

^{119}Sn NMR and $^{119\text{m}}\text{Sn}$ Mössbauer Studies of Inorganic Tin(IV) Compounds with High Coordination Numbers

STEPHEN J. BLUNDEN, DIANE SEARLE and PETER J. SMITH

International Tin Research Institute, Perivale, Greenford UB6 7AQ, U.K.

(Received January 29, 1986)

Although ^{119}Sn NMR spectroscopic investigations of organotin compounds in solution are well established [1, 2], it is only within the last few years that their inorganic counterparts have attracted attention. For organotin compounds, it has been shown that the ^{119}Sn chemical shift, $\delta(^{119}\text{Sn})$, moves progressively to lower frequency as the coordination number of the metal increases from 4–7 [1–4]. The present communication reports a similar relationship for a series of inorganic tin(IV) complexes, in which the

coordination number of the metal atom is varied from four to eight, these being to our knowledge, the first ^{119}Sn NMR data for hepta- and octa-coordinate inorganic tin derivatives.

The $^{119\text{m}}\text{Sn}$ Mössbauer parameters and ^{119}Sn NMR chemical shifts are listed in Tables I and II. The compounds tin(IV) tetraacetate, $\text{Sn}(\text{O}\cdot\text{CO}\cdot\text{CH}_3)_4$, tin(IV) tetranitrate, $\text{Sn}(\text{NO}_3)_4$, tin(IV) tetra-tropolonate monohydrate, $\text{Sn}(\text{trop})_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$, tin(IV) tetraoxyquinolate, $\text{Sn}(\text{ox})_4$, monohydrotin(IV) diethylenetriaminepentaacetate trihydrate, $\text{HSn}(\text{DTPA})\cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and tin(IV) ethylenediaminetetraacetate monohydrate, $\text{Sn}(\text{EDTA})\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$, were chosen since their seven and eight coordinate structures have been established by X-ray crystallography or related techniques (see Table I). From Table I, it may be seen that the isomer shift (δ) values for the four neutral eight coordinate complexes, 1–4, are all approximately equal to 0.0 mm s^{-1} , whereas the ionic compound, 5, shows a value of 0.21 mm s^{-1} , consistent with the increase in s-electron density at the tin nucleus upon forming the anion. However, due to

TABLE I. $^{119\text{m}}\text{Sn}$ Mössbauer data

Compound	δ (mm s^{-1}) ^{a, b}	ΔE_{q} (mm s^{-1}) ^a	Coordination number
1 $\text{Sn}(\text{O}\cdot\text{CO}\cdot\text{CH}_3)_4$	0.08 ^c	0.2 ^c	8 ^d
2 $\text{Sn}(\text{NO}_3)_4$	-0.04 ^e	0.4 ^e	8 ^d
3 $\text{Sn}(\text{trop})_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$	-0.02	0.0	8 ^g
4 $\text{Sn}(\text{ox})_4$	-0.05 ^h	0.0 ^h	8 ^{h, i}
5 $\text{HSn}(\text{DTPA})\cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$	0.21	0.0	8 ^j
6 $\text{Sn}(\text{EDTA})\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$	0.16	0.0	7 ^k

^aFor compounds 3, 5 and 6: error = $\pm 0.05 \text{ mm s}^{-1}$. ^bRelative to $\text{Ba}^{119\text{m}}\text{SnO}_3$. ^cRef. 5. ^dRef. 6. ^eRef. 7. ^fRef. 8. ^gRef. 9 (reported as anhydrous material). ^hRef. 10. ⁱRef. 11. ^jRef. 12. ^kRef. 13.

TABLE II. ^{119}Sn NMR Chemical Shifts

Compound	Solvent	Concentration	$\delta(^{119}\text{Sn})^{\text{a}}$ (ppm)
1 $\text{Sn}(\text{O}\cdot\text{CO}\cdot\text{CH}_3)_4$	toluene/acetic acid	10% w/v	-858.2
2 $\text{Sn}(\text{NO}_3)_4$	CH_2Cl_2	1.1 M	-1033 ^b
	CCl_4	1.1 M	-1033 ^b
3 $\text{Sn}(\text{trop})_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$	CDCl_3	~1% w/v (saturated)	-793
4 $\text{HSn}(\text{DTPA})\cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$	H_2O	1–2% w/v (saturated)	-783.5
5 $\text{Sn}(\text{EDTA})\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$	H_2O	1–2% w/v (saturated)	-673.8
6 $\text{Sn}(\text{O}^{\text{n}}\text{Bu})_4$	CDCl_3	0.2 M	-612 ^c
7 $\text{Na}_2\text{Sn}(\text{OH})_6$	H_2O	saturated	-592 ^d
8 $\text{K}_2\text{Sn}(\text{OH})_6$	H_2O	saturated	-590 ^d
9 $\text{SnCl}_4\cdot 2\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$	CD_3OD	30% v/v	-600.5 ^e
10 $\text{Cl}_2\text{Sn}(\text{acac})_2$	CDCl_3	10% w/v	-646.2
11 SnCl_4	CCl_4	10% w/v	-149 ^f
	neat		-150 ^d

