Five-coordinate Diphosphine Complexes of the $\{CoNO\}^8$ Group, and their Disproportionation Reactions to Cobalt(III) and $\{Co(NO)_2\}^{10}$ Derivatives

ALESSANDRO DEL ZOTTO, ANTONIO MEZZETTI and PIERLUIGI RIGO* Istituto di Chimica, Università di Udine, Viale Ungheria 43, 33100 Udine (Italy) (Received October 25, 1989)

Abstract

The syntheses and characterization of some new five-coordinate, mononitrosyl {CoNO}⁸ complexes of the type $[Co(NO)(P-P)_2](BF_4)_2$ (P-P = alkyl- or phenyl-substituted ditertiary phosphine) are described, and their stereochemistry and dynamic behaviour studied by ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR. The mononitrosyl complexes, which contain linearly coordinated Co-NO groups, disproportionate in solution in the presence of anionic ligands $(X^{-}=halide or$ pseudohalide), giving dinitrosyl derivatives [Co(NO)₂-(P-P)⁺ and cobalt(III) complexes trans-[CoX₂- $(P-P)_2$, which were isolated in the solid state as the tetraphenyl- or tetrafluoroborate salts. The reaction provides a useful synthetic route, especially for pseudohalide cobalt(III) complexes. The IR, electronic and ³¹P NMR spectroscopic properties of the cobalt(III) complexes are consistent with a trans stereochemistry, while those of the ${Co(NO)_2}^{10}$ derivatives suggest a pseudotetrahedral structure with linearly-coordinated NO groups. The stoichiometry of the dismutation reactions was examined in dichloromethane solution by NMR and IR techniques. A possible mechanism is presented, which involves the formation of a six-coordinate trans- $[CoX(NO)(P-P)_2]^+$ intermediate containing a strongly bent Co-NO moiety.

Introduction

A large number of phosphino-nitrosyl complexes of the $\{Co(NO)\}^8$ and $\{Co(NO)_2\}^{10**}$ groups has been reported, and their structures and reactivity extensively studied [1-3]. The major work has been done with unidentate phosphorus ligands, which form a variety of complexes of types $Co(NO)L_3$ [4], $CoX(NO)_2L$ [4b, 5], $[Co(NO)_2L_2]^+$ [4b, 5], $[CoX-(NO)L_3]^+$ [5-7], $CoX_2(NO)L_2$ [8] (X = halide or

pseudohalide), and $[Co(NO)L_4]^{2+}$ [7], where L is PR_3 , $P(OR)_2Ph$ or $P(OR)_3$. This contrasts with the paucity of cobalt-nitrosyl derivatives containing diphosphines (P-P), which are essentially restricted to some compounds with 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane and cis-1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethylene [3], such as the tetrahedral cations $[Co(NO)_2(P-P)]^+$ [4b, 9, 10]. No five-coordinate $\{CoNO\}^8$ complexes of type $[Co(NO)(P-P)_2]^{2+}$ have been reported, even if analogous [Co(NO)(L-L)₂]ⁿ⁺ derivatives with bidentate ligands containing nitrogen, oxygen, sulfur or arsenic as donor atoms have been known for a number of years [11]. We now find that some compounds of type $[Co(NO)(P-P)_2]Y_2$ (I) (Y = non-coordinating anion) can be simply obtained in acetonitrile solution either by reacting nitric oxide with cobalt(II) complexes $[Co(P-P)_2]Y_2$, or from $[Co(H_2O)_6]Y_2$ salts in the presence of diphosphine. By this route we have prepared, and report here, a series of five-coordinate, stereochemically non-rigid $[Co(NO)(P-P)_2]^{2+}$ cations, isolated in the solid state as tetrafluoroborate salts, which contain the diphosphine ligands reported in Scheme 1.

Moreover, the conversion of $\{CoNO\}^8$ nitrosyl derivatives into the reported cobalt(III) and cobalt(-I) species, according to eqn. (1), has been studied

$$\frac{2[Co(NO)(P-P)_2]^{2+} + 2X^{-} \longrightarrow}{I}$$

$$[Co(NO)_2(P-P)]^+ + trans \cdot [CoX_2(P-P)_2]^+ + P-P \qquad (1)$$

II III

by IR and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. A number of $[Co(NO)_2(P-P)]BPh_4$ (II) and *trans*- $[CoX_2(P-P)_2]$ -BF₄ (III) complexes has been obtained and characterized by IR, ³¹P NMR, and UV-Vis spectroscopy.

Analogous redox reactions have been previously observed for complexes $[Co(NO)(Ph_2PCH_2CH_2SEt)_2]$ - $(BF_4)_2$ [12] in the presence of thiocyanate ions, and for mononitrosyl derivatives $Co(NCS)_2(NO)(PMe_3)_2$ [13] and $[Co(NCS)(NO)L_3]^+$ [L = P(OEt)_3 or P(OEt)_2Ph] [6]. This synthetic procedure offers an alternative to the usual preparation methods of cobalt(III)-diphosphine complexes, which generally imply air- or halogen-oxidation of the appropriate

© Elsevier Sequoia/Printed in Switzerland

^{*}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. **In order to avoid ambiguities that can arise from the NO^+-NO^- formalism, Enemark and Feltham's notation is used.



Scheme 1.

cobalt(II) compound [3]. In particular, this reaction is a valuable route to pseudohalide complexes of cobalt(III) when, owing to the instability of the corresponding halide derivatives [13, 14], these cannot be prepared by metathesis. Finally, a possible mechanism for the dismutation reaction (1) is presented and discussed.

Experimental

Chemicals

The diphosphine ligands were purchased from Strem Chemicals, except dmpp which was prepared according to the method of Cloyd and Meek [15], $[Co(H_2O)_6](BF_4)_2$ was from Ventron; NaOCN, NEt₄OCN, KSCN, NEt₄SCN, NaN₃, NEt₄Cl and NaBPh₄ from Fluka AG. Nitric oxide (Matheson-Union Carbide) was purified by passing through a cold trap. The $[Co(P-P)_2](BF_4)_2$ complexes used as starting materials were prepared by reacting $[Co(H_2O)_6](BF_4)_2$ and the appropriate diphosphine in 2-propanol-dichloromethane solution. All solvents were distilled and degassed before use.

