

Synthesis and reactivity of $(indole)Mn(CO)_3^+$ complexes. Electrophilic activation of the indole 4 and 7 positions

W.J. Ryan, P.E. Peterson, Y. Cao, P.G. Williard and D.A. Sweigart* Department of Chemistry, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912 (USA)

C.D. Baer and C.F. Thompson

Department of Chemistry, Providence College, Providence, RI 02908 (USA)

Y.K. Chung^{*} and T.-M. Chung Department of Chemistry, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742 (South Korea)

(Received June 2, 1993)

Due to the importance of the indole nucleus 1 as the basic structural unit in many natural products, an enormous amount of research has dealt with the functionalization of the pyrrole and the carbocyclic rings [1]. While electrophilic substitution at the electron-rich pyrrole C-2 and C-3 positions is easily achieved, functionalization at the carbocyclic C-4 and C-7 positions is difficult. Recently, progress in this regard has been made using organometallic reagents [2-6]. Nucleophilic substitution of the chloride in 4- and 5-chloroindoles is facilitated by complexation of the carbocyclic ring to the CpRu⁺ moiety [3]. Electrophilic activation of the C-4 and C-7 positions in (indole)Cr(CO)₃ complexes is great enough to permit attack by strong carbanion donors; the preference for C-4 or C-7 attack can be modulated by placing substituents with suitable electron/ steric properties at C-3 or N-1 [4, 5]. In a related approach, lithiation of the C-4 or C-7 sites in (indole)Cr(CO)₃ complexes, followed by treatment with an electrophile, affords substitution at these positions [6].

It is known that arenes can be coordinated under mild conditions to the $Mn(CO)_3^+$ moiety and that the

resultant (arene) $Mn(CO)_3^+$ complexes are very electrophilic [7, 8]. Reaction with a wide range of nucleophiles, including weak ones, can be used as a means of introducing functionalities on the arene; some recent applications of biological interest are given in ref. 9. Indoles deprotonated at the nitrogen are known to coordinate to $Mn(CO)_3^+$ through the pyrrolyl ring to afford η^5 -indolyl complexes [10]. We report herein that free indoles containing hydrogen or other substituents at the nitrogen bind to the metal through the carbocyclic ring to form (indole) $Mn(CO)_3^+$ complexes that are highly electrophilic at C-4 and C-7, thus providing a route to the direct functionalization of the indole nucleus at these positions. In comparison to chromium and ruthenium analogues (vide supra), the manganese systems may prove to be especially useful due to superior [8] electrophilic activation.

The indole complexes 2 were prepared as BF_4^- salts by stirring Mn(CO)₅Br in CH₂Cl₂ with one equivalent of AgBF₄ for 1 h, then adding a slight excess of the indole and refluxing for 1 h. After filtration, the solvent was removed and the residue extracted with acetone. The products were precipitated with diethyl ether in yields of 60 to 90%. Five indole complexes were synthesized in this manner (2: R = H, Me, tosyl, SiPh₂Bu^t, SiPrⁱ₃). The benzofuran analogue 3 was similarly synthesized in 60% yield. All new complexes were characterized by NMR and IR. For example, for [(indole)Mn(CO)₃]BF₄ (2, R = H): IR (CH₂Cl₂): 2069, 2008 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃COCD₃): δ 11.6 (s, N-H), 8.24 $(d, J=3.2, H^2)$, 7.76 $(d, J=7, H^7)$, 7.60 $(d, J=7, H^4)$, 6.99 (d, J=3.2, H³), 6.60 (t, J=6.5, H⁵), 6.37 (t, J=6.5, H⁶); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 88.3 (C⁷), 89.9 (C⁵), 93.1 (C⁶), 95.4 (C⁴), 103.7 (C^{3a}), 104.8 (C³), 117.2 (C^{7a}), 140.3 (C²). Anal. Calc. for C₁₁H₇NO₃MnBF₄: C, 38.5; H, 2.06; N, 4.08. Found: C, 38.4; H, 2.01; N, 4.03%. For [(benzofuran)Mn(CO)₃]BF₄ (3): IR (CH₂Cl₂): 2080, 2019 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃NO₂): δ 8.31 (d, J=2, H²), 7.47 (d, J=7, H⁴), 7.38 (d, J=7, H⁷), 7.27 (d, J=2, H^{3}), 6.61 (t, J=6.5, H^{6}), 6.32 (t, J=6.5, H^{5}); FAB-MS, m/z 257 (M^+).



Verification that the manganese in 2 is coordinated to the carbocyclic and not the pyrrole ring was provided by the X-ray structure of 2 (R = H), shown in Fig. 1**.

^{*}Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed.

^{**}Crystal data for [(indole)Mn(CO)₃]BF₄: space group $P2_1/c$ with a = 10.026(2), b = 10.202(3), c = 13.480(4) Å, $\beta = 102.06(2)^\circ$, V = 1348.4(6) Å³, Z = 4, $D_{cak} = 1.69$ g cm⁻³. Data collected at 20 °C on a Nicolet R3m diffractometer with Mo Kα radiation, $\mu = 9.92$ cm⁻¹, 2θ scan limits 4.0–50°, 222 variables refined with 2087 unique reflections $I > 1.0\sigma(I)$ to R = 0.059 ($R_w = 0.061$, GOF = 1.79).



