Solvent Effect on the Synergic Extraction of Thulium(III) with Acetylacetone and 1,10-Phenanthroline

SHIGETO NAKAMURA, HISANORI IMURA and NOBUO SUZUKI* Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Tohoku University, Sendai 980, Japan Received October 6, 1984

Summary

The synergic extraction equilibrium of Tm(III) with acetylacetone (Hacac) and 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) in various organic solvents has been studied. The adduct formation constants, β_s , for Tm(acac)₃phen, were determined in heptane, cyclohexane, carbon tetrachloride, benzene and chloroform. The solvent effect on β_s is explained in connection with the activity coefficients of the neutral ligand, the chelate, and the adduct in the organic solvent. The activity coefficients can be calculated from the corresponding solubility parameters on the basis of the regular solution theory, and the solubility parameters of the solutes were estimated from their two-phase partition coefficients. It is demonstrated that β_s in different organic solvents except those having a specific interaction with the solute, such as chloroform, can be calculated by the present approach.

Introduction

The synergic extraction of metal chelates has been investigated in various combinations of chelating extractants and neutral ligands, and generally interpreted in the formation of mixed ligand complexes between metal chelates and additional neutral ligands in the organic phase [1]. In the synergic extraction, the organic solvent plays an important role, and the solvent effect has been quantitatively discussed on the basis of the regular solution theory [2-4]. However, most of the studies on synergic extraction have been carried out using unidentate neutral ligands such as tributyl phosphate and trioctylphosphine oxide; few have used bidentate ligands. Recently the adduct formation constants of some rare earth(III) 2-thenoyltrifluoroacetonate chelates with a bidentate neutral ligand, such as 1,10-phenanthroline and 2,2'bipyridine, have been reported [5, 6]. However, the order of magnitude of their values in corresponding

organic solvents was different. Thus a more detailed investigation of synergic extraction involving the bidentate ligand is desirable.

In the present paper, the synergic extraction of Tm(III) with acetylacetone (Hacac) and 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) in various organic solvents is investigated and the adduct formation constants are determined. The partition coefficients of Tm(III) trisacetylacetonate chelate as well as of phenanthroline for various organic solvents are also determined. These values and the solvent effect on adduct formation are discussed quantitatively using the regular solution theory.

Experimental

Materials

A radioisotope, ¹⁶⁸Tm as a tracer, was produced by 30–60 MeV bremsstrahlung irradiation of thulium oxide (99.99% purity) with a linear electron accelerator at Tohoku University. A radioactive Tm(III) solution was prepared by dissolving a known amount of the irradiated sample in hydrochloric acid, evaporating to dryness, and re-dissolving in 10^{-3} M perchloric acid solution.

Acetylacetone was washed with diluted ammonia solution and redistilled after drying. Reagent grade 1,10-phenanthroline was used as obtained.

Chloroform was washed with redistilled water several times before use. The other organic solvents were purified by ordinary methods [7].

Unless otherwise stated, reagents used were of guaranteed reagent grade.

Apparatus

The γ -activity of ¹⁶⁸Tm was measured with an NaI (Tl) well-type scintillation detector connected with a single-channel analyzer.

The extraction vial was shaken with a mechanical shaker at 330 strokes per minute.

The pH value of the equilibrated aqueous phase was measured with a glass electrode.

^{*}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Determination of the Partition Coefficient (P_S) and the Acid Dissociation Constant (K_{HS}) of Phen

Ten ml of an organic solution containing a known amount $(10^{-5}-10^{-3} \text{ M})$ of phen were shaken for 1 hour with 10 ml of an aqueous solution of pH 8-10 containing 0.1 M sodium perchlorate. Concentration of the ligand in the aqueous or organic phase was determined spectrophotometrically [8] and the partition coefficient ($P_{\rm S}$) was calculated. The acid dissociation constant of protonated phen ($K_{\rm HS}$) can be calculated from the following equation:

$$K_{\rm HS} = \frac{[\rm H^+]}{P_{\rm S}/D_{\rm S} - 1}$$
(1)

where $D_{\rm S}$ denotes the distribution ratio of phen at different pH. The dissociation constant (pK_{HS}) was determined to be 5.04 at pH 3-10 in the cyclohexane distribution system.