Characterization Methods

Infrared spectra were obtained in Nujol mulls (CsI plates) with a Jasco DS 702 G spectrophotometer, and calibrated against the absorption of a polystyrene film at 2850.7, 1601.4 and 1028.0 cm⁻¹. Visible and UV spectra were recorded with a Varian Cary 2300 spectrophotometer. Conductance data were obtained for 10^{-3} M nitromethane solutions using a Metrohm 518 conductivity bridge. ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra were recorded at 32.44 MHz with a Bruker WP 80 SY FT spectrometer equipped with a variable-temperature probe. Chemical shifts are given in ppm downfield from external aqueous 85% H₃PO₄. At room temperature, the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of II and III show rather broad signals due to the interaction with the

quadrupolar ⁵⁹Co nucleus. In comparison, the linewidths for signals of species I are relatively small, probably because ⁵⁹Co relaxation times are much faster in the trigonal bipyramidal complexes [16]. To avoid broadening of the signals, the ³¹P NMR spectra of all complexes were run at low temperature in dichloromethane (213–183 K), acetonitrile (228 K) or CHF₂Cl–CD₂Cl₂ (1:1) (183–133 K) solutions. Elemental analyses were performed in the Microanalytical Laboratory of the lstituto di Chimica, Università di Udine. Analytical and physical data are summarized in Table 1, IR and ³¹P NMR data are reported in Table 2, UV–Vis data for complexes III are listed in Table 3.

Syntheses

All preparations were performed under argon by using standard Schlenk techniques.

$[Co(NO)(P-P)_2](BF_4)_2(P-P = dmpe, depe, dppe, dppe, o-pp, cis-dppv, dmpp)$

(a) $[Co(H_2O)_6](BF_4)_2$ (1 mmol) and P-P (P-P = dmpe, depe, dppe, dbpe, *o*-pp, dmpp) (2 mmol) were dissolved in acetonitrile (20 cm³). The resulting red-orange or green (depe) solutions became more intensely coloured upon saturation with NO (1 atm.). After 10 min NO was removed, 2-propanol (30 cm³) was added, and the solution volume was reduced *in vacuo* to precipitate the products.

(b) Complexes $[Co(P-P)_2](BF_4)_2$ (P-P = depe, dppe, dbpe, o-pp, cis-dppv) (1 mmol) were dissolved in acetonitrile (20 cm³) and treated with NO (1 atm.). After 10 min, 2-propanol (20 cm³) was added, and the volume of the solution was halved *in vacuo*. The solid which had separated was filtered off, washed with ethanol, and dried *in vacuo*. The crude products were recrystallized from dichloromethane/2propanol (P-P = depe, dppe, dbpe, o-pp, cis-dppv) or from acetonitrile/2-propanol (dmpe, dmpp). Yields in the range 84–93%.

TABLE 1. Physical and analytical data

| Complex | Colour | $\Delta_{\mathbf{M}}^{\mathbf{a}}$ (cm ² ohm ⁻¹ mol ⁻¹) | Analysis: found (calc.) (%) | | |
|--|--------------|--|-----------------------------|------------|--------------|
| | | | С | Н | N |
| $[Co(NO)(dmpe)_2](BF_4)_2$ | red-brown | 186 | 25.28(25.61) | 5.73(5.73) | 2.42(2.49) |
| $[Co(NO)(depe)_2](BF_4)_2$ | brown | 190 | 35.46(35.59) | 7.14(7.17) | 2.04(2.07) |
| $[Co(NO)(dppe)_2](BF_4)_2$ | ochre | 172 | 58.89(58.96) | 4.61(4.57) | 1.30(1.32) |
| $[Co(NO)(dbpe)_2](BF_4)_2$ | olive green | 165 | 61.56(61.51) | 5.56(5.51) | 1.20(1.20) |
| $[Co(NO)(o-pp)_2](BF_4)_2$ | red-brown | 166 | 61.83(62.37) | 4.15(4.19) | 1.23(1.21) |
| [Co(NO)(cis-dppv)2](BF4)2 | red-brown | 171 | 58.87(59.18) | 4.13(4.20) | 1.34(1.33) |
| $[Co(NO)(dmpp)_2](BF_4)_2$ | brown | 184 | 27.96(28.46) | 6.09(6.14) | 2.31(2.37) |
| [Co(NO) ₂ (dppe)]BPh ₄ | red-brown | 52 | 71.62(71.78) | 5.34(5.30) | 3.28(3.35) |
| [Co(NO) ₂ (dcpe)]BPh ₄ | orange-brown | 50 | 69.22(69.77) | 7.99(7.96) | 3.19(3.25) |
| [Co(NO)2(dbpe)]BPh4 | orange-brown | 47 | 72.66(72.65) | 5.86(5.87) | 3.08(3.14) |
| [Co(NO)2(0-pp)]BPh4 | red-brown | 49 | 73.46(73.32) | 5.02(5.01) | 3.09(3.17) |
| [Co(NO)2(cis-dppv)]BPh4 | red-brown | 51 | 72.32(71.96) | 5.14(5.07) | 3.31(3.36) |
| [Co(NO)2(dppp)]BPh4 | red-brown | 52 | 71.88(72.01) | 5.51(5.45) | 3.26(3.29) |
| trans-[Co(NCS)2(dppm)2]BF4 | red | 83 | 60.41(60.60) | 4.28(4.30) | 2.71(2.72) |
| trans-[Co(NCS)2(dmpe)2]BF4 | orange-red | 94 | 29.48(29.91) | 5.75(5.74) | 4.92(4.98) |
| trans-[Co(NCS)2(depe)2]BF4 | orangered | 86 | 38.99(39.18) | 7.19(7.17) | 4.11(4.15) |
| trans-[Co(NCS)2(dppe)2]BF4 | ochre | 83 | 61.17(61.26) | 4.60(4.57) | 2.62(2.65) |
| trans-[Co(NCS)2(dbpe)2]BF4 | orange | 93 | 63.04(63.59) | 5.48(5.51) | 2.31(2.39) |
| trans-[Co(NCS)2(0-pp)2]BF4 | brown | 88 | 64.29(64.48) | 4.18(4.19) | 2.48(2.43) |
| trans-[Co(NCS)2(cis-dppv)2]BF4 | red-brown | 84 | 61.26(61.49) | 4.22(4.21) | 2.63(2.66) |
| trans-[Co(NCS)2(dmpp)2]BF4 | red | 90 | 32.42(32.56) | 6.16(6.15) | 4.72(4.74) |
| trans-[Co(NCO)2(dmpe)2]BF4 | orange | 91 | 31.44(31.72) | 6.08(6.06) | 5.26(5.29) |
| trans-[Co(NCO)2(depe)2]BF4 | pink red | 92 | 40.97(41.14) | 7.55(7.53) | 4.37(4.36) |
| trans-[Co(NCO)2(dppe)2]BF4 | red | 88 | 63.06(63.18) | 4.74(4.71) | 2.69(2.73) |
| trans-[Co(NCO)2(o-pp)2]BF4 | red-brown | 85 | 66.51(66.33) | 4.24(4.31) | 2.45(2.50) |
| trans-[Co(NCO)2(cis-dppv)2]BF4 | brown | 83 | 63.17(63.43) | 4.32(4.34) | 2.70(2.74) |
| trans-[Co(NCO)2(dmpp)2]BF4 | orange-red | 89 | 34.51(34.43) | 6.59(6.50) | 4.98(5.02) |
| trans-[Co(N ₃) ₂ (depe) ₂]BF ₄ | red-brown | 94 | 37.74(37.40) | 7.64(7.53) | 12.98(13.09) |
| trans-[Co(N ₃) ₂ (o-pp) ₂]BF ₄ | red-brown | 86 | 64.63(64.19) | 4.28(4.31) | 7.42(7.49) |
| trans-[Co(N ₃) ₂ (cis-dppv) ₂]BF ₄ | red-brown | 89 | 60.83(61.08) | 4.38(4.34) | 8.29(8.22) |

