Octahedral Metal Carbonyls 70.* Competitive Mechanisms for Displacement by 1-Hexene of n-Heptane from the Photogenerated [(n-Heptane)Cr(CO)₅] Transient

SHULIN ZHANG and GERARD R. DOBSON**

Center for Organometallic Research and the Department of Chemistry, University of North Texas, Denton, TX 76203-5068 (U.S.A.)

(Received June 9, 1989; revised September 12, 1989)

Solvation/desolvation processes for $[(alkane)Cr-(CO)_5]$ molecules generated after laser flash photolysis have been the subject of much recent interest because these transient species are models for active intermediates in a number of important catalytic processes [1] and because the interaction of alkanes at the vacant coordination site (via an agostic hydrogen) [2] is the first step along the reaction coordinate to C--H bond activation by transition metal complexes [3]. We report data which indicate that replacement by 1-hexene of n-heptane (hep) from $[(hep)Cr(CO)_5]$ produced after pulsed laser flash photolysis takes place by competitive associative and dissociative pathways.

The displacement of hep by a variety of nucleophiles (L, eqn. (1)) has been studied by Peters *et al.* employing time-resolved photoacoustic calorimetry

$$[(hep)Cr(CO)_5] + L \longrightarrow (L)Cr(CO)_5 + hep \qquad (1)$$

[4, 5] and by conventional laser flash photolysis [5]. Their data afford a second-order rate law

$$-d[(hep)Cr(CO)_5]/dt = k[(hep)Cr(CO)_5][L]$$
(2)

where

$$k_{\rm obs} = k[L] \tag{3}$$

Other studies have indicated that $[Cr(CO)_5]$ reacts with L at rates for which ΔG^{\dagger} approaches zero both in the gas phase [6] and in solution [7]; thus, based on Hammond's postulate [8], $[Cr(CO)_5]$ should not discriminate significantly among incoming nucleophiles. The results of Peters *et al.* [5], however, in dicate considerable selectivity by the reactive intermediate produced after laser flash photolysis of $Cr(CO)_6$ in hep. Moreover, enthalpies of activation which vary with L and which are significantly smaller than the experimentally determined Cr-hep bond strength, and near-zero entropies of activation for reactions of $[(hep)Cr(CO)_5]$ with L (eqn. (1)) are consistent with significant bond making in the transition state leading to solvent replacement [5]. These results suggest an associative reaction (Scheme 1, path a) rather than one in which L reacts with $[Cr(CO)_5]$ produced after hep dissociation from $[(hep)Cr(CO)_5]$ (Scheme 1, path b). In contrast, in chlorobenzene (CB) solution, evidence suggests that desolvation of CB from the photogenerated [(CB)-



Scheme 1.

 $Cr(CO)_5$] transient takes place via initial dissociation of CB (Scheme 1, path b) [9]. Thus, both mechanisms illustrated in Scheme 1 have been implicated in solvent-displacement reactions of $[(S)Cr(CO)_5]$ species (S = solvent). If these mechanisms are competitive, the pseudo-first-order rate law based on Scheme 1 ($[Cr(CO)_5]$ is a steady-state intermediate) is

$$k_{obs} = \{k_1 k_2 / (k_{-1}[S] + k_2[L]) + k_3\}[L]$$
(4)

Where $[S] \ge [L]$ with $[Cr(CO)_5]$ relatively nondiscriminating between S and L (vide supra)

$$k_{\rm obs} = \{(k_1 k_2 / k_{-1} [S] + k_3) [L]$$
(5)

i.e. a rate law consistent with that observed experimentally (eqn. (2)) since at low [L], [S] will be relatively constant.

The possible presence of the two terms in eqn. (5) (S = hep) has been explored through a comparison of the rate of hep displacement from $[(hep)Cr(CO)_5]$ by hex in pure hep solvent versus that observed when this solution is diluted (1:1 vol./vol.) with MCH_f (= perfluoromethylcyclohexane). MCH_f interacts much less strongly with $[Cr(CO)_5]$ than does hep, as is indicated by the rate constants for displacement of MCH_f and hep from $[(S)Cr(CO)_5]$, 3.0(9) × 10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ (L = cyclohexane) [10], versus 1.47(1) × 10⁷ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ (L = hex at 25.0 °C), obtained in the present study[†]. Thus, $[(MCH_f)Cr(CO)_5]$ will be a

© Elsevier Sequoia/Printed in Switzerland

^{*}Part 69: S. Zhang and G. R. Dobson, Inorg. Chem., manuscript submitted.