Extraction of Tm(III)

An aqueous solution (10 ml) containing 10^{-5} M Tm(III) labelled with ¹⁶⁸Tm was placed in a 50 ml centrifuge tube with a ground glass stopper. An organic solution (10 ml) containing 10^{-1} M acetyl-acetone and $10^{-5}-10^{-3}$ M phen was added and shaken for 1–3 hours at 25 °C and centrifuged. An aliquot was taken from each phase and the γ -activity was measured. The distribution ratio (D) of Tm(III) was calculated. The extraction equilibration was confirmed by the agreement between the distribution ratios of Tm(III) in forward and backward extraction.

The pH value of the aqueous phase was adjusted with $5 \times 10^{-3} - 10^{-2}$ M piperazine-N,N'-bis(2-ethanesulfonic acid) and sodium hydroxide solution, and the equilibrium pH value was measured immediately after shaking. The buffer component of less than 10^{-2} M has no influence on the extraction of Tm(III). Ionic strength was adjusted to 0.1 with sodium perchlorate.

Theoretical

The distribution ratio (D_0) of a tervalent cation, M^{3+} , with a chelating extractant, HA, can be expressed as follows:

$$D_{o} = \frac{[\overline{MA_{3}}]}{[M^{3+}] + \Sigma[MA_{n}^{3-n}]} = \frac{P_{M}\beta_{3}[A^{-}]^{3}}{1 + \Sigma\beta_{n}[A^{-}]^{n}}$$
(2)

where the bar denotes the organic phase, β_n the formation constant of MA_n^{3-n} in the aqueous phase, P_M the partition coefficient of MA_3 and A^- the chelating anion in the aqueous phase.

In the synergic extraction of M^{3+} with HA and neutral ligand, S, the distribution ratio (D) can be expressed as follows:

$$D = \frac{[\overline{MA_{3}}] + \Sigma[MA_{3}S_{m}]}{[M^{3+}] + \Sigma[MA_{n}^{3-n}]}$$
$$= \frac{P_{M}\beta_{3}[A^{-}]^{3}(1 + \Sigma\beta_{s,m}[\overline{S}]^{m})}{1 + \Sigma\beta_{n}[A^{-}]^{n}}$$
(3)

where $\beta_{s,m}$ is the adduct formation constant in the organic phase corresponding to the following equilibrium:

$$\overline{MA_3} + m\overline{S} \overleftrightarrow{MA_3S_m}$$

$$\beta_{s,m} = \frac{[\overline{MA_3S_m}]}{[\overline{MA_3}][\overline{S}]^m}$$
(4)

From eqns. (2) and (3), the following relation is obtained:

$$D/D_{o} = 1 + \Sigma \beta_{s,m} [\bar{S}]^{m}$$
(5)

This equation can be rewritten if only MA_3S_m is the dominant species in the organic phase:

$$\log(D/D_{\rm o}) = \log \beta_{\rm s,m} + m \log [\tilde{\rm S}]$$
(6)

The plot of $\log(D/D_o)$ against $\log[\bar{S}]$ will be a straight line with a slope of m.

Results and Discussion

Extraction of Tm(III) with Acetylacetone

The extraction of Tm(III) with Hacac was carried out and the plots of log D_o against log [acac⁻] are given in Fig. 1 the equilibrium concentration of acac⁻ in the aqueous phase was calculated as follows:

$$[\mathbf{A}^{-}] = \frac{C_{\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}}}{(P_{\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}} + 1)[\mathbf{H}^{+}]/K_{\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}} + 1}$$
(7)



Fig. 1. The extraction of Tm(III) with 0.1 M Hacac in various organic solvents. \Box : chloroform, \blacktriangle : benzene, \triangle : carbon tetra-chloride, \bullet : cyclohexane, \bigcirc : heptane.

where P_{HA} and K_{HA} denote the partition coefficient and the acid dissociation constant of Hacac respectively, and C_{HA} the initial concentration. The literature values of K_{HA} and P_{HA} were adopted, *i.e.* log $K_{HA} = -8.88$ [9], and log $P_{HA} = -0.05$ for heptane [10], 0.01 for cyclohexane [11], 0.50 for carbon tetrachloride [12], 0.74 for benzene [12] and 1.37 for chloroform [12].