^aIn nitromethane at 22 °C, for 10^{-3} M solutions.

TABLE 2. IR and ³¹P NMR data

| Complex | IR ^a | $^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR ^b | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| | (cm^{-1}) | δ (ppm) | <i>T</i> (K) |
| $[Co(NO)(dmpe)_2](BF_4)_2$ | 1785 | 57.7(s) | 228 ° |
| $[Co(NO)(depe)_2](BF_4)_2$ | 1808 | 72(m), 82(m) | 133 d |
| $[Co(NO)(dppe)_2](BF_4)_2$ | 1807 | $73.8(t), 80.6(t)^{e}$ | 183 |
| $[Co(NO)(dbpe)_2](BF_4)_2$ | 1806 | 62(m), 78(m) | 133 d |
| $[Co(NO)(0-pp)_2](BF_4)_2$ | 1808 | $49.9(t), 72.7(t)^{f}$ | 173 |
| $[Co(NO)(cis-dppv)_2](BF_4)_2$ | 1824 | 66(m),73(m) | 133ª |
| $[Co(NO)(dmpp)_2](BF_4)_2$ | 1795 | $6.7(t), -14.4(t)^{g}$ | 228 ° |
| $[Co(NO)_2(dppe)]BPh_4$ | 1841, 1789 | 65.6(s) | 213 |
| $[Co(NO)_2(dcpe)]BPh_4$ | 1848, 1776 | 87.3(s) | 213 |
| $[Co(NO)_2(dbpe)]BPh_4$ | 1838, 1786 | 69.2(s) | 213 |
| $[Co(NO)_2(\rho - pp)]BPh_4$ | 1847, 1792 | 63.6(s) | 213 |
| [Co(NO) ₂ (cis-dppy)]BPh ₄ | 1864, 1803 | 73.8(s) | 213 |
| [()*(| | | (continued) |

TABLE 2. (continued)

| Complex | IR ^a | ³¹ P{ ¹ H} NMR ^b | |
|--|-----------------|---|--------------|
| | (cm^{-1}) | δ (ppm) | <i>T</i> (K) |
| [Co(NO)2(dppp)]BPh4 | 1840, 1787 | 27.2(s) | 213 |
| trans-[Co(NCS) ₂ (dppm) ₂]BF ₄ | 2094 | -14.2(s) | 213 |
| trans-[Co(NCS) ₂ (dmpe) ₂]BF ₄ | 2109 | 60.4(s) | 228 ° |
| trans-[Co(NCS) ₂ (depe) ₂]BF ₄ | 2101 | 66.3(s) | 213 |
| $trans-[Co(NCS)_2(dppe)_2]BF_4$ | 2105 | 45.1(s) | 213 |
| trans-[Co(NCS)2(dbpe)2]BF4 | 2102 | 61.4(s) | 228 ° |
| trans-[Co(NCS) ₂ (o-pp) ₂]BF ₄ | 2092 | 44.8(s) | 213 |
| trans-[Co(NCS) ₂ (cis-dppv) ₂]BF ₄ | 2089 | 49.0(s) | 213 |
| trans-[Co(NCS)2(dmpp)2]BF4 | 2102 | 9.5(s) | 228 ° |
| trans-[Co(NCO)2(dmpe)2]BF4 | 2243 | 57.1(s) | 228 ° |
| trans-[Co(NCO)2(depe)2]BF4 | 2253 | 62.6(s) | 213 |
| trans-[Co(NCO)2(dppe)2]BF4 | 2267 | 41.8(s) | 213 |
| trans-[Co(NCO) ₂ (o-pp) ₂]BF ₄ | 2262, 2229 | 43.3(s) | 213 |
| trans-[Co(NCO)2(cis-dppv)2]BF4 | 2261 | 48.1(s) | 213 |
| trans- $[Co(NCO)_2(dmpp)_2]BF_4$ | 2237 | 5.6(s) | 228 ° |
| trans- $[Co(N_3)_2(depe)_2]BF_4$ | 2039 | 62.7(s) | 213 |
| trans- $[Co(N_3)_2(o-pp)_2]BF_4$ | 2049,2028 | 41.1(s) | 213 |
| trans-[Co(N ₃) ₂ (cis-dppv) ₂]BF ₄ | 2054 | 44.9(s) | 213 |

^a In Nujol mull. broad multiplet. ^b In CH₂Cl₂ solution, unless otherwise stated. Multiplicity given in parentheses by s = singlet, t = triplet, m = c In CH₃CN solution. ^d In CD₂Cl₂-CHF₂Cl solution. ^e J(P-P') = 23.5 Hz. ^f J(P-P') = 32.0 Hz, ^g J(P-P') = 49.1 Hz.

