Reactions of $CpFe(CO)_2(=COCH_2CH_2O)^+$, $CpFe(CO)_2[=C(SMe)_2]^+$ and Related Carbene Complexes with Reducing Agents and Nucleophiles. The Structure of $\{CpFe(CO)_2[=C(SMe)_2]\}PF_6$

GEORGE N. GLAVEE, YINGZHONG SU, ROBERT A. JACOBSON and ROBERT J. ANGELICI* Department of Chemistry and Ames Laboratory, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, U.S.A. (Received July 22, 1988)

Abstract

The reduction of $CpFe(CO)_2(=COCH_2CH_2O)^+$ (I) with one equivalent of sodium naphthalenide (NaNp) yields $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ (II), CO₂ and C₂H₄. The same reduction of $CpFe(CO)_2[=C(SMe)_2]$ (X), however, gives II, $CpFe(CO)_2[C(SMe)_3]$ (XII), $CpFe(CO)[C(SMe)(SMe)_2]$ (XIII) and [CpFe(CO)-(SMe)]₂. Small amounts (0.1 equivalent) of NaNp catalyze reactions of I and X with phosphines to give a mixture of CO- and carbene-substituted products, $CpFe(CO)(L)(=COCH_2CH_2O)^*, CpFe(CO)_2(L)^*, Cp Fe(CO)(L)_2^+$ and $CpFe(CO)(L)[=C(SMe)_2]^+$ (L = $PMePh_2$); a mechanism involving radical intermediates is proposed for the reactions. Complex I reacts with anions (I⁻, Cl⁻, MeO⁻, NCS⁻, PhCH₂S⁻) to give the ring-opened alkoxycarbonyl complexes CpFe(CO)₂- $[C(=O)OCH_2CH_2X]$. With oxidizing agents such aa Me_3NO , the dioxycarbene ligand in I is converted to ethylene carbonate, O=COCH₂CH₂O. An X-ray diffraction study of X shows the carbene mojety to be planar with the SMe groups in a syn and anti conformation. The plane of the carbene ligand is oriented 'crosswise' with respect to the CO groups.

Introduction

Transition metal carbene complexes are important in reactions leading to carbon-carbon bond formation in organic synthesis [1] and in metal catalyzed reactions such as polymerization of alkenes [2a], olefin cyclopropanation [2b], Fischer-Tropsch synthesis [2c] and olefin metathesis [3]. Consequently, there is a great deal of interest in their reaction chemistry. The CpFe(CO)₂(carbene)⁺ complexes (Cp = η^{5} -C₅H₅) are one such class of compounds which have received considerable attention in the quest to generate stable, isolable and yet reactive carbene complexes [4-28]. These complexes are of two general types: those with at least one heteroatom, e.g., O, S, N or P, directly bonded to the carbene carbon and those without. The latter type, with alkyl, aryl or hydrogen substituents bonded to the carbene carbon, are generally unstable even at low temperatures. As a result they either decompose giving the transition metal olefin complex along with other products [4, 11, 12] or react with unactivated olefins to form cyclopropanes [7-10, 14, 25]. They also readily undergo addition at the carbene carbon with phosphines and other nucleophiles [4, 5, 11, 12].

In contrast, α -heteroatom carbenes tend to be more stable and often may be isolated at ambient temperature [15–17, 20–22, 24]. The stability of these complexes is attributed to the interaction of the carbene carbon with a lone electron pair on the heteroatom. Coupling of the carbene moiety in these systems to give olefinic products [20, 26] and reactions with olefins to give free cyclopropanes [27] are relatively rare. However, these complexes undergo a variety of other reactions as illustrated by eqns. (1) [15–18], (2) [23], (3) [19, 20] and (4) [6, 9b, 13, 28].

Despite the wide range of reactivity which has been observed for the CpFe(CO)₂(carbene)⁺ complexes, there are no reports, to our knowledge, of studies involving reducing agents such as Na-amalgam or sodium naphthalenide. We report in this paper the X-ray-determined structure of {CpFe(CO)₂-[=C(SMe)₂]}PF₆ and its reactions with reducing agents. Reactions of [CpFe(CO)₂(=COCH₂CH₂O)]-PF₆ and other α -heteroatom carbenes are also described.

Experimental

General Procedures

All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of prepurified N_2 at room temperature using standard inert atmosphere [29] and Schlenk [30] techniques unless otherwise stated. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and Et₂O were distilled under N_2 from Na/ benzophenone. Hexanes, MeCN and CH₂Cl₂ were distilled from CaH₂ under N₂.

^{*}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.



 $L = CO, PPh_3, P(OPh)_3$

 $R^{-} = H^{-}$, Me⁻, Ph⁻; R' = Me, Et; R" = Me, Et, c-C₃H₅, CH(Me)₂.

Solutions of sodium naphthalenide (NaNp) were prepared by stirring 1:1 molar ratios of sodium metal and naphthalene in THF [31]. These solutions were standardized using a standard potassium hydrogen-phthalate solution. The $[N(n-Bu)_4]PF_6$ was prepared by a published procedure [32].

Diphenylmethylphosphine and PMe_2Ph were obtained from Strem Chemicals and used without further purification. Triphenylphosphine was used as received from SCM Chemicals.

Infrared spectra were obtained using either a Perkin-Elmer 281 or 681 spectrophotometer, and

spectra were referenced to the 1944.0 cm⁻¹ band of polystyrene. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data were recorded on a Nicolet NT-300 MHz spectrometer using Me₄Si as the internal reference. The ³¹P NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker WM 300 MHz instrument. The ³¹P signals which are upfield of the H₃PO₄ external reference are given as negative values. Electron impact mass spectra (EIMS) and GC-MS spectra were obtained on a Finnigan 4000. Fast atom bombardment (FAB, glycerol matrix) mass spectra were obtained using a Kratos MS-50 spectrometer. Cyclic voltammograms were recorded with a Bioanalytical System CV-1B cyclic voltagraph in a conventional three electrode cell at 25 °C. A stationary platinum disk (3.1 mm^2) was used as the working electrode, and platinum wire served as the auxiliary electrode. The reference electrode was Ag/AgCl (3.0 M NaCl) and measurements were made in MeCN ($0.1 \text{ M} [N(n-Bu)_4]PF_6$). All solutions were deoxygenated by purging with argon and kept under an atmosphere of argon throughout the measurements. Photochemical reactions were carried out with a 254 nm light source in a quartz Schlenk tube equipped with a cooling probe using a reactor obtained from Bradford Scientific, Inc., Marblehead, MA.

The complexes $[CpFe(CO)_2(=COCH_2CH_2O)]PF_6$ $[CpFe(CO)(PPh_3)(=COCH_2CH_2O)]PF_6$ **(I)** [21], (IIIb) [22], { $CpFe(CO)_2$ [=C(SMe)₂]}PF₆ (X) [16], $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_2(SMe)_2$ (XI) [33], $CpFe(CO)_2[C (SMe)_3$] (XII) [18a], Cp(CO)Fe[C(SMe)(SMe)_2] (XIII) [18b], $[CpFe(CO)_2(=CSCH_2CH_2S)]PF_6$ (XV) ${CpFe(CO)_2 = C(SMe)(NMe_2)}$ [22] and (XVIII) [15] were prepared according to literature methods. The complexes $[CpFe(CO)_2(PMePh_2)]PF_6$ (Va) [34], $[CpFe(CO)(PMePh_2)_2]PF_6$ (IV) [34] $[CpFe(CO)(PMePh_2)(=COCH_2CH_2O)]PF_6$ and (IIIa) [22] were prepared by using slight modifications (see below) of the syntheses reported for their PPh₃ analogs.

IR spectra of the complexes are given in Table 1.

Preparation of $[CpFe(CO)_2(PMePh_2)]PF_6$ (Va)

To a stirred acetone (30 ml) solution of [CpFe-(CO)₃]PF₆ (0.71 g, 2.0 mmol), PMePh₂ (0.81 g, 4.0 mmol, 0.75 ml) was added. The mixture was stirred for 15 min, then the volume was reduced to ~5.0 ml under reduced pressure. Addition of Et₂O (80 ml) resulted in the precipitation of a yellowgreen solid. The solvent was decanted from the residue which was dissolved in a minimum amount of acetone. Crystallization from acetone/Et₂O gave Va (0.97 g) in 93% yield. ¹H NMR(CD₃CN): δ 5.34 (d, J_{PH} = 1.6 Hz, Cp), 2.35 (d, J_{PH} = 10.6 Hz, Me), 7.56 (m, Ph). ³¹P NMR (CD₃CN): δ 49.91.