The plots for each organic solvent system give a curve with a maximum. This indicates the presence of the lower and higher complexes of Tm(III) with acac⁻ in the aqueous phase, for example, Tm(acac)²⁺, Tm(acac)₂⁺, Tm(acac)₃ and Tm(acac)₄⁻, which may be formed successively with increasing concentration of acac⁻. A decrease of log D_o in the higher concentration region may not be due to hydrolysis of Tm(III) but to the formation of Tm(acac)₄⁻. The presence of such an anionic chelate was confirmed by ion-pair extraction with tetraphenylarsonium (tpa) cation as follows.

If an ion pair complex, $(tpa)_y^{y^+} \cdot Tm(acac)_{3+y}^{y^-}$, is formed and extracted into chloroform, the distribution ratio (D_i) of M(III) in the presence of organic cation B⁺ is generally written by the following equation:

$$D_{i} = \frac{[MA_{3}] + \sum [B_{y}^{y+} \cdot MA_{3+y}^{y-}]}{[M^{3+}] + \sum [MA_{n}^{3-n}]}$$
$$= \frac{P_{M}\beta_{3}[A^{-}]^{3} + \sum K_{i,y}\beta_{3+y}[B^{+}]^{y}[A^{-}]^{3+y}}{1 + \sum \beta_{x}[A^{-}]^{n}}$$
(8)

$$K_{i,y} = \frac{[\overline{B_{y}}^{y+} MA_{3+y}^{y-}]}{[MA_{3+y}^{y-}] [B^{*}]^{y}}$$
(9)

where $K_{i,y}$ is the ion-pair extraction constant. From eqns. (2) and (8), D_i/D_o is written as:

$$D_{\rm i}/D_{\rm o} = 1 + \Sigma \frac{K_{\rm i,y}\beta_{3+y}[{\rm B}^*]^{\rm y}}{P_{\rm M}\beta_3} [{\rm A}^-]^{\rm y}$$
(10)

Figure 2 shows the plots of $\log(D_i/D_o)$ against $\log [acac^-]$ at a constant concentration of tpa⁺. A



Fig. 2. The ion-pair extraction of Tm(III) with Hacac and tpa into chloroform. [Hacac]_{init.} = 1.0×10^{-1} M, [tpa⁺]_{init.} = 1.0×10^{-2} M, pH = 8.5-10.0, shaking time 4 h.

straight line with a slope of 0.92 suggests the presence of predominant extracted species of a 1 to 1 ion-pair complex, like tpa⁺·Tm(acac)₄⁻. The tetrakis β diketonato chelate of rare earth(III) has been isolated and its structure analyzed [13], hence it is natural to consider the anionic chelate, Tm(acac)₄⁻, under these conditions.

Equation (2) is rewritten as follows:

 $D_{\rm o} =$

$$\frac{P_{\rm M}}{1/k_1k_2k_3[{\rm A}^-]^3 + 1/k_2k_3[{\rm A}^-]^2 + 1/k_3[{\rm A}^-] + 1 + k_4[{\rm A}^-]}$$
(11)

where k_n (n = 1-4) is the successive formation constant of Tm(acac)_n³⁻ⁿ in the aqueous phase. The values of P_M and k_n in the chloroform system were computed by the least squares fitting method, using the computer program SALS (University of Tokyo). The logarithmic values of P_M and k_n (n = 1-4) are obtained as 0.24 for P_M , 5.30 for k_1 , 4.74 for k_2 , 3.22 for k_3 and 1.79 for k_4 . These values, except for k_4 , are close to those in the literature, 6.05 for k_1 , 4.76 for k_2 and 3.48 for k_3 , respectively [14]. A noticeable deviation of the present k_1 value from the literature is partially due to the lack of reproducible data in the lower concentration region of acac⁻. However, as is clearly understood from eqn. (11), such a small deviation of P_M by curve analysis.

TABLE I. Equilibrium Constants in the Synergic Extraction Systems of Tm(III).

Solvent	δο	log P _S	log P _M	$\log \beta_{s}$	ln β _s ^x (experimental)	$\ln \beta_{\rm s}^{\rm x}$ (calculated) ^a
Cyclohexane	8.20	-0.97	-2.61	6.53	17.25	17.09
Carbon tetrachloride	8.58	0.15	-1.10	6.18	16.56	16.62
Benzenc	9.16	0.78	-0.63	5.84	15.86	15.92
Chloroform	9.3	2.98	0.24	4.59	13.08	15.75

^aCalculated from eqn. (21).

