The Preparation and Characterization of some Uranium(VI) Complexes of N, N'-Bis(o-hydroxyaryl) Aldimino Schiff Bases

BIRGÜL ERK

Gazi University, Faculty of Art and Science, Department of Chemistry, Teknikokullar, Ankara (Turkey) and NECLA GÜNDÜZ Ankara University, Faculty of Science, Department of Chemistry, Beşevler, Ankara (Turkey)

(Received May 9, 1989; revised August 8, 1989)

Abstract

Some of the stable chelate complexes of uranium-(VI) were prepared with alkyl or aryl (R) bridged o,o'-dihydroxyaryl Schiff bases of HO-C₆H₄-CH= N-R-N=CH-C₆H₄-OH and HO-C₁₀H₆-CH=N-R-N=CH-C₁₀H₆-OH (R: (CH₂)_n; (C₂H₄NH)_n-(C₂H₄); (C₆H₄CH₂C₆H₄; o, m, p-C₆H₄). Their structures were determined by UV, IR and elemental analyses. It is evident that strong ligand-ion interaction usually caused the polymeric structure. This is supported by the high decomposition point and low solubilities of the complexes.

Introduction

Very little work has appeared on the complex formation phenomena of actinides with the $o_i o'$ -dihydroxyaryl Schiff bases although these ligands have already been recognized as forming stable complexes with transition elements [1-4].

In this study, N,N'-bis(o-hydroxyaryl) aldimines and N,N'-bis(2-hydroxy-1-naphthyl) aldimines were synthesized [5a-d], as given in eqns. (1) and (2).

Compounds 1d, 2c, d were obtained by the condensation of UO_2^{2+} and N,N'-bis(salicylaldehyde) polymethylenediimine and N,N'-bis(2-hydroxy-lnaphthaldehyde) polymethylenediimine (R: $(CH_2)_n$, (n: 3,6) eqn. (3), Table 1.

The uranyl complexes of o-, m-, p-phenylenedisalicylaldimine and m-, p-phenylene bis(2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldimine) were also prepared as stated above: compounds 3c; 4c, d; 5c, d; eqn. (3), Table 1.

Compounds 6c, d; 7d; 8c; 9c were obtained by the condensation of UO_2^{2+} with N, N'-bis(salicylaldehyde) polyethyleneaminediimines and N, N'-bis(2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde) polyethyleneaminediimines (R: $(C_2H_4NH)_nC_2H_4$ (n: 1,2,3,4)) eqn. (3), Table 1.

The strained type of UO_2^{2+} complexes with N,N'-bridged Schiff bases such as 4,4'-bis(*o*-hydroxy-benzaldimino) diphenylmethane derivatives were also obtained: compounds **10c**, **d**; eqn. (3), Table 1.

0020-1693/90/\$3.50

H ₂ N-R-NH ₂ + 2	Орн- <u>2H20</u> н		(1)
	O -2H-0	\hat{O}	

$$H_2N-R-NH_2+2 \bigvee_{CH} \xrightarrow{-2H_2O} (2)$$

No.	R	n
la, b	$(CH_2)_n$	3
2a, b	$(CH_2)_n$	6
3a, b	C ₆ H ₄	0
4a, b	C ₆ H ₄	m
5a, b	C ₆ H ₄	р
6a, b	$(C_2H_4NH)_nC_2H_4$	1
7a, b	$(C_2H_4NH)_nC_2H_4$	2
8a	$(C_2H_4NH)_nC_2H_4$	3
9a	$(C_2H_4NH)_nC_2H_4$	4
10a, b	$(C_6H_4)_nCH_2$	2
11a.b	C ₄ H ₄ N(CH ₂) ₂	

a,c. phenyl; b, d. naphthyl.

U02+ 2 0 0 H C=N R H		(3)
No.	R	n
1d	(CH ₂) _n	3
2c, d	$(CH_2)_n$	6
3c	C ₆ H ₄	0
4c, d	C ₆ H ₄	m
5c, d	C ₆ H ₄	р
6c, d	$(C_2H_4NH)_nC_2H_4$	1
7d	$(C_2H_4NH)_nC_2H_4$	2
8c	$(C_2H_4NH)_nC_2H_4$	3
9c	$(C_2H_4NH)_nC_2H_4$	4
10c, d	$(C_6H_4)_nCH_2$	2
11d	$C_6H_4N(CH_3)_2$	

a,c. phenyl; b, d. naphthyl.