Preparation of $[CpFe(CO)(PMePh_2)_2]PF_6$ (IV)

Irradiation of a CH₂Cl₂ (35 ml) solution of Va (1.1 g, 2.1 mmol) and PMePh₂ (1.2 g, 6.0 mmol, 1.1 ml) with 254 nm light for 4 h led to the formation of IV. Crystallization in CH₂Cl₂/hexane at -20 °C gave IV (0.98 g) in 70% yield. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN): δ 4.88 (t, J_{PH} = 1.6 Hz, Cp), 1.41 (pseudotriplet, J_{PH} = 4.9 Hz, Me), 7.65–7.02 (m, Ph). ³¹P NMR (CD₃CN): δ 47.34. Anal. Calc. for C₃₂H₃₁F₆-FeOP₃: C, 55.35; H, 4.50. Found: C, 54.97; H, 4.49%. Preparation of [CpFe(CO)(PMePh₂)-(=COCH₂CH₂O)] PF₆ (IIIa)

To a cooled (0 °C) suspension of Va (0.39 g, 0.75 mmol), NaBr (0.060 g, 0.60 mmol) and Br- CH_2CH_2OH (2 ml) was added ethylene oxide (8 ml). The mixture was stirred for 18 h, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was washed with three 10-ml portions of Et₂O and then extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The extract was filtered through anhydrous MgSO₄ on a glass frit. The filtrate was concentrated under vacuum, Et₂O was added and solution allowed to stand at -20 °C overnight resulting in the formation of crystals of **IIIa** (0.36 g) in 86% yield. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN): δ 4.98 (d, $J_{PH} = 1.7$ Hz, Cp), 4.50 m and 4.21 m (OCH₂), 2.20 (d, $J_{PH} = 9.9$ Hz, Me), 7.27–7.54 (m, Ph). ³¹P NMR (CD₃CN): δ 55.69. Anal. Calc. for C₂₂-H₂₂F₆FeO₃P₂: C, 46.67; H, 3.92. Found: C, 46.45; H, 3.81%.

Reduction of $[CpFe(CO)_2(=COCH_2CH_2O)]PF_6$ (I)

To a stirred THF (40 ml) solution of I (0.12 g, 0.31 mmol), 0.30 M NaNp (1.0 ml, 0.30 mmol) was added; the pale yellow solution instantly turned red. After stirring for 1 h the reddish solution became purple. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The naphthalene was removed by sublimation into a trap under dynamic vacuum at 25 °C. The remaining purple residue was dissolved in Et₂O and chromatographed on a 1 X 6 cm column of alumina (adsorption, 80-200 mesh). Elution with a 1:1 mixture of hexane/Et₂O, followed by evaporation of the solution to dryness gave $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ (II) in 70% yield. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 4.76 (s, Cp). ¹³C NMR (CD_2Cl_2): δ 88.80 (Cp), 215.86 (CO). Mass spec.: 354 (M^+) . A GC-MS analysis of the gaseous products formed in this reaction gave the following m/e: 44 and 28, 27 and 26. (This reaction was carried out under He.) These peaks correspond to carbon dioxide and ethylene, respectively. In an effort to find out if any intermediates were formed enroute to the formation of II, the above reaction was repeated using I (0.060 g, 0.15 mmol) and 0.24 ml of 0.58 M NaNp in a 100 ml Schlenk flask connected directly to an IR cell by teflon tubing as described by Gladysz et al. [35]. By periodically drawing some of the solution from the reaction vessel into the IR cell, the reaction was monitored. The results are described in 'Results and Discussion'.

NaNp-catalyzed Substitution of I

To a THF (20 ml) solution of I (0.13 g, 0.33 mmol) and PMePh₂ (0.52 g, 2.6 mmol, 0.48 ml), 0.1 equivalent of NaNp (0.11 ml of an 0.34 M solution) was quickly added with stirring. The pale yellow solution immediately became intense yellow. The volume of the solution was quickly reduced to 5 ml under vacuum and hexanes were added with

TABLE 1. Infrared Spectra of Complexes in CH₂Cl₂

Complex	ν (CO) (cm ⁻¹)
$[CpFe(CO)_2(=COCH_2CH_2O)]PF_6 (I)$	2070(s), 2024(s)
$Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_4$ (II) ^a	2006(m), 1963(s), 1794(s)
$[CpFe(CO)(PMePh_2)(=COCH_2CH_2O)]PF_6$ (IIIa)	1992(s)
$[CpFe(CO)(PPh_3)(=COCH_2CH_2O)]PF_6$ (IIIb)	1994(s)
$[CpFe(CO)(PMePh_2)_2]PF_6$ (IV)	1968(s, broad)
$[CpFe(CO)_2(PMePh_2)]PF_6$ (Va)	2053(s), 2010(s)
$[CpFe(CO)_2(PPh_3)]PF_6$ (Vb)	2055(s), 2010(s)
$CpFe(CO)_2[C(=O)OCH_2CH_2Cl]$ (VIa)	2037(vs), 1985(vs), 1635(m)
$CpFe(CO)_2(C(H)OCH_2CH_2O)$ (VII) ^a	2026(s), 1970(s)
$O = \overline{OCH_2CH_2O}$ (VIII)	1810(s), 1773(m)
$\left[CpFe(CO)_{2} \left[=C(SMe)_{2} \right] \right] PF_{6}(X)$	2072(s), 2030(s)
$Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_2(SMe)_2 (XI)^a$	1962(s)
$CpFe(CO)_2[C(SMe)_3] (XII)^a$	2025(s), 2014(m), 1978(s), 1968(m)
$CpFe(CO)[C(SMe)(SMe)_2] (XIII)^a$	1949(m), 1938(s)
$CpFe(CO)(PMePh_2)[=C(SMe)_2]$ PF ₆ (XIV)	1969(s)
$[CpFe(CO)_2(=CSCH_2CH_2S)]PF_6(XV)$	2077(s), 2038(s)
$[CpFe(CO)(PMePh_2)(=CSCH_2CH_2S)]PF_6(XVI)$	1982(s, broad)
CpFe(CO) ₂ [C(SMe)SCH ₂ CH ₂ S] (XVII) ^a	2030(s), 1976(vs)
$CpFe(CO)_{2}$ = C(SMe)(NMe_{2}) PF_{6} (XVIII)	2046(s), 2001(s)
$Cp*Fe(CO)_2[C(SMe)_3]$ (XIX)	2010(s), 1994(w), 1954(vs), 1945(w)
$\{CpRu(CO)_2[=C(SMe)_2]\}PF_6(XX)$	2070(s), 2027(s)
$CpRu(CO)_2[C(SMe)_3]$ (XXI)	2040(s), 2032(m), 1984(vs), 1974(ms)
$CpRu(CO)_2[C(SMe)_2(SPh)] (XXII)^b$	2034(s), 1973(s)
${CpRu(CO)(MeCN)} = C(SMe)_2$ } $PF_6 (XXIII)^c$	1993(s)

^aIn hexanes solvent. ^bIn THF. ^cIn CH₃CN.

stirring. This resulted in the precipitation of a yellow solid. The solvent was decanted from the yellow residue which was then washed with two 10-ml portions of Et₂O. The residue was then extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml) and filtered through anhydrous MgSO₄ on a glass frit. After reduction of the filtrate volume to ~ 4 ml under vacuum, it was layered with 20 ml of Et_2O and stored at -20 °C overnight. After filtering and drying, 0.16 g of a yellow solid was obtained. The ¹H NMR of this solid in CD₃CN indicated that it was composed of [CpFe(CO)(PMe- Ph_2 (=COCH₂CH₂O) PF₆ (IIIa) and [CpFe(CO)- $(PMePh_2)_2$ PF₆ (IV) in 72% and 21% yields, respectively; there was also a trace amount of Va. Similar results were obtained using PPh₃ as the phosphine.