The values of $P_{\mathbf{M}}$ in other organic solvent systems were calculated using k_n obtained in the present work (Table I). The solid lines in Fig. 1 represent the extraction curves calculated from the determined values of $P_{\rm M}$ and $k_{\rm n}$ using eqn. (11). The good agreement with the experimental data in each system is clearly observed. The values of $P_{\mathbf{M}}$ are about two or three orders of magnitude smaller than those of tris-(acetylacetonato)chromium(III) or cobalt(III) in the same solvent systems [15, 16]. It is well known that these first transition metal(III) chelates with acetylacetone have coordinatively saturated octahedral structures and a coordination number of the central metals of six. On the other hand, tris acetylacetonate chelates of Yb(III) [17] and La(III) [18] have been isolated as the monohydrate and dihydrate forms respectively, and the coordination numbers of the central metals have been reported to be seven or eight from X-ray diffraction. Therefore the lower $P_{\rm M}$ of the tris acetylacetonate chelate of Tm(III) may be ascribed to the hydrated state of the chelate.

Synergic Extraction of Tm(III) with Hacac and Phen

The plots of the logarithm of D/D_o against the logarithm of the equilibrium concentration of phen in the various organic phases, according to eqn. (5), are shown in Fig. 3. The concentration of phen in the organic phase was calculated as follows:

$$[\overline{S}] = \frac{C_{S}}{1 + (1 + [H^{+}]/K_{HS})/P_{S}}$$
(12)

where $C_{\rm S}$ denotes the initial concentration of phen in the organic phase. The acid dissociation constant of protonated phen, $K_{\rm HS}$, is $10^{-5.04}$ and the partition coefficient of phen, $P_{\rm S}$, is determined experimentally as listed in Table I. These plots in Fig. 3 give straight lines with a slope of unity. A deviation



Fig. 3. Effect of the concentration of phen in the organic phase on the synergic extraction of Tm(III). \bigcirc : heptane (pH 7.5), \bullet : cyclohexane (pH 6.9), \triangle : carbon tetrachloride (pH 6.5), \blacktriangle : benzene (pH 6.1), \Box : chloroform (pH 6.3).

from the straight line in the higher concentration range of phen may account for an interaction between Hacac and phen in the organic phase. This result means that the mol ratio of $Tm(acac)_3$ to phen in the adduct complex is 1:1. The coordination number of Tm(III) in this adduct complex seems to be eight and this may be supported by the X-ray crystallographic study on a similar mixed ligand complex, $Eu(acac)_3$ phen [19].

Adduct formation constants, β_s , are also summarized in Table I. These values are remarkably influenced by the solvents, and they decrease in the following order; heptane > cyclohexane > carbon tetrachloride > benzene > chloroform. This order is the same as that in many other synergic extraction systems, for instance, the Mn(II)–Htta–phen [8] and Sc(III)–Htta–tributyl phosphate [3] systems.

Quantitative Treatment of the Solvent Effect

The solvent effect on the synergic extraction can be quantitatively discussed by considering the activity coefficients, γ , of the solutes in different solvents. A thermodynamic adduct formation constant, β_s^{o} , is defined as follows:

$$\beta_{s}^{o} = \frac{X_{MS}}{X_{M}X_{S}} \cdot \frac{\gamma_{MS}}{\gamma_{M}\gamma_{S}} = \beta_{s}^{x} \cdot \frac{\gamma_{MS}}{\gamma_{M}\gamma_{S}}$$
(13)

where X is the mol fraction of the solute in the organic phase, and the subscripts MS, M and S denote the adduct, chelate and neutral ligand, respectively, and β_s^x the adduct formation constant in mol fraction units.

The activity of a nonelectrolyte solute, a_c , can be expressed based on the regular solution theory [20] as:

$$\ln a_{\rm c,o} = \ln X_{\rm c,o} + \frac{V_{\rm c}}{RT} \phi_{\rm o}^{2} (\delta_{\rm c} - \delta_{\rm o})^{2}$$
(14)

where V, δ and ϕ are the molar volume, the solubility parameter and the volume fraction, respectively, and the subscripts c and o denote the solute and the organic solvent, respectively. Since the concentration of the solute is very low in the present experiments, ϕ_0 is approximately unity. Accordingly, the activity coefficient can be estimated from the solubility parameters of the components as:

$$\ln \gamma_{c,o} = \frac{V_c}{RT} (\delta_c - \delta_o)^2$$
(15)

