O₂ and CO Binding to a New Type of Iron(II) Porphyrins

YOSHIO UEMORI and EISHIN KYUNO

School of Pharmacy, Hokuriku University, 3 Ho Kanagawa-Machi, Kanazawa 920-11, Japan (Received May 4, 1987)

In hemoproteins, the discrimination against the binding of CO relative to that of O_2 plays an important role in preventing poisoning by CO. Distal effects [1] functionally produced by globin are thought to be a major motive force for this discrimination and can be separated into two effects: polar and steric. To elucidate the role of these effects on the discrimination, studies [2-5] have been carried out using wide varieties of model porphyrins on the effects upon the discrimination. Nevertheless, whether so-called 'cavity' within globin discriminates between O_2 and CO by a steric effect is still unresolved [2a, 4b]. To clarify further the steric effect, a series of model porphyrins were designed and synthesized with the unique structure in which one aliphatic chain crosses over another aliphatic chain bridging the same side of a porphyrin plane (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Model porphyrins.

The discrimination between O_2/CO binding by steric effect was studied experimentally on these model porphyrins.

 5α , 15α -Bis(2-aminophenyl)- 10α , 20α -(2, 2'-nonanediamidodiphenyl)porphyrin, (I) was prepared from the isomerization of 2-aminophenyl groups of its 5β , 15β -isomer [6]. Porphyrins IIa and IIIa were synthesized from coupling I with $(CH_2)_n(COCl)_2$ (n = 14 or 18) under high dilution conditions [6, 7]. Porphyrin IVa was prepared by the reported method [8]. All new compounds described gave spectral (FAB mass, ¹H NMR) and analytical data in accordance with their assigned structures. It is expected that the $-(CH_2)_{14}$ or $-(CH_2)_{18}$ chain in IIa or IIIa gives steric repulsion to the heptane chain and makes the chain close to the porphyrin ring. Also the heptane chain of IIa is expected to receive more severe steric repulsion than that of IIIa, because the crossing over chain of **IIa** (*i.e.* the $-(CH_2)_{14}$ - chain) is shorter than the $-(CH_2)_{18}$ - chain of **IIIa**. This expectation was confirmed by ¹H NMR measurements. As shown in Table I, the methylene proton signals at the 4-position in the heptane chain of IIa and IIIa shift upfield by 1.24 and 0.62 ppm, respectively, compared to that of IVa. These upfield shifts are the result of the ring current of porphyrin [9], so the heptane chain of **IIa** is suggested to be more crushed than that of IIIa. Iron insertions into IIa or IIIa and IVa were accomplished by heating IIa or IIIa in acetic acid and IVa in tetrahydrofuran, respectively, with FeBr₂. Purifications of iron(III) porphyrins were carried out by silica-gel column chromatography. Fe(III) complexes were reduced to Fe(II) complexes by aqueous sodium dithionite in a two-phase system [3a] (toluene-water) under Ar in the presence of 1,2-dimethylimidazole (1,2-Me₂Im). The Fe(II) complexes prepared in this study bind O₂ or CO reversibly in toluene at 20 °C in the presence of 1,2-Me₂Im and their half-saturation pressures for O₂ or CO binding were obtained by spectroscopic titrations [6] (Fig. 2 and Table II).

The O_2 affinity of **IIIb** is slightly higher but the CO affinity is 2-fold lower than that of **IVb**. Also the O_2 and CO affinities of **IIb** are about 100 and 600 times lower, respectively, than those of **IIIb**. That is, **IIb** reduces CO affinity selectively and has a remarkably low value of M = 24. Recently, Traylor *et al.* [4b] reported the model complex 3,5-pyridine-5,5-hemecyclophane, which has a small M value. They proposed that a polar effect induced by pyridine is the principal factor in the discrimination. The changes in O_2 and CO affinities between **IIIb** and **IVb** can be explained by the difference in local polarity. That is, the cavity of **IIIb** must be more

TABLE I. ¹H NMR Data^a for Nonanediamido Groups in Porphyrins

Porphyrins	Methylene protons ^b				Amido
	1,7-	2,6-	3,5-	4-	protons
IVa	1.16	-0.51	-1.24	-2.51	5.99
IIIa IIa	1.25	-1.16 -1.58	-1.51 -1.58	-3.13 -3.75	6.31 6.45

^aChemical shift (ppm) from TMS in CDCl₃. ^bNumbers refer to positions in the heptane group.