^a $\delta(^{119}\text{Sn})$ values are relative to Me_4Sn and, for compounds 1, 3–5 and 9–11, are accurate to $\pm 0.2 \text{ mm s}^{-1}$. ^bRef. 16. ^cRef. 17. ^dRef. 18. ^eRef. 19. ^fRef. 20.

the complex nature of the factors influencing the isomer shifts [14, 15], and to the limited number of compounds examined, comparison between the δ values of the 7- and 8-coordinate derivatives is not possible. All compounds studied showed Mössbauer spectra with essentially no quadrupole splitting (ΔE_Q), indicating a symmetrical geometry about the tin atom.

With regard to the ^{119}Sn NMR chemical shifts, $\delta(^{119}\text{Sn})$, the value shown by the four coordinate tin compound, SnCl_4 , **11**, in non-donor solvents, moves to -600 ppm in methanol, where the species present is the 6-coordinate neutral adduct, $\text{SnCl}_4 \cdot 2\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$, **9**, (Table II). Additionally, a chemical shift of the order of -600 ppm is typical for six coordinate tin compounds containing at least four directly bound oxygen substituents, e.g. compounds **6–8** in Table II. As stated, an increase in coordination number of the tin atom generally results in $\delta(^{119}\text{Sn})$ moving to low frequency, and, in accord with this, the 8-coordinate species studied show $\delta(^{119}\text{Sn})$ values in the range -784 to -1033 ppm, whilst the seven coordinate complex, **5**, gives an intermediate chemical shift.

Further studies of complexes of this type are under way, in order to obtain a better understanding of the significance of the $^{119\text{m}}\text{Sn}$ Mössbauer and ^{119}Sn NMR data.

Acknowledgements

The International Tin Research Council, London, is thanked for permission to publish this paper and the authors are grateful to Dr. N. Logan, University

of Nottingham, for generously providing ^{119}Sn NMR data on $\text{Sn}(\text{NO}_3)_4$, in advance of publication.

References

- 1 P. J. Smith and A. P. Tupčiauskas, in G. A. Webb (ed.), 'Annual Reports on NMR Spectroscopy', Vol. 8, Academic Press, London, 1978, p. 291.
- 2 R. Hani and R. A. Geanangel, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, **44**, 229 (1982).
- 3 J. Otera, T. Hinoishi and R. Okawara, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **202**, C93 (1980).
- 4 J. Otera, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **221**, 57 (1981).
- 5 J. N. R. Ruddick, *Rev. Si, Ge, Sn, Pb Compounds*, **2**, 115 (1976).
- 6 N. W. Alcock and V. L. Tracy, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, **35**, 80 (1979).
- 7 R. Barbieri and G. C. Stocco, *Gazz. Chim. Ital.*, **104**, 149 (1974).
- 8 C. D. Garner, D. Sutton and S. C. Wallwork, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, 1949 (1967).
- 9 E. L. Muetterties and C. M. Wright, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **87**, 4706 (1965).
- 10 A. G. Davies, *Chem. Br.*, **4**, 403 (1968).
- 11 K. Ramaiah and D. F. Martin, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 130 (1965).
- 12 R. Krishna Iyer and S. G. Deshpande, *Polyhedron*, **3**, 1099 (1984).
- 13 F. P. van Remoortere, J. J. Flynn and F. P. Boer, *Inorg. Chem.*, **10**, 2313 (1971).
- 14 R. V. Parish, *Prog. Inorg. Chem.*, **15**, 101 (1972).
- 15 P. A. Flinn, in G. K. Shenoy and T. E. Wagner (eds.), 'Mössbauer Isomer Shifts', Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978, p. 593.
- 16 N. Logan, personal communication, 1985.
- 17 J. D. Wuest and B. Zacharie, *J. Org. Chem.*, **49**, 166 (1984).
- 18 J. J. Burke and P. C. Lauterbur, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **83**, 326 (1961).
- 19 S. J. Blunden, P. A. Cusack, P. J. Smith and P. W. C. Barnard, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **72**, 217 (1983).
- 20 S. J. Blunden, D. Searle and P. J. Smith, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **98**, 185 (1985).