# X-ray crystal structure of cis-[Co(pxo)<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>] (pxo=2-acetylpyridine 1-oxide oxime). Bis-chelate cis-octahedral complexes M(pxo)<sub>2</sub>Hal<sub>2</sub> (M=Co<sup>II</sup>, Ni<sup>II</sup>; Hal=Cl, Br)

Godfrey C. Chiumia\*, Donald C. Craig\*\*, Imdadullah and David J. Phillips\*\* School of Chemistry, University of New South Wales, P.O. Box 1, Kensington, NSW 2033 (Australia)

(Received February 10, 1993; revised April 8, 1993)

# Abstract

The X-ray structure is reported for the complex cis-[Co(pxo)<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>] (pxo=2-acetylpyridine 1-oxide oxime). The complex is monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ , a = 12.167(3), b = 10.179(3), c = 16.863(4) Å  $\beta = 120.10(1)^\circ$ . The complex has a bischelate cis-octahedral structure, involving ON bonded pxo, and the corresponding nickel(II) complex is isostructural. These results contrast with a previous assignment of *trans*-structures for these complexes. Hydrogen bonding from oxime to bromide appears to stabilise the cis-structure. On the basis of spectroscopic and magnetic measurements, the analogous chloride complexes, M(pxo)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (M=Co<sup>II</sup>, Ni<sup>II</sup>), appear likewise to have cis-structures.

# Introduction

Landers and Phillips [1] reported bis-chelate cobalt(II) and nickel(II) complexes,  $M(pxo)_2X_2$  (X = Br, NO<sub>3</sub>, NCS), with 2-acetylpyridine 1-oxide oxime (pxo, I), a pyridine N-oxide oxime ligand. X-ray powder diffraction patterns showed that corresponding cobalt(II) and nickel(II) complexes were isostructural. The electronic spectra of the nickel(II) complexes indicated six-coordinate structures. With the nickel(II) bromide complex, Ni(pxo)\_2Br<sub>2</sub>, the  $\nu_1({}^{3}T_{2g} \leftarrow {}^{3}A_{2g})$  transition was split, indicating a considerable departure from  $O_h$  symmetry in this complex [2]. Because of this, Landers and Phillips proposed a *trans*-structure for Ni(pxo)\_2Br<sub>2</sub>, and for the isostructural complex Co(pxo)\_2Br<sub>2</sub> (see II) [1].



I, рхо



II, trans-M(pxo)<sub>2</sub> $X_2$  structures



III, structures of metal salicylaldoximates and derivatives

It was thought that these *trans*-structures in the  $M(pxo)_2Br_2$  complexes might be stabilised by hydrogen bonding from oxime to *N*-oxide, as depicted in II. This proposed hydrogen bonding is analogous to that found in metal salicylaldoximate complexes and derivatives [3], which have an electronic similarity to bis-pxo complexes, as illustrated in III.

We have determined the X-ray crystal structure of  $[Co(pxo)_2Br_2]$  and find that, contrary to the assignment of Landers and Phillips [1], it has a *cis*-structure. Oxime

<sup>\*</sup>Present address: Department of Chemistry, Chancellor College, University of Malawi, P.O. Box 280, Zomba, Malawi.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed.

to bromide hydrogen bonding is present, and this may help to stabilise the *cis*-configuration.

# Experimental

Preparations

# $[Co(pxo)_2Br_2]$ and $Ni(pxo)_2Br_2$

These were prepared by the method of Landers and Phillips [1]. Single crystals of  $[Co(pxo)_2Br_2]$  were obtained by slow evaporation of an ethanolic solution of the complex.

#### $Co(pxo)_2Cl_2$

A hot solution of pxo [1] (1.60 g, 11.0 mmol) in 95% ethanol (50 ml) was added to a hot solution of cobalt(II) chloride hexahydrate (1.30 g, 5.5 mmol) in hot 95% ethanol (40 ml) and then left to cool; this gave a brick red solid which was dried in vacuum over CaCl<sub>2</sub> for two weeks. Yield 1.31 g (55%). *Anal*. Found: C, 38.89; H, 3.63; N. 12.66; Co, 13.78. Calc. for CoCl<sub>2</sub>C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 38.73; H, 3.71; N, 12.91; Co, 13.57%.

