

Synthesis and reactivity of  $(indole)Mn(CO)<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>+</sup> complexes. Electrophilic activation of the indole 4 and 7 positions

W.J. Ryan, P.E. Peterson, Y. Cao, P.G. Williard and D.A. Sweigart\* Department of Chemistry, Brown University, Providence, *RI 02912 (USA)* 

C.D. Baer and C.F. Thompson

*Department of Chemistry, Providence College, Providence, RI 02908 (USA)* 

Y.K. Chung\* and T.-M. Chung **Department of Chemistry, Seoul National University,** *Seoul 151-742 (South Korea)* 

**(Received June 2, 1993)** 

Due to the importance of the indole nucleus **1** as the basic structural unit in many natural products, an enormous amount of research has dealt with the functionalization of the pyrrole and the carbocyclic rings [1]. While electrophilic substitution at the electron-rich pyrrole C-2 and C-3 positions is easily achieved, functionalization at the carbocyclic C-4 and C-7 positions is difficult. Recently, progress in this regard has been made using organometallic reagents [2-6]. Nucleophilic substitution of the chloride in 4- and 5-chloroindoles is facilitated by complexation of the carbocyclic ring to the  $CpRu^{+}$  moiety [3]. Electrophilic activation of the C-4 and C-7 positions in (indole) $Cr(CO)$ <sub>3</sub> complexes is great enough to permit attack by strong carbanion donors; the preference for C-4 or C-7 attack can be modulated by placing substituents with suitable electron/ steric properties at  $C-3$  or N-1 [4, 5]. In a related approach, lithiation of the C-4 or C-7 sites in  $(indole)Cr(CO)$ , complexes, followed by treatment with an electrophile, affords substitution at these positions  $[6]$ .

It is known that arenes can be coordinated under mild conditions to the  $Mn(CO)_{3}^{+}$  moiety and that the

resultant (arene) $Mn(CO)<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>+</sup> complexes are very electrophilic [7, 81. Reaction with a wide range of nucleophiles, including weak ones, can be used as a means of introducing functionalities on the arene; some recent applications of biological interest are given in ref. 9. Indoles deprotonated at the nitrogen are known to coordinate to  $Mn(CO)<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>+</sup> through the pyrrolyl ring to afford  $n^5$ -indolyl complexes [10]. We report herein that free indoles containing hydrogen or other substituents at the nitrogen bind to the metal through the carbocyclic ring to form (indole) $Mn(CO)<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>+</sup> complexes that are highly electrophilic at C-4 and C-7, thus providing a route to the direct functionalization of the indole nucleus at these positions. In comparison to chromium and ruthenium analogues *(vide supra)*, the manganese systems may prove to be especially useful due to superior [8] electrophilic activation.

The indole complexes 2 were prepared as  $BF_4$ <sup>-</sup> salts by stirring  $Mn(CO)_{5}Br$  in  $CH_2Cl_2$  with one equivalent of  $AgBF<sub>4</sub>$  for 1 h, then adding a slight excess of the indole and refluxing for 1 h. After filtration, the solvent was removed and the residue extracted with acetone. The products were precipitated with diethyl ether in yields of 60 to 90%. Five indole complexes were synthesized in this manner (2:  $R = H$ , Me, tosyl, SiPh<sub>2</sub>Bu<sup>t</sup>,  $SiPr<sub>3</sub>$ ). The benzofuran analogue 3 was similarly synthesized in 60% yield. All new complexes were characterized by NMR and IR. For example, for [(indole) $Mn(CO)_{3}$ ]BF<sub>4</sub> (2, R = H): IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 2069, 2008 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  11.6 (s, N-H), 8.24  $(d, J=3.2, H^2)$ , 7.76  $(d, J=7, H^7)$ , 7.60  $(d, J=7, H^4)$ , 6.99 (d,  $J=3.2$ , H<sup>3</sup>), 6.60 (t,  $J=6.5$ , H<sup>5</sup>), 6.37 (t,  $J=6.5$ , H<sup>6</sup>); <sup>13</sup>C(<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  88.3 (C<sup>7</sup>), 89.9 (C<sup>5</sup>), 93.1 (C<sup>6</sup>), 95.4 (C<sup>4</sup>), 103.7 (C<sup>3a</sup>), 104.8 (C<sup>3</sup>), 117.2 (C<sup>7a</sup>), 140.3 (C<sup>2</sup>). *Anal.* Calc. for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>7</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>MnBF<sub>4</sub>: C, 38.5; H, 2.06; N, 4.08. Found: C, 38.4; H, 2.01; N, 4.03%. For  $[(\text{benzofuran})Mn(CO)_3|BF_4(3):IR(CH_2Cl_2):2080,$ 2019 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  8.31 (d, J=2, H<sup>2</sup>), 7.47 (d,  $J=7$ , H<sup>4</sup>), 7.38 (d,  $J=7$ , H<sup>7</sup>), 7.27 (d,  $J=2$ , H3), 6.61 (t, *J=6.5,* H6), 6.32 (t, *J=6.5,* H'); FAB-MS, *m/z* 257 (M+).



