as an indicator for U mobilization $[1]$, 232 Th as a possible chemical analog for Pu(IV) [2, 31, etc.). Difficulties encountered in their determination are individually very different and depend, as in the case of α -spectroscopy, not only on the specific activity of the isotopes to be measured but also on their environmental 'history'. While it is normally an easy task to analyse 228Th , genetically derived from $228\text{Ra}/228\text{Ac}$, in natural waters, the same task is much more complicated for 232 Th for several reasons: (1) the isotope has a very low specific activity $(0.0041$ Bq per μ g); (2) the solubility of Th(IV) can be extremely low $(\leq 0.1$ ppb $[3]$); (3) strong radioactive disequilibria between ²³²Th and ²²⁸Th frequently observed in water, cause a significant loss in power of detection for 232 Th determination by α -spectrometry, because of the increase in ackground of the 232 Th spectral region due to the presence of ²²⁸Th.

Based on the above a proposed'new method will be discussed. More details about the experimental protocol, of interest primarily to radioanalytical chemists and emission spectroscopists, will be published elsewhere [4].

Experimental

Preconcentration of Thorium

After collection and filtration $(0.45 \mu m)$ the water sample (10 to 20 1) is acidified and spiked with 234 Th or 229 Th tracer. The volume is reduced by evaporation in large glass beakers up to approximately 500 ml and the remaining fraction carefully

transferred to a Teflon beaker. After further evaporation and decomposition with $HF/HNO₃/HClO₄$, the residue is dissolved in 20 to 50 ml of $HNO₃$ (1 M). Thorium is then extracted with 10 ml of TOPO/ cyclohexane (0.1 M) and afterwards reextracted 3 times with 10 ml of H_2SO_4 (0.3 M). From this solution Th(IV) can be directly coprecipitated with lanthanum fluoride (50 μ g of La³⁺) and separated by membrane filtration on a filter $(0.2 \mu m)$, where previously 100 μ g of LaF₃ had been deposited to enable better spectrum resolution. When ²³⁴Th has been used as tracer, the β -counting rate of the filter is measured by means of a suitable detector for determination of the overall chemical recovery. An analytical flow-sheet of the procedure, which includes also indications for the analysis of some other elements and samples types, is shown in Fig. 1.

dpectrometric Determination of Thorium

The filters are measured by α -spectrometry with the use of surface barrier detectors (300 or 450 mm2 nominal area) and their activities evaluated by comparison with standard filters, prepared by direct coprecipitation of thorium. Energy resolution (FWHM) of the 232 Th peak is <80 keV and counting efficiency (2π) typically between 15 and 20%. Examples of spectra are shown in Figs. 2 and 3.

Determination of Thorium by ICP-AES

After α -counting, the filter is carefully calcinated in a platinum crucible, and the residue wet-ashed with some drops of a mixture of $HF/HNO₃/HClO₄$, which is then evaporated to dryness. 1 .OO ml of $HNO₃$ (1 M) is pipetted into the cold crucible, which is then immersed into an ultrasonic bath to promote better Th dissolution. 200 μ l aliquots of this solution are injected into an ICP by means of a GMK nebulizer, connected to a peristaltic pump and a small Teflon cup. The analog signal from the analytical emission peak (Th(I1) 439.1 nm) is registered by a line recorder. The equipment used in this part of the work was a simultaneous 2m Paschen-Runge spectrometer (Analymat 2502 RSV),optically coupled to a Kontron-1000 ICP source (1.5 kW). Before analysis, all relevant plasma and spectrometer parameters had been carefully optimized [4].

Results and Discussion

Preconcentration of Thorium

All preconcentration steps were separately evaluated by radioactive tracer methods and only some results can be summarized here, 'Extraction' and 'Reextraction' of thorium is nearly quantitative as previously reported by White and Ross [5] and

0020-1693/87/\$3.50 0 Elsevier Sequoia/Printed in Switzerland

^{*}Paper presented at the Second International Conference on the Basic and Applied Chemistry of f-Transition (Lanthanide and Actinide) and Related Elements (2nd ICLA), Lisbon, Portugal, April 6-10, 1987.

