The preparation and characterization of tetraneopentyl tetraaluminum(4)

Eugene P. Schram* and Narasimhan Sudha

Evans Chemical Laboratory, The Ohio State University, 140 West 18th Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210 (U.S.A.)

(Received September 24, 1990; revised December 6, 1990)

Abstract

The synthesis of bis(neopentyl)aluminum chloride and subsequent reduction with potassium affords tetraneopentyl tetraaluminum(4). Analytical characterization of the latter is described and spectroscopic results are discussed. Reaction mechanisms are suggested for the reduction of bis(isobutyl) and bis(neopentyl)aluminum chloride as well as possible subsequent decomposition pathways.

Introduction

Our previous studies involving low oxidation state organoaluminum compounds (<3+) have utilized the isobutyl moiety, ⁱBu. These investigations have included development of the chemistry associated with Al₂ⁱBu₄ (I) [1, 2], and the syntheses of KAl₂ⁱBu₃ (II) and K₃Al₅ⁱBu₁₀ (III) [3]. With regard to I, a single metal metal bond is present whereas II and III may involve anionic metal clusters. Recently the synthesis and crystal structure of tetrakis[bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl] dialuminum(4), [(Me₃Si)₂CH]₄Al₂ (IV), has been reported which contains a single AlAl bond [4].

Compounds I and III undergo decomposition in solution as a result of disproportionation. In an effort to continue the development of low oxidation state aluminum chemistry, we have carried out the reduction of bis(neopentyl)aluminum chloride, $[Me_3CCH_2]_2AlCl$ (V), and now report the formation and characterization of tetraneopentyl tetraaluminum(4), $[Me_3CCH_2Al]_4$ (VI).

Results and discussion

The precursor to VI, V results from a series of reactions starting with the preparation of Me_3CCH_2Li (NeoLi), eqn. (1).

$$NeoCl + 2Li \longrightarrow NeoLi + LiCl$$
 (1)

Next AlCl₃ is treated with a 10% excess of NeoLi ideally summarized by eqn. (2).

$$AlCl_3 + 3NeoLi \longrightarrow (Neo)_3Al + 3LiCl$$
(2)

The final reaction involves the stoichiometry controlled moiety exchange, eqn. (3).

$$2(\text{Neo})_3\text{Al} + \text{AlCl}_3 \longrightarrow 3(\text{Neo})_2\text{AlCl}$$
(3)

This series of reactions has been employed for the synthesis of $(Neo)_2 AIBr$ [5]. When one attempts to prepare $R_2 AIC1$ compounds directly, eqn. (4),

$$2RLi + AlCl_3 \longrightarrow R_2AlCl + 2LiCl$$
(4)

reaction mixtures usually result which are association complexes between R_2AICI and $RAICI_2$ [6]. It is surprising that it was possible to prepare [(Me₃Si)₂CH]₂AICI, in diethylether, according to the reaction represented by eqn. (4) [4].

Characterization of (Neo)₂AlCl (V) and (Neo)₃Al (VII)

VII was purified by vacuum distillation. The ¹H NMR and IR spectra agreed with the literature values [5]. V was purified by sublimation, *in vacuo*, and analytically characterized by neopentane evolution resulting from acid hydrolysis, eqn. (5) (with the experimental quantities indicated (in brackets).

$$1(1.0)(\text{Neo})_2\text{AlCl} + 2\text{H}^+ \longrightarrow$$
$$2(2.0)\text{NeoH} + \text{Al}^{3+} + \text{Cl}^-$$

Spectroscopic data associated with V are summarized in 'Experimental'.

Preparation and characterization of tetraneopentyl tetraaluminum(4) (VI)

The reduction of V by K affords VI as a non-volatile brown solid, eqn. (6).