The solubility parameter of the solvents, δ_0 , is given in the literature, but that of the solutes concerned is not. The partition coefficient of a solute expressed in mol fraction, P_c^{x} , is related to the activity coefficient as: Extraction of Tm(III) with Acac and Phen

$$P_{c}^{x} = \frac{X_{c,o}}{X_{c,a}} = \frac{\gamma_{c,a}}{\gamma_{c,o}}$$
(16)

where the subscript a denotes the aqueous phase. From eqns. (15) and (16) the next equation can be derived:

$$\ln P_{\rm c}^{\rm x} = -\frac{V_{\rm c}}{RT} \left(\delta_{\rm c} - \delta_{\rm o}\right)^2 + \ln \gamma_{\rm c,a} \tag{17}$$

hence:

$$\frac{\mathbf{R}T}{V_{\rm c}} \ln P_{\rm c}^{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\rm o}^{2} = 2\delta_{\rm c}\delta_{\rm o} + \left(\frac{\mathbf{R}T}{V_{\rm c}} \ln \gamma_{\rm c,a} - \delta_{\rm c}^{2}\right)$$
(18)

Since the second term of the right hand side of eqn. (18) is independent of the organic solvent, the solubility parameter of the solute, δ_c , can be calculated from the slope in the plots of the left hand against δ_o for various organic solvents. The partition coefficients of the Tm(III)-acetylacetonate chelate and phen are experimentally obtained.

The molar volume of phen has been quoted as 142 cm^3/mol in the literature [21]. The molar volume of the chelate was assumed to be equal to that of $Dy(acac)_3$ and $Yb(acac)_3$, 269 cm³/mol [22], and that of the adduct to be the sum of the molar volumes of the chelate and phen, 411 cm³/mol. These plots for phen and Tm(acac)₃ are shown in Figs. 4 and 5 respectively. Similar plots cannot be simply drawn for the adduct, because the partition coefficient of the adduct in the left hand side of eqn. (18) cannot be measured directly. The hypothetical partition coefficient of the adduct, $P_{MS}^{x} = [\overline{MA_{3}S}]/$ $[MA_3S]$, can be shown as eqn. (19) by the combination of adduct formation constants in both phases, β_s^x in the organic phase and $\beta_{s,a}^x$ in the aqueous phase, with the partition coefficients of metal chelate (P_{M}^{x}) and phen (P_{S}^{x}) :

$$P_{\rm MS}{}^{\rm x} = \frac{\beta_{\rm s}{}^{\rm x} P_{\rm M}{}^{\rm x} P_{\rm S}{}^{\rm x}}{\beta_{\rm s,a}{}^{\rm x}}$$
(19)

From eqns. (18) and (19), the following equation is derived:



where P_{MS}' denotes $P_{MS}^{x} \cdot \beta_{s,a}^{x}$ and equals the product of the constants obtained experimentally, $\beta_s^{x} P_M^{x} P_S^{x}$. Now the solubility parameter of the adduct can be calculated by similar plots as shown in Fig. 6. Figures 4, 5 and 6, for phen, Tm(acac)₃ and



Fig. 4. Plots of eqn. (18) for phen in different solvent systems.



Fig. 5. Plots of eqn. (18) for the chelate in different solvent systems.



Fig. 6. Plots of eqn. (20) for the adduct in different solvent systems.

 $Tm(acac)_3phen$ show a good linear relationship for heptane, cyclohexane, carbon tetrachloride and benzene but not for chloroform, and the solubility parameter of each solute can be calculated by the least squares method of the plots for four solvents. The solubility parameters of phen, $Tm(acac)_3$ and $Tm(acac)_3phen$ are 13.15, 12.03 and 11.54, respectively. A deviation observed in the plot for chloro-

form, especially in the phen system, is ascribed to a specific interaction between solute and solvent such as hydrogen bonding. Such an interaction has been ascertained by infrared spectroscopy in the pyridinechloroform system [23]. The observed solubility parameter of phen seems to be rather large compared with that of similar nitrogen containing aromatic compounds such as pyridine (10.62) or quinoline (10.8) which are calculated from the vaporization energy and the molar volume of the pure liquid. It is well known that phen has a strong tendency to form a monohydrate in the solid state. This may imply that phen is extracted as a hydrated form with a larger solubility parameter. The observed solubility parameter of the adduct Tm(acac)₃phen is lower than those of both phen and $Tm(acac)_3$. Since the central metal of the adduct molecule is surrounded by the nonpolar parts of acac⁻ and phen, the adduct may become more lipophilic than free phen or Tm(acac)₃ itself.