^{**}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Fig. 1. Flow-sheet of the **analytical** procedure used for the separation and purification of thorium from water.

confirmed, even for carrier-free radioactive tracer amounts, in this work. 'Coprecipitation' of thorium with LaF₃ is also practically complete ($>95\%$). La³⁺ was used for coprecipitation instead of other more suitable carriers $(Ce^{3^2}$, Nd³⁺) because of the absence of spectral interferences of this element with the Th(I1) 439.1 nm line [6]. Extraction as well as reextraction and coprecipitation steps provide sufficient separation of Th⁴⁺ from other elements normally present in environmental samples, to exclude interferences in α -spectroscopy (e.g. by U) and ICP-AES (e.g. REE [6]). The precision of the whole procedure, including α -spectrometric determination of Th, was determined with 20 I-aliquots of a 'real' water sample and the results are summarized in Table I. Taking into account the very low ²³²Th concentration of the sample $(0.076 \mu g/l)$ and the complex nature of the analytical procedure, the relative standard deviation (RSD) obtained of 11% can be considered as very satisfactory. Analysing four other water aliquots by use of an entirely different and much more time consuming procedure for Th

Fig. 2. Example of an α -spectrum of a processed water sample with low $228 \text{Th}/232 \text{Th}$ activity ratio (1.8), showing low background near the 232Th peak region. (Sample: Morro do Ferro, Brazil, 0.055 μ g/l of ²³²Th; *contribution from the tracer.)

Fig. 3. Examples of α -spectra of processed water samples with high $228\text{ Th}/232\text{ Th}$ activity ratios, showing the increase in 'background' due to ²²⁸Th. (Samples from the Morro do Error . Brazil.) (A) Ground water: activity ratio (AR) of ²⁸Th to ²³²Th 298; 1.2 μ g of ²³²Th on the filter; water volume 19.6 1; counting time 35 143 s. (B) Ground water; $AR = 325$; volume 15 1, 1.9 μ g of 232 Th on the filter; counting time 15 828 s. (C) Ultraconcentrate of a ground water sample; $AR = 295$; 4.0μ g of ²³²Th on the filter; counting time 84425 s.

separation and purification [7], the results agreed within the limits of *RSD*, indicating that the accuracy of our simplified method is also acceptable.

cx-Spectrometric Determination of Thorium

Coprecipitation with $LaF₃$ was shown to be an excellent method for final concentration of Th⁴⁺ and counting sample preparation. This technique was first proposed by Lieberman [8] for α -spectroscopy. Figure 2 represents a typical α -spectrum of a surface water sample processed by our method, showing well

TABLE 1. Analytical Results on Replicate Thorium Determinations in 20 1 Aliquots of a Surface Water Sample from Morro do Ferro, Brazil

Aliquot No.	232 Th (μ g/l ± (σ))	
	This method	Modified Sill method [7]
	0.073(0.009)	0.064(0.010)
2	0.083(0.009)	0.064(0.004)
٦	0.083(0.012)	0.050(0.009)
	0.065(0.012)	0.074(0.009)
mean	0.076(0.009)	0.063(0.010)

defined peaks and permitting unambiguous determination of the corresponding activities.

The limit of detection (LD) for α -spectrometric determination of 232 Th depends not only on the detector/blank background (BG) (1-4 counts per 40 ks, in our case) but, also on the 228Th to 232Th ratio of the sample. This can be seen in Fig. 3, which epresents spectra of three water samples with high 28 Th activities as compared with 232 Th. 'Background' in the 232 Th peak region increases as much as 60 times when the 2^{28} Th/ 2^{32} Th ratio increases from about 1.8 (Fig. 2) to 295 (Fig. 3, sample C), obscuring the 232Th peak almost completely. Assuming that a 20 1 sample was processed and considering other experimental parameters (e.g. chemical recovery 80%, sensitivity of the calibration curve (S) : 24.1 counts per 40 ks and μ g of ²³²Th), this increase in 'background' causes a reduction of the *LD* (defined according to Currie $[10]$: *LD* (μ g) = (2.71 + 4.65 \sqrt{BG})/*S*) from 0.015 μ g/l to 0.065 μ g/l in the original water. (In this example *BG* was taken as 1 and 60 counts, respectively. S refers to an ORTEC BR-21-300-100 detector).