(5)

^{*}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

 $2(\text{Neo})_2 \text{AlCl} + 2K \longrightarrow$

$$\frac{1}{4}[\text{AlNeo}]_4 + \text{Al}(\text{Neo})_3 + 2\text{KCl} \quad (6)$$

Acid hydrolysis of VI is summarized by eqn. (7) (with the experimental quantities indicated in brackets).

$$1(1.0)[AlNeo]_4 + 12H^+ \longrightarrow 4(3.9)NeoH + 4(4.1)H_2 + 4(4.0)Al^{3+}$$
(7)

The molecular weight of VI was determined in solution, and indicates a tetramer of AlNeo, i.e. [AlNeo]₄. Subsequent hydrolysis and analysis of the molecular weight sample resulted in the molar ratios, NeoH:H₂:Al³⁺ of 0.96:1.00:1.03, respectively. Because the evolution of H_2 , during the hydrolysis of VI, could result from either oxidation of Al or protonation of the Al-H moiety, it is necessary to establish the absence of the latter. The infrared spectrum of VI clearly indicates the absence of a strong broad absorption, vAlH, in the 1800 cm⁻¹ region as well as multi metal centered hydrogen bridge bonding in the range 1350- 1450 cm^{-1} [7]. Furthermore, no 'H NMR absorption for Al-H is found in the range 2.5-3.4 ppm [5, 7]. Finally, treatment of VI with D_2O produces D_2 whereas if the Al-H moiety was present HD would be evolved.

Suggested geometry for VI

The oxidative hydrolysis of 1 mol of VI produces 4 mol of H_2 and the required 8 mol of electrons are most likely associated with bonding orbitals. Two possible geometries for VI are a four membered Al ring, and a tetrahedral Al core with exo neopentyl moieties σ bonded to Al. The four membered ring would involve three coordinate Al, hence one would expect Al to exhibit Lewis acid character. Treatment of VI with Et₂O affords a brown solution from which Et₂O can be quantitatively removed in vacuo at 25 °C. Based on the lack of stable adduct formation, between VI and Et_2O , the four membered ring geometry would appear less likely. The infrared spectrum of VI exhibits a strong, broad absorption, 950- 500 cm^{-1} center at c. 750 cm^{-1} , which may be associated with a cage absorption; this strong broad absorption is absent in VII, see Fig. 1. VI is isoelectronic with B_4Cl_4 (T_d), and the latter exhibits a strong broad IR absorption in the gas phase, centered at 1010 cm⁻¹, which has been suggested to be associated with a cage absorption [8]. Subsequently this absorption was assigned to B-B and B-Cl stretch, degenerate [9].

If one assumes a T_d geometry for VI, 4 Al with *exo* σ Al-C bonds, the C sp³ and Al s orbitals transform at A₁, and T₂, while the Al p orbitals transform as A₁, E, T₁ and 2T₂. The suggested



Fig. 1. IR spectra of tetraneopentyl tetraaluminum(4) (A) and trisneopentyl aluminum (B).

ground state electron configuration is $(A_1)^2(T_2)^6$. Consistent with this bonding model is the fact that the ¹H NMR δ CH₂ of the neopentyl moiety VI is shifted downfield from VII by 0.30 ppm, i.e. delocalization of carbon electron density to the suggested Al cluster.

Formation and solution decomposition of $Al_2^i Bu_4$ and $Al_2(Neo)_4$

Reduction of ⁱBu₂AlCl

The slow addition of an alkane solution of ${}^{i}Bu_{2}AlCl$ to K (-15 °C) results in the formation of Al₂ⁱBu₄ [3, 10]. K is present in excess except for the last aliquot addition of ${}^{i}Bu_{2}AlCl$. Al₂ⁱBu₄ is stable in solution for several days at 25 °C but after this period of time decomposition is obvious because finely divided Al(0) is deposited, and AlⁱBu₃ is produced, as ideally represented by eqn. (8) [1].

$$3Al_2Bu_4 \longrightarrow 2Al(0) + 4Al^iBu_3 \tag{8}$$

When a hexane solution of ⁱBu₂AlCl is rapidly added to K (15 min), followed by a 24 h reaction period at -15 °C, all the K is consumed and a red-brown solution color develops which is typical of Al₂ⁱBu₄. However, the resulting non-volatile product is not Al₂ⁱBu₄ based on the ¹H NMR spectrum which consists of six doublets and two septets. The chemical shifts of these absorptions are not those of Al₂ⁱBu₄ [11]. In separate studies we have observed AlⁱBu₃ to react with K to afford complex reaction mixtures which are also redbrown in color. Others have reported this latter reaction system affords $[2K^+][Al_2ⁱBu_6]^{2-}$ which was claimed to contain an Al-Al bond [12].