TABLE 3. UV-Vis data for complexes III^a

| Complex | λ_{\max} (nm) (ϵ (M ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹)) | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| trans-[Co(NCS)2(dppm)2]BF4 | 580(sh), 435(19000), 345(28500) | |
| trans-[Co(NCS)2(dmpe)2]BF4 | 485(480), 380(4700), 310(10800) ^b | |
| trans-[Co(NCS)2(depe)2]BF4 | 505(540), 390(5900), 335(10900) | |
| trans-[Co(NCS)2(dppe)2]BF4 | 570(sh), 425(18300), 350(24200) | |
| trans-[Co(NCS)2(dbpe)2]BF4 | 535(sh), 350(23100), 305(22500) | |
| trans-[Co(NCS)2(0-pp)2]BF4 | 580(sh), 440(19000), 360(25500) | |
| trans-[Co(NCS)2(cis-dppv)2]BF4 | 590(sh), 450(13400), 360(25200) | |
| trans-[Co(NCS)2(dmpp)2]BF4 | 500(480), 380(5200), 325(10400) ^b | |
| trans-[Co(NCO)2(dmpe)2]BF4 | 485(210), 335(6400), 285(22500) ^b | |
| trans-[Co(NCO)2(depe)2]BF4 | 505(270), 345(sh), 305(28600) | |
| trans-[Co(NCO)2(dppe)2]BF4 | 555(sh), 380(32500), 295(sh) | |
| trans-[Co(NCO)2(0-pp)2]BF4 | 550(sh), 390(31600), 305(sh) | |
| trans-[Co(NCO)2(cis-dppv)2]BF4 | 550(320), 385(33700), 305(9700) | |
| trans-[Co(NCO)2(dmpp)2]BF4 | 500(310), 345(sh), 300(23700) ^b | |
| trans- $[Co(N_3)_2(depe)_2]BF_4$ | 545(620), 380(sh), 330(12700) | |
| trans- $[Co(N_3)_2(o-pp)_2]BF_4$ | 620(sh), 435(sh), 325(16400) | |
| trans- $[Co(N_3)_2(cis-dppv)_2]BF_4$ | 625(sh), 445(sh), 345(20300) | |

^a In dichloromethane solution, unless otherwise stated. ^bIn acetonitrile solution.

[Co(NO)2(dcpe)]BPh4

 $[Co(dcpe)_2](BF_4)_2$ (1 mmol) was stirred in acetonitrile (30 cm³), and the reaction vessel was saturated with NO. An immediate colour change from orange to red-brown was observed. After 10 min, NaBPh₄ (1.5 mmol) in 2-propanol (20 cm³) was added, and the solution was concentrated. The red-brown precipitate was filtered off, dried *in vacuo*, and

recrystallized from dichloromethane/2-propanol. This complex was also obtained using $[Co(H_2O)_6](BF_4)_2$ (1 mmol) and dcpe (2 mmol) as starting materials, by following the same procedure. Yield 75%.

[Co(NO)2(dppp)]BPh4

 $[Co(H_2O)_6](BF_4)_2$ (1 mmol) and dppp (2 mmol) were dissolved in acetonitrile (20 cm³), and the reaction vessel was saturated with NO. After stirring for 10 min, a solution of NaBPh₄ (1.5 mmol) in 2propanol (30 cm³) was slowly added, and the solution volume was reduced *in vacuo*. The red-brown solid which had separated was filtered off and recrystallized from dichloromethane/2-propanol. Yield 72%.

trans- $[Co(NCS)_2(P-P)_2]BF_4$ (P-P = dmpe, depe, dppe, dbpe, o-pp, cis-dppv, dmpp) and $[Co(NO)_2(P-P)]BPh_4$ (P-P = dppe, dbpe, o-pp, cis-dppv)

Complexes [Co(NO)(P-P)₂](BF₄)₂ (0.5 mmol) and KSCN (0.5 mmol) were stirred in acetone-ethanol $(30 \text{ cm}^3, 1:5 \text{ ratio})$. For complete conversion, the following reaction times were necessary: dppe, o-pp, cis-dppv, 1 h; depe and dbpe, 24 h; dmpe and dmpp, 72 h. Complexes trans-[Co(NCS)₂(P-P)₂]BF₄ precipitated and were filtered off, washed with ethanol, and dried in vacuo. The crude products were recrystallized from dichloromethane/2-propanol (P-P = depe, dppe, dbpe, o-pp), dichloromethane-acetonitrile (2:1)/2-propanol (cis-dppv) or from acetonitrile/2propanol (dmpe, dmpp). Yields in the range 72-81%. Upon dropwise addition of NaBPh₄ (0.4 mmol) dissolved in ethanol (15 cm^3) to the filtered solutions, complexes $[Co(NO)_2(P-P)]BPh_4$ precipitated, which were filtered off, dried in vacuo, and recrystallized from dichloromethane/2-propanol (P-P = dppe, dbpe, o-pp, cis-dppv). Yields in the range 71-78%.

trans-[Co(NCS)2(dppm)2]BF4

Complex $[Co(H_2O)_6](BF_4)_2$ (1 mmol), KSCN (1 mmol), and dppm (2 mmol) were stirred in acetonitrile (20 cm³) in an NO atmosphere. Red microcrystals separated immediately, which were filtered off, washed with ethanol, and recrystallized from dichloromethane/ethanol. Yield 90%.

trans- $[Co(NCO)_2(P-P)_2]BF_4$ (P-P = dmpe, depe, dppe, cis-dppv, o-pp, dmpp)

Complexes $[Co(NO)(P-P)_2](BF_4)_2$ (0.5 mmol) and NaOCN (0.5 mmol) were stirred in acetone/2propanol (30 cm³, 1:2 ratio). After 24 h (72 h for dmpe and dmpp) the solutions were concentrated, and the solids formed were filtered off, washed with 2-propanol, and dried under vacuum. The products were recrystallized from dichloromethane/2-propanol (P-P = depe, o-pp, cis-dppv) or from acetone/2propanol (P-P = dmpe, dmpp). In the case of dppe complex, the crude product was a greenish powder, which gave red needles by slow evaporation of dichloromethane solutions. Yields in the range 67-81%.