© Elsevier Sequoia/Printed in Switzerland

Compound	Yield	Melting	Found (%)		Formula	Calculated (9	(0)	Molecula
No. Name	(%)	ور) ردر)	с н	z		СН	z	weignt
1b Propane-I, 3-di(2-hydroxy)-I-naphthaldimine uranylate(VI)	79.5	276	45.61 2.92	5.04	C ₂₅ H ₂₀ N ₂ O ₄ U	46.15 3.08	4.31	650
2a Hexane-1 6-disalicylaldimine uranylate(VI)	75	198	39.21 3.09	4.61	C ₂₀ H ₂₂ N ₂ O ₄ U	40.55 3.72	4.73	592
2b Hexane-1, 6-di(2-hydroxy)-1-naphthaldimine uranylate(VI)	71.5	253	43.23 3.67	4.33	C ₂₈ H ₂₆ N ₂ O ₄ U	48.56 3.76	4.05	692
3a o-Phenylenedisalicylaldimine uranylate(VI)	68	223	42.09 2.94	4.76	$C_{20}H_{14}N_{2}O_{4}U$	41.10 2.40	4.79	584
4a <i>m</i> -Phenylenedisalicylaldimine uranylate(VI)	76	305	40.40 3.31	5.07	C ₂₀ H ₁₄ N ₂ O ₄ U	41.10 2.40	4.79	584
m-Phenylenedi(2-hydroxy)-1-naphthaldimine uranylate(VI)	67	325	48.57 2.85	4.85	C ₂₈ H ₁₈ N ₂ O ₄ U	49.12 2.63	4.09	684
p-Phenylenedisalicylaldimine uranylate(VI)	71	320	41.02 2.81	4.59	C ₂₀ H ₁₄ N ₂ O ₄ U	41.10 2.40	4.79	584
5b p-Phenylenedi(2-hydroxy)-1-naphthaldimine uranylate(VI)	65	345	48.90 2.53	5.05	C ₂₈ H ₁₈ N ₂ O ₄ U	49.12 2.63	4.09	684
5a = N, N' -Bis(salicylidene) diethylenetriamine uranylate(VI)	76	204	30.44 3.97	9.49	C ₁₈ H ₂₁ N ₅ O ₁₀ U	30.64 2.98	9.93	705
5b = N, N' -Bis(2-hydroxy)-1-naphthalidenediethylenetriamine uranylate(VI)	80	198	38.38 3.26	8.22	C ₂₆ H ₂₅ N ₅ O ₁₀ U	38.76 3.11	8.70	805
7b N, N' -Bis(2-hydroxy)-1-naphthalidenetriethylenetetramine uranylate(VI)	71	169	39.21 2.99	9.30	C28H30N6O10U	39.62 3.54	9.91	848
N, N' -Bis(salicylidene)tetraethylenepentamine uranylate(VI)	79	173	33.25 3.29	12.32	C ₂₂ H ₃₁ N ₇ O ₁₀ U	33.38 3.92	12.39	791
$\beta = N, N'$ -Bis(salicylidene)pentaethylenehexamine uranylate(VI)	83	180	34.34 3.88	12.51	C ₂₄ H ₃₆ N ₈ O ₁₀ U	34.53 4.12	13.43	834
10a 4,4'-(Disalicylaldimine)diphenylmethane uranylate(VI)	83	182	47.52 3.30	4.80	C ₂₇ H ₂₀ N ₂ O ₄ U	48.07 2.97	4.15	674
10b 4,4'-Di(2-hydroxy)-1-naphthaldiminediphenylmethane uranylate(VI)	78	193	51.96 3.51	4.28	C ₃₅ H ₂₄ N ₂ O ₄ U	54.26 3.10	3.62	774
11b Bis $[p-N, N-dimethy lamin ophenyl (2-hydroxy)-1-naph thal dimine uranylate (VI)$	75	257	46.18 3.60	8.89	C ₃₈ H ₃₆ N ₆ O ₁₀ U	46.82 3.69	8.62	974

Further investigation of the stereochemistry of the complexes was performed by the condensation of UO_2^{2+} with 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde-p-(N,N'dimethylaminophenyl) aldimine. It is interesting to see that a complex was formed in the ratio 1:2, compound 11d, Table 1.

