Synthesis of Thorium(IV)thiophosphinates. Structure of $[Th(Ph₂P(S)O)₄(EtOH)₄](CH₂Cl₂)$

RAINER MATTES and LUDGER NIELAND

Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, University of Miinster, Correnstrasse 36, 4400 Miinster, F.R.G. Received June 1,1985

Abstract

Reaction of thiophosphinate salts with $Th⁴⁺$ salts yields the complexes $[Th(R_2P(S)O)_4(SM)_4]$ where $R = Me$, Ph and SM (solvent molecule) = H₂O or EtOH. The compounds have been characterized by elemental analyses, IR, Raman and mass spectra. According to the spectra and to a crystal structure determination of the title compound (tetragonal, space group $\overline{P42_1c}$, with $a = 1784.0(7)$, $c = 1045.8(4)$ pm, $R = 0.024$) the $R_2P(S)O$ -ligands are coordinated to thorium by their O-atoms only. Four solvent molecules complete the dodecahedral coordination sphere. The Th-0 (ligand) bond distance of 229.1(3) pm is unexpectedly small.

Introduction

Recently several alkyl- and aryl-dithiophosphinate $(R_2PS_2^-)$ and -thiophosphinate $(R_2P(S)O^-)$ complexes of lanthanides have been prepared and structurally characterized. In these compounds the dithiophosphinates generally behave as bidentate ligands, leading to monomeric complexes [I]. The corresponding thiophosphinates usually act as monodentate O-donor ligands, and only in a few cases as bidentate O,Sdonors [2]. They prefer also polymeric structures because of their anisobidentate character [3]. Pinkerton and coworkers have recently described the dodecahedral dithiophosphinate complexes $Th(S_2PR_2)_4$ $[1]$. These authors suggest that Th⁴⁺ has a 'softer' character than the Ln^{3+} -ions, and that the 5f orbitals of thorium are more easily accessible for bonding than the 4f orbitals of the lanthanides. To elucidate further the behaviour of S-donor ligands towards the actinides, we extended our work on thiophosphinate complexes $[4]$ to thorium(IV). Th⁴⁺ may be coordinated by these ligands monodentate through oxygen or bidentate through oxygen and sulfur, but polymeric structures cannot be ruled out.

Experimental

Synthesis

$\int Th(Me_2P(S/O)_4 (H_2O)_4)$

A solution of 2.85 g (0.005 mol) $\text{Th}(\text{NO}_3)_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in ethanol is slowly added to an ethanolic solution of 3.18 g (0.02 mol) $\text{Na}[\text{Me}_2\text{P}(\text{S})\text{O}] \cdot 1.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ [5] at 50 "C. A white suspension is formed which is evaporated to dryness *in vacuo* and extracted with $CH₂Cl₂$. Recrystallization from $CH₂Cl₂$. Yield 25%. Anal. Found: C, 12.9; H, 4.06; Th, 32.0. Calc.: C, 12.97; H, 4.32; Th, 3 1.4%.

$[Th(Ph₂P(S)O)₄ (EtOH)₄](CH₂Cl₂)₂$

Anhydrous $Th(NO₃)₄$ was prepared by refluxing a solution of 2.85 g (0.005 mol) Th $(NO_3)_4$ ^{-5H₂O in} methyl cyanide for 20 h through a Soxhlet-extractor packed with molecular sieves [6]. 5.46 g (0.02 mol) Kalium-diphenylthiophosphinat (from $Ph_2P(S)OH$ [7] and KOH in ethanol) are added and again refluxed for 5 h in the Soxhlet. The solution is then concentrated to 100 ml. The precipitate is filtered off and recrystallized several times from CH_2Cl_2/C_2H_5OH (1O:l). *Anal.* Found: C, 46.5; H, 4.05. Calc. for $C_{58}H_{68}Cl_4O_8P_4S_4Th$: C, 45.8; H, 4.50%.

Crystal Data and Structure Determination

Unit cell: tetragonal, $a = 1784.0(7)$, $c = 1045.8(4)$ pm, $V = 3328.4 \times 10^6$ pm, space group $P\bar{4}2_1c$, $D_x =$ 1.51 g cm⁻³. A crystal with the dimensions $0.2 \times$ 0.1 X0.1 mm was used for data collection at 140 K on a Syntex P21 four-circle diffractometer, equipped with a graphite monochromator. 4111 reflections, up to $2 \theta = 54^{\circ}$ were measured employing Mo K α \overline{r} adiation and the $\theta/2\theta$ scan technique with variable can speeds $3.9-2.9.3^{\circ}$ min⁻¹. After averaging of symmetry-related data 2048 reflections remained, of which 1807 with $I \ge 1.96\sigma(I)$ were considered observed. The difficult structure was solved by careful interpretation of a Patterson map, where sites for the Th-, S-, P- and coordinated O-atoms could be found $(R = 0.167)$. The remaining nonhydrogen