$trans - [CoCl_2(P-P)_2]BF_4$ (P-P = o-pp, cis-dppv)

Dichloromethane solutions (20 cm^3) of $[Co(NO)-(P-P)_2](BF_4)_2$ (0.5 mmol) and NEt₄Cl (0.5 mmol) were stirred for 1 h. After adding 2-propanol (20 cm³), the solutions were concentrated. The solids formed thereby were filtered off, washed with 2-propanol and ether, and recrystallized from dichloromethane/2-propanol. Yields: 85 (*o*-pp), 76% (*cis*-dppv). The properties of these complexes are identical to those reported by Gray *et al.* [10] and by Levason *et al.* [14].

trans- $[Co(N_3)_2(P-P)_2]BF_4$ (P-P = depe, o-pp, cis-dppv)

Complexes $[Co(NO)(P-P)_2(BF_4)_2 (0.1 \text{ mmol}) \text{ and} NaN_3 (0.1 \text{ mmol}) were stirred in acetone/2-propanol (10 cm³, 1:5 ratio) for 24 h, during which time the suspensions turned darker. The solids were filtered off, washed with 2-propanol, dried$ *in vacuo*and recrystallized from dichloromethane/2-propanol. Yields: 68 (depe), 63 (o-pp), 66% (o-dppv). Caution: Apparently these cobalt(III) derivatives are safe, but azido metal complexes are potentially explosive.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of {CoNO}⁸ Derivatives

Passage of NO through a solution containing $[Co(H_2O)_6](BF_4)_2$ and the diphosphine (1:2 molar ratio) in anhydrous acetonitrile gives orange-brown solutions (or dark green when P-P is depe) wherefrom compounds having the composition $[Co(NO)(P-P)_2]$. $(BF_4)_2$ (P-P = dppe, dmpe, depe, dbpe, o-pp, cisdppv and dmpp) precipitate by addition of 2propanol. By contrast, reaction of $[Co(H_2O)_6](BF_4)_2$ with the ligands dppm, dppp (which do not form stable square-planar complexes) and dcpe leads to dinitrosyl derivatives. These were isolated in a pure form only with the ligands dppp and dcpe. Complexes I are easily prepared also by using the corsquare-planar responding cobalt(II) complexes $[Co(P-P)_2](BF_4)_2$ as starting material. However, when square-planar $[Co(dcpe)_2](BF_4)_2$ is reacted with NO at ambient conditions, only the dinitrosyl derivative II is obtained. The complexes $[Co(NO)(P-P)_2](BF_4)_2$ are diamagnetic, and are found to be 1:2 electrolytes in nitromethane. Their IR spectra show a strong ν (NO) band in the 1785–1825 cm⁻¹ region, in agreement with the presence of linear Co-NO groups [17]. At room temperature, the proton decoupled ³¹P NMR spectra of mononitrosyl derivatives I show a single resonance which separates into two broad

signals of intensity ratio 1:1 by lowering the temperature. On further cooling, these signals sharpen up into two triplets in the case of the complexes which contain dppe, o-pp and dmpp (Table 2), while with the *cis*-dppv, depe and dbpe derivatives the lowlimiting spectra are not reached even at 133 K (CD_2Cl_2 -CHF₂Cl solution), the lowest available temperature. The spectral behaviour is entirely reversible with temperature. The dmpe derivative is sufficiently soluble only in acetonitrile, and this prevents studies at lower temperatures.

The spectroscopic data are clear evidence in favour of a trigonal-bipyramidal structure, with a linear Co-NO group involving an equatorial site, and the diphosphine ligands spanning axial and equatorial positions. These results agree with the empirical generalization that all linear {MNO}⁸ groups exist in TBP complexes [1]. An intramolecular exchange process between axial and equatorial phosphorus atoms, which appears to be rapid at room temperature on the NMR scale, accounts for the temperature dependence shown by ³¹P NMR spectra of $[Co(NO)(P-P)_2]^{2+}$ cations. A fluxional behaviour for TBP complexes with bidentate phosphines [18, 19] is quite common, as in the case of $[M(NO)(dppe)_2]^+$ (M = Fe, Ru), which are known to be TBP with an equatorial NO ligand [20].

It is clear that both the chelate ring size and the nature of organic substituents at phosphorus are important in determining the dynamic of the intramolecular rearrangement. The mononitrosyl derivative with dmpp, which is the only one forming a sixmembered chelate ring, gives a static spectrum already at 228 K, while all complexes containing fivemembered chelate rings are still non-rigid at 190 K. A similar effect of the chain length on the rearrangement barrier has been observed for the stereochemically non-rigid $[Ir(CO)(P-P)_2]^+$ species, in which the activation energy of exchange process decreases with the bite of chelate in the order dppp > dppe > dppm[21]. Considering the ligands with $-PPh_2$ groups which form five-membered chelate rings, it can be seen that the chelate backbone exerts a considerable effect on the temperature at which static spectra are obtained, as shown by the sequence dppe > o - pp >*cis*-dppv, i.e. $-CH_2 - CH_2 - > o(C_6H_4) > cis(-CH=$ CH-). Probably, the large difference between the dppe and *cis*-dppv complexes arises both from the shorter C-C bond length and from the greater steric hindrance produced by the vinylidene bridge. It should also be noted that the alkyl-substituted ligands seem to have lower barriers, as judged by our inability to achieve static spectra.

Disproportionation Reaction of $[Co(NO)(P-P)_2]^{2+}$ Complexes

When the $[Co(NO)(P-P)_2](BF_4)_2$ derivatives are stirred in alcohol-acetone with an equivalent amount

of X^- (halide or pseudohalide), they disproportionate to produce ${Co(NO)_2}^{10}$ and cobalt(III) compounds, according to eqn. (1). This reaction is of interest as a general synthetic method, even if complications can arise from the instability of either reaction product. Disproportionation (1) occurs also in dichloromethane solution; its stoichiometry in this solvent has been established by quantitative IR analysis, using standard solutions of the complexes [Co(NCS)2- $(P-P)_2$]BF₄ and [Co(NO)₂(P-P)]BPh₄ (P-P = dppe, o-pp and cis-dppv). Moreover, ³¹P NMR measurements show that one mole of free diphosphine is formed per mole of II and III. The rate of reaction (1) appears to depend on the nature of the diphosphine (phenyl->alkyl-substituted ligands) and of the anionic ligand (NCS⁻>NCO⁻ \ge halide). In particular, we have examined the reactions of I with one equivalent amount of SCN⁻ by following the typical $\nu(NO)$ and $\nu(CN)$ bands in the 1550–1865 and 2090-2110 cm⁻¹ regions of the IR spectra. At room temperature, fast reactions are observed with the mononitrosyls which contain dppe, o-pp and cisdppv: IR spectra of the reaction mixtures show that I is quantitatively transformed into the corresponding II and III derivatives within 3 min.