Reaction of I with PR_3

A CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml) solution of I (0.050 g, 0.12 mmol) and PMePh₂ (0.20 g, 1.0 mmol, 0.19 ml) was stirred for 60 min. The volume of the solvent was reduced to ~ 2 ml under vacuum, and hexanes (~ 40 ml) were added with stirring. The solvent was decanted, and the residue was washed with Et₂O and then extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The CH₂Cl₂ solution was filtered through anhydrous MgSO₄ on a glass frit. Hexanes were added to precipitate a yellow solid (65 mg). The ¹H NMR spectrum of the solid in CD₃CN indicated the following

compounds were present: $[CpFe(CO)(PMePh_2)-(=COCH_2CH_2O)]PF_6$ (IIIa) (73%), $[CpFe(CO)_2-(PMePh_2)]PF_6$ (Va) (12%) and $[CpFe(CO)(PMe-Ph_2)_2]PF_6$ (IV) (6%). When I and PMePh₂ were reacted for 18 h, IV was isolated as the only product in 85% yield.

The reaction of I (0.060 g, 0.15 mmol) and PPh₃ (0.33 g, 1.2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml) was performed as described above for the analogous PMePh₂ reaction. In this case, $[CpFe(CO)_2(PPh_3)]PF_6$ (Vb) and $[CpFe(CO)(PPh_3)(=COCH_2CH_2O)]PF_6$ (IIIb) were the only products observed after a 12 h reaction time. No further reaction occurred after 24 h.

Reaction of $[CpFe(CO)(PMePh_2)(=COCH_2CH_2O)]$ -PF₆ (**IIIa**) and PMePh₂

To a stirred solution of IIIa (0.15 g, 0.26 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml) was added PMePh₂ (0.050 g, 1.8 mmol, 0.34 ml). After 20 h, the volume of the solvent was reduced to ~5 ml under vacuum, and hexanes (50 ml) were added with stirring. This resulted in the precipitation of a yellow solid. The solvent was decanted from the residue which was then washed with two 10-ml portions of Et₂O. The residue was extracted with two 10-ml portions of CH₂Cl₂ and the extract was filtered through anhydrous MgSO₄ on a glass frit. The volume of the CH₂Cl₂ extract was reduced to ~4 ml and then layered with Et₂O (20 ml) and stored at -20 °C overnight. Yellow crystals of IV were formed; 0.16 g (87%).

Reaction of I with [PPN] Cl and Other Anions

When a stirred solution of I (66 mg, 0.17 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml) was treated with [PPN]Cl (96 mg, 0.17 mmol), the pale yellow solution of I instantly became golden. The IR (CH₂Cl₂) spectrum displayed bands at 2037(vs), 1985(vs) and 1635(m) cm^{-1} , suggesting the presence of $CpFe(CO)_2[C(=O) OCH_2CH_2CI$] (VIa) [¹H NMR (CD_3CN): δ 5.03 (s, Cp), 4.14 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, CH₂), 3.64 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, CH_2)]. Addition of CF_3SO_3H (15 µl, 0.17 mmol) to this solution resulted in the formation of a pale yellowish powdery suspension. Removal of the solvent under vacuum produced 0.16 g of a yellow solid. ¹H NMR and IR spectra of the solid product showed it to be $[CpFe(CO)_3]CF_3SO_3$ (54 mg, 92%). IR (CH₃CN): 2125(vs), 2073(vs) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN): δ 5.77 (s, Cp).

The reaction of I (24 mg, 0.060 mmol) and $[N(n-Bu)_4]I$ (23 mg, 0.060 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml), followed by CF₃SO₃H, yielded very similar results to that of [PPN]Cl. The analogous reactions of I with NaOMe (in MeOH), NaSCH₂Ph (in THF) and [PPN]SCN, followed by CF₃SO₃H gave the same types of IR and NMR spectra as observed in the reaction of [PPN]Cl.

Reactions of $[CpFe(CO)_2(=CSCH_2CH_2S)]PF_6(XV)$ with NaSMe

To a stirred THF (20 ml) solution of NaSMe prepared by slowly bubbling MeSH through a suspension NaH (50% in mineral oil, 14 mg, 0.29 mmol), XV (0.12 g, 0.28 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred for 15 min, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with hexane and the extract was filtered through Celite on a glass frit. Removal of solvent in vacuo led to the isolation of $CpFe(CO)_2[C(SMe)SCH_2CH_2S]$ (XVII) as a yellow solid (0.060 g, 65%). Further purification of XVII by column chromatography on alumina led to decomposition. Its elemental analysis was not obtained. ¹H NMR (C_6D_6): δ 4.34 (s, Cp), 3.16 (m, SCH₂), 2.81 (m, SCH₂), 2.21 (s, SMe). Mass spec.: 328 (M^+) , 300 $(M^+ - CO)$, 272 $(M^+ - 2CO)$, 151 {[C(SMe)SCH₂CH₂S], base peak}, 121 (CpFe). When XVII was treated with 1 eq. HBF₄·Et₂O, XV was regenerated quantitatively.

Reaction of I with Me₃NO

To a stirred solution of I (0.050 g, 0.13 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 ml), Me_3NO (0.010 g, 0.20 mmol) was added. An instantaneous color change from pale yellow to red was observed. The IR spectrum taken in CH_2Cl_2 showed bands at 2040(m), 1993(m, sh), 1973(s), 1810(s), and 1773(mw) cm⁻¹. The reddish solution slowly decomposed to give a dark brown residue which showed no IR bands in the carbonyl region. The IR of the supernatant showed bands at 1973(s, broad), 1810(s), 1773(mw) cm⁻¹. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the supernatant showed signals at δ 4.78 and 4.52. The IR bands at 1810 and 1773 cm⁻¹ and the NMR signal at 4.52 are characteristic of ethylene carbonate (VIII). In an attempt to capture CpFe(CO)₂⁺ as CpFe(CO)₂I, [Bu₄N]I (1 equivalent) was quickly added to the reaction mixture after the Me₃NO addition; however, no CpFe(CO)₂I was detected.

When a suspension of iodosobenzene (0.050 g, 0.25 mmol) and I (0.020 g, 0.060 mmol) was stirred for 3 days, ethylene carbonate was the only new product identified.

Similarly, the reaction of I (0.020 g, 0.040 mmol) and freshly distilled H_2O (10 drops) in CH_2Cl_2 yielded ethylene carbonate in 7 days.

Reduction of $\{CpFe(CO)_2 \mid = C(SMe)_2 \mid \}PF_6(X)$

The reduction of X was achieved by the method used in the reduction of I. A solution of X (0.040 g, 0.10 mmol) in THF (20 ml) was treated with one equivalent of NaNp in THF. An instantaneous color change from greenish-yellow to dark red was observed. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue extracted with hexanes. The extract was filtered through Celite on a glass frit, and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The IR, ¹H and ¹³C NMR and mass spectra of the resulting reduction residue were obtained. Similar reactions were carried out at 60, 0, -30 and -60°C. Reactions were also performed at 25 °C on solutions containing (0.080 g, 0.19 mmol), (0.12, 0.29 mmol) and (0.20 g, 0.47 mmol) of X. Analysis of the spectroscopic data showed that the hexanes extracts of all the reduction reactions consisted of the following compounds: $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_4$ (II). Cp_2 - $\begin{array}{l} Fe_2(CO)_2(SMe)_2 \ (XI) \ \{ {}^1H \ NMR \ (CD_2Cl_2) : \ \delta \ 4.35 \\ (Cp), \ 1.27 \ (SMe). \ {}^{13}C \ NMR \ (CD_2Cl_2) : \ \delta \ 216.69 \ (CO), \end{array}$ 81.24 (Cp), 16.51 (SMe). Mass spec.: 392 (M^+) . $CpFe(CO)_2[C(SMe)_3]$ (XII) {¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 4.89 (Cp), 2.24 (SMe). ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 89.66 (Cp), 19.27 (SMe), 59.72 [C(SMe)₃]. Mass spec.: 348 $(M^+ - SMe)$, 153 (base peak, $C(SMe)_3$), and $CpFe(CO)[C(SMe)(SMe)_2 (XIII) {}^{1}H NMR (C_6D_6):$ δ 4.27 (Cp), 2.22 (6H, SMe), 1.38 (3H, SMe). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 216.03 (CO), 79.94 (Cp), 70.67 [C(SMe)₃], 21.51 (coordinated SMe), 20.00 (uncoordinated SMe). Mass spec.: 274 (base peak, $M^+ - CO)$.