Regarding the reaction mechanism associated with the formation of $Al_2^{i}Bu_4$, it is suggested that $[Al^{i}Bu_2]^{1}$ is generated (slow addition of ⁱBu₂AlCl), i.e. excess K is present, eqn. (9), followed by this intermediate functioning as a nucleophile toward ⁱBu₂AlCl, eqn. (10).

$${}^{i}Bu_{2}AlCl + 2K \longrightarrow [{}^{i}Bu_{2}Al]^{1-} + 2K^{+} + Cl^{-} \quad (9)$$

$$[{}^{i}Bu_{2}Al]^{1-} + {}^{i}Bu_{2}AlCl \longrightarrow Al_{2}{}^{i}Bu_{4} + Cl^{-}$$
(10)

Reduction of V

The addition of a hexane solution of V to K does not result in appreciable reaction below 24 °C based on the absence of the red-brown solution color of the product. After removal of KCl, VI and VII were separated and isolated in high yield. Concerning the formation of VI, it is suggested that the reaction intermediate, $[Al_2(Neo)_4]$, is produced, eqn. (11), followed by disproportionation to produce VI and VII, eqn. (12).

$$2(\text{Neo})_2 \text{AlCl} + 2K \longrightarrow [\text{Al}_2(\text{Neo})_4]$$
(11)

$$[Al_2(Neo)_4] \longrightarrow \frac{1}{4}[AlNeo]_4 + Al(Neo)_3$$
(12)

The difference between the disproportionation of $Al_2^{i}Bu_4$, eqn. (8), and that of 'Al₂Neo₄', eqn. (12), is the former affords Al(0) whereas the latter results in the formation of VI, eqn. (12). We suggest the different paths for disproportionation for $Al_2^{i}Bu_4$ and 'Al₂Neo₄' may be associated with the fact that $Al_2^{i}Bu_4$ has been demonstrated to eliminate isobutylene and subsequently adds ethylene to afford the Al-CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃ moiety [1]. From this reactivity pattern one may infer the formation of an Al-H intermediate. While these reactions took place at 80 °C, a low concentration of the Al-H moiety may be produced in solutions of $Al_2^{1}Bu_4$ at 25 °C. A possible reaction decomposition sequence for $Al_2^{i}Bu_4$ is summarized by eqns. (13) and (14), and is under investigation.

$${}^{i}Bu_{2}Al-Al{}^{i}Bu_{2} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} {}^{i}Bu_{2}Al-Al{}^{(i}Bu)(H) + (CH_{3})_{2}C=CH_{2}$$
(13)
$${}^{i}Bu_{2}Al-Al{}^{(i}Bu)(H) \longrightarrow Al{}^{i}Bu_{3} + Al(0) + \frac{1}{2}H_{2}$$
(14)

We are currently developing the derivative chemistry of VI as well as attempting crystal growth for a subsequent X-ray structure determination. Thus far only golden colored microcrystalline material has been observed in saturated toluene solutions.

Experimental

Equipment and techniques

Standard vacuum line procedures were employed throughout this investigation [13]. Filtrations were carried out *in vacuo* employing a medium porosity glass frit assembly equipped with O ring joints and teflon stop cocks. IR spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer 283B spectrophotometer, from a film of non-volatile sample prepared by vaporization of the appropriate solvent in a N₂ atmosphere. Volatile materials were contained in a 10 cm gas cell, KBr optics. FT NMR spectra were obtained with a Bruker AM 250 at 250.13 MHz spectrometer employing benzene-d₆ and pentane-d₁₂. Mass spectra H₂, HD and D₂ were obtained with a Balzers QME 112 quadrupole spectrometer. Molecular weight measurements were obtained by the isopiestic method using cyclopentane as the solvent and sublimed azobenzene as the standard.