With ethyl-substituted depe, this reaction is slow enough to be conveniently followed by IR. Just after mixing, the IR spectrum of a solution of [Co(NO)- $(depe)_2$ (BF₄)₂ and NEt₄SCN (1:1 molar ratio, 2.5 X 10^{-2} M) shows ν (NO) absorptions at 1828, 1796 and 1560 cm⁻¹, and two sharp bands in the ν (CN) region at 2060 and 2105 cm^{-1} . No detectable amounts of starting mononitrosyl compound I are present. With time, the absorptions at 2060 and 1560 cm^{-1} fade, while the typical bands of $[Co(NO)_2(P-P)]^+$ and $[Co(NCS)_2(depe)_2]^+$ at 1828 and 1796 cm⁻¹ $[\nu(NO)]$ and at 2105 cm⁻¹ $[\nu(CN)]$ gain in intensity, in agreement with eqn. (1). The broad NO band at 1560 cm⁻¹ and the NCS one at 2060 cm⁻¹ can be reasonably attributed to a six-coordinate, bentnitrosyl intermediate* of type [Co(NCS)(NO)(P-P)2]⁺ (IV), formed according to equilibrium (2), in which addition of a thiocyanate ion to cobalt results in the

$$\frac{[Co(NO)(P-P)_2]^{2+} + X^-}{I} \xrightarrow{trans} - [CoX(NO)(P-P)_2]^+}{I}$$

$$I \qquad IV \qquad (2)$$

bending of the Co--NO moiety. Conversion of the linear $\{CoNO\}^8$ group of I into the bent one of IV implies a two-electron transfer from an orbital which is mainly localized on the metal to a π^* antibonding NO orbital, with the formally Co(I) becoming Co(III). A similar change of NO coordination mode from

^{*}Assignment of the CN band of the $[Co(NCS)(NO)-(depe)_2]^+$ intermediate is not straightforward, since it apparently falls nearly at the same frequency as in the free SCN^- ion.

linear to strongly bent has been reported for analogous five-coordinate species $[Co(NO)(L-L)_2]^{2+}$ [L-L = o-phenylenebis(dimethylarsine) (das) or ethylenediamine (en)], which adds an anion (X⁻) to give trans- $[CoX(NO)(L-L)_2]^{2+}$ derivatives. X-ray studies of trans- $[Co(NCS)(NO)(das)_2]ClO_4$ [11k] and trans- $[CoX(NO)(en)_2]ClO_4$ (X = Cl [11g], ClO₄ [11h]), which exhibit $\nu(NO)$ absorptions in the 1550–1670 cm⁻¹ region, have confirmed the presence of bent Co-NO groups.

Intermediates $[CoX(NO)(P-P)_2]^+$ have been observed also in the reactions of [Co(NO)(depe)₂]²⁺ with NCO⁻ $[\nu(CN) = 2199 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \nu(NO) = 1550 \text{ cm}^{-1}]$, Cl⁻ $[\nu(NO) = 1565 \text{ cm}^{-1}]$, Br⁻ $[\nu(NO) =$ 1572 cm⁻¹] and I⁻ [ν (NO) = 1578 cm⁻¹]. When the diphosphine is phenyl-substituted, complexes IV are more reactive, and can be detected only when X is Cl; their NO absorptions appear in the range 1670-1685 cm^{-1} . Apparently, the position of the NO band is strongly dependent on the diphosphine basicity, but is relatively insensitive of X⁻. In all cases the absorptions attributed to bent NO groups gradually decrease in intensity with progress of reaction, while the typical bands of the corresponding dinitrosyl increase. There are no evidences for nitric oxide dissociation. The initial position of equilibrium (2) depends on the nature of P-P. Thus, in the case of depe, at room temperature, equilibrium (2) appears to be shifted to the right for Co:Cl⁻ ratio of 1:1, while under the same experimental conditions $(2.5 \times$ 10^{-2} M solution) addition of Cl⁻ to [Co(NO)- $(dppe)_2$ ²⁺ converts only half of mononitrosyl I into six-coordinate intermediate IV. These results are in agreement with the observation that the stabilities of cobalt(III) complexes containing various phenylsubstituted phosphines are much lower than those of the corresponding alkyl-substituted phosphine compounds, possibly due to steric reasons [10, 14, 22, 23]. Furthermore, the position of equilibrium (2) depends on the nature of X^- , and is shifted to the right in the order NCS \approx NCO > Cl. Several attempts to isolate complexes IV in the solid state have been unsuccessful, since only impure samples containing variable amounts of II and III were isolated.

The *trans* structure of intermediate IV can be inferred from low-temperature ³¹P NMR experiments. A dichloromethane solution of I (P-P = cisdppv, depe) and NEt₄SCN (1:1 molar ratio) was prepared and kept at --80 °C in an NMR tube. After shaking to ensure mixing, the ³¹P NMR spectrum of the samples showed a single signal at 61.5 (depe) or 54.1 (cis-dppv) ppm, in agreement with the formation of a *trans* adduct, in which the phosphorus atoms of the diphosphines occupy equivalent positions. The sample temperature was then raised to 30 °C, and again lowered to --80 °C. In the case of cis-dppv, the ³¹P NMR spectrum revealed that dismutation (1) had occurred, with quantitative formation of **II**, **III** and free ligand in a 1:1:1 molar ratio. In the case of depe, the 31 P NMR spectrum showed that reaction (1) had occurred to the extent of 20%, and that 80% of intermediate IV was still present in solution.

The dismutation of {CoNO}⁸ complexes I to cobalt(III) and ${Co(NO)_2}^{10}$ derivatives formally implicates the net transfer of a NO⁻ group between two {CoNO}⁸ units. It is likely that this reaction occurs via a μ -bridged nitrosyl, formed by association of IV with coordinatively-unsaturated, +2-charged complex I, which can react with X⁻ to give II, III and free diphosphine. This hypothesis readily accounts for the change in oxidation state of cobalt, and is in agreement with the nucleophilic character of the nitrosyl nitrogen in bent-nitrosyl IV. Similar μ bridged nitrosyl intermediates have been proposed for other intermolecular transfers of a metal-bound NO [11j, 12, 24, 25] even if a nitric oxide dissociative mechanism, as reported for the formation of nitrosylhemoglobine, cannot be excluded [26].