Fig. 2. Determination of $P_{1/2}$ (CO) for IIb: 0.5 M 1,2dimethylimidazole in toluene at 20 °C: (curve a) under 1 atom of N₂; (curve b) CO partial pressure of 395 torr. The following partial pressures of CO were used: 16.0, 31.4, 60.3, 81.2 and 161 torr.

TABLE II. O₂ and CO Binding to Iron(II) Complexes

Complexes ^a	$P_{1/2} (O_2)^{b}$ (torr)	$P_{1/2}$ (CO) ^b (torr)	M°
IVb	18	0.05	360
Шь	15	0.09	170
IIb	1400	62	23

^aSolutions are 0.5 M 1,2-dimethylimidazole in toluene at 20 °C. ^b $P_{1/2}$ (O₂) and $P_{1/2}$ (CO) are half-saturation pressures for O₂ and CO binding, respectively. ^c $M = P_{1/2}$ (O₂)/ $P_{1/2}$ (CO).

polar than that of **IVb**, because **IIIb** has two more amido groups in the cavity than **IVb** has. On the contrary, the discrimination reaction observed in **IIb** may be responsible for steric hindrance to bound O_2 and CO, respectively, for the following reasons. The change in the polarity within cavities between IIb and IIIb is small compared to that between IIIb and IVb, since both IIb and IIIb have four similar amido groups. On the other hand, ¹H NMR results for free base porphyrins imply that the strapped heptane chain is crushed in the order IVb (without crushing) <IIIb < IIb, and the steric hindrance to bound O₂ and CO will be increased in the same order. In particular, the Fe–CO bond unit prefers to be linear and normal to the porphyrin plane in Fe(II)–porphyrin systems, whereas O₂ binds in a bent fashion [2]. Therefore the Fe–CO unit will receive more severe steric hindrance than that of the Fe–O₂ one. Thus, it is concluded that steric hindrance induced by the crushed heptane chain in IIb causes a remarkable reduction in CO affinity but a minor one in O₂ affinity, respectively.

References

- (a) A. Szabo, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 75, 2108 (1978); (b) K. Moffat, J. F. Deatherage and D. W. Seybert, Science (Washington, D.C.), 206, 1035 (1979); (c) J. M. Baldwin, J. Mol. Biol., 136, 103 (1980).
- (a) J. P. Collman, J. I. Brauman, L. I. Brent, J. L. Sessler, R. M. Morris and Q. H. Gibson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 105, 3052 (1983); (b) J. P. Collman, J. I. Brauman and K. M. Doxsee, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 76, 6035 (1979).
- 3 (a) T. Hashimoto, R. L. Dyer, M. J. Crossley, J. E. Baldwin and F. Basolo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104, 2101 (1982); (b) M. Shimizu, F. Basolo, M. N. Vallejo and J. E. Baldwin, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 91, 247 (1984).
- 4 (a) T. G. Traylor, S. Tsuchiya, D. Campbell, M. Mitchell,
 D. Stynes and N. Koga, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 107, 604 (1985); (b) T. G. Traylor, N. Koga and L. A. Deardurff,
 J. Am. Chem. Soc., 107, 6504 (1985).
- 5 D. Lavalette, C. Tetreau, J. Mispelter, M. Momenteau and J. M. Lhoste, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 145, 555 (1984).
- 6 Y. Uemori, A. Nakatsubo, H. Imai, S. Nakagawa and E. Kyuno, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 124, 153 (1985).
- 7 J. P. Collman, J. I. Brauman, T. J. Collins, B. L. Iverson, G. Lang, R. B. Pettman, J. L. Sessler and M. A. Walters, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 105, 3038 (1983).
- 8 Y. Uemori and E. Kyuno, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 125, L45 (1986).
- 9 R. J. Abraham, S. C. M. Fell and K. M. Smith, Org. Magn. Reson., 9, 367 (1977).