#### $Ni(pxo)_2Cl_2$

A hot solution of pxo (1.60 g, 11.0 mmol) in 95% ethanol (50 ml) was added to a hot solution of nickel(II) chloride hexahydrate (1.30 g, 5.5 mmol) in hot 95% ethanol (40 ml) and then left to cool; this gave a yellow-green solid which was dried in vacuum over CaCl<sub>2</sub> for two weeks. Yield 1.73 g (73%). *Anal.* Found: C, 38.78; H, 3.68; N, 12.81; Ni, 13.28. Calc. for Ni-Cl<sub>2</sub>C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 38.75; H, 3.72; N, 12.91; Ni, 13.53%.

#### X-ray crystal structure determination of $[Co(pxo)_2Br_2]$

Intensities for 3547 reflections were collected for a crystal of dimensions  $0.23 \times 0.23 \times 0.26$  mm with an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer in  $\theta/2\theta$  scan mode using graphite monochromatised Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71069$  Å). Data were corrected for absorption. A total of 2490 unique reflections with  $I > 3\sigma(I)$  was considered observed. Crystal data are given in Table 1. The structure was solved by Patterson heavy atom methods, and refined by a block diagonal least-squares procedure. All hydrogen atoms were found by difference maps and the final R factor was 0.028 (weighted value 0.034).

Atomic scattering factors and anomalous dispersion parameters were from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography [4]. Structure solution and refinement computations used local programs and BLOCKLS, a local version of ORFLS [5].

TABLE 1. Crystal data for [Co(pxo)<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>]

Molecular formula	CoC <sub>14</sub> H <sub>16</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>4</sub> Br <sub>2</sub>
a (Å)	12.167(3)
b (Å)	10.179(3)
c (Å)	16.863(4)
β (°)	120.10(1)
Z	4
$D_c$ (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.66
Space group	<i>P</i> 2 <sub>1</sub> / <i>c</i>
$\mu_{Mo}$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	53.54
V (Å <sup>3</sup> )	2088



Fig. 1. A perspective drawing of the complex [Co(pxo)<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>].

#### Analyses and physical measurements

Microanalyses for carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen were carried out by Dr. H.P. Pham of the Microanalytical Laboratory, University of New South Wales. Metal analyses were carried out by Mr R.J. Finlayson, of the Department of Analytical Chemistry, using ICPAES techniques. IR spectra were obtained using a Perkin-Elmer 580B infrared spectrophotometer, and X-ray powder diffraction patterns were obtained using a Siemens D500 X-ray powder diffractometer with Cu K $\alpha$ radiation. Other physical measurements were as previously described [6].

#### **Results and discussion**

The structure is illustrated in Fig. 1. Atomic parameters are listed in Table 2, and selected bond distances and bond angles are given in Table 3.