NaNp-catalyzed Substitution of X

This reaction was carried out in the same way as the NaNp-catalyzed substitution of I described above starting with X (0.20 g, 0.47 mmol) and PMePh₂ (0.74 g, 3.7 mmol, 0.69 ml). The ¹H NMR spectrum of the yellow, solid product (0.298 g) confirmed the presence of $[CpFe(CO)(PMePh_2)_2]$ -PF₆, $[CpFe(CO)_2(PMePh_2)]PF_6$ and $\{CpFe(CO)-(PMePh_2)[=C(SMe)_2]\}PF_6$ (XIV) in 32%, 48% and 18% yields, respectively. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN) for XIV: δ 5.10 (d, $J_{PH} = 1.5$ Hz, Cp), 2.81 (s, SMe), 2.26 (d, $J_{PH} = 9.6$ Hz, Me), 7.44–7.23 (m, Ph). This ¹H NMR spectrum is very similar to that of $\{CpFe(CO)(PPh_3)[=C(SMe)_2]\}PF_6$ [15b].

Reaction of X with PMePh₂

To a stirred solution of X (0.030 g, 0.080 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml), PMePh₂ (0.12 g, 0.60 mmol, 0.11 ml) was added. After stirring for 2 h, the solvent was reduced to ~2 ml under vacuum and hexanes (~40 ml) were added with stirring. The solvent was decanted off, and the residue was crystallized from CH₂Cl₂/hexane to give 0.040 g of a brownishyellow solid. The ¹H NMR spectrum indicated the presence of the following compounds: [CpFe(CO)-(PMePh₂)₂]PF₆ (64%), [CpFe(CO)₂(PMePh₂)]PF₆ (13%), and {CpFe(CO)(PMePh₂)[=C(SMe)₂]}PF₆ (3.8%).

Reaction of $[CpFe(CO)_2(=CSCH_2CH_2S)]PF_6(XV)$ with PMePh₂

A CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml) solution of **XV** (0.030 g, 0.070 mmol) and PMePh₂ (0.11 g, 0.54 mmol, 0.10 ml) was stirred for 15 min. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was washed several times with Et₂O. The residue was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ and filtered through anhydrous MgSO₄ on a glass frit. The product in the filtrate was crystallized from CH₂Cl₂/hexane affording 0.35 g of [Cp-Fe(CO)(PMePh₂)(=CSCH₂CH₂S)]PF₆ (**XVI**) (85%). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 5.05 (d, J_{PH} = 1.7 Hz, Cp), 3.72 m and 3.36 m (SCH₂), 2.23 (d, J_{PH} = 10.9 Hz, Me), 7.56–7.25 (m, Ph). Trace amounts of **IV** and **Va** were also produced in this reaction and were not separated from **XVI**.

Preparation of $Cp^*Fe(CO)_2[C(SMe)_3]$ (XIX)

Compound XIX was prepared as described for XII [18a] starting with 0.026 g (0.62 mmol) of 57% NaH in mineral oil and 0.13 g (0.26 mmol) of {Cp*- $Fe(CO)_2[=C(SMe)_2]$ PF₆ [18c] in THF (40 ml). The THF was removed under reduced pressure after stirring for 2 h and the residue extracted with hexanes. Compound XIX was isolated from the hexanes solution upon removal of the solvent as yellow platelets (0.097 g, 92%). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6): δ 1.53 (15H, C₅Me₅), 2.20 (9H, SMe). ¹³C NMR (C_6D_6) : δ 96.73 (C_5Me_5) , 218.40 (CO), 58.89 [C(SMe)₃], 18.68 (SMe), 9.26 (C₅Me₅). Mass spec.: 400 (M^+) , 372 $(M^+ - CO)$, 353 $(M^+ - SMe)$, 344 $(M^{+} - 2CO)$, 153 {base peak, [C(SMe)₃]}. Anal. Calc. for C₁₆H₂₂FeO₂S₃: C, 48.02; H, 6.04. Found: C, 48.11; H, 6.20%.

Synthesis of $\{CpRu(CO)_2 = C(SMe)_2\}$ PF₆ (XX)

To a stirred solution of CpRu(CO)₂[C(S)SMe] [23c] (0.85 g) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 ml) cooled to 0 °C, CH₃SO₃F (0.24 ml) was added. Stirring was continued for 1 h after which KPF_6 (~2.0 g) was added and the mixture stirred for an additional 30 min. The mixture was treated with excess hexanes to precipitate products. The solvent was removed and the residue was then washed with three 20-ml portions of Et₂O. The residue was extracted with CH₂-Cl₂ (20 ml) and filtered through Celite on a glass frit. The extract was layered with hexanes and stored at -20 °C for 3 days. **XX** (0.092 g, 72%) was obtained as a yellow crystalline solid. ¹H NMR (CD_2Cl_2) : δ 5.64 (Cp), 3.08 (6H, SMe). ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 89.95 (Cp), 194.62 (CO), 283.39 [C-(SMe)₂], 30.79 (SMe). Anal. Calc. for C₁₀H₁₁F₆O₂-PS2Ru: C, 25.37; H, 2.34; S, 13.55. Found: C, 25.58; H, 2.57; S, 13.70%.

Reduction of $\{CpRu(CO)_2 [=C(SMe)_2]\}PF_6$

Sodium naphthalenide (0.18 ml of 0.34 M solution, 0.060 mmol) was added to a stirred THF (10 ml) solution of $\{CpRu(CO)_2[=C(SMe)_2]\}PF_6$ (0.030 g, 0.060 mmol). The color of the solution changed instantly from gold to red. The mixture was allowed to stir for 30 min and the solvent removed in vacuo. The residue was first extracted with hexanes and then with Et₂O. The hexane extract contained $CpRu(CO)_2[C(SMe)_3]$ (XXI), {¹H NMR (C_6D_6): δ 4.64 (Cp), 2.18 (9H, SMe). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 92.16 (Cp), 200.82 (CO), 53.56 $[C(SMe)_3]$, 19.10 (SMe). Mass spec.: 376 (M^+), 361 $(M^+ - Me)$, 348 $(M^+ - CO)$, 320 $(M^+ - 2CO)$, 153 [(base peak), C(SMe)₃]} and [CpRu(CO)(SMe)]₂ [36a] {Mass spec.: 483 (M^+) , 468 $(M^+ - Me)$, 456 $(M^+ - CO)$, 428 $(M^+ - 2CO)$. The Et₂O extract was mainly [CpRu(CO)₂]₂ [36b] {Mass spec.: 446 (M^{+}) , 390 $(M^{+} - 2CO)$, 362 $(M^{+} - 3CO)$, 334 $(M^{+} - 4CO)$, 223 $[CpRu(CO)_{2}, (\frac{1}{2}M^{+})]$, 195 $(\frac{1}{2}M^{+} -$ CO), 167 $(\frac{1}{2}M^+ - 2CO)$.

Preparation of CpRu(CO)₂[C(SMe)₃] (XXI)

Sodium hydride (0.026 g, 0.62 mmol), 57% in mineral oil, was washed with three 10-ml portions of hexanes and dried *in vacuo*. It was then dissolved in THF (40 ml) and MeSH slowly bubbled through the solution until H₂ evolution ceased [18a]. To this stirred solution, **XX** (0.21 g, 0.44 mmol) was added. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* after stirring for 30 min, and the residue was extracted with Et₂O and filtered through Celite on a glass frit. Removal of solvent led to the isolation of **XXI** (0.14 g, 84%) as a light yellow powder. Anal. Calc. for $C_{11}H_{14}O_2S_3Ru$: C, 35.18; H, 3.76; S, 25.62. Found: C, 35.12; H, 3.61; S, 25.36%.

Preparation of CpRu(CO)₂[C(SMe)₂(SPh)] (XXII)

Sodium hydride (0.014 g, 0.26 mmol), 57% in mineral oil, was placed in a 100 ml Schlenk flask and washed with three 10-ml portions of hexanes. The residue was dried in vacuo and dissolved in THF (40 ml). To this stirred solution was added PhSH (25 µl, 0.24 mmol). XX (0.097 g, 0.20 mmol) was added after 30 min and stirring continued for 15 min. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue extracted with Et₂O; the extract was filtered through Celite on a glass frit. Hexanes were added to the extract and the mixture concentrated and stored at -20 °C for 3 days. Tiny yellow needle-like crystals of XXII (0.050 g, 60%) were isolated. ¹H NMR $[(CD_3)_2CO]: \delta$ 5.50 (Cp), 1.84 (6H, SMe), 7.31 (m, 5H, SPh). ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 91.72 (Cp), 200.52 (CO), 57.49 [C(SMe)₂(SPh)], 19.00 (SMe), 138.36, 136.77, 134.76 (SPh). Mass spec.: 438 (M^+) , 423 $(M^+ - Me)$, 215 $[C(SMe)_2(SPh)]$. Anal. Calc. for C₁₆H₁₆O₂S₃Ru: C, 43.92; H, 3.69; S, 21.98. Found: C, 43.52; H, 3.72; S, 22.02%.