TABLE I. Fractional Coordinates and Thermal Parameters

Atom	$x \times 10^4$	$y \times 10^4$	$z \times 10^4$	$B_{eq}^{\quad a}$ $(B_{\rm iso})$
Th	0000.0	0000.0	0000.0	1.33(6)
S	1724.5(8)	1086.5(8)	2681.9(15)	2.84(6)
P	1913.5(7)	0293.5(7)	1414.3(13)	1.87(5)
O ₁	1218(2)	0011(3)	0694(3)	1.99(11)
O ₂	0047(2)	0821(2)	1993(3)	2.12(13)
C1	2577(3)	0604(3)	0224(5)	2.99(24)
C ₂	2969(3)	1275(4)	0390(6)	3.41(27)
C ₃	3522(5)	1504(5)	4492(9)	2.15(41)
C ₄	3930(6)	1369(4)	3455(9)	5.40(45)
C ₅	4595(6)	1758(5)	3256(8)	5.27(44)
C6	4828(4)	2301(4)	4155(6)	4.03(32)
C7	2678(3)	4462(3)	2869(5)	2.06(20)
C8	2730(3)	3774(3)	35077(6)	3.06(26)
C9	2411(4)	3133(4)	2995(7)	3.84(31)
C10	2051(4)	3175(4)	1846(8)	4.01(36)
C11	1990(4)	3847(4)	1192(7)	4.47(34)
C12	3211(4)	4492(4)	1717(6)	3.36(28)
C13A	4512(8)	3861(9)	2205(15)	4.41(3)
C13B	4632(7)	4239(7)	1774(11)	2.77(2)
C14A	4849(8)	3476(8)	1030(13)	4.07(3)
C14B	4453(10)	3451(10)	1292(17)	5.26(4)
C15	0000.0	5000	4696(10)	5.67(55)
C1	0705(1)	4599(1)	3753(2)	5.48(10)
Atom	$x \times 10^3$	$y \times 10^3$	$z \times 10^3$	B
Н2	291(4)	167(4)	136(7)	6.5(21)
H ₃	299(4)	133(5)	454(7)	5.7(20)
H4	380(4)	091(4)	276(7)	7.4(22)
H ₅	$-010(4)$	326(4)	235(6)	4.9(18)
H ₆	030(4)	233(4)	112(6)	4.8(17)
H8	293(4)	369(4)	434(6)	4.6(16)
H ₁₀	196(4)	275(4)	156(7)	4.7(18)
H11	173(3)	385(3)	038(5)	3.0(13)
H12	233(4)	491(4)	126(6)	4.7(16)

 ${}^{a}B_{eq} = (B_{11}B_{22}B_{33})^{1/3}.$

atoms of the complex, a solvent molecule and all but one hydrogen atom at the phenyl groups were localized in subsequent difference Fourier maps. The carbon atoms of the ethanol molecules proved to be disordered over two sites. They have been included in the refinement as split atoms. Several cycles of fullmatrix least-squares refinement (weighting scheme $w^{-1} = \sigma(F_o) + (0.01 \ F_o)^2$ of 214 variables, with anisotropic thermal factors for all nonhydrogen atoms except the C (ethanol) atoms, converged to $R = 0.024$ $(R_w = 0.027)$. For all computations the program system E-XTL was used. Table I contains the final fractional coordinates.

Results and Discussion

 $Na⁺$ or K⁺ salts of thiophosphinic acids R₂P(S)OH $(R = Ph, Me)$ react in ethanol solution with Th⁴⁺ to

form the neutral complexes $[Th(R_2P(S)O)_4(SM)_4]$ (SM = solvent molecules like H_2O or C_2H_5OH). They can be extracted with $CH₂Cl₂$. Their IR and Raman spectra differ from the spectra of alkali metal thiophosphinates in two significant points: the broad ν PO absorption is shifted from 1080 cm⁻¹ to 1020 cm^{-1} and ν PS (intense in the Raman spectra) from 545 to 570 cm^{-1} These shifts clearly indicate that the ligands are coordinated through their oxygen atoms only. The remaining free coordination sites at the central atom in the probably eight coordinated complexes are occupied by donor atoms of the solvent molecules. Similar observations have been made for the thiophosphinate complexes of the lanthanides [2]. The solvent molecules are split off below 160 $^{\circ}$ C according to DTA measurements on $[Th(Me₂P(S)O)₄$. $(H₂O)₄$. Its mass spectrum (222 °C, 70 eV) contained an intense peak at $m/e = 668$ u due to $[Th(Me₂P (S)O)_4$ ⁺.