TABLE 2. Atomic parameters<sup>a</sup>

	x/a	y/b	z/c
Co	0.2347(0)	0.1833(0)	0.5479(0)
Br(1)	0.4717(0)	0.1628(0)	0.5951(0)
Br(2)	0.1739(0)	0.3575(0)	0.4206(0)
O(1)	0.2755(2)	0.0689(2)	0.6582(2)
O(2)	0.1534(3)	0.4394(3)	0.5934(2)
O(3)	0.0485(2)	0.1554(2)	0.5131(1)
O(4)	0.2850(3)	-0.0742(3)	0.4814(2)
N(1)	0.3630(3)	0.1047(3)	0.7421(2)
N(2)	0.2341(3)	0.3335(3)	0.6372(2)
N(3)	-0.0369(3)	0.1541(3)	0.4248(2)
N(4)	0.1892(3)	0.0170(3)	0.4611(2)
C(1)	0.4523(4)	0.0140(4)	0.7929(3)
C(2)	0.5405(4)	0.0379(4)	0.8825(3)
C(3)	0.5383(5)	0.1568(5)	0.9211(3)
C(4)	0.4491(4)	0.2466(4)	0.8683(3)
C(5)	0.3619(3)	0.2240(4)	0.7779(2)
C(6)	0.2678(4)	0.3255(3)	0.7220(2)
C(7)	0.2221(5)	0.4168(4)	0.7693(3)
C(8)	-0.1368(4)	0.2373(4)	0.3962(3)
C(9)	-0.2268(4)	0.2461(4)	0.3058(3)
C(10)	-0.2170(4)	0.1699(4)	0.2428(3)
C(11)	-0.1172(4)	0.0823(4)	0.2725(2)
C(12)	-0.0262(3)	0.0727(3)	0.3651(2)
C(13)	0.0806(3)	-0.0195(3)	0.3966(2)
C(14)	0.0574(4)	0.1490(4)	0.3494(3)
	. ,		• • •

<sup>a</sup>e.s.d.s are given in parentheses.

TABLE 3. Selected interatomic distances (Å) and angles (°)<sup>a</sup>

Co-N(2)	2.148(3)	CoN(4)	2.116(3)
Co-O(1)	2.028(2)	CoO(3)	2.047(2)
Co-Br(1)	2.575(0)	Co-Br(2)	2.582(0)
O(1)-Co-O(3)	84.0(1)	N(2)-Co-Br(1)	104.3(1)
O(1)-Co-N(2)	81.6(1)	N(2)-Co-Br(2)	89.5(1)
O(1)-Co-N(4)	91.6(1)	N(4)-Co-N(2)	163.7(1)
O(1)-Co-Br(1)	87.9(1)	N(4)-Co-Br(1)	90.1(1)
O(1)-Co-Br(2)	170.8(1)	N(4)-Co-Br(2)	96.5(1)
O(3)-Co-N(2)	84.1(1)	Br(1)-Co- $Br(2)$	96.3(0)
O(3)-Co-N(4)	80.4(1)	Br(1)-HO(4)-O(4)	148.5(2)
O(3)-Co-Br(1)	167.3(1)	Br(2)-HO(2)-O(2)	153.8(2)
O(3)-Co-Br(2)	93.2(1)		

<sup>a</sup>e.s.d.s are given in parentheses.

The complex has an octahedral *cis*-structure, in contrast to the previously assigned *trans*-structure [1]. The pxo ligands chelate as ON donors, via the N-oxide and oxime groups, as proposed by Landers and Phillips [1]. The pyridine N-oxide and acetyloxime groups in the pxo molecules are both closely planar. The deviations of these groups from their least-squares planes are  $c. \pm 0.05$  and  $\pm 0.009$  Å, respectively in the C(1)–C(7) pxo molecule, and  $c. \pm 0.03$  and  $\pm 0.008$  Å, respectively, in the C(8)–C(14) pxo molecule. Twisting occurs, however, about the C(5)–C(6) bond in one pxo molecule and about the C(12)–C(13) bond in the other pxo molecule. As a result, the dihedral angle between the pyridine N-oxide and oxime groups is  $35.0^{\circ}$  in the C(1)–C(7) pxo molecule and  $36.1^{\circ}$  in the C(8)–C(14) pxo molecule. The coordination to the cobalt(II) is close to octahedral, the X–Co–Y angles being in the ranges 80.4– $104.3^{\circ}$  and 163.7– $170.8^{\circ}$ . The Co–X bond lengths are normal.

Each bromide is hydrogen-bonded to an oxime hydrogen. The Br(1)–O(4) and Br(2)–O(2) distances are 3.190(3) and 3.162(3) Å, respectively. These are significantly shorter than the van der Waals Br–O distance of 3.3-3.5 Å [7], in keeping with the hydrogen bonding. This pxo---Br hydrogen bonding presumably helps to stabilise the meridional ONBr coordination, and hence the *cis*-structure, for the complex.

