

### Estimation using MNDO of Skeletal Bending Frequencies in Linear and Quasi-linear Silyl Compounds

C. GLIDEWELL

Chemistry Department, University of St. Andrews, St. Andrews, Fife KY16 9ST, U.K.

Received July 8, 1985

Electron diffraction studies of a number of silyl pseudohalides, including  $\text{H}_3\text{SiNCO}$  [1],  $\text{H}_3\text{SiNCS}$  [1],  $\text{F}_3\text{SiNCO}$  [2], and  $\text{H}_3\text{SiNCNSiH}_3$  [3] have suggested that these molecules are characterised by very low skeletal bending frequencies  $\delta(\text{SiNC})$ : similar conclusions for these, and related silyl pseudohalides, have been drawn from microwave studies [4–6], and from vibrational spectroscopy [7–10]. The suggestion has been made [1] that most silicon pseudohalides of types  $\text{R}_3\text{SiNCO}$ ,  $\text{R}_3\text{SiNCS}$ , and  $\text{R}_3\text{SiNCNSiR}_3$ , as well as species such as  $\text{Si}(\text{NCO})_4$  [11], probably contain  $\text{SiNCX}$  groups which are either linear at the equilibrium configuration or have only a very small barrier at linearity, and additionally exhibit skeletal bending modes  $\delta(\text{SiNC})$  which are of low frequency and high amplitude. The substituted oxo compounds  $(\text{R}_3\text{Si})_2\text{O}$  appear to be similar [12, 13].

With few exceptions [1, 2, 6, 9, 10] detailed descriptions of the skeletal motions of these linear or quasi-linear systems [14] have not been achieved, although estimates of the bending frequencies have been made in a number of cases [1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 15]. We recently reported [16] the results of an SCF

study, using the MNDO [17] method, of the equilibrium structures of a wide range of substituted methyl and silyl pseudohalides: in the present communication, we report the direct calculation of the skeletal vibrational frequencies for a range of substituted silyl isocyanates, isothiocyanates and carbodiimides.

Calculations were made using the MNDO method [17], as incorporated in the MOPAC program system [18], with the published and atomic parameters [19–21]. No  $d$  functions were employed: it has been shown [22, 23] that such functions are not necessary for the adequate description of most of the properties of molecular silicon compounds.

The vibrational spectra of  $\text{H}_3\text{SiNCS}$  [9], and of  $\text{Cl}_3\text{SiNCO}$  and  $\text{Cl}_3\text{SiNCS}$  [8] have been studied in great detail, and in Table I we give as examples, a comparison of the calculated fundamental vibrational frequencies and those observed in the vapour phase for  $\text{H}_3\text{SiNCS}$  and  $\text{Cl}_3\text{SiNCS}$ . In  $\text{Cl}_3\text{SiNCS}$   $\delta(\text{SiNC})$ ,  $\nu_{10}$ , was not observed directly, but its magnitude was inferred from combination bands. With the notable exception of  $\nu_{\text{as}}(\text{NCS})$  which for both molecules is calculated to be too large, the calculated frequencies are in reasonable accord with those observed, and the calculated atomic motions broadly confirm the published assignments [8, 9].

For each of the molecules  $\text{R}_3\text{SiNCO}$  and  $\text{R}_3\text{SiNCS}$  ( $\text{R} = \text{H}, \text{F}, \text{Cl}, \text{CH}_3$ ) there is a doubly degenerate skeletal bending mode, described approximately as  $\delta(\text{SiNC})$ , of  $e$  symmetry in  $\text{C}_{3v}$ , which is calculated in every case (Table II) to occur at very low frequency.