The activity coefficient of each solute in different organic solvents can be calculated by eqn. (15) from the solubility parameters obtained above. As shown in Fig. 7 the plots of $\ln \beta_s^{x}$ against $\ln \gamma_{MS}/\gamma_M \gamma_S$ are close to a straight line with a slope of -1 for most



Fig. 7. Relation between the adduct formation constant and the activity coefficients in the organic phase. 1: heptane, 2: cyclohexane, 3: carbon tetrachloride, 4: benzene, 5: chloroform.

solvents except chloroform, as was to be expected from eqn. (13). This demonstrates that the present approach to the solvent effect on adduct formation is quite reasonable. The thermodynamic adduct formation constant, $\ln \beta_s^{\circ}$, is calculated to be 12.29 form eqn. (13), so that β_s^{\times} in various organic solvents can be readily calculated by the following equation, derived from eqns. (13) and (15): S. Nakamura et al.

$$\ln \beta_{\rm s}^{\rm x} = 12.29 + \frac{V_{\rm M}}{RT} \left(\delta_{\rm M} - \delta_{\rm o}\right)^2 + \frac{V_{\rm s}}{RT} \left(\delta_{\rm S} - \delta_{\rm o}\right)^2 - \frac{V_{\rm MS}}{RT} \left(\delta_{\rm MS} - \delta_{\rm o}\right)^2$$
(21)

The calculated values of $\ln \beta_s^x$ are summarized in Table I and compared with the experimental constant. A good agreement is again clear except for the chloroform system. The present approach is effective in predicting the adduct formation constants in other organic solvent systems which have no specific solute-solvent interaction.

References

- 1 Y. Marcus and A. S. Kertes, 'Ion Exchange and Solvent Extraction of Metal Complexes', Wiley-Interscience, London, 1969, p. 815.
- 2 K. Akiba, N. Šuzuki and T. Kanno, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 42, 2537 (1969).
- 3 K. Akiba, T. Ishikawa and N. Suzuki, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 33, 4161 (1971).
- 4 K. Akiba, M. Wada and T. Kanno, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 43, 1031 (1981).
- 5 E. F. Kassierer and A. S. Kertes, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 34, 3221 (1972).
- 6 M. S. Bhatti, J. F. Desreux and G. Duyckaerts, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 42, 767 (1980).
- 7 J. A. Riddick and W. B. Bunger, 'Techniques of Chemistry, Vol. II, Organic Solvents, 3rd edn.', Wiley-Interscience, London, 1970.
- 8 S. Nakamura, H. Imura and N. Suzuki, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., 82, 33 (1984).
- 9 S. Oki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 38, 522 (1965).
- 10 H. Watarai and N. Suzuki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 52, 2778 (1978).
- 11 H. Johansson and J. Rydberg, Acta Chem. Scand., 23, 2797 (1969).
- 12 T. Wakahayashi, S. Oki, T. Omori and N. Suzuki, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 26, 2255 (1964).
- 13 R. A. Lalancette, M. Cefola, W. C. Hamilton and S. J. La Placa, *Inorg. Chem.*, 6, 2127 (1967).
- 14 I. Grenthe and W. C. Fernelius, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 82, 6258 (1960).
- 15 P. D. Hopkins and B. E. Douglas, Inorg. Chem., 3, 357 (1964).
- 16 H. Watarai, H. Oshima and N. Suzuki, *Quant. Struct. Act. Relat.*, 3, 17 (1984).
- 17 J. A. Cunningham, D. E. Sands, W. F. Wagner and M. F. Richardson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 8, 22 (1969).
- 18 T. Phillips, D. E. Sands and W. F. Wagner, *Inorg. Chem.*, 7, 2295 (1968).
- 19 W. H. Watson, R. J. Williams and N. R. Stemple, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 34, 501 (1972).
- 20 J. H. Hildebrand and R. L. Scott, 'Solubility of Nonelectrolytes', Dover, New York, 1964.
- 21 O. Enca, C. Jolicoeur and L. G. Hepler, *Can. J. Chem.*, 58, 704 (1980).
- 22 J. K. Marsh, J. Chem. Soc., 1084 (1947).
- 23 A. Allerhand and P. R. Schleyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 1715 (1963).