Measurements by Landers and Phillips [1] of X-ray powder diffraction patterns, which we have confirmed, show that  $[Co(pxo)_2Br_2]$  and  $Ni(pxo)_2Br_2$  are isostructural. The  $Ni(pxo)_2Br_2$  complex also, therefore, has a *cis*-structure.

With cobalt(II) and nickel(II) chlorides, Landers and obtained the Phillips only 1:1 complexes M(pxo)(CH<sub>3</sub>OH)Cl<sub>2</sub> [1]. We have re-examined this system, and have isolated the 2:1 complexes M(pxo)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>  $(M = Co^{II}, Ni^{II})$ . The magnetic moment data for the 2:1 complexes (Table 4) are in keeping with monomeric structures. The diffuse reflectance spectrum for Ni(pxo)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (Table 5 and Fig. 2) is typical of distorted octahedral nickel(II) [2] with bands  $\nu_1({}^{3}T_{2g} \leftarrow {}^{3}A_{2g})$  and  $\nu_2({}^{3}T_{1g}(F) \leftarrow {}^{3}A_{2g})$  occurring at c. 9000 and c. 15000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The  $\nu_1$  band has a pronounced shoulder, indicating a lowering of symmetry, and it is resolved into two components at liquid nitrogen temperature. The spectrum of  $Ni(pxo)_2Cl_2$  is very similar to that of Ni(pxo)<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>2</sub> (Table 5 and Fig. 2) with bands shifted to higher frequency. This suggests that  $Ni(pxo)_2Cl_2$  has a similar structure to  $Ni(pxo)_2Br_2$ . As mentioned above, Ni(pxo)<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>2</sub> has a cis-structure because it is isostructural with  $[Co(pxo)_2Br_2]$ , and so a similar structure is proposed for Ni(pxo)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.

The complex  $Co(pxo)_2Cl_2$  has a diffuse reflectance spectrum in keeping with six-coordinate cobalt(II) [2] and very similar to that for  $[Co(pxo)_2Br_2]$  (Table 5).

FABLE 4. M	agnetic	data
------------	---------	------

Compound	$\mu_{\rm eff}$ (BM)		<i>θ</i> (K) <sup>a</sup>
	304 K	89 K	
Ni(pxo) <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	3.15	3.16	0
$Co(pxo)_2Cl_2$	4.88	4.79	-4

<sup>a</sup>Corresponds to  $\theta$  in  $\chi'_{M} = C(T-\theta)^{-1}$ .



Fig. 2. Diffuse reflectance spectra.  $Ni(pxo)_2Br_2$ : at room temperature (A) and liquid nitrogen temperature (B);  $Ni(pxo)_2Cl_2$ : at room temperature (C) and liquid nitrogen temperature (D).

TABLE 5. Diffuse reflectance spectra

Compound	Temperature (K)	Absorption maxima <sup>a</sup> $(cm^{-1} \times 10^{-3})$	Colour
$Ni(pxo)_2Br_2$	298	7.7; 9.3; 15.2	vellow-green
	90	7.6; 9.7; 15.3	
$Ni(pxo)_2Cl_2$	298	7.8, c. 9.5sh,b; 15.3	yellow
	90	7.8; 9.7; 15.6	
$Co(pxo)_2Br_2$	298	7.6; 18.0	red
· /	90	7.7, c. 9.3sh; 18.1	
$Co(pxo)_2Cl_2$	298	8.6b; 18.4	brick red
	90	7.8sh, 9.3; 18.4	

sh, shoulder; b, broad. <sup>a</sup>Strong ligand/charge transfer absorption commences at c. 20000 cm<sup>-1</sup> and extends through the higher frequency region in all spectra.

X-ray powder patterns show that  $Co(pxo)_2Cl_2$  is isostructural with  $Ni(pxo)_2Cl_2$  (Table 6) and we therefore propose a *cis*-structure for  $Co(pxo)_2Cl_2$ .

