The Uncatalysed and the Copper(I1) Promoted Hydrolysis of 4-Nitrophenyl Gleucinate

ROBERT W. HAY and ARUP K. BASAK

Chemistry Department, University of Stirling, Stirling FK9 4LA, U.K. Received October 29, 1985

Abstract

The uncatalysed hydrolysis of 4nitrophenyl Lleucinate has been studied in detail over a range of pH and temperature at $I = 0.1$ M (KNO₃). Base hydrolysis of the ester is strongly promoted by copper(I1) ions. Rate constants have been obtained for the following reactions (where EH⁺ is the Nprotonated ester and E is the free base form)

 EH^+ + OH \longrightarrow products

 $E + OH^ \longrightarrow$ products

 $E + H_2O \longrightarrow$ products

 $CuE^{2+} + OH^- \longrightarrow$ products

Base hydrolysis of the copper(II) complex CuE^{2+} is 3.8×10^5 times faster than that of E and 75 times faster than that of EH^+ at 25 °C and $I = 0.1$ M. Activation parameters for these reactions have been determined and possible mechanisms are considered.

Introduction

The occurrence of a variety of metalloenzymes (e.g. carboxypeptidase A and carbonic anhydrase) which catalyse the hydrolysis of simple ester substrates, has stimulated much interest in the area of metal-ion promoted reactions of esters [l]. Since the initial discovery by Kroll [2] that transition metal ions promote the hydrolysis of α -amino-acid esters, such reactions have been the subject of extensive kinetic and thermodynamic investigations [1]. These studies have almost exclusively involved the use of simple alkyl esters (particularly methyl and ethyl esters) which provide poor leaving groups. It is normally necessary to monitor these reactions by pH-stat techniques, and it is often not possible to greatly vary the metal to ligand ratios. The use of 4nitrophenyl esters which provide a good leaving group presents a number of advantages. For example, the reactions can be monitored spectrophotometrical-

ly, and since low ester concentrations are required it is possible to study the hydrolyses using a wide range of metal to ligand ratios. Currently the only published investigation using 4-nitrophenyl esters of amino-acids has been a study of the hydrolysis of 4nitrophenyl carboalkoxyglycinates by hydroxocomplexes of mercury(II)-chelates [3]. The present paper deals with the uncatalysed and copper(H) promoted hydrolysis of 4-nitrophenyl L-leucinate (I) and is one of a series dealing with 4-nitrophenyl esters of α -amino-acids*.

Experimental

The ester 4-nitrophenyl L-leucinate hydrobromide was prepared by treatment of the N-carbobenzyloxy ester (B.D.H) with HBr/HOAc essentially as described by Ben-Ishai and Berger [5]. About 0.1 mol of the N-carbobenzyloxy ester was treated with a saturated solution of HBr in glacial acetic acid. Carbon dioxide was evolved and the reaction was complete within a few minutes. After complete evolution of $CO₂$, dry ether (ca. 100 cm^3) was added to precipitate the ester hydrobromide. The mixture was placed in a refrigerator overnight, the product filtered off, washed thoroughly with ether and dried over sodium hydroxide pellets. The ester was recrystallised from dried ethanol by addition of dry ether, melting point 197 °C (decomposition) (literature [6] 199 °C (decomposition)). Anal. Calc. for $C_{12}H_{16}N_2O_4$ HBr: C, 43.27; H, 5.10; N, 8.41. Found: C, 43.10; H, 5.16; N, 8.30%.

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and uncatalysed and copper promot a discussion of the uncatalysed and copperti-

 S_{S} of copperations of copperations of copperations of \overline{S} Solutions of coppertification prepared from $A.R.$ $Cu(NO₃)₂·6H₂O$ were standardised by normal methods [7]. Acetate buffer solutions were prepared by literature procedures [8]. The ionic strength was maintained at 0.1 M using standard $KNO₃$ solution, which was standardised by ion-exchange methods.

Kinetic Measurements

rent measurements
The dealer leadership at the atomic level $\frac{1}{10}$ and the coppertunctions of $\frac{4}{10}$ proportions were $\frac{1}{10}$ tow pri, and the coppertity promoted reactions were r_{t} and r_{t} of r_{t} in the kinetic base hydro-base release of 4-nitrophenol. The kinetics of base hydrolysis of the ester were monitored by pH-stat using methods described elsewhere [9]. Spectrophotometric monitoring was carried out with a Gilford 2400S spectrophotometer. A concentrated methanolic solution of the ester (0.05 cm^3) was added to the appropriate thermostatted solution and the increase in absorbance due to the release of 4-nitrophenol monitored at 320 nm. In all cases the initial ester $\frac{1}{20}$ and $\frac{1}{20}$ M $\frac{1}{20}$ M $\frac{1}{20}$ M. For the contract $\frac{1}{20}$ concentration was 1.70×10^{10} M. For the copper (11) promoted reaction the copper concentrations were in large excess. Reactions were followed for 6–8 half lives and the A_{∞} values were recorded after $12-14+1+16+1$ σ values wele fecoluled after $\frac{12-14}{16}$ half lives. The observed first order fate constants (k_{obs}) were evaluated from the absorbance data using a desk top computer. Values of the hydroxide ion concentration were determined from the pH using the appropriate molar activity coefficients (calculated from the Davies' equation $[10]^*$) and values of pK_w^{**} obtained from the literature [11].

Carbon dioxide is known to catalyse the hydrolysis of aryl esters of α -amino-acids [12] and all kinetic measurements were carried out with freshly prepared buffer solutions and care taken to prevent any $CO₂$ contamination of solutions.

