³¹P and ²³Na NMR Lanthanide Induced Shifts in Axially Symmetric Macrocyclic Phosphonate Complexes*

A. DEAN SHERRY**, C. F. G. C. GERALDES[†] and W. P. CACHERIS

Department of Chemistry, University of Texas at Dallas, P.O. Box 830688, Richardson, Tex. 75083-0688, U.S.A.

A number of cyclic polyoxa and substituted cyclic polyaza macrocycles form complexes with the trivalent lanthanide cations displaying a wide range of stability constants. The unusual stability and rigidity of the lanthanide tetraazacyclododecane, Ln-(DOTA), complexes appear to be a function of the favorable [3333] conformation of the cyclododecane ring and the fit of the lanthanide cations into the capped square antiprism structure [1, 2]. Increasing the size of the tetraaza ring from 12 (DOTA) to 14 (TETA) members decreases the stability [3] and the stereochemical rigidity [4] of the resulting Ln³⁺ complexes. Changing from a tetraazacyclododecane structure (DOTA) to a triazacyclononane structure (NOTA) results in substantially lower stability constants [5] (similar to the TETA complexes) and less rigidity in their Ln³⁺ complexes [6, 7]. Thus, the cavity size and the number and identity of the donor atoms in the tetraazacyclododecane tetraacetate chelate appear optimal for the most stable Ln³⁺ complexes.

We have prepared an analog of DOTA which contains four methylene phosphonate arms substituted for the acetates. This new chelate, tetraazacyclododecane-N, N', N'', N'''-tetramethylenephosphonate (DOTP), forms complexes with the Ln^{3+} cations showing higher stability constants and even more stereochemical rigidity than the corresponding $Ln(DOTA)^-$ complexes at room temperature [8]. We report here ³¹P and ²³Na lanthanide induced NMR shifts (LIS) for the resulting $Ln(DOTP)^{5-}$ complexes and some preliminary ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra which verify the rigidity of the complexes.

Experimental

DOTP was synthesized and characterized as described elsewhere [9]. The Ln^{3+} complexes were

prepared by mixing a 10 mM solution of DOTP adjusted to pH 8–9 with one equivalent of lanthanide chloride while maintaining the temperature near to 80 °C. The final pH was adjusted with NaOH and the $[Na^+]_T$ adjusted as necessary to 140 mM. NMR spectra were recorded either on a JEOL FX-200 or a Bruker MSL-200 spectrometer at 25 ± 1 °C.

Results and Discussion

The ¹H spectrum of Yb(DOTP)⁵⁻ presented in Fig. 1 is representative of the remaining complexes. Each complex shows six resonances indicating that: (a) the ethylenediamine moiety is locked into a rigid conformation such that each proton is magnetically non-equivalent; and (b) the methylene phosphonates are bound sufficiently long to make the methylene protons non-equivalent. Clearly, the complex has a 4-fold axis of symmetry. The ¹³C spectrum of Eu-(DOTP)⁵⁻ illustrates that the ethylenediamine carbons are also non-equivalent, as expected for a five-membered chelate ring held in a single conformation. In each case, one of the ethylenediamine carbon resonances is broader than the other, indicating that one carbon is substantially closer to the paramagnetic cation in this rigid complex.

The ²³Na and ³¹P LISs for the entire series of $Ln(DOTP)^{5-}$ complexes are presented in Table I. The ³¹P shifts represent fully bound LIS values referenced to the averaged ³¹P chemical shift of La(DOTP)⁵⁻ (20.6 ppm) and Lu(DOTP)⁵⁻ (21.3 ppm). The ²³Na shifts were found to be quite sensitive to the concentrations of Na⁺ and Ln(DOTP)⁵⁻, other competing cations such as K⁺ or Ca²⁺, and pH. The values reported in the Table have been normalized to 10 mM Ln(DOTP)⁵⁻, 140 mM Na⁺, pH 10, and for small differences in bulk susceptibility. The ²³Na LIS values parallel theoretical pseudocontact shift values for the Ln³⁺ cations. The direction of the ²³Na⁺ shifts is identical to those reported for the Ln(DOTA)complexes although the magnitude of the LISs is substantially greater in the phosphonate complexes (at equivalent concentrations). The larger shifts induced by the Ln(DOTP)⁵⁻ complexes may be due to minor changes in structure or result from larger Na⁺ binding constants to the phosphonate complexes.

The ²³Na⁺ shifts are presumed to be entirely pseudocontact in origin. A plot of LIS/ $\langle S_z \rangle$ versus $D/\langle S_z \rangle$ shows a clear break in the data between the light (Ce \rightarrow Eu) and heavy (Tb \rightarrow Yb) complexes. The slope of this plot yields the geometric factor, G, and the intercept gives the hyperfine coupling constant, A [10]. Both lines (light and heavy series) display intercepts near zero, as expected, but the slope for the heavy complexes is about 5 times greater than

^{*}Paper presented at the Second International Conference on the Basic and Applied Chemistry of f-Transition (Lanthanide and Actinide) and Related Elements (2nd ICLA), Lisbon, Portugal, April 6-10, 1987.