Most labile  $M(chelate)_2X_2$  complexes (as with, for example, cobalt(II) or nickel(II)) have *trans*-structures. Where *cis*-structures occur, they have in some cases been attributed to steric factors, as with 2,2'-bipyridine complexes [8]. With ethylenediamine, both *cis*- and *trans*-Ni(en)<sub>2</sub>XX' species are known [9] and hydrogen bonding appears to contribute to the stabilisation of

TABLE 6. Major lines in the X-ray powder diffraction patterns of  $Co(pxo)_2Cl_2$  and  $Ni(pxo)_2Cl_2$  ( $2\theta^\circ$  is for Cu K $\alpha$  radiation)

$Co(pxo)_2Cl_2 (2\theta^{\circ})$	Ni(pxo) <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (2 $\theta^{\circ}$ )
10.50vw	
12.97vs	13.00s
14.86s	14.90s
15.40vw	15.46vw
19.50vw	19.54vw
21.20m	21.20m
22.40s	22.40s
24.35m	24.36m
26.04s	26.02s
27.40s	27.39s
28.35w	28.35w
29.32vw	29.39vw
31.15m	31.15m
32.00w	32.02w
33.50w	33.50w

vs, very strong; s, strong; m, medium; w, weak; vw, very weak.

some of the *cis*-complexes [9b,d,e,g]. The 2:1 pxo metal halide complexes, described here, appear to form a new system in which hydrogen bonding is associated with the formation of *cis*-complexes.

#### Supplementary material

All atom and thermal parameters, and all interatomic angles and torsional angles, are available from the authors on request.

#### Acknowledgements

Helpful discussions with Associate Professor A.D. Rae, and the award of maintenance grants (to G.C.C. and to I.) from the Australian International Development Assistance Bureau are gratefully acknowledged.

#### References

- 1 A.E. Landers and D.J. Phillips, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 59 (1982) 41.
- 2 A.B.P. Lever, *Inorganic Electronic Spectroscopy*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2nd edn., 1984.
- 3 (a) A. Chakravorty, Coord. Chem. Rev., 13 (1974) 1; (b) M. Gallagher, M.F.C. Ladd, L.F. Larkworthy, D.C. Povey and K.A.R. Salib, J. Crystallogr. Spectrosc. Res., 16 (1986) 967; (c) K. Zhou, C. Zhou, X. Chen and C. Yuan, Kexue Tongbao (Foreign Lang. Ed.), 30 (1985) 1484; (d) L.F. Larkworthy and D.C. Povey, J. Crystallogr. Spectrosc. Res., 13 (1983) 413.
- 4 J.A. Ibers and W.C. Hamilton (eds.), International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography, Vol. 4, Kynoch, Birmingham, UK, 1974.
- 5 W.R. Busing, K.O. Martin and H.A. Levy, ORFLS, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, TN, 1962.

- 6 A.E. Landers and D.J. Phillips, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 51 (1981) 109.
- 7 J.E. Huheey, Inorganic Chemistry Principles of Structure and Reactivity, Harper and Row, New York, 3rd edn., 1983.
- 8 J. Reedijk, in G. Wilkinson (ed.), Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry, Vol. 2, Pergamon, Oxford, 1987.
- 9 (a) L. Sacconi, F. Mani and A. Bencini, in G. Wilkinson (ed.), Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry, Vol. 5, Pergamon, Oxford, 1987; (b) E. Uhlig, Coord. Chem. Rev., 10

(1973) 227; (c) L. Kh. Minacheva, A.S. Antsyshkina and M.A. Porai-Koshits, *Zh. Strukt. Khim.*, *15* (1974) 478; (d) A.A.G. Tomlinson, M. Bonamico, G. Dessy, V. Fares and L. Scaramuzza, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, (1972) 1671; (e) M.E. Farago, J.M. James and V.C.G. Trew, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, (1967) 820; (f) M.A. Porai-Koshits, A.E. Shvelashvili, A.S. Antsyshkina and L.Kh. Minacheva, *Zh. Neorg. Khim.*, *13* (1968) 1245; (g) M.A. Poraj-Kosic, *Acta Crystallogr.*, *21* (1966) A 149.