Fig. 1. An ORTEP drawing of the cation in $[(indole)Mn(CO)_3]BF_4$ with the thermal ellipsoids at the 50% level.

The molecule has a 'piano stool' structure with a planar indole ligand and with highly linear Mn–C–O linkages that almost exactly bisect the carbocyclic bonds C(3a)-C(4), C(5)-C(6) and C(7)-C(7a). The average Mn–indole bond length is 2.216(5) Å, but there exists considerable variation; the bonds to the bridgehead carbons C(3a) and C(7a) are the longest at 2.293(5) and 2.290(4) Å, next in length are C(4) and C(7) at 2.204(6) and 2.197(3) Å, and the shortest are C(5) and C(6) at 2.158(6) and 2.155(5) Å. NaBH₄ reacted rapidly with complex 2 (R=H) in THF to give an 86% isolated yield of the product of hydride addition to C-4 and C-7 (complexes 4 and 5, ratio 0.8:1). Other nucleophiles, e.g. LiMe, NaH, LiCH₂C(O)CMe₃, did not add to the indole, but instead deprotonated the N–H.



Addition to the indole complexes having substituents other than R = H on the pyrrole nitrogen occurred at C-4 and/or C-7 for a range of nucleophiles, including ones as weak as NaCH(CO₂Me)₂. A summary of the results is given in Table 1*. (The benzofuran complex 3 also underwent nucleophilic attack at C-4 and C-7.) An examination of the C-4/C-7 product ratios indicates that it is possible to direct attack predominantly at the

TABLE 1. Results of nucleophilic addition to indole and benzofuran complexes 2 and 3^{a}

Complex	Nucleophile	Yield ^b (%)	Product ratio ^c C-4/C-7
2, R=H	NaBH₄	86	0.8
2, $R = Me$	NaBH₄	85	0.5
2, $R = Me$	LiMe	68	0.5
2, R = Me	LiCMe ₂ CN	68	2
2, $R = Me$	LiCH ₂ CO ₂ Bu ^t	70	0.7
2, $R = Me$	$NaCH(CO_2Me)_2$	d	3
2 , $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{tosyl}$	LiMe	66	1
2, $R = tosyl$	LiCMe ₂ CN	65	2
2, $R = SiPh_2Bu^t$	LiCMe ₂ CN	70	5
2, $R = SiPr_{3}^{i}$	LiCMe ₂ CN	30°	>10
3	Bu₄NBH₄	70	2
3	LiMe	80	1.2

^aReactions were done in THF or CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature for BH_4^- additions and at -78 °C for all other nucleophiles. ^bIsolated yield consisting of a mixture of complexes 4 and 5. °This is the ratio of 4 to 5. ^dProduct not isolated; yield >90% by IR. ^eYield of desilylated product; see text.

C-4 site provided the R group on the pyrrole nitrogen presents sufficient steric bulk. For example, with LiCMe₂CN as the nucleophile, large silyl groups on the nitrogen lead to a large $(-SiPh_2Bu^t)$ or exclusive $(-SiPr_3^i)$ preference for attack at C-4.

¹H NMR was used to determine the relative amount of 4 and 5 in the (unseparated) product mixtures. In order to verify the assignments, one of the dienyl isomers was isolated as follows. LiCMe₂CN addition to 2 $(R = SiPr_{3}^{i})$ gave a single isomer as judged by NMR. Rapid chromatography on alumina caused partial desilvlation and led to the isolation of both desilvlated (30% yield) and silvlated product (the latter being completely converted to the former upon stirring in a suspension of alumina in ether for 30 min). Crystals of the desilylated complex were easily grown; the Xray structure is shown in Fig. 2**. As expected, the structure corresponds to isomer 4, with the nucleophile exo to the metal. Several features of the structure in Fig. 2 are noteworthy. The dienyl part of the carbocyclic ring is planar and forms an angle of 6.5° with the pyrrole plane. The saturated carbon C-4 is folded about the dienyl plane by an angle of 29.6°. This value is much smaller than the 40-43° found [11] with other cyclohexadienyl-manganese systems and undoubtedly reflects the influence of bonding between the dienyl and pyrrole π systems. Also indicative of this interaction

^{*}All of the dienyl complexes 4 and 5, as well as those derived from nucleophilic addition to 3, were characterized by NMR and IR. For example, for 4 (R=Me, Nu=H): IR (CH₂Cl₂): 1999, 1912 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 6.87 (d, J=3, H²), 6.28 (d, J=6, H⁷), 5.78 (d, J=3, H³), 4.94 (t, J=6, H⁶), 3.52 (s, Me), 3.5 (H⁴), 3.19 (t, J=6, H⁵), 2.64 (d, J=14, H^{4-exo}). For 5 (R=Me, Nu=H): ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 6.72 (d, J=3, H²), 6.34 (d, J=5.5, H⁴), 5.96 (d, J=3, H³), 4.96 (t, J=6, H⁵), 3.7 (dd, J=5.5, 14, H⁷), 3.49 (s, Me), 3.16 (t, J=6, H⁶), 2.75 (d, J=14, H^{7-exo}).