Analysis

Hydrolyses were carried out *in vacuo* with 20% HCl. Dihydrogen was collected in a volume calibrated Toepler pump assembly and identified by combustion to H_2O over CuO at 300 °C. Aluminum was determined gravimetrically after precipitation as the 8-hydroxyquinolate [14]. The analytical procedure for potassium involves precipitation as KB(C₆H₅)₄ [15]. Neopentane quantities were determined by employing the ideal gas law. Identification was confirmed by vapor tension measurements and IR spectroscopy.

Reagents

Cyclopentane and hexane were distilled from $LiAlH_4$. Cyclopentane was further purified by fractional condensation until its vapor tension agreed with the literature value. Methyl lithium, neopentyl chloride, $AlCl_3$, benzene-d₆, D_2O (99.8% D) and K, Aldrich Chemical Co., were used as received.

Synthesis of neopentyllithium

A mixture of neopentyl chloride, 25 g (234 mmol) and 6.3 g (excess) of Li wire containing 1% Na in c. 300 ml of hexane was stirred and refluxed under argon for one week. The LiCl and excess Li were removed by filtration *in vacuo*. The neopentyllithium was isolated from the filtrate as a white crystalline solid. Yield: 13.47 g (74%). ¹H NMR (benzene-d₆): δ 1.12 (s, 9H, Me); -0.70 (S, 2H, CH₂); lit. [16]: δ 1.13 (s, 9H, Me); -0.67 (s, 2H, CH₂).

Synthesis of $Al(CH_2CMe_3)_3$ (VII)

LiCH₂CMe₃, 6.84 g (87 mmol), dissolved in 190 ml of hexane, was added over a period of 1 h to a suspension of AlCl₃, 3.86 g (29 mmol) in 60 ml of hexane at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was refluxed under argon for 36 h. Hexane was removed *in vacuo*, and the product, Al(CH₂CMe₃)₃, purified by vacuum distillation to yield 5.93 g (85%) based on AlCl₃). Al(CH₂CMe₃)₃: colorless liquid, b.p. 42–44 °C (dynamic vacuum). ¹H NMR (benzene-d₆): δ 1.04 (s, 9H, Me); 0.53 (s, 2H, CH₂); lit. [5]: δ 1.11 (s, 9H, Me); 0.56 (s, 2H, CH₂). IR (neat liquid, cm⁻¹): 2950(s), 2860(s), 1465(s), 1382(s), 1360(s), 1223(s), 1120(s), 1010(s), 990(m), 925(m), 908(m), 748(s), 695(m), 675(sh), 650(sh), and 465(m).

Synthesis of $Al(CH_2CMe_3)_2Cl(V)$

VII, 1.783 g (7.4 mmol) and AlCl₃, 0.494 g (3.7 mmol), each in 25 ml of hexane, were heated in an evacuated flask in an oil bath maintained between 60 and 70 °C for 18 h. The hexane was removed *in vacuo* and the product V was purified by sublimation at 75–80 °C *in vacuo* to yield 2.062 g (91% based on AlCl₃) of white crystalline solid. V: m.p. 47–50 °C. After hydrolysis found: 1.46 mmol CMe₄/0.735 mmol V; calc. 1.47 mmol CMe₄/0.735 mmol V. ¹H NMR (benzene-d₆): δ 1.12 (s, 9H, Me); 0.68 (s, 2H, CH₂). IR (Nujol mull cm⁻¹): 2952(s), 2854(s), 1464(s), 1376(s), 1362(s), 1230(s), 1130(s), 1095(m), 670(s, br), 465(sh), 450(m).