The second skeletal bend,  $\delta(\text{NCX})$  is calculated to lie in the range  $491\text{--}511\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for  $\text{R}_3\text{SiNCO}$ , and in the range  $455\text{--}474\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for  $\text{R}_3\text{SiNCS}$ . In marked contrast to the very low values calculated for  $\delta(\text{SiNC})$

TABLE I. Comparison of Observed and Calculated Fundamental Frequencies in  $\text{H}_3\text{SiNCS}$  and  $\text{Cl}_3\text{SiNCS}$ 

	$\text{H}_3\text{SiNCS}$			$\text{Cl}_3\text{SiNCS}$		
	Observed frequency ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) <sup>a</sup>	Calculated frequency ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ )	Approximate description	Observed frequency ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) <sup>b</sup>	Calculated frequency ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ )	Approximate description
$a_1$ modes	2206	2262	$\nu(\text{SiH})$	2092	2322	$\nu_{\text{as}}(\text{NCS})$
	2065	2383	$\nu_{\text{as}}(\text{NCS})$	1072	1185	$\nu_{\text{s}}(\text{NCS})$
	1036	1150	$\nu_{\text{s}}(\text{NCS})$	512	623	$\nu(\text{SiN})$
	951	965	$\delta(\text{SiH}_3)$	410	409	$\nu(\text{SiCl})$
	492	546	$\nu(\text{SiN})$	210	170	$\delta(\text{SiCl}_3)$
$e$ modes	2207	2269	$\nu(\text{SiH})$	623	639	$\nu(\text{SiCl})$
	950	930	$\delta(\text{SiH}_3)$	469	471	$\delta(\text{NCS})$
	707	734	$\rho(\text{SiH}_3)$	264	252	$\rho(\text{SiCl}_3)$
	478	455	$\delta(\text{NCS})$	170	139	$\delta(\text{SiCl}_3)$
	66	119	$\delta(\text{SiNC})$	Not observed	56	$\delta(\text{SiNC})$

<sup>a</sup>Ref. 9    <sup>b</sup>Ref. 8.

TABLE II. Calculated Skeletal Bending Frequencies  $\delta(\text{SiNC})$  in Silyl Pseudohalides

R <sub>3</sub> SiNCO			R <sub>3</sub> SiNCS				
Compound	$\nu(\text{calc.}) (\text{cm}^{-1})$	$\nu(\text{obs.}) (\text{cm}^{-1})$	Reference	Compound	$\nu(\text{calc.}) (\text{cm}^{-1})$	$\nu(\text{obs.}) (\text{cm}^{-1})$	Reference
H <sub>3</sub> SiNCO	83	20–69 <sup>a</sup>	1, 5, 6, 15	H <sub>3</sub> SiNCS	119	66 <sup>d</sup>	9
F <sub>3</sub> SiNCO	72	97 <sup>b</sup>	2	F <sub>3</sub> SiNCS	80	–	
Cl <sub>3</sub> SiNCO	57	29 <sup>c</sup>	8	Cl <sub>3</sub> SiNCS	56	24 <sup>c</sup>	8
Me <sub>3</sub> SiNCO	55	37 <sup>d</sup>	10	Me <sub>3</sub> SiNCS	73	~45 <sup>d</sup>	10

<sup>a</sup> $\delta(\text{SiNC})$  has not been directly observed: 20–69 cm<sup>-1</sup> represents the overall range of estimates derived from various experimental observations. <sup>b</sup>Estimated from electron-diffraction potential function. <sup>c</sup>Estimated from combination bands. <sup>d</sup>Direct observation.

NC) in R<sub>3</sub>SiNCO and R<sub>3</sub>SiNCS, in the fulminates H<sub>3</sub>SiCNO and H<sub>3</sub>SiCNS, the values calculated for  $\delta(\text{SiCN})$  are much higher, 202 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 160 cm<sup>-1</sup> respectively, with  $\delta(\text{CNO})$ , 421 cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $\delta(\text{CNS})$ , 418 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

A carbodiimide R<sub>3</sub>MNCNMR<sub>3</sub> having a linear MNCNM skeleton will exhibit two  $\delta(\text{MNC})$  modes, of  $e_g$  and  $e_u$  symmetry in  $D_{3d}$ , or  $e'$  and  $e''$  in  $D_{3h}$ ; the mode  $\delta(\text{NCN})$  has  $e_u$  symmetry in  $D_{3d}$  or  $e'$  symmetry in  $D_{3h}$ . In SiF<sub>3</sub>NCNSiF<sub>3</sub> and SiCl<sub>3</sub>NCNSiCl<sub>3</sub>, the  $e_u$   $\delta(\text{SiNC})$  modes occur at 30 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 25 cm<sup>-1</sup> respectively, and the  $e_g$  modes at 69 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 53 cm<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The skeletal bend  $\delta(\text{NCN})$  is calculated to occur at 496 cm<sup>-1</sup> in SiF<sub>3</sub>NCNSiF<sub>3</sub> and at 495 cm<sup>-1</sup> in SiCl<sub>3</sub>NCNSiCl<sub>3</sub>.