^{**} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

[†]Permanent address: Department of Chemistry, University of Coimbra, 3000 Coimbra, Portugal.



Fig. 1. High resolution ¹H spectrum of Yb(DOTP)⁵⁻ (left) and ¹³C spectrum of Eu(DOTP)⁵⁻ (right). Both spectra were collected on 10 mM samples at pH 7 and 25 °C.

that for the lighter complexes. The breaks we observe in this data do not result from cation size contraction [11] since presentation of the same data as LIS/*D* versus $\langle S_z \rangle / D$ shows similar breaks. Thus, there appears to be a structural change between Eu-(DOTP)⁵⁻ and Tb(DOTP)⁵⁻ which results in larger ²³Na⁺ shifts for heavier complexes. The ²³Na⁺ pseudocontact shifts for each complex calculated from the slope of the respective lines are shown in parentheses for comparison.

TABLE I. ²³Na and ³¹P Lanthanide Induced Shifts for the $Ln(DOTP)^{5-}Complexes^{a}$

Ln ³⁺	³¹ P (ppm)	²³ Na (ppm)
Ce	-1.35 (3.7)	-0.8 (-0.7)
Pr	-2.82(6.4)	-1.4(-1.2)
Nđ	-27.3 (2.4)	-0.6 (-0.4)
Sm	12.4 (0.4)	-0.2(-0.1)
Eu	33.9 (-2.3)	2.8 (2.2)
Tb	453.0 (395.9)	-40.8 (-46.4)
Dy	353.5 (460.4)	-43.8 (-53.9)
Но	152.1 (179.5)	-17.2(-21.0)
Er	-176.8 (-151.9)	21.6 (17.8)
Tm	-341.0(-244.0)	41.7 (28.6)
Yb	-68.5 (-101.3)	6.1 (11.9)

^aThe spectra were collected on $10 \text{ mM Ln}(\text{DOTP})^{5-}$ samples containing 140 mM Na⁺ (pH = 7 for ³¹P spectra and pH = 10 for ²³Na spectra). Positive LIS values are to low field. Values in parentheses are the calculated pseudocontact shifts (see text).

Plots of LIS/ $\langle S_z \rangle$ versus $D/\langle S_z \rangle$ for the ³¹P data show the same breaks as the ²³Na data. The slopes derived from these plots were used to estimate the pseudocontact shift contributions and these values are also presented in Table I. The ³¹P shifts for the heavy lanthanide complexes (Tb \rightarrow Yb) are dominated by pseudocontact contributions although the contact term is clearly non-zero, as expected for phosphorus shifts. Interestingly, the ³¹P shifts for the light lanthanide complexes appear to be heavily dominated by contact contributions.

The origin of the structural change which occurs between $Eu(DOTP)^{5-}$ and $Tb(DOTP)^{5-}$ cannot be determined from this data. However, previous stability constant measurements for the entire series of $Ln(DOTA)^{-}$ complexes [5] indicate there is about a 30-fold increase in log K between the Eu^{3+} and Tb^{3+} complexes, which reflects the rather strict size requirements of the tetraazatetraacetate cavity. The data presented here suggest that the $Ln(DOTP)^{5-}$ complexes parallel this trend, although a more quantitative analysis of the structural change which occurs between $Eu(DOTP)^{5-}$ and $Tb(DOTP)^{5-}$ must await a more complete analysis of the ¹H and ¹³C LIS values.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by grants from the Robert A. Welch Foundation (AT-584) and Mallinckrodt, Inc. Support from INIC, Portugal, is also acknowledged. We thank Drs Don Woessner and Jim Gleeson at Mobil Oil Co. for access to the Bruker MSL-200. A. D. Sherry et al.

References

- 1 J. F. Desreux, Inorg. Chem., 19, 1319 (1980). 2 M. R. Spirlet, J. Rebizant, J. F. Desreux and M. F.
- M. F. Loncin, J. F. Desreux and H. T. Loncin, Inorg. Chem., 23, 359 (1984).
 M. F. Loncin, J. F. Desreux and E. Merciny, Inorg. Chem., 25, 2646 (1986).
- 4 J. F. Desreux and M. F. Loncin, Inorg. Chem., 25, 69 (1986).
- 5 W. P. Cacheris, S. K. Nickle and A. D. Sherry, Inorg. Chem., 26, 958 (1987).

- 6 A. D. Sherry, M. Singh and C. F. G. C. Geraldes, J. Magn. Reson., 66, 511 (1986).
- 7 C. F. G. C. Geraldes, M. C. Alpoim, M. P. M. Marques, A. D. Sherry and M. Singh, Inorg. Chem., 24, 3876 (1985).
- 8 A. D. Sherry, C. F. G. C. Geraldes and W. P. Cacheris, in preparation.
- 9 A. D. Sherry, C. R. Malloy, F. M. H. Jeffrey, W. P. Cacheris and C. F. G. C. Geraldes, J. Magn. Reson., submitted for publication.
- 10 C. N. Reilley, B. W. Good and J. F. Desreux, Anal. Chem., 47, 2110 (1975).
- 11 J. A. Peters, J. Magn. Reson., 68, 240 (1986).