Synthesis of {CpRu(CO)(MeCN)[=C(SMe)₂)}PF₆ (XXIII)

Compound **XXIII** was prepared by irradiation of **XX** (0.11 g, 0.24 mmol) in MeCN (30 ml) for 2 h. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the residue washed with several portions of Et₂O. The residue was then extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (25 ml) and filtered through Celite on a glass frit. The extract was reduced in volume to ~10 ml *in vacuo*, layered with hexanes and stored at -20 °C. **XXIII** (0.083 g, 81%) was isolated as a red crystalline solid. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN): δ 5.24 (Cp), 3.02 (6H, SMe), 2.34 (3H, MeCN). *Anal.* Calc. for C₁₁H₁₄F₆NOPS₂Ru: C, 27.16; H, 2.90. Found: C, 27.25; H, 2.86%.

Structure Determination

A single crystal with approximate dimensions of $0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05$ mm was mounted on a glass fiber and subsequently placed on a goniometer head. Ten reflections (10.5 $< 2\theta < 34^{\circ}$) were centered on a SYNTEX P21 four-circle diffractometer and indexed using an automatic indexing program (BLIND) [37]; the results indicated a centered monoclinic space group. A total of 6119 reflections (2 octants of data) were collected using an ω -step scan technique within a 2θ sphere of 50° and corrected for Lorentz-polarization effects. Since the absorption coefficient was comparatively low, an absorption correction was not applied. Independent reflections (760) with $I \ge 3\sigma(I)$ were retained for use in subsequent calculations. The estimated variance in each intensity was calculated by $\sigma(I)^2 =$ $C_{\rm T} + C_{\rm B} + (0.03 \ C_{\rm T})^2 + (0.03 \ C_{\rm B})^2$, where $C_{\rm T}$ and $C_{\rm B}$ represent the total background counts, respectively, and the factor 0.03 is an estimate of non-statistical errors.

The position of the iron atom in the unit cell was obtained from an analysis of the Patterson map. The remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located from successive structure factor and electron density map calculations. The crystal data and atomic and thermal parameters for the non-hydrogen atoms are given in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. The positional and anisotropic thermal parameters for the non-hydrogen atoms were refined by a combination of block matrix/full matrix least-squares calculations [38]. The positional parameters of the hydrogen atoms were calculated and included but were not varied during the refinement; they were all given a fixed isotropic temperature factor of 6.0 Å². The conventional residual index $(R = \Sigma ||F_0| - |F_c||)$ $\Sigma |F_0|$) was 0.080; the function minimized in the least-squares refinement was $\Sigma w(|F_0| - |F_c|)^2$, where $w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$. The PF₆ was found to be disordered and undoubtedly contributed to the somewhat higher residual index, and the smaller number of observed reflections than expected, and relatively large errors in the distances and angles.

Results and Discussion

Structure of $\{CpFe(CO)_2[=C(SMe)_2]\}PF_6(X)$

A perspective view of X showing the numbering scheme and thermal elipsoids is given in Fig. 1. The positional parameters, and selected angles and distances are listed in Tables 3 and 4, respectively.

TABLE 2. Crystal Data for ${CpFe(CO)_2[=C(SMe)_2]}PF_6$ (X)

Compound	${CpFe(CO)_2 = C(SMe)_2}$ PF ₆
Formula	C ₁₀ H ₁₁ F ₆ FeO ₂ PS ₂
Formula weight	428.15
Crystal system	monoclinic
Space group	C_2/c
a (Å)	32.91(1)
b (Å)	6.790(3)
c (Å)	14.509(4)
β(°)	105.48(3)
$V(A^3)$	3124(2)
Z	8
μ (Mo K α) (cm ⁻¹)	13.83
ρ (calc.) (g/cm ³)	1.82
Temperature (°C)	20
Diffractometer	SYNTEX P21
Monochromator	oriented graphite
Radiation	Mo K α (λ = 0.71069 Å)
Reflections measured	hkl, hkl (6119 reflections)
Scan type	ω -step scan
Independent reflections observed $(I > 3\sigma I)$	760
Min, max, 2θ (°)	0°, 50°
Max. no. parameters refined	115
R (conventional)	0.080
R _w	0.084

TABLE 3. Atomic Positional Parameters $(\times 10^4)$ and Equivalent Isotropic Thermal Parameters^a $(A^2 \times 10^3)$ for {Cp-Fe(CO)₂[=C(SMe)₂]}PF₆ (X)

Atom	x	У	Ζ	ū
Fe	6071(1)	644(6)	373(3)	32
S68	6832(2)	-1052(10)	1880(5)	37
S67	6762(2)	-2665(12)	43(5)	45
09	6455(6)	3868(30)	1614(14)	77
O10	6256(6)	2508(33)	-1285(13)	70
C1	5473(10)	-29(61)	-459(22)	76
C2	5446(8)	1400(51)	182(39)	115
C3	5600(8)	662(69)	1104(24)	80
C4	5728(8)	-1252(50)	1006(28)	76
C5	5666(10)	-1770(54)	616(35)	108
C6	6585(5)	-1102(33)	713(15)	20
C7	6466(9)	-2430(43)	-1181(16)	51
C8	7271(8)	-2681(45)	2019(16)	47
С9	6318(10)	2521(48)	1116(23)	69
C10	6195(8)	1717(39)	-635(23)	47
P4 ^b	2500	2500	5000	37
P5 ^c	5000	-5035(18)	-2500	59
F41	2306(4)	768(25)	4290(9)	55
F42	2749(5)	938(24)	5753(11)	63
F43	2106(4)	2338(28)	5451(11)	64
F51 ^c	5000	-2652(49)	-2500	114
F52 ^c	5000	-7418(50)	-2500	114
F53	4564(6)	-5021(29)	- 2905(13)	114
F54	4955(6)	-5015(30)	-1443(14)	114

 ${}^{a}\bar{u} = \frac{1}{3}\Sigma U_{ii} \times 10^{3}$ where the temperature factors are defined as $\exp(-2\pi^{2}\Sigma h_{j}h_{j}a_{i}^{*}a_{j}^{*}U_{ij})$. ^bOccupies special position d in the space group C^{2}/c with atomic multiplicity of 0.50. ^cOccupies special position e with atomic multiplicity of 0.50.



Fig. 1. ORTEP diagram of $CpFe(CO)_2[=C(SMe)_2]^+$ (X) showing the orientation of the carbene ligand.

The iron in X is in a slightly distorted octahedral geometry with the cyclopentadienyl ring occupying one face. The L-Fe-L angles 94.7°, 92.1°, and 93.1° for the carbene and two CO ligands are similar to those found in $[CpFe(CO)_2(CS)]PF_6$ [39], CpFe-

TABLE 4. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (°) for ${CpFe(CO)_{2}[=C(SMe)_{2}]}PF_{6}(X)$

Fe-C1	2.07(3)	C6-Fe-C9	93(1)
Fe-C2	2.07(3)	C6-Fe-C10	94.7(9)
Fe-C3	2.10(3)	C9-Fe-C10	92(1)
Fe-C4	2.08(3)	C6S68C8	105(1)
Fe-C5	2.08(4)	C6-S67-C7	110(1)
Fe-C6	2.02(2)	Fe-C6-S68	113(1)
Fe-C9	1.73(3)	Fe-C6-S67	130(1)
Fe-C10	1.78(3)	S68-C6-S67	117(1)
S67-C6	1.65(2)	Fe-C9-O9	175(2)
S68-C6	1.67(2)	Fe-C9-010	176(2)
S67-C7	1.79(2)		
S68-C8	1.78(3)		
C9O9	1.18(4)		
C10010	1.15(4)		