Structure of $[Th(Ph₂P(S)O)₄ (EtOH)₄]$

The complex molecule is situated on a special position of the unit cell with the point symmetry $\overline{4}$. The thorium atom is surrounded by the oxygen atoms of 4 thiophosphinate ligands (01) and by the oxygen atoms of 4 ethanol molecules (02) (see Fig. 1). These 8 oxygen atoms form a distorted dodecahedron with 01 at the B and 02 at the A coordination sites of the dodecahedron [7]. The Th-0 distances differ remarkably (see Table II). Th -01 , 229.1(3) pm, is to our knowledge the shortest Th-O distance observed so far in a Th^{4+} -complex with eightfold coordination. The bonding interaction is very probably mainly ionic. But it is difficult to rationalize its strength. The P-O bond is also rather short. Their length is certainly in the double bond region. If one compares the M-O (ligand) bond lengths in the present thorium compound and in similar lanthanide compounds - regarding their different ionic radii -, the Th-O and the $Ln-O$

Fig. 1. ORTEP-Plot of $Th(Ph_2P(S)O)_4(EtOH)_4$ (only O-atoms of EtOH are shown for clarity).

TABLE II. Important Bond Lengths (pm) and Bond Angles (deg)

$Th-O1$	229.1(3)	
$Th-O2$	254.9(3)	
$P-O1$	153.7(3)	
$S\cdots$ 02	311.5(4)	
$P-S$	196.8(2)	
$P - C1$	180.5(6)	
$P - C7$	181.4(5)	
$02\cdots 02$	293.4(5)	
$Th-O1-P$	157.5(2)	
$S-P-O1$	115.3(2)	
$S-P-Cl$	110.9(2)	
$S-P-C7$	112.2(2)	
$O1-P-C1$	106.9(2)	
$O1 - Th - O1'$	95.8(1)	
$O1 - Th - O1''$	143.1(1)	
$O1 - Th - O2$	72.8(1)	
$O1 - Th - O2'$	143.5(1)	
$Th-O2-C13A$	134.8(7)	
$Th-O2-C13B$	130.5(5)	
$O1-P-C7$	105.0(2)	
$C1-P-C7$	105.8(2)	
$O1 - Th - O2"$	77.1(1)	
$O1 - Th - O2'$	73.4(1)	
$O2 - Th - O2'$	132.0(1)	
$O2 - Th - O2''$	70.3(1)	
$P-S\cdots$ O2	84.2(1)	

bonds have the same relative lengths. Contrary to the lanthanides [2] , S-coordinated thiophosphinate complexes of thorium are unknown. Therefore a 'softer' character cannot be assigned to thorium, at least in the present case.

The sulfur atom in the P-S-bond is acceptor of a rather strong hydrogen bond of $311.5(4)$ pm length. The bond originates from the OH group of the coordinated ethanol molecules. The $P-S\cdots O2$ angle is close to 90 $^{\circ}$. One can assume therefore, that a $p\pi$ orbital of sulfur is involved in the hydrogen bond. Similar observations have been made in the related lanthanide compounds [2] and in the solid state structure of thiophosphinic acids $[8, 9]$. The Th- $OC₂H₅$ bonds are rather weak. The carbon atoms of the ethanol molecules are disordered over two positions, which are referred by a rotation of 46° around the Th-02 bond. The reason for the disorder is not completely clear. Pure sterical reasons and the possibility of the OH-group to form also a hydrogen bond to a neighbouring 02 atom at the distance 293.4(5) pm can be discussed. The occupancy of the position, from which the O \cdots S hydrogen bond (vide supra) can be formed, seems to be slightly preferred. The $CH₂Cl₂$ molecules are placed on the twofold axes in holes of the structure.

References

- 1 S. Spiliades, A. A. Pinkerton and D. Schwarzenbach, J. *Chem. Sot., Dalton Trans.,* 1809 (1982) and refs. therein.
- K.-M. Miihlsiepen and R. Mattes, Z. *Anorg. Allg.* Chem., 506, 115; 125 (1984).
- A.-F. Shihada, I. A.-A. Jassim and F. Weller, J. *Organomet* Chem., 268, 125 (1984).
- R. Mattes and D. Riihl, Z. *Anorg. Allg.* Chem., 508, 19 (1984).
- 5 K. Sasse, in E. Müller (ed.), 'Methoden der Organischen Chemie (Houben-Weyl)', Vol. 12/l, Stuttgart, 1963, p. 266.
- 6 M. Ciampolini, N. Nardi, R. Cini, S. Mangani and P. 0rioli.J. *Chem. Sot.. Dalton Trans..* 1983 (1979).
- 7 J. L. Hoard and J. V. Silverton, Inorg. Chem., 2, 235 (1963).
- R. Mattes and D. Riihl, *Acta Crystallogr.. Sect. C, 40, 106* (1984).
- R. Mattes, K.-M. Miihlsiepen and D. Riihl, Z. *Anorg. Allg.* Chem., 499, 67 (1983).