Results and Discussion

C₃₈H₃₆N₆O₁₀U

The structure of the complexes were primarily identified by IR spectroscopy. The absorption around 3600-2800 cm⁻¹ was due to the strong intermolecular hydrogen bonding of the Schiff bases which is lost after complete complexation. However, -O-H...N- bonding affects the stability of the metaloxygen bonds of o-hydroxyaldehydediimine complexes as shown by Feedman [6]. This is the reason that the complexes were prepared in this study completely free of bound water.

The absorption around 1640-1633 cm⁻¹ originated from in plane stretching of -C=N- double bonds is too sensitive to environmental electronic changes and usually shifted 100 cm⁻¹ in conjugated systems. Such absorptions are strongly influenced by the unpaired nitrogen electrons on complexation and are mostly splitted. On the other hand the absorption peaks of the -C-O- bond observed around 1140-1105 cm⁻¹ in free ligands are moved to 1060-1030 cm⁻¹ and become less intense after metal-oxygen bond formation since the mass of a bonding site is increased by the substitution of metal with hydrogen [7,8].

The magnetic susceptibility measurements carried out in our work did not exhibit paramagnetism indicating that all the electrons are paired because of strong conjugation on the chelate ring. Aromatic ring deformation bands are observed at 1450 and 1600 cm^{-1} .

The Schiff base derivatives of quadridentate ohydroxyphenylsalicylaldimine failed to give stable complexes with UO_2^{2+} which are well known to form stable inner type transition metal complexes [1,8]. The cationic radii are small in transition metals when compared with the actinides and large radii in the actinides will force the square planar geometry to a stretched tetrahedral geometry. As a result, when ligand-metal interaction occurs, a stable complex is obtained and N, N'-alkyl bridged bisaldimines, which are compounds 1-11, result from intramolecular ion-ligand chains. This structure resulted from the interaction of the separate end of the ligands with UO_2^{2+} ; eqn. (4) [3a].

This observation is supported by the microanalyses results which gave low values when compared with calculated values. This observation is also discussed by Weigold and West [3b]. In a solid polymeric

TABLE 1. The chemical nature of the uranyl(VI) complexes of the Schiff bases

L





Fig. 1. The UV-Vis absorption spectra of N,N'-bis(salicylidene)diethylenetriamine in the presence of various amounts of uranyl nitrate in ethylacetate.

ligand-cation system the absolute I:1 stoichiometry usually does not exist.

However, this type of polymeric complex is expected to be more stable with respect to their inner type analogous, as recently discussed by Hanack *et al.* [9].

The stability of some complexes was determined by UV-Vis spectroscopy in ethylacetate solutions on a specific wavelength of the complex spectra at which the free ligand has no appreciable absorption, Fig. 1. The dissociation constant values were estimated by JOB's method [10], Table 2.

Experimental

A general procedure for the preparation of the N,N'-bis(o-hydroxyaryl) Schiff bases is as follows.

The diamines used in this study were from Fluka (purum) and were reacted with salicylaldehyde or 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde Fluka (purum) without further purification under the usual laboratory conditions. Solid row condensation products were successfully purified by crystallization, Table 3. The IR analyses were made on a Pye Unicam model SP1100 spectrometer and proton NMR analyses were made on Varian spectrometer model T-60. The peaks observed are given in Table 3 [5a, b]. Melting points are uncorrected. Elementary analyses were made on a Heraeus instrument.

The preparation of the UO_2^{2+} complexes with N,N'-bis(o-hydroxyphenyl) aldimines were made under normal laboratory conditions. Most of the Schiff bases prepared in this work are easily soluble in ethanol or methanol so that the ligands (100 mmol) dissolved in such a solvent were allowed to react with $UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ at room temperature.