 $(CO)_2[C(PPh_3)(=CHPh)]BF_4$ [40] and $CpFe(CO)_2$ - $[=CC(CH_3)S(O)OCH_2]$ [41] which also have the piano stool geometry. The Fe-C(carbene) bond distance 2.02(2) Å is somewhat longer than Fe=C bond lengths (1.91-2.00 Å) in other Fe-carbene complexes such as $\{CpFe(CO)_2[=C(H)(SPh)]\}PF_6$ ${CpFe(CO)_2[=C(Me)(SMe)]}PF_6$ [42b], [42b], $[CpFe(CO)_2(C_7H_6)]PF_6$ [42c] and $[CpFe(CO)_2$ -(C₁₁H₁₈)]PF₆ [42c] but shorter than typical Fe-C-(sp³) bonds (2.08-2.10 Å) [42]. This suggests relatively weak π -bonding between Fe and the carbene carbon; on the other hand, there is significant π bonding between the carbene carbon and the sulfur atoms. This is evident from the C6-S67 and C6-S68 distances (1.65 and 1.67 Å, respectively), which are much shorter than known S-C(alkyl) distances (range: 1.80-1.84) [42], and the S67-C7 and S68-C8 distances (1.79 Å) in X. Pi-bonding between the carbene carbon and the sulfur atoms was previously proposed based on the inequivalence of the methyl groups (C7 and C8) in the carbene ligand in ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of the complex [15]. The ambient temperature solution ¹H NMR spectrum of X shows a single line for the two methyl groups; however, on cooling to -55 °C two sharp singlets are observed. The two singlets coalesce upon warming at -2.5 °C [15]. In other thiocarbene complexes such as $\{PtCl[=C(SEt)_2](PPh_3)_2\}$, $\{PtI [=C(SMe)_2](PPh_3)_2$ and $\{PtI[=C(SEt)_2](PPh_3)_2\}I$ [43], NMR studies also indicate the presence of syn and anti R groups, as in X. The dithiomethyl carbene ligand in X is essentially planar with the plane of the carbene moiety 90° (Fig. 1) from that predicted by frontier orbital arguments for the simplest carbene (:CH₂) [44] and observed in $Cp_2Ta(CH_3)(=CH_2)$ and $Cp_2Ta(CH_2Ph)[=C(H)Ph]$ [45a, b], CpMn- $(CO)_{2}$ [=C(F)Ph] [45c], CpFe(CO)_{2}[=C(H)Ph]⁺ [7, 9] and $CpFe(CO)_2[=C(H)SPh]^+$ [42b]. Similar deviations from the so-called 'upright' orientation for the carbene moiety have been observed in CpM-

 $(CO)_2(carbene)$ (M = Mn, Re) [46] and other CpFe-(CO)₂(carbene)⁺ complexes [42b, c]. The 'crosswise' or 'orthogonal' conformation of the carbene moiety in CpFe(CO)₂[=C(Me)SMe]⁺ [42b] was rationalized on the basis of nonbonded interactions between the Cp ligand and either of the two substituents on the carbene center. The long Fe-C6 distance in X suggests Fe-to-carbene pi bonding is relatively weak, and it is likely that steric or possibly crystal packing forces determine the orientation of the carbene ligand.

Reactions of $[CpFe(CO)_2(=COCH_2CH_2O)]PF_6(I)$

Reducing agents such as sodium amalgam and sodium naphthalenide react immediately with I to give II (70%), CO₂ and ethylene (eqn. (5)). The formation of II was established by comparing

$$2 \text{ Cp Fe}(CO)_{2} \left[= C_{0}^{0} \right]^{+} + 2e^{-} \frac{\text{THF}}{25^{\circ}\text{C}, 1\text{h}} Cp_{2}\text{Fe}_{2}(CO)_{4} + 2CO_{2} + 2C_{2}\text{H}_{4}$$
(5)

its infrared and ¹H NMR spectra with those of the authentic compound. The presence of CO2 and ethylene was established by GC-MS. It is apparent from reactions monitored by IR spectroscopy at 25 and 0 °C that the reduction leading to the formation of the products indicated in eqn. (5) goes through some intermediate(s), the exact nature of which is (are) not known. They appear to be fairly long-lived as evident from the IR bands observed at 2035(ms), 2008(ms), 1975(s) and 1950(s) cm⁻¹ which appear within the first minute of reaction and gradually diminish over a period of 60 min as bands corresponding to the final product II appear. An examination of the NaNp reduction product within 5 min after reduction at 4 K showed it to be ESR silent. The non-existence of a long-lived odd electron product is further supported by the CV of I, which show an irreversible reduction wave. Though II is obtained in good yield, it is clear from its CV that it is not the initial product of the reduction. Also, coupling of the cyclic dioxocarbene unit to give the alkoxyolefin, $OCH_2CH_2OC=COCH_2CH_2O$, similar to what has been observed by Bianchini and coworkers [26] in the formation of tetrathiafulvalene from a cyclic dithiocarbene, does not occur presumably because the fragmentation of the cyclic dioxycarbene intermediate to give CO₂ and ethylene is faster [47]. Work done by Borden *et al.* [48] suggests that the fragmentation of the cyclic dioxocarbene to CO₂ and ethylene proceeds via a diradical intermediate.

If I is only partially reduced using 0.1 equivalent of NaNp in the presence of PPh₃ and PMePh₂, [Cp- $Fe(CO)(L)(=COCH_2CH_2O)]PF_6$ (72%), [CpFe(CO)-(L)₂]PF₆ (21%) and trace amounts of [CpFe(CO)₂-(L)] PF_6 (L = PMePh₂) are formed instantaneously. The reactions presumably proceed by an electroncatalyzed process (Scheme 1). Carbene metal radical complexes such as $Fe(CO)_3 = CN(Me)CH_2CH_2N$. $(Me)]_{2}^{\dagger}$ [49a], (CO)₅Cr-C(OMe)Ph [49b] and Cr-(CO)₄[=CN(Et)CH₂CH₂N(Et)]₂^{\dagger} [49c] have been reported in the literature. It is, therefore, reasonable to postulate a radical intermediate in the reaction described in Scheme 1. Reductive CO substitution reactions of this kind have been reported both in cases where the radical intermediate is unstable and where it is stable enough to be characterized spectroscopically [50, 51]. It is presumed, in view of what is known from the reduction of I, that the cyclic dioxo-carbene liberated in the formation of IV decomposes to CO_2 and ethylene. The proposed scheme is supported by the reaction of CpFe(CO)2-(PMePh₂)⁺ (Va) with PMePh₂ in the presence of catalytic quantities of NaNp (0.1 eq.), which leads to the substitution of an additional phosphine in Va to give IV. Further support for Scheme 1 is provided by the reactions of III and IV with PMePh₂ in the presence of NaNp (0.1 eq.) which do not lead to further substituted products.



Scheme 1.

 $X^{-} = I^{-}, CI^{-}, MeO^{-}, NCS^{-}, PhCH_2S^{-}$

Reaction of I with various anions (eqn. (6)) leads to the formation of an ester as a result of the opening of the carbene ring via an attack at one of the methylene carbons. These esters, which have only been characterized by IR and ¹H NMR spectroscopy, are unstable and readily decompose in solution to give $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ (II) [52]. However, if the ester formed (eqn. (6)) is immediately treated with CF_3 - SO_3H , $CpFe(CO)_3^+$ is isolated in 92% yield (X = Cl) [53]. Unlike I, { $CpFe(CO)_2$ [= $\overline{CSCH_2CH_2S}$]} PF_6 (XV) does not react with (PPN)I in CH₂Cl₂ at 25 °C but does react with NaSMe to give CpFe(CO)2- $[\dot{C}(SMe)SCH_2CH_2S]$. In the presence of hydrides such as LiAlH₄ and Li[Al(t-BuO)₃H], XV slowly decomposes whereas I reacts to give a mixture unstable products, probably CpFe(CO)₂of $[\dot{C}(H)OCH_2CH_2\dot{O}]$ (VII) and $CpFe(CO)_2C(O)CH_2$ -CH3.

With Me₃NO, I reacts instantaneously to give ethylene carbonate $O=COCH_2CH_2O$ (VIII) and unidentified organometallic compounds. Iodosobenzene (C₆H₅IO) undergoes a similar reaction to give VIII; however, this reaction is very slow and no evidence for a carbonyl containing organometallic product was seen. Ethylene carbonate is also generated by the reaction of I and H₂O at an extremely slow rate.