Synthesis and reactivity of VI

The synthesis of VI was carried out six times. In a typical reaction V, 2.063 g (10.07 mmol), dissolved in c. 30 ml hexane, was added in c. 2 ml aliquots to a stirred hexane (40 ml) K, 0.395 g (10.1 mmol) (small pieces) mixture. The addition took place over 3 h at c. 25 °C, followed by stirring for c. 60 h or until all the K was consumed. Next the reaction mixture was filtered in vacuo to remove KCl, and the solution transferred to a flat bottom flask containing a teflon coated magnetic spin bar. Hexane and VII were removed at 25 °C, 10⁻⁵ torr, and VII separated from hexane by condensation of the former at 0 °C. The brown solid VI was repeatedly dissolved in hexane and residual VII removed as previously described. Due to the high reactivity of VII it is difficult to quantitatively isolate this material, however about 1 ml (c. 4 mmol) was obtained, while the weight of the filtered product VI was c. 400 mg for a c. 80%yield. Residual VI was not completely dissolved away from the KCl. Analytical samples are best purified as above but utilizing VI in the 20-30 mg range to facilitate removal of VII. Anal. Calc. for VI: Al, 27.5; neopentyl, 72.5. Found: Al, 27.9; neopentyl, 72.1%. Treatment of the hydrolysis solution with $NaB(C_6H_5)_4$ did not afford $KB(C_6H_5)_4$ [15]; we previously employed this analytical procedure for K with excellent results [3]. Equivalent weight $(mg/mmol H_2 \text{ on hydrolysis})$: calc., 98.1; found, 95.7. The molecular weight was determined by the isopiestic method in cyclopen-

tane utilizing sublimed azobenzene as the standard. Calc. for (AlNeo)₄, 392.3; found, 369.6 (0.0188 M solution). Hydrolysis of the molecular weight sample produced neopentane, 0.26 mmol; dihydrogen, 0.27 mmol; and Al³⁺, 0.28 mmol. Treatment of VI with D₂O produced D₂, 89% abundance as was determined by mass spectroscopy. VI, IR (evaporated hexane film): 2942(s), 2900(sh), 2852(m), 1462(m), 1440(sh), 1395(sh), 1380(w), 1359(m), 1230(m), 1126(w), 1095(w), 1005(sh), 992(w), 950-500(s) with maximum absorptions at 750 and 650. ¹H NMR, -80 °C, (pentane-d₁₂): δ 1.06 (t, 9H, Me, $J_{\rm HH} \sim$ 1 Hz); δ 0.83 (multiplet, 2H, CH₂ J_{HH} ~ 1 Hz). Similar proton coupling has been observed for [(CH₃)₃CCH₂]₂Mg [17]. Thermolysis of VI generates neopentane at 59 °C in vacuo. VI, 60 mg (0.15 mmol) was treated with Et₂O, 5.81 mmol, at 25 °C for 10 h. The Et₂O was removed from the red-brown solution and quantitatively recovered. VI is very soluble in alkanes and TMS, less soluble in Et₂O, and least soluble in benzene.

Acknowledgements

Support for this work by the Ohio State University, Research Challenge Program, is appreciated. We thank Carl Engelman for recording NMR spectra and Richard Weisenberger for mass spectral results.

References

- 1 M. A. Miller and E.P. Schram, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 113 (1986) 131.
- 2 M. A. Miller and E. P. Schram, Organometallics, 4 (1985) 1362.
- 3 E. P. Schram, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 141 (1988) 69.
- 4 W. Uhl, Z. Naturforsch. Teil B, 43 (1988) 1113.
- 5 O. T. Beachley, Jr. and L. Victoriano, Organometallics, 7 (1988) 63.
- 6 F. C. Hall and A. W. Nach, J. Inst. Petro. Technol., 23 (1937) 679.
- 7 E. P. Schram, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 134 (1987) 117.
- 8 G. Urry, A. G. Garrett and H. I. Schlesinger, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2 (1963) 396.
- 9 F. R. Brown, F. A. Miller and C. Sourissean, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 32 (1976) 125.
- 10 H. Hoberg and S. Krause, Angew. Chem., 88 (1976) 760.
- 11 E. P. Schram, unpublished results.
- 12 H. Hoberg and S. Krause, Angew. Chem., 90 (1978) 1013.
- 13 D. F. Shriver, The Manipulation of Air-Sensitive Compounds, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1969.
- 14 I. M. Kolthoff, E. B. Sandell, E. J. Meehan and S. Bruckenstein, *Quantative Chemical Analysis*, MacMillan, New York, 1971, 4th edn., p. 787.
- 15 W. Geilmann and W. Gebauhr, Z. Anal. Chem., 139 (1953) 161.
- 16 R. R. Schrock and J. D. Fellmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100 (1978) 3359.
- 17 G. Frenkel and B. R. Appleman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96 (1974) 5113.