Precipitation of the complex was completed while the mixture was stirred. In fact polyethylenediamine aldimine complexes were obtained as their HNO_3 salt. The complexes were mostly insoluble in common solvents except DMF and DMSO and therefore the precipitates were washed with a suitable solvent before being carefully dried but were not properly crystallized. The results of the elementary analyses are displayed in Table 1. Magnetic susceptibilities were determined by a Gouy balance Type D104 and UV-Vis measurements were made on a Beckman spectrophotometer model DB-G.

References

- 1 C. Dikmen and T. Gündüz, Chem. Ber., 89 (1956) 2637.
- 2 J. Manassen, Inorg. Chem., 9 (1970) 966.
- 3 (a) R. C. Poller and D. L. B. Yoley, J. Nucl. Inorg. Chem., 31 (1969) 2973; (b) H. Weigold and B. O. West, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1967) 1310.
- 4 E. Pietsch and A. Kotowsky (eds.), *Gmelin Handbuch* der Anorganischen Chemie, E2, Deutsche Chem. Ges., Verlag Chem., Weinheim, 1980, p. 95.

TABLE 2. The complex dissociation constants (5.0×10^{-5} mol/l) of some of the UO₂²⁺ complexes obtained in this work. Solvent, ethylacetate at 25 °C

No.	Name of ligand	$K_{\rm diss} \times 10^{-6}$	Log k	ΔG (kcal/mol)
la	1,3-(Disalicylidene)propane	8.200	-11.711	6.465
2a	1,6-(Disalicylidene)hexane	1.800	-13.227	7.303
6a	N, N'-Bis(salicylidene)diethylenetriamine	0.655	-14.238	7.861
7a	N N'-Bis(salicylidene)triethylenetetramine	0.040	-17.034	9.404
9 a	N, N'-Bis(salicylidene)pentaethylenehexamine	0.400	-14.732	8.133

Schiff bases
of the
nature
chemical
The
TABLE 3.