The reaction of I with phosphines at ambient temperature results in the formation of [CpFe(CO)- $(L)(=COCH_2CH_2O)]PF_6$ (73%), $[CpFe(CO)_2(L)]PF_6$ (12%) and $[CpFe(CO)(L)_2]PF_6$ (6%) in 1 h (L = PMePh₂), at a much slower rate than the NaNpcatalyzed reaction (Scheme 1). A complete conversion of IIIa to IV is observed in 24 h. With PPh3, $[CpFe(CO)(L)(OCH_2CH_2O)]PF_6$ and $[CpFe(CO)_2$. (L)]PF₆ are the only products formed from I, and no further reaction is observed in 24 h. A GC-MS analysis of the vapor phase of the reaction of IIIa and PMePh₂ showed it to contain CO₂, CO and ethylene. Though reactions monitored by ¹H NMR and ³¹P NMR indicate the presence of some intermediates, it has not been possible to elucidate the reaction path.

Reactions of $\{CpFe(CO)_2 [=C(SMe)_2]\}PF_6(X)$

In contrast to the reduction of I, the instantaneous reaction of $CpFe(CO)_2[=C(SMe)_2]^+$ with sodium naphthalenide leads to the formation of II (19%), XI (5%), XII (37%) and XIII (39%) with some decomposition to insoluble materials. Compounds II, XI, XII and XIII have been reported previously

$$CpFe(CO)_{2}[=C(SMe)_{2}]^{+} + e^{-} \longrightarrow X$$

$$Cp_{2}Fe_{2}(CO)_{4} + Cp_{2}Fe_{2}(CO)_{2}(SMe)_{2}$$

$$II \qquad XI$$

$$+ CpFe(CO)_{2}[C(SMe)_{3}] + CpFe(CO)[C(SMe)(SMe)_{2}]$$

$$XII \qquad XIII \qquad (7)$$

[18, 34, 54] and were identified here by their IR, MS and NMR spectra. Although no spectroscopic evidence exists for the radical 'CpFe(CO)₂[=C- $(SMe)_2$ as the initial product in the reduction (eqn. (7)), its intermediacy can be used to explain the formation of the observed reduction products. The $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_4$ probably results from the direct decomposition of the radical intermediate with loss of the carbene[$:C(SMe)_2$]. The formation of XII may occur by MeS. transfer from one 'CpFe(CO)2- $[=C(SMe)_2]$ ' radical to another because it is the favored product when high concentrations of X $(\geq 2.4 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M})$ and lower temperatures $(\leq 0 \degree \text{C})$ are used. Fast addition of NaNp tends to favor the formation of XIII probably because it leads to a high concentration of $CpFe(CO)_2 [=C(SMe)_2]$ in which a CO is readily displaced by THF. Reaction of this THF adduct with MeS. present in solution apparently results in the formation of XIII. This postulate is supported by the reduction of {CpFe- $(CO)(MeCN)[=C(SMe)_2]$ PF₆ with NaNp in the presence of MeSSMe which leads to the formation of XIII in 70% yield. While it may be argued that the NaNp reacts with MeSSMe to generate MeSwhich reacts with $\{CpFe(CO)(MeCN)[=C(SMe)_2]\}$ -PF₆ to yield XIII, it is important to note that the reaction of NaSMe and {CpFe(CO)(MeCN)[=C-(SMe)₂]}PF₆ gives XIII in only 30% yield [18b], which suggests the MeSSMe reaction proceeds predominately through a reduced MeCN complex.

In contrast to the complex reduction of X in eqn. (7), a 0.1 eq. of NaNp instantly catalyzes the reaction of X with PMePh₂ to form the phosphine substitution products CpFe(CO)(PMePh₂)[=C-(SMe)₂]⁺ (XIV, 17.8%), [CpFe(CO)₂(PMePh₂)]⁺ (Va, 32.3%) and [CpFe(CO)(PMePh₂)₂]PF₆ (IV, 48.5%). The NaNp-catalyzed reaction can be envisaged as occurring via radical intermediates similar to those proposed for phosphine substitution in I (Scheme 1). However, the major product in the NaNp-catalyzed phosphine substitution in I is [Cp-Fe(CO)(PMePh₂)(= $COCH_2CH_2O$)]PF₆ (IIIa) whereas IV and Va are the major products in X. The reaction of X with PMePh₂ in the absence of NaNp at 25 °C takes nearly 2 h resulting in the following product distribution: XIV (3.8%), Va (13%) and IV (64%).

Reactions of Other $CpFe(CO)_2(carbene)^+$ and $CpRu(CO)_2(carbene)^+$ Complexes

Reduction of $\{CpFe(CO)_2[=CSCH_2CH_2S]\}PF_6$ (XV) with NaNp in THF leads predominately to decomposition with formation of a small quantity of II and other unidentified products; this compares with the 70% yield of II obtained in the reduction of I (eqn. (5)). The reaction of XV with PMePh₂ at 25 °C over a period of 15 min, leads to the formation of $\{CpFe(CO)(PMePh_2)[=CSCH_2CH_2S]\}PF_6$ (XVI) in 85% yield.

The $\{CpFe(CO)_2[=C(SMe)(NMe_2)\}PF_6 \text{ complex}$ does not react with PMePh₂ at 25 °C; in the presence of NaNp, it slowly decomposes with the formation of a small quantity of II and other unidentified compounds.

Reaction of $\{Cp^*Fe(CO)_2[=C(SMe)_2]\}PF_6$ with NaSMe at 25 °C leads to the formation of $Cp^*Fe(CO)_2[C(SMe)_3]$ (XIX). Compound XIX has IR and NMR properties similar to those of $CpFe(CO)_2[C-(SMe)_3]$ [18a].

Reduction of $\{CpRu(CO)_2[=C(SMe)_2]\}PF_6$ (XX) with NaNp in THF occurs instantly to give $[CpRu(CO)_2]_2$, $[CpRu(CO)(SMe)]_2$ and $CpRu(CO)_2[C(SMe)_3]$. There is no evidence for the formation of $Cp(CO)Ru[C(SMe)(SMe)_2]$ in this reaction. Compound XX also reacts with NaSMe and NaSPh to give $CpRu(CO)_2[C(SMe)_3]$ and $CpRu(CO)_2[C(SMe)_2(S-Ph)]$, respectively.

Conclusions

The α -heteroatom carbenes I, X, XV, XX examined in this paper are all readily reduced by NaNp to give unstable primary products which undergo rapid decomposition. The [CpFe(CO)₂(=COCH₂CH₂O)]-PF₆ (I) product decomposes cleanly to give II, CO₂ and ethylene. In contrast, its sulfur analog, XV, yields mainly insoluble sulfides and only small quantities of II, but no CS₂. The reduction of {CpFe(CO)₂[=C(SMe)₂]}PF₆ (X) gives a mixture of products: XI, XII, XIII and II. Both I and X undergo phosphine substitution which is catalyzed by NaNp.

Supplementary Material

Listings of structure factors, hydrogen atom positions, and bond distances and angles for the Cp and PF_6^- groups (8 pages) are available from the authors on request.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract No. W-7405-Eng-82, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences and Materials Sciences Divisions. We thank Johnson Matthey, Inc. for a generous loan of RuCl₃.