Determination of Thorium by ICP-AES

Filters with a known amount of 232 Th $(2 \mu g)$, coprecipitated with $LaF₃$, were decomposed, dissolved in 1.00 ml of $HNO₃$ (1 M) and then analysed by the above mentioned ICP technique, together with standard Th solutions. Results are summarized in Fig. $4(a)$ and $4(b)$ and show that the dissolution procedure is reproducible (and quantitative) as well as the injection and signal generation procedure. A minor positive contribution from La to the Th emission peak was observed but, as this element is always in a constant concentration within the injected volume (30 μ g/200 μ), its influence can be corrected by using Th standard solutions in which lathanum is present as the matrix element.

Figure 5 shows ICP signal recordings from two filters $(A \text{ and } B)$ whose α -spectra are presented in Fig. 3, as well as those from Th standards, which are injected consecutively to compensate for small

Fig. 4. Recordings of ICP signals caused by injection of 200 μ *l of solutions with different Th concentrations. (a) Repeated injec*tions of standard Th solutions (μ g/ml). (b) Signals from 4 dissolved filters (2 μ g of Th) showing the reproducibility of the dissolution and injection procedure (RSD = 3%). In the left upper corner: signals when 150 μ g/ml of La³⁺ are injected, causing a small positive contribution to Th peak height.

Fig. 5. Recordings of ICP signals from counting filter A and R (Fig. 3) and from standard Th soltuions.

variations in the plasma excitation conditions. The superiority of the signal to background ratio (S/BG) of the ICP technique as compared to α -spectroscopy can clearly be seen (e.g. sample C: S/BG (ICP) = 8; S/BG (α) = 2.4) and this feature, finally permitted accurate analysis of 232Th in these samples. The 'practical' *LD* for thorium is at present 0.25μ g per filter $(0.05 \mu g)$ injected into the plasma), which corresponds to about $0.016 \mu g/l$ for the original water (20 1). This compares well with the *LD* of α -spectroscopy when a sample with low activity ratio of $228 \text{Th}/232 \text{Th}$ is analysed.

The method is being used also for different environmental matrices (e.g. plant ash) and presently adapted for the sequential analysis of other elements at ultra-trace level (e.g. U, REE).

Acknowledgements **References**

This work would not have been possible without ¹ This work would not have been possible without $\frac{1}{2}$ the dedication and skill of our laboratory technicians M. Dupim and D. J. Santos. Financial support was $r_{\rm H}$. Dupin and D. J. Santos. Financial support was received from the international Atomic Energy Agency (Research Contract No. 3937-BR), from the Poços de Caldas Project (sponsored by Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom) and from F_{M} and the emitty F_{M} and include F_{M} FINEP and CNPq, Brazil. The equipment used in σ and which was donated to flow by $\mathbf{v} \mathbf{w}$ -foundation and σ 12, r.K.O. One of the authors $(N.M.)$ ac knowledges with thanks a research stay at the Hahn-Meitner-Institute of Berlin (F.R.G.), during which part of this work was done.

- J. N. Rosholt,J. Geophys. *Rer, 88* (B9), 7315 (1983). J. N. Kosnoit, J. G*eopnys. Kes., 88* (B9), 7315 (1983).
-
- 2 D. G. Brookins, 'Geochemical Aspects of Radioactive Waste Disposal', Springer Verlag, New York, 1984, p. 2.
- 3 N. Miekeley and I. L. Küchler, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 140, 315 (1987).
- 4 Manuscript in preparation.
- $5 \text{ J. C. White and W. J. Ross, } ORNL-2498, 1968.$
- 6 P. W. J. M. Boumans, 'Line Coincidence Tables for Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectroscopy', Pergamon, Oxford, 1980, Table 726B.
- *8* R. Lieberman and A. A. Moghissi, *Health Phys., 15, 359* 7 M. G. R. Vale, Masters Thesis, Department of Chemistry, Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 1982.
- *(1968).* L. A. Currie, *Anal. Chcm., 40 (3), 586 (1968).*
-