No.	. Ligand name	Yield	Melting	Found ((%)	Formula	Calculated (%	(NMR (ppm) ^b
			°C)	С	Н	N	с н	N	
la	1,3-Bis(salicylaldimino)propane	75	59	68.99	5.82	10.10 C ₁₇ H ₁₉ N ₂ O ₂	72.34 6.38	9.93	2.2m; 3.6m; 7.5m; 9.1s
1b	1,3-Bis(2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldimino)propane	67	212	78.87	5.25	7.10 C ₂₅ H ₂₂ N ₂ O ₂	78.87 5.76	7.33	2.2m; 3.6t; 7.5m; 9.0s
2a	1,6-Bis(salicylaldimino)hexane	73	72	74.31	7.37	9.08 C ₂₀ H ₂₄ N ₂ O ₂	74.07 7.41	8.64	2.2m; 3.6m; 7.7m; 9.1s
2b	1,6-Bis(2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldimino)hexane	65	173	75.10	6.40	6.82 C ₂₀ H ₂₂ N ₂ O ₂	79.20 6.60	6.60	2.2m; 3.6m; 7.7m; 9.1s
3а	1,2-Disalicylaldiminobenzene	60	156	75.72	4.81	8.99 C ₂₀ H ₁₆ N ₂ O ₂	75.95 5.06	8.86	7.0-8.0m; 9.1s
3b	1,2-Bis(2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldimino)benzene	65	195	80.47	4.71	7.33 C ₂₀ H ₂₀ N ₂ O ₂	80.77 4.80	6.73	7.0-8.0m; 9.0s
4a	1,3-Disalicylaldiminobenzene	63	109	75.41	5.01	8.01 C ₂₀ H ₁₆ N ₂ O ₂	75.95 5.06	8.86	7.0-8.0m; 9.1s
4b	1, 3-Bis(2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldimino)benzene	60	222	80.20	4.90	5.26 C ₂₀ H ₂₀ N ₂ O ₂	80.77 4.80	6.73	7.0-8.0m; 9.0s
5a	1,4-Disalicylaldiminobenzene	73	210	76.05	5.28	9.20 C ₂₀ H ₁₆ N ₂ O ₂	75.95 5.06	8.86	7.0-8.0m; 9.1s
56	1,4-Bis(2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldimino)benzene	65	298 ^d	81.16	4.32	9.96 C ₂₈ H ₂₀ N ₂ O ₂	80.77 4.80	6.73	7.0-8.0m; 9.0s
6a	N,N,-Bis(salicylaldimino)diethylenetriamine	62		67.47	6.33	13.43 C ₁₈ H ₂₁ N ₃ O ₂	69.45 6.75	13.51	2.9–2.5m; 4.1t; 7.2m; 8.2s
6 b	N,N ⁻ Bis(2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldimino)diethylenetriamine	70	151	70.06	6.87	9.59 C ₂₆ H ₂₅ N ₃ O ₂	75.91 6.08	10.22	3.0~2.5m; 4.1t; 7.3m; 8.3s
7 a	N,N'-Bis(salicylaldimino)triethylenetetramine	81	102	67.70	6.88	14.42 C ₂₀ H ₂₆ N ₄ O ₂	67.80 7.34	15.82	2.9-2.5m; 4.1t; 7.2m; 8.2s
7b	N, N'-Bis(2-hdroxy-1-naphthaldimino) triethylenetetraamine	78	192	73.33	6.94	12.42 C ₂₈ H ₃₀ N ₄ O ₂	74.00 6.60	12.33	2.9-2.5m; 4.1t; 7.2m; 8.2s
8a	N,N'-Bis(salicylaldimino)tetraethylenepentaamine	69		67.14	7.50	16.88 C ₂₂ H ₃₁ N ₅ O ₂	66.50 7.81	17.63	3.0-2.4m; 4.1t; 7.2m; 8.2s
9 a	N, N'-Bis(salicylaldimino) pentaethylenehexamine	65		65.47	7.95	18.17 C ₂₄ H ₃₆ N ₆ O ₂	65.49 8.18	19.10	3.1-2.5m; 4.1t; 7.2m; 8.1s
1 0a	A,4'-(Disalicylaldimino)diphenylmethane	72	212	79.65	5.20	7.04 C ₂₇ H ₂₂ N ₂ O ₂	79.80 5.42	6.90	4.1s; 7.1-8.0m; 9.0s
10b	• 4,4'-(2-Hydroxy-1-napththaldimino)diphenylmethane	68	198	82.75	5.84	6.11 C ₃₅ H ₂₆ N ₂ O ₂	83.00 5.14	5.53	4.1s; 6.9–8.2m; 9.0s
11a	(p-N,N'-Dimethylaminophenyl)salicylaldimine	83	133	72.18	6.99	11.74 C ₁₅ H ₁₆ N ₂ O	75.00 6.67	11.67	3.0s; 6.9-8.0m; 9.5s
116	(p-N,N'-Dimethylaminophenyl)-2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldimine	80	163	78.59	6.25	9.73 C ₁₉ H ₁₈ N ₂ O	78.62 6.21	9.66	3.0s; 6.8-8.1m; 9.5s
- pu	= Decomposition point. ^b m, multiplet; s, singlet; d, doublet; t, tri	iplet.							

- 5 (a) B. Erk and N. Gündüz, Communications Fac. Sci., Ankara, B28 (1982) 123; (b) B. Erk and N. Gündüz, Inorg. Chim. Acta, (1989) submitted for publication;
 (c) B. Erk and Y. Baran, Chim. Turc. Acta, 18 (1990) 71;
 (d) B. Erk, Synth. React. Inorg. Met. Org. Chem., (1989) in press.
- 6 H. H. Freedman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83 (1961) 2900.
- 7 K. Ueno and A. E. Martell, J. Phys. Chem., 60 (1956) 1270.
- 8 R. E. Ernst, M. J. O'Connor, R. H. Holm, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90 (1968) 5735.
- 9 A. Date, R. Fay, K. Fischer, M. Hanack, U. Keppler, J. Koch, J. Metz, M. Mezger, O. Schneider and H.-J. Shulze, in Skotheim (ed.), *Handbook on Conducting Polymers*, Vol. 1, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1986, pp. 133-176.
- 10 D. J. Pasto and C. R. Johnson, Organic Structure Determination, Prentice-Hall, London, 1969, p. 86.