Finally, we have calculated the skeletal bending frequency,  $\delta(\text{SiOSi})$ , in three ethers (R<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>O for R = H, F, and Cl. The frequencies calculated are 72 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 70 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and 37 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The observed band maximum in (SiH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O occurs at around 70 cm<sup>-1</sup> [12, 24, 25], and in (SiF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O and (SiCl<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O the bending frequencies have been measured as 85 cm<sup>-1</sup> [26] and 63 cm<sup>-1</sup> [27] respectively. Again the MNDO calculations within an (*s,p*) basis set reveal extremely low skeletal bending frequencies.

In every example, the occurrence of a very low frequency skeletal bending mode, perhaps the most characteristic common feature of these molecules, is reproduced by the calculations.

## References

- C. Glidewell, A. G. Robiette and G. M. Sheldrick, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **16**, 526 (1972).
- W. C. Airey, C. Glidewell, A. G. Robiette and G. M. Sheldrick, *J. Mol. Struct.*, **8**, 435 (1971).
- C. Glidewell and A. G. Robiette, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **28**, 290 (1974).
- D. R. Jenkins, R. Kewley and T. M. Sugden, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, **58**, 1284 (1962).
- M. C. L. Gerry, J. C. Thompson and T. M. Sugden, *Nature (London)*, **211**, 846 (1966).
- J. A. Duckett, A. G. Robiette and I. M. Mills, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, **62**, 34 (1976).
- E. A. V. Ebsworth, R. Mould, R. Taylor, G. R. Wilkinson and L. A. Woodward, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, **58**, 1069 (1962).
- D. F. Koster, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A.*, **24**, 395 (1968).
- J. R. Durig, K. S. Kalasinsky and V. F. Kalasinsky, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **82**, 438 (1978).
- J. R. Durig, J. F. Sullivan, A. W. Cox, Jr. and B. J. Streusand, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A.*, **34**, 719 (1978).
- K. E. Hjortaa, *Acta Chem. Scand.*, **21**, 1381 (1967).
- J. R. Durig, M. J. Flanagan and V. F. Kalasinsky, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **66**, 2775 (1977).
- C. Glidewell and D. C. Liles, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **212**, 291 (1981).
- W. R. Thorson and I. Nakagawa, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **33**, 994 (1960).
- S. J. Cyvin, J. Brunvoll and A. G. Robiette, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **11**, 263 (1971).
- C. Glidewell and H. D. Holden, *J. Mol. Struct.*, **89**, 325 (1982).
- M. J. S. Dewar and W. Thiel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **99**, 4899 (1977).
- J. J. P. Stewart, *QCPE Bull.*, **3**, 43 (1983).
- M. J. S. Dewar and W. Thiel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **99**, 4907 (1977).
- M. J. S. Dewar and H. S. Rzepa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **100**, 58 (1978).
- M. J. S. Dewar, H. S. Rzepa and M. L. McKee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **100**, 3607 (1978).
- C. Glidewell and C. Thomson, *J. Comput. Chem.*, **3**, 495 (1982).
- C. Glidewell and C. Thomson, *J. Comput. Chem.*, **4**, 9 (1983).
- J. R. Aronson, R. C. Lord and D. W. Robinson, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **33**, 1004 (1960).
- D. W. Robinson, W. J. Lafferty, J. R. Aronson, J. R. Durig and R. C. Lord, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **35**, 2245 (1961).
- J. R. Durig, V. F. Kalasinsky and M. J. Flanagan, *Inorg. Chem.*, **14**, 2839 (1975).
- J. R. Durig, M. J. Flanagan and V. F. Kalasinsky, *J. Mol. Struct.*, **27**, 241 (1975).