These results show that formation of Co(-I) and Co(III) complexes via disproportionation reactions is a fairly common feature of $\{CoNO\}^8$ derivatives, since it occurs not only in the presence of thiocyanate, as previously reported [7, 12, 13] but also of other coordinating anions such as N₃⁻, NCO⁻, or halide. However, it should be noted that the fivecoordinate species $[Co(NO)(L-L)_2]^{2+}$ (L-L = das, en) add halide or pseudohalide to give six-coordinate complexes of type **IV**, wich are stable both in the solid state and in solution [11k, g].

$\{Co(NO)_2\}^{10}$ and Cobalt(III) Derivatives

Cationic complexes II are best prepared by addition of equimolar KSCN to an ethanol-acetone suspension of I. After elimination of the insoluble cobalt(III) derivatives, complexes II can be precipitated as the tetraphenylborate salts. By this route, analytically pure samples were obtained with dppe, cis-dppv or dbpe. Complexes II containing the two former ligands have been previously reported [4b, 9, 10]. With depe, dmpe and dmpp the dinitrosyl derivatives are formed, as shown by IR measurements, but are not obtained pure in the solid state, since they undergo some decomposition in solution. Moreover, with dcpe and dppp, which do not form species I, the dinitrosyls II can be obtained by direct reaction of NO with acetonitrile solutions of $[Co(H_2O)_6](BF_4)_2$ and diphosphine (1:2 molar ratio). The IR spectra of these reaction mixtures, even after short times (1 min), show only the presence of dinitrosyl II. Reaction yields are always high [c. 75%], based on starting Co(II)], thus indicating that the ${Co(NO)_2}^{10}$ derivatives with these ligands are not formed according to eqn. (1). By operating with P-P:Co(II) ratios lower than 2, the yields drastically decrease, thus suggesting that probably the diphosphine and not NO is the reducing agent.

The air-stable $\{Co(NO)_2\}^{10}$ complexes behave as 1:1 electrolytes in nitromethane solution, and show two strong NO absorptions in the 1775–1865 cm⁻¹ region of the IR spectrum, suggesting the presence of linear Co–NO moieties (Table 2). Furthermore, the ³¹P NMR spectra of II show a single signal, indicating the presence of magnetically equivalent phosphorus atoms. These data are in agreement with a pseudo-tetrahedral structure, with a chelating diphosphine and two linearly-coordinated NO groups. In the case of [Co(NO)₂(dppe)]⁺, this geometry has been established by X-ray diffractometry [9b].

Cobalt(III) species III can be obtained from mononitrosyl derivatives I via disproportionation reaction (1), or more directly by bubbling NO gas through a stirred solution of $[Co(H_2O)_6](BF_4)_2$, NaX and diphosphine in a 1:1:2 molar ratio. In all cases only the *trans* isomer has been obtained. When X =NCS or NCO, complexes III are sparingly soluble, and easily separate from the reaction mixture. When azide ion is used, the separation of II and III is more difficult, since the two products have similar solubilities. Repeated recrystallizations are necessary, thus giving poorer yields. Because of the potential hazard of azido derivatives, we have restricted the syntheses to a few diphosphine derivatives. In the case of X = CI, we have isolated in the solid state the already known cobalt(III) complexes with o-pp and cis-dppv [10, 14]. With the ligands dppe and dppm, apparently the dichloro derivatives quickly decompose in solution, and were not obtained in the solid state. It is probable that the steric interactions in III are larger with halide than with pseudohalide, and the resulting weakening of Co-X bonds may promote a redox decomposition process [10, 14]. Other cobalt(III) complexes with some diphosphines reported in Scheme 1 have been previously prepared by different procedures [10, 15, 23, 27].

Complexes III are air-stable, diamagnetic solids, which are soluble in solvents such as dichloromethane, acetonitrile, acetone or nitromethane. The pseudohalide derivatives are stable also in solution, while halide complexes undergo slow decomposition; all of them behave as 1:1 electrolytes in freshly prepared nitromethane solutions. On the basis of ³¹P NMR spectra, which contain a single resonance, a trans stereochemistry can be assigned to [CoX2- $(P-P)_2$ ⁺ cations (Table 2). In the case of pseudohalide derivatives, the trans arrangement is additionally supported by IR spectra, which show a single stretching mode for the coordinated anion (Table 2). Compouds trans-[Co(NCS)₂(P-P)₂]BF₄ show a single strong band in the 2090-2110 cm⁻¹ region, together with absorptions at $840-860 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, attributable to $\nu(CN)$ and $\nu(CS)$ stretchings, respectively. These data indicate N-coordinated thiocyanate groups [28], as found for analogous cobalt(III) complexes with phosphorus ligands, though the δ (NCS) bands (which are generally observed below 500 cm^{-1}) cannot be assigned unambiguously [6, 13].

The cyanato complexes show absorptions in three different regions: 2235-2270, 1330-1360 and 570-590 cm⁻¹, which correspond to CN and CO stretchings, and to the NCO bending frequencies, respectively. In these compounds, a decision between N- and O-bonding is not possible. Finally, the azido complexes exhibit strong absorptions in the range 2025-2055 cm⁻¹. Probably due to solid state effects, the X stretching modes of *trans*- $[Co(X)_2(o-pp)_2]BF_4$ (X = NCO, N₃), which are single in dichloromethane solution, appear splitted in Nujol mull.

The electronic spectra of cobalt(III) diphosphine complexes show a three-band system (Table 3); the two more intense absorptions on the high energy side are probably due to ligand-to-metal charge-transfer transitions, while the weak band in the visible region can be assigned as ${}^{1}A_{1g} \rightarrow {}^{1}E_{g}$. These spectral features agree well with the *trans* disposition of the X ligands [10, 29]. A rough spectrochemical ordering of the diphosphine ligands can be based on the energy of the first spin-allowed d-d transition of [Co(NCS)₂-(P-P)₂]⁺, and is dmpe > dmpp > depe > dbpe > dppe \approx dppm \approx cis-dppv \approx o-pp.

Conclusions

Five-coordinate, stereochemically non-rigid {CoNO}⁸ complexes I, containing a linearly coordinated nitrosyl group, are easily prepared in acetonitrile solution by reacting NO with cobalt(II) complexes of diphosphines containing non-coordinating anions. In the presence of coordinating anions, trigonal-bipyramidal cations I disproportionate according to eqn. (1). This provides a useful synthetic route to complexes II and III, which is noteworthy especially for pseudohalide derivatives trans-[CoX2-(P-P)₂]Y. The dismutation process apparently implies a first step in which five-coordinate I is converted into six-coordinate intermediate IV, containing a strongly bent Co-NO group. In the second step, intermolecular transfer of a nitrosyl ligand as NOprobably occurs via a bridged Co(µ-NO)Co intermediate, which can be formed by electrophylic attack of I to the nitrogen of the bent NO group of IV.