Verification that the manganese in 2 is coordinated to the carbocyclic and not the pyrrole ring was provided by the X-ray structure of 2 ( $R=H$ ), shown in Fig. 1\*\*.

**<sup>\*\*</sup>Crystal data for [(indole)Mn(CO),]BF,: space group P2,lc with b**  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2$  $a = 10.026(2)$ ,  $b = 10.202(3)$ ,  $c = 13.480(4)$  Å,  $\beta = 102.06(2)$ °,  $V = 1348.4(6)$   $\AA^3$ ,  $Z = 4$ ,  $D_{\text{calc}} = 1.69$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>. Data collected at 20<br><sup>o</sup>C on a Nicolet R3m diffractometer with Mo K $\alpha$  radiation, p=9.92 cm-theoret restinguished with *MD* red radiation, **2087 unique reflections** *I>* **1.00(I) to** *R=0.059 (R,=O.O61,*  **\*Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed.**  $GOF = 1.79$ .  $GOF = 1.79$ .



**with the thermal ellipsoids** at the cation in [[11100]

The molecule has a 'piano stool' structure with a planar indole ligand and with highly linear Mn-C-O linkages indole ligand and with highly linear Mn–C–O linkages<br>that almost exactly bisect the carbocyclic bonds  $C(3a) - C(4)$ ,  $C(5) - C(6)$  and  $C(7) - C(7a)$ . The average Mn-indole bond length is 2.216(5)  $\AA$ , but there exists considerable variation; the bonds to the bridgehead carbons  $C(3a)$  and  $C(7a)$  are the longest at 2.293(5) and 2.290(4) Å, next in length are  $C(4)$  and  $C(7)$  at  $2.250(4)$  A, heat in jeught are  $C(4)$  and  $C(7)$  at  $204(6)$  and  $2107(2)$   $\AA$  $204(0)$  and  $2.157(5)$  A, and the shortest are  $C(5)$  and  $260(6)$  and  $2.156(5)$   $\frac{3}{4}$  N. BH, reacted rapidly  $\mathcal{L}(V)$  at 2.150(0) and 2.155(5) A. NaDH<sub>4</sub> reacted rapidly with complex  $\mu$  ( $\kappa$ -11) in TITE to give an  $\omega/\nu$  isolated yield of the product of hydride addition to C-4 and C-7 (complexes 4 and 5, ratio 0.8:1). Other nucleophiles,  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{eq}}$  (complexes  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$ , rand 0.0.1). Other intercopinies,  $i$ g. Linic, ivali, Lich<sub>2</sub>c(O)civic<sub>3</sub>, the not a



Addition to the indole complexes having substituents Addition to the major complexes having substituents The definition of  $\frac{1}{2}$  for a range of nucleophiles, i.e.  $\frac{1}{2}$ C-4 and/or C-7 for a range of nucleophiles, including<br>ones as weak as NaCH(CO<sub>2</sub>Me)<sub>2</sub>. A summary of the res as weak as reacting  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$ . A summary of the  $\frac{3}{2}$  also underwent nucleophilic attack at  $CA - 4.67$ . 3 also underwent nucleophilic attack at  $C$ -4 and  $C$ -7.)<br>An examination of the  $C$ -4/ $C$ -7 product ratios indicates that it is possible to direct attack predominantly at the

**TABLE 1. Results of nucleophilic addition to indole and benzofurante 1. Results** of nucleon

Complex	Nucleophile	Yield <sup>b</sup> $(\%)$	Product ratio <sup>c</sup> $C-4/C-7$
2, $R = H$	NaBH <sub>a</sub>	86	0.8
2. $R = Me$	NaBH.	85	0.5
2. $R = Me$	LiMe	68	0.5
2, $R = Me$	LiCMe <sub>2</sub> CN	68	2
2. $R = Me$	LiCH <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> Bu <sup>t</sup>	70	0.7
2, $R = Me$	NaCH(CO <sub>2</sub> Me) <sub>2</sub>	d	3
2. $R =$ tosyl	LiMe	66	1
2, $R =$ tosyl	LiCMe <sub>2</sub> CN	65	2
2, $R =$ SiPh <sub>2</sub> Bu <sup>t</sup>	LiCMe <sub>2</sub> CN	70	5
2, $R =$ SiPr <sup>1</sup> <sub>3</sub>	LiCMe <sub>2</sub> CN	30 <sup>e</sup>	>10
3	$Bu_4NBH_4$	70	2
3	LiMe	80	1.2