^{**}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

[†]Pulsed laser flash photolysis studies were performed at the Center for Fast Kinetics Research, University of Texas at Austin, as described elsewhere (ref. 9). The monitoring wavelength was 520 nm, λ_{max} for [(hep)Cr(CO)₅]. 1-Hexene was selected as a weak incoming nucleophile, for which a dissociative pathway for replacement of hep from [(hep)Cr(CO)₅] is more likely to be observed.

steady-state intermediate in the presence of hep and, in the hep/MCH_f solution

$$k'_{obs} = (k_1 k_2 / k_{-1})([L]/[hep]) + k_3 [L]/2$$
 (6)

Combination of eqns. (5) and (6) affords

$$2(k_{obs} - k'_{obs})/[L] = k_3$$
 (7)

Thus, for a dissociative mechanism $(k_3 = 0)$, $k_{obs} = k'_{obs}$, while for an associative mechanism $(k_1k_2/k_{-1}[hep] = 0)$, $k_{obs} = 2k'_{obs}$. For competing mechanisms $k_{obs} > k'_{obs} > k_{obs}/2$.

The dilution experiments were carried out using 1-hexene as the incoming nucleophile at 35.0 °C*. For [1-hexene] = 0.1188 M in pure hep (and half that concentration in 1:1 vol./vol. hep/MCH_f), k_{obs} (eqn. (5)) was determined to be 2.55(11) × 10⁶ s⁻¹ and k'_{obs} to be 1.94(15) × 10⁶ s⁻¹, which correspond to a k_3 value of 1.0(4) × 10⁷ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ in pure heptane. Within experimental error, this rate constant indicates roughly equal contributions of the two reaction pathways to the overall rate, since k_1k_2/k_{-1} -[hep] = 1.1(5) × 10⁷ M⁻¹ s⁻¹; k_1k_2/k_{-1} = 8(3) × 10⁷ s⁻¹.

Eyring activation parameters for displacement of hep from $[(hep)Cr(CO)_5]$ in pure hep by 1-hexene were determined from preliminary data over a temperature range of 2.0-45.0 °C. The enthalpy of activation, 7.4(1) kcal/mol, is not inconsistent with the value obtained for the hep-Cr bond strength (9.8 kcal/mol) by Peters *et al.* [4, 5] and with their conclusion for stronger nucleophiles such as pyridine that displacement of hep from $[(hep)Cr(CO)_5]$ is (largely) associative in nature. The near-zero entropy of activation (0.6(4) cal/deg mol) also is consistent with competitive dissociative and associative reaction pathways observed here for L = hex.

Acknowledgements

The support of this research by the National Science Foundation (Grant No. CHE 88-00127) is gratefully acknowledged. The experiments and analyses of the data produced were performed at the Center for Fast Kinetics Research (CFKR), The University of Texas at Austin. The CFKR is supported jointly by the Biomedical Research Technology Program of the Division of Research resources of the National Institutes of Health (RR00886) and by The University of Texas at Austin. The help and expertise of the staff at the CFKR are greatly appreciated.

References

- 1 L. Moggi, A. Juris, D. Sandrini and M. F. Manfrin, *Rev. Chem. Int.*, 4 (1981) 171.
- 2 M. Brookhart and M. L. H. Green, J. Organomet. Chem., 250 (1983) 395.
- 3 R. H. Crabtree, Chem. Rev., 85 (1985) 245.
- 4 G. K. Yang, K. S. Peters and V. Vaida, Chem. Phys. Lett., 125 (1986) 566.
- 5 G. K. Yang, V. Vaida and K. S. Peters, *Polyhedron*, 7 (1988) 1619.
- 6 (a) T. R. Fletcher and R. N. Rosenfeld, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 107 (1985) 2203; (b) T. A. Seder, S. P. Church, A. J. Ouderkirk and E. Weitz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 107 (1985) 2432; (c) T. A. Seder, S. P. Church and E. Weitz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 108 (1986) 4721.
- 7 (a) J. A. Welch, K. S. Peters and V. Vaida, J. Phys. Chem., 86 (1982) 1941; (b) J. D. Simon and K. S. Peters, Chem. Phys. Lett., 98 (1983) 53; (c) J. D. Simon and X. Xie, J. Phys. Chem., 90 (1986) 6751; (d) J. D. Simon and X. Xie, J. Phys. Chem., 91 (1987) 5538; (e) L. Wang, X. Zhu and K. G. Spears, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 110 (1988) 8695; (f) L. Wang, X. Zhu and K. G. Spears, J. Phys. Chem., 93 (1989) 2; (g) J. D. Simon and X. Xie, J. Phys. Chem., 93 (1989) 291; (h) A. G. Joly and K. A. Nelson, J. Phys. Chem., 93 (1989) 2876.
- 8 G. S. Hammond, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 77 (1955) 331.
- 9 G. R. Dobson and S. Zhang, J. Coord. Chem., in press.
- (a) R. Bonneau and J. M. Kelly, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102 (1980) 1220; (b) J. M. Kelly, C. Long and R. Bonneau, J. Phys. Chem., 87 (1983) 3344.

^{*}These experiments were carried out at 35.0 °C rather than at 25.0 °C because MCH_f and hep were observed not to be miscible at temperatures below 26.4(1) °C.