^{**}Crystal data for 4 (R=H, Nu=CMe₂CN): space group P1 with a=9.715(4), b=13.703(5), c=13.593(6) Å, $\alpha=61.20(3)$, $\beta=76.56(3)$, $\gamma=70.74(3)^\circ$, V=1491.0(10) Å³, Z=4, $D_{cak}=1.44$ g cm⁻³. Data collected at 20 °C on a Nicolet R3m diffractometer with Mo K α radiation, $\mu=8.59$ cm⁻¹, 2 θ scan limits 4.0–48°, 379 variables refined with 4335 unique reflections $I>1.0\sigma(I)$ to R=0.046 (R=0.047, GOF=1.81).



Fig. 2. An ORTEP drawing of complex 4 (R = H, $Nu = CMe_2CN$) with the thermal ellipsoids at the 30% level.

are long bond lengths between manganese and the bridgehead carbons; Mn-C(3a), 2.434(2); Mn-C(7a), 2.266(2) Å (compared to Mn-C(5), 2.162(3); Mn-C(6), 2.112(3) Å).

Supplementary material

Atomic numbering schemes and tables of crystallographic data, atomic positional parameters and thermal parameters, bond lengths and angles, and selected torsion angles for [(indole) $Mn(CO)_3$]BF₄ (2, R = H) and 4 (R = H, Nu = CMe₂CN) are available from the authors.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a grant from the United States Science Foundation (No. CHE-8821588) and Korea Science Foundation (No. 90-03-00-18). C.D.B. and C.F.T. are grateful to Providence College for providing summer research support.

References

- 1 T.L. Gilchrist, *Heterocylcic Chemistry*, Pitman, Marshfield, MA, 1985; D.C. Horwell, *Tetrahedron*, *36* (1980) 3123; A.P. Kozikowski, *Heterocycles*, *16* (1981) 267.
- 2 J.H. Tidwell, D.R. Senn and S.L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 113 (1991) 4685; R.C. Larock and E.K. Yum, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 113 (1991) 6689.
- 3 R.M. Moriarty, Y.Y. Ku and U.S. Gill, Organometallics, 7 (1988) 660; R.M. Moriarty, U.S. Gill and Y.Y. Ku, J. Organomet. Chem., 350 (1988) 157; U.S. Gill, R.M. Moriarty, Y.Y. Ku and I.R. Butler, J. Organomet. Chem., 417 (1991) 313.
- 4 M.F. Semmelhack, W. Wulff and J.L. Garcia, J. Organomet. Chem., 240 (1982) C5.
- 5 A.P. Kozikowski and K. Isobe, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. (1978) 1076.
- 6 P.J. Beswick, C.S. Greenwood, T.J. Mowlem, G. Nechvatal and D.A. Widdowson, *Tetrahedron*, 44 (1988) 7325; N.F. Masters, N. Mathews, G. Nechvatal and D.A. Widdowson, *Tetrahedron*, 45 (1989) 5955.
- 7 P.J.C. Walker and R.J. Mawby, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 7 (1973) 621; P.L. Pauson and J.A. Segal, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Dalton Trans.*, (1975) 1677, 1683; K.K. Bhasin, W.G. Balkeen and P.L. Pauson, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 201 (1981) C25; Y.K. Chung, P.G. Williard and D.A. Sweigart, *Organometallics*, 1 (1982) 1053; R.D. Pike and D.A. Sweigart, *Synlett.*, (1990) 564; F. Rose-Munch and K. Aniss, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 31 (1990) 6351.
- 8 L.A.P. Kane-Maguire, E.D. Honig and D.A. Sweigart, *Chem. Rev.*, *84* (1984) 525.
- W.H. Miles, P.M. Smiley and H.R. Brinkman, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. (1989) 1897; W.H. Miles and H.R. Brinkman, Tetrahedron Lett., 33 (1992) 589; G.R. Krow, W.H. Miles, P.M. Smiley, W.S. Lester and Y.J. Kim, J. Org. Chem., 57 (1992) 4040; A.J. Pearson, P.R. Bruhn, F. Gouzoules and S.-H. Lee, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1989) 659.
- 10 P.L. Pauson, A.R. Qazi and B.W. Rockett, J. Organomet. Chem., 7 (1967) 325; L.-N. Ji, D.L. Kershner, M.E. Rerek and F. Basolo, J. Organomet. Chem., 296 (1985) 83.
- 11 M.R. Chruchill and F.R. Scholer, Inorg. Chem., 8 (1969) 1950; L.A.P. Kane-Maguire, E.D. Honig and D.A. Sweigart, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1984) 345; S.D. Ittel, J.F. Whitney, Y.K. Chung, P.G. Williard and D.A. Sweigart, Organometallics, 7 (1988) 1323.