References

- (a) D. J. Cardin, B. Cetinkaya, M. J. Doyle and M. F. Lappert, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2 (1973) 99; (b) C. P. Casey, in M. Jones and R. A. Moss (eds.), Reactive Intermediates, Vol. 2, Wiley, New York, 1981, p. 135; (c) Vol. 3, 1985, p. 109; (d) C. P. Casey, CHEMTECH, (1979) 378; (e) K. H. Dotz, in Transition Metal Carbene Complexes, Verlag Chemie, Weinheim, 1983; (f) P. Hoffmann, p. 113.
- 2 (a) H. W. Turner and R. R. Schrock, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104 (1982) 2331; (b) M. Brookhart and W. B. Studabaker, Chem. Rev., 87 (1987) 411; (c) W. A. Herrmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 21 (1982) 117.
- 3 (a) R. H. Grubbs, Prog. Inorg. Chem., 24 (1978) 1; (b) R.
 J. Cross, Catalysis, 5 (1982) 382.
- 4 P. W. Jolly and R. Pettit, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88 (1966) 5044.
- 5 M. L. H. Green, M. Ishaq and R. N. Whiteley, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1967) 1508.
- 6 M. L. H. Green, L. C. Mitchard and M. G. Swamwick, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1971) 794.
- 7 M. Brookhart and G. O. Nelson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99 (1977) 6099.
- 8 (a) S. Brandt and P. Helquist, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101 (1979) 6473; (b) E. J. O'Connor, S. Brandt and P. Helquist, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 109 (1987) 3739.
- 9 (a) M. Brookhart, J. R. Tucker, T. C. Flood and J. Jensen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102 (1980) 1203; (b) M. Brookhart, J. R. Tucker and G. R. Husk, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 103 (1981) 979.
- 10 M. Brookhart, M. B. Humphrey, H. J. Kratzer and G. O. Nelson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102 (1980) 7802.
- 11 T. Bodnar and A. R. Cutler, J. Organomet. Chem., 213 (1981) C31.
- 12 C. P. Casey, W. H. Miles, H. Tukada and J. M. O'Connor, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104 (1982) 3761.
- 13 (a) M. Brookhart, J. R. Tucker and G. R. Husk, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 105 (1983) 258; (b) G. Kuo, R. C. Kerber and P. Helquist, Organometallics, 3 (1984) 806.
- (a) C. P. Casey, W. H. Miles and H. Tukada, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 107 (1985) 2924; (b) C. P. Casey and W. H. Miles, Organometallics, 3 (1984) 808.
- 15 F. B. McCormick and R. J. Angelici, *Inorg. Chem.*, 18 (1979) 1231, and refs. therein; (b) F. B. McCormick and R. J. Angelici, J. Organomet. Chem., 205 (1981) 79.
- 16 F. B. McCormick and R. J. Angelici, Inorg. Chem., 20 (1981) 1111.
- 17 F. B. McCormick and R. J. Angelici, *Inorg. Chem.*, 20 (1981) 1118.
- 18 (a) F. B. McCormick, R. J. Angelici, R. A. Pickering, R. E. Wagner and R. A. Jacobsen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 20 (1981) 4108; (b) G. N. Glavee and R. J. Angelici, J. Am. *Chem. Soc.*, accepted for publication; (c) M.-G. Choi and R. J. Angelici, manuscript in preparation.
- 19 Y. S. Yu and R. J. Angelici, Organometallics, 2 (1983) 1583.
- 20 Y. S. Yu and R. J. Angelici, Organometallics, 2 (1983) 1018.

- 22 M. M. Singh and R. J. Angelici, Inorg. Chem., 23 (1984) 2691.
- 23 (a) J. R. Matachek and R. J. Angelici, Organometallics, 1 (1982) 1541; (b) R. F. Fenske, K. J. Haller, K. A. Schugart, J. R. Matachek and R. J. Angelici, Organometallics, 3 (1984) 1038; (c) J. R. Matachek and R. J. Angelici, Inorg. Chem., 25 (1986) 2877.
- 24 B. E. Boland-Lussier and R. D. Hughes, Organometallics, 1 (1982) 635.
- 25 R. C. Kerber, I. J. O'Connor, G. Kuo, K. A. M. Kremer and P. Helquist, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104 (1982) 6119.
- 26 C. Bianchini, A. Meli and G. Scapacci, Organometallics, 4 (1985) 264.
- 27 W. A. Goddard and E. A. Carter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 108 (1986) 4746, and refs. therein.
- 28 (a) M. Brookhart, W. B. Studabaker and G. R. Husk, Organometallics, 4 (1985) 943; (b) 6 (1987) 1141.
- 29 D. F. Shriver and M. A. Drezdon, *The Manipulation of* Air Sensitive Compounds, Wiley, New York, 2nd edn., 1986.
- 30 S. Herzog, J. Dehnert and K. Luhder, in H. B. Jonassen (ed.), *Technique of Inorganic Chemistry*, Vol. VII, Interscience, New York, 1969.
- 31 D. E. Paul, D. Lipkin and S. I. Weissman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 78 (1956) 116.
- 32 J. E. Lind, Jr., H. A. A. Abdel Rahim and S. W. Rudich, J. Phys. Chem., 70 (1966) 3610.
- 33 (a) M. Ahmad, R. Bruce and G. R. Knox, J. Organomet. Chem., 6 (1966) 1; (b) R. B. King and M. B. Bisnette, Inorg. Chem., 4 (1965) 482.
- 34 B. V. Johnson, P. J. Ouseph, J. S. Hseih, A. L. Steinmetz and J. E. Shade, *Inorg. Chem.*, 18 (1979) 1796.
- 35 J. A. Gladysz, G. M. Williams, W. Tam, D. L. Johnson, D. W. Parker and J. C. Selover, *Inorg. Chem.*, 18 (1979) 553.
- 36 (a) S. D. Killops and S. A. R. Knox, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1978) 1260; (b) A. P. Humphries and S. A. R. Knox, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1975) 1710.
- 37 R. A. Jacobson, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 9 (1976) 115.
- 38 R. L. Lapp and R. A. Jacobson, ALLS, a general crystallographic least-squares program, USDOE report, ISU, Ames, IA, 1979.
- 39 J. W. Richardson, R. J. Angelici and R. A. Jacobson, Inorg. Chem., 26 (1987) 452.
- 40 N. Ye Kolobova, V. V. Skripkin, G. G. Alexandrov and Yu T. Struchkov, J. Organomet. Chem., 169 (1979) 293.
- 41 M. R. Churchill and J. Wormald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93 (1971) 354.

- 42 (a) E. J. O'Connor and P. Helquist, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104 (1982) 1869, and refs. therein; (b) C. Knors, G. Kuo, J. W. Lauher, C. Eigenbrot and P. Helquist, Organometallics, 6 (1987) 988; (c) P. E. Riley, R. E. Davis, N. T. Allison and W. M. Jones, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102 (1980) 2458.
- 43 (a) E. D. Dobrzynski and R. J. Angelici, *Inorg. Chem.*, 14 (1975) 1513; (b) D. H. Farrar, R. O. Harris and A. J. Walker, J. Organomet. Chem., 124 (1977) 125.
- 44 B. E. R. Schilling, R. Hoffmann and D. L. Lichtenberger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101 (1979) 585.
- 45 (a) L. J. Guggenberger and R. R. Schrock, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97 (1975) 6578; (b) R. R. Schrock, L. W. Messerle, C. D. Wood and L. J. Guggenberger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100 (1978) 3793; (c) E. O. Fischer, W. Kleine, W. Schambeck and U. Schubert, Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, 36 (1982) 1575.
- 46 (a) S. Fontana, U. Schubert and E. O. Fischer, J. Organomet. Chem., 146 (1978) 39; (b) W. Malisch, H. Blau and U. Schubert, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 19 (1980) 1020; (c) W. Malisch, H. Blau and U. Schubert, Chem. Ber., 116 (1983) 690.
- 47 D. M. Lemal, E. F. Gosselink and S. D. McGregor, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88 (1966) 582.
- (a) W. T. Borden and L. H. Hoo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100 (1978) 6274;
 (b) D. Feller, E. R. Davidson and W. T. Borden, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 103 (1981) 2558.
- (A) M. F. Lappert, J. J. MacQuitty and P. L. Pye, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1977) 411; (b) P. J. Krusic, U. Klabunde, C. P. Casey and T. P. Block, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98 (1976) 2015; (c) M. F. Lappert, R. W. McCabe, J. M. MacQuitty, P. L. Pye and P. I. Riley, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1980) 90.
- 50 (a) W. E. Geiger and N. G. Conelly, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 23 (1984) 1; (b) 24 (1985) 87; (c) W. D. Geiger, Prog. Inorg. Chem., 33 (1985) 275; (d) M. G. Richmond and J. K. Kochi, Inorg. Chem., 25 (1986) 656.
- 51 (a) N. C. Schroeder and R. J. Angelici, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 108 (1986) 3688; (b) B. T. Donovan and W. E. Geiger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 110 (1988) 2335.
- 52 (a) R. B. King, M. B. Bisnette and A. Fronzaglia, J. Organomet. Chem., 5, (1966) 341; (b) L. Busetto and R. J. Angelici, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 2 (1968) 391.
- 53 (a) D. H. Bowen, M. Green, D. M. Grove, J. R. Moss, and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1974) 1189; (b) C. H. Game, M. Green, J. R. Moss and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1974) 351; (c) G. L. Miessler, S. Kim, R. A. Jacobson and R. J. Angelici, Inorg. Chem., 26 (1987) 1690.
- 54 R. B. King, in J. J. Eisch and R. B. King (eds.), Organometallic Synthesis, Academic Press, New York, 1965, p. 114.