Acknowledgements

Support of this work by Italian C.N.R., Rome, and by the Italian Ministero della Pubblica Istruzione is gratefully acknowledged. We wish to thank Mr F. Tubaro and Mr P. Polese for technical assistance.

References

- 1 J. H. Enemark and R. D. Feltham, Coord. Chem. Rev., 13 (1974) 339.
- 2 (a) B. F. G. Johnson and J. A. McCleverty, Prog. Inorg. Chem., 7 (1966) 277; (b) W. P. Griffith, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 7 (1968) 211; (c) N. G. Connelly, Inorg. Chim. Acta Rev., 6 (1972) 47; (d) K. G. Caulton, Coord. Chem. Rev., 14 (1975) 317; (e) R. Eisenberg and C. D. Meyer, Acc. Chem. Res., 8 (1975) 26; (f) J. A. McCleverty, Chem. Rev., 79 (1979) 53.
- 3 D. A. Buckingham and C. R. Clark, in G. Wilkinson (ed.), *Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry*, Vol. 4, Pergamon, Oxford, 1987, p. 635, and refs. therein.
- 4 (a) W. Hieber and K. Heinicke, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 316 (1962) 305; (b) T. Bianco, M. Rossi and L. Uva, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 3 (1969) 443, and refs. therein; (c) M. Bressan and P. Rigo, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1974) 553.
- 5 G. Albertin, E. Bordignon, L. Canovese and A. A. Orio, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 38 (1980) 77.
- 6 G. Albertin, G. Pelizzi and E. Bordignon, Inorg. Chem., 22 (1983) 515.
- 7 G. Albertin, E. Bordignor, G. A. Mazzocchin, A. A. Orio and R. Seeber, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1981) 2127.
- 8 (a) C. Pratt Brock, J. P. Collman, G. Dolcetti, P. H. Farnham, J. A. Ibers, J. E. Lester and C. A. Reed, *Inorg. Chem.*, 12 (1973) 1304; (b) J. P. Collman, P. Farnham and G. Dolcetti, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93 (1971) 1788; (c) O. Alnaji, Y. Peres, M. Dartiguenave, F. Dahan and Y. Dartiguenave, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 114 (1986) 151.
- 9 (a) D. Gwost and K. G. Caulton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 12 (1973) 2095; (b) J. A. Kaduk and J. A. Ibers, *Inorg. Chem.*, 16 (1977) 3283.
- 10 V. M. Miskowski, J. L. Robbins, G. S. Hammond and H. B. Gray, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98 (1976) 2477.
- 11 (a) W. R. Scheidt and J. L. Hoard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95 (1973) 8281; (b) R. Wiest and R. Weiss, J. Organomet. Chem., 30 (1971) C33; (c) L. K. Bell, D. M. P. Mingos, D. G. Tew, L. F. Larkworthy, B. Sandell, D. C. Povey and J. Mason, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1983) 125; (d) A. Earnshaw, P. C. Hewlett and L. F. Larkworthy, J. Chem. Soc., (1965) 4718; (e) S. G. Clarkson and F. Basolo, Inorg. Chem., 12 (1973) 1528; (f) R. D. Feltham and R. S. Nyholm, Inorg. Chem., 4 (1965) 1334; (g) D. A. Snyder and D. L. Weaver, Inorg. Chem., 9 (1970)

2760; (h) P. L. Johnson, J. H. Enemark, R. D. Feltham and K. Bizot Swedo, *Inorg. Chem.*, 15 (1976) 2989; (i) J. H. Enemark and R. D. Feltham, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1972) 718; (j) A. R. Hendrickson, R. K. Y. Ho and R. L. Martin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 13 (1974) 1279, and refs. therein; (k) J. H. Enemark, R. D. Feltham, J. Riker-Nappier and K. F. Bizot, *Inorg. Chem.*, 14 (1975) 624; (1) P. A. Duffin, L. F. Larkworthy, J. Mason, A. N. Stephens and R. M. Thompson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 26 (1987) 2034, and refs. therein.

- 12 A. Del Zotto and P. Rigo, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 147 (1988) 55.
- 13 O. Alnaji, Y. Peres, F. Dahan, M. Dartiguenave and Y. Dartiguenave, *Inorg. Chem.*, 25 (1986) 1383.
- 14 A. L. Hale, H. C. Jewiss and W. Levason, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 97 (1985) 85.
- 15 J. C. Cloyd and D. W. Meek, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 6 (1972) 480.
- 16 S. M. Socol, S. Lacelle and J. G. Verkade, *Inorg. Chem.*, 26 (1987) 3221.
- 17 B. L. Haymore and J. A. Ibers, *Inorg. Chem.*, 14 (1975) 3060.
- 18 J. R. Shapley and J. A. Osborn, Acc. Chem. Res., 6 (1973) 305.
- 19 J. S. Miller and K. G. Caulton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97 (1975) 1067.
- 20 P. R. Hoffman, J. S. Miller, C. B. Ungermann and K. G. Caulton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95 (1973) 7902.
- 21 L. H. Pignolet, D. H. Doughty, S. C. Nowicki and A. L. Casalnuovo, *Inorg. Chem.*, 19 (1980) 2172.
- 22 L. F. Warren and M. A. Bennett, Inorg. Chem., 15 (1976) 3126.
- 23 H. C. Jewiss, W. Levason and M. Webster, Inorg. Chem., 25 (1986) 1997.
- 24 C. B. Ungermann and K. G. Caulton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98 (1976) 3862.
- 25 A. Sacco, G. Vasapollo and P. Giannoccaro, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 32 (1979) 171.
- 26 M. P. Doyle, R. A. Pickering, R. L. Dykstra and B. R. Cook, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104 (1982) 3392.
- 27 (a) P. Rigo, B. Longato and G. Favero, *Inorg. Chem.*, 11 (1972) 300; (b) P. Rigo and M. Bressan, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 37 (1975) 1812.
- 28 R. A. Bailey, S. L. Kozak, T. W Michelsen and W. N. Mills, Coord. Chem. Rev., 6 (1971) 407.
- 29 J. J. Jenkinson, W. Levason, R. J. Perry and M. D. Spicer, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1989) 453, and refs. therein.