<sup>a</sup>Reactions were done in THF or  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  at room temperature **for BIA-C for BIA-C for all other nucleon**<br> $\overline{P}^{\alpha}$  at room temperature. bisolated a mixture of a mixture of construction of the mixture of construction of a mixture of construction of the state of the st **Existence** *yield* consisting of a mixture of complexes  $\overline{ }$  and *5*.  $\frac{1}{2}$  IR.  $\frac{1}{2}$  IR. IS INCREASED **product** that isolated

C-4 site provided the R group on the pyrrole nitrogen presents sufficient steric bulk. For example, with LiCMe,CN as the nucleophile, large silyl groups on the nitrogen lead to a large  $(-SiPh<sub>2</sub>Bu<sup>t</sup>)$  or exclusive  $(-SiPr<sup>i</sup><sub>3</sub>)$  preference for attack at C-4.

'H NMR was used to determine the relative amount of 4 and 5 in the (unseparated) product mixtures. In order to verify the assignments, one of the dienyl isomers was isolated as follows. LiCMe<sub>2</sub>CN addition to  $2$  $(R = S_i Pr_i^i)$  gave a single isomer as judged by NMR.  $R - 3H + 3$  gave a single isomer as judged by NWIN. sapid chromatography on alumnia caused partial desilylation and led to the isolation of both desilylated (30% yield) and silylated product (the latter being completely converted to the former upon stirring in a suspension of alumina in ether for 30 min). Crystals of the desilylated complex were easily grown; the Xrefer the desiry area complex were easily grown, the  $X^2$ structure corresponds to isomer 4, with the nucleophile structure corresponds to isomer 4, with the nucleophile  $exo$  to the metal. Several features of the structure in Fig. 2 are noteworthy. The dienyl part of the carbocyclic ring is planar and forms an angle of  $6.5^\circ$  with the pyrrole plane. The saturated carbon C-4 is folded about the dienyl plane by an angle of 29.6". This value is much smaller than the  $40-43^\circ$  found [11] with other cyclohexadienyl-man the 40-45 Toung [11] with other reflects the influence of bonding between the diengl reflects the influence of bonding between the dienyl and pyrrole  $\pi$  systems. Also indicative of this interaction

**<sup>\*</sup>All of the dienyl complexes 4 and 5, as well as those derived from the dientyl complexes 4 and 3, as well as those defived** from nucleophilic addition to 3, were characterized by NMR and IR. For example, for 4  $(R = Me, Nu = H)$ : IR  $(CH_2Cl_2)$ : 1999, 1912 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  6.87 (d, J=3, H<sup>2</sup>), 6.28 (d, J=6, H<sup>7</sup>), 5.78 (d, J=3, H<sup>3</sup>), 4.94 (t, J=6, H<sup>6</sup>), 3.52 (s, Me),  $-0, \text{ } n$ ), 3.19 (d, J=3, H), 4.54 (t, J=0, H), 3.32 (s, Me)  $\mathbf{A}$ : (**n**), 3.19 (**i**,  $\mathbf{J} = 0$ , **n**), 2.04 (**d**,  $\mathbf{J} = 14$ , **n**). For  $\mathbf{J}$  (**K** = Me  $Nu = H$ ): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  6.72 (d, J = 3, H<sup>2</sup>), 6.34 (d, J = 5.5, **H<sup>4</sup>), 5.96 (d, J = 3, H<sup>3</sup>), 4.96 (t, J = 6, H<sup>5</sup>), 3.7 (dd, J = 5.5, 14, H<sup>7</sup>), 3.49 (s, Me), 3.16 (t, J = 6, H<sup>6</sup>), 2.75 (d, J = 14, H<sup>7-exo</sup>).** 

**<sup>\*</sup>Crystal data for 4 (R=H, Nu=CME, Nu=C with a set of**  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}$ **, and \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} \cdot \math** with  $a = 9.715(4)$ ,  $b = 13.703(5)$ ,  $c = 13.593(6)$  Å,  $\alpha = 61.20(3)$ ,  $\beta$  = 76.56(3),  $\gamma$  = 70.74(3)°,  $V = 1491.0(10)$   $\AA$ <sup>3</sup>,  $Z = 4$ ,  $D_{\text{cak}} = 1.44$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>. Data collected at 20 °C on a Nicolet R3m diffractometer with Mo K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\mu = 8.59$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2 $\theta$  scan limits 4.0–48°, 379 variables refined with 4335 unique reflections  $I > 1.0\sigma(I)$  to  $R = 0.046$  ( $R = 0.047$ ,  $GOF = 1.81$ ).



Fig. 2. An ORTEP drawing of complex  $4 (R = H, Nu = CMe<sub>2</sub>CN)$ with the thermal ellipsoids at the 30% level.

are long bond lengths between manganese and the bridgehead carbons; Mn-C(3a), 2.434(2); Mn-C(7a), 2.266(2) Å (compared to Mn–C(5), 2.162(3); Mn–C(6),  $2.112(3)$  Å).

## **Supplementary material**

Atomic numbering schemes and tables of crystallographic data, atomic positional parameters and thermal parameters, bond lengths and angles, and selected torsion angles for  $[(indole)Mn(CO)<sub>3</sub>]BF<sub>4</sub>(2, R = H)$  and  $4 (R = H, Nu = CMe<sub>2</sub>CN)$  are available from the authors.

## **Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by a grant from the United States Science Foundation (No. CHE-8821588) and Korea Science Foundation (No. 90-03-00-18). C.D.B. and C.F.T. are grateful to Providence College for providing summer research support.

## **References**

- **1**  T.L. Gilchrist, *Heterocykic Chemistry,* Pitman, Marshfield, MA, 1985; D.C. Hotwell, *Tetrahedron, 36 (1980) 3123;* A.P. Kozikowski, *Heterocycles, 16 (1981) 267.*
- 2 J.H. Tidwell, D.R. Senn and S.L. Buchwald, J. *Am. Chem. Sot., 113 (1991) 4685;* R.C. Larock and E.K. Yum, *J. Am. Chem. Sot., 113 (1991) 6689.*
- 3 R.M. Moriarty, Y.Y. Ku and U.S. Gill, *Organometallics, 7*  4 M.F. Semmelhack, W. Wulff and J.L. Garcia, J. *Organomet. (1988) 660,* R.M. Moriarty, U.S. Gill and Y.Y. Ku, *J. Organomet. Chem., 350 (1988) 157;* U.S. Gill, R.M. Moriarty, Y.Y. Ku and I.R. Butler, J. *Organomet. Chem., 417 (1991) 313.*
- 5 A.P. Kozikowski and K. Isobe, J. *Chem. Sot., Chem. Commun. Chem., 240 (1982) CS.*
- 6 P.J. Beswick, C.S. Greenwood, T.J. Mowlem, G. Nechvatal *(1978) 1076.*
- 7 P.J.C. Walker and R.J. Mawby, Inorg *Chim. Acta, 7 (1973)*  and D.A. Widdowson, *Tetrahedron, 44 (1988) 7325;* N.F. Masters, N. Mathews, G. Nechvatal and D.A. Widdowson, *Tetrahedron, 45 (1989) 5955.*
- 8 L.A.P. Kane-Maguire, E.D. Honig and D.A. Sweigart, *Chem. 621;* P.L. Pauson and J.A. Segal, J. *Chem. Sot., Dalton Trans., (1975) 1677, 1683;* K.K. Bhasin, W.G. Balkeen and P.L. Pauson, J. *Organomet. Chem., 201 (1981) C25;* Y.K. Chung, P.G. Williard and D.A. Sweigart, *Organometallics, I (1982) 1053;* R.D. Pike and D.A. Sweigart, *Synlett., (1990) 564;* F. Rose-Munch and K. Aniss, *Tetrahedron Lett., 31 (1990) 6351.*
- 9 W.H. Miles, P.M. Smiley and H.R. Brinkman, J. *Chem. Sot., Rev., 84 (1984) 525.*
- 10 P.L. Pauson, A.R. Qazi and B.W. Rockett, J. *Organomet. Chem. Commun. (1989) 1897;* W.H. Miles and H.R. Brinkman, *Tetrahedron Lea, 33 (1992) 589;* G.R. Krow, W.H. Miles, P.M. Smiley, W.S. Lester and Y.J. Kim, J. Org. *Chem., 57 (1992) 4040;* A.J. Pearson, P.R. Bruhn, F. Gouzoules and S.-H. Lee, J. *Chem. Sot., Chem. Commun., (1989) 659.*
- 1 **M.R. Christian F.R. Scholars** Chem., 200 (2000) 00:<br>**1 M.R. Christian F.R. Scholar Lines, Chem., 8 (1960) 1950;** *Chem., 7 (1967) 325;* L.-N. Ji, D.L. Kershner, M.E. Rerek and F. Basolo, J. *Organomet.* Chem., 296 (1985) 83.
- L.A.P. Kane-Maguire, E.D. Honig and D.A. Sweigart, J. *Chem. Sot., Chem. Commun., (1984) 345;* SD. Ittel, J.F. Whitney, Y.K. Chung, P.G. Williard and D.A. Sweigart, *Organometallics, 7 (1988) 1323.*