Results and Discussion

The ionisation equilibrium of 4-nitrophenyl L- $\frac{1}{10}$ consation equinorium of $\frac{4}{10}$ -mitrophenyi L leucinate $(R = CH_2CH(CH_3)_2)$ can be represented
by eqn. (1)

$$
H_3\overset{\star}{NC}H(R)CO_2Ar \stackrel{K}{\Longleftrightarrow} H_2NCH(R)CO_2Ar + H^+ \qquad (1)
$$
\n
$$
{}^{(EH^*)} (E)
$$

Rapid potentiometric titration of the ester hydro**b**romide in the call of the external potention entitled a part of α . α bromide in 0.1 M KNO_3 indicated a pK of ca. 7.0. Base hydrolysis of the ester is quite rapid and there is considerable pH drift at higher pH values.

TABLE I. Base Hydrolysis of E at various Temperatures and IADLE I. Dase H

Temperature $(^{\circ}C)$	pH	10^5 [OH ⁻¹] (M)	$10^3 k_{\text{obs}}^{\text{b}}$ (s^{-1})
20	9.80	5.55	2.64(3)
	9.90	6.99	3.06(5)
	9.97	8.21	3.28(4)
	10.08	10.58	3.76(3)
	10.23	14.94	4.85(3)
	10.46	25.37	7.37(3)
25	9.20	2.07	3.00(3)
	9.43	3.51	3.31(4)
	9.77	7.68	4.50(5)
	9.98	12.46	6.03(3)
30	8.50	0.60	3.68(3)
	8.76	1.10	3.68(4)
	8.83	1.29	3.77(5)
	9.09	2.35	4.09(5)
	9.37	4.47	4.84(3)
	9.65	8.52	6.17(3)
		\mathbf{u}	

reaction monitored by pri-

Base hydrolysis of the unprotonated species E was s_{max} is the pH range by ph-state $\frac{1}{2}$. studied by pH-stat in the pH range $9.2-10.0$ (at 25 °C) at $I = 0.1$ M (KNO₃) and three temperatures. Values of the observed first order rate constants (k_{obs}) as a function of pH and temperature are listed
in Table I. A plot of *kobs vs. [OH-]* is linear with a positive

 \mathbf{A} plot of κ_{obs} *vs.* [OH] is linear with a positiv intercept, Fig. 1, so that $k_{obs} = k_0 + k_{OH} [OH^{-}]$. The k_0 term can be assigned to water attack on E as shown in eqn. (2).

$$
E + H_2O \longrightarrow \text{products} \tag{2}
$$

$$
E + OH^- \longrightarrow products \tag{3}
$$

This reaction is kinetically indistinguishable from the rius reaction is kinetically muistinguismable from the reaction of hydroxide ion with EH^+ . Values of k_0 were determined from the intercept of the plots and k_{OH} from the slope, Table II. The k_{0} rate constants were converted to second order constants using the expression $k_{\text{H}_2O} = k_o/55.5$, where 55.5 M is the molar concentration of water. For reaction (2) , ΔH^+ = 15.7 kcal mol⁻¹ and ΔS_{298}^{\dagger} = -26 cal \hat{K}^{-1}
mol⁻¹. While for base hydrolysis (reaction (3)), $\frac{1}{2}$. **w** ille 101 02 $\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 6 \\ 10 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$ = 6.6 km iii = 1.6 km ii e nydrolysis (reaction (3)), 23 C and $1 - 0.1$ M with $\Delta H^{\frac{1}{4}} = 6.6$ kcal mol⁻¹ and $\Delta S_{298}^{\text{+}} = -30$ cal K⁻¹ $mol⁻¹$. Substantial negative entropies of activation are expected for bimolecular reactions of this type. Thus for the base hydrolysis of unprotonated ethyl leucinate $k_{OH} = 0.18 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 25 °C and $I = 0.1 \text{ M}$ with $\Delta H^{\dagger} = 7.5$ kcal mol⁻¹ and $\Delta S_{298}^{\dagger} = -39$ cal K^{-1} mol⁻¹ [13]. At 25 °C the 4-nitrophenyl ester undergoes base hydrolysis some 160 times faster than the ethyl ester.

 $\frac{1}{1-\alpha}$. The molar activity coefficients at I= 0.1 α molar at I= 0.1 α "The motar activity coefficients at $(20 °C)$; 0.772 (25 °C) and 0.770 (30 °C).

^{**}The following values of pK_w were employed: 14.1666 (20 °C); 13.996₅ (25 °C) and 13.833₀ (30 °C). Intermediate values of pK_w were determined from plots of $\ln K_w$ vs.
1/T.

 $\frac{1}{1}$ ig. 1.1 I lot of κ_{obs} vs.

Base hydrolysis of the protonated species EH^+ was studied in the pH range 5-6 at a series of temperwas studied in the primarile $3-0$ at a series of tempe. atures and $I = 0.1$ M (KPO3). The fact constants $\frac{k_{obs}}{k}$ Utamcu are summarised in Table 111. Values or κ_{obs} | OH | \sim κ_{OH} are constant throughout the prior range indicating a first-order dependence on the hydroxide ion concentration and the lack of any solvoking for concentration and the lack of any solvolytic reaction. At 25 C , the value of π_{OH} . from the temperature dependence of *koH* are AH* 110 II. The competature dependence of 10 H are 12 -11.6 K can more and ω_{298} -11.5 can K more A more positive entropy of activation is expected
in this case as charge neutralisation occurs in the transition state leading to desolvation of the reactants.

Copper(II) Promoted Reaction

The copper(I1) promoted reactions were studied at a total ester concentration of 1.70×10^{-4} M. at a rotal ester concentration of 1.70×10^{-1} $\frac{1}{2}$ nm by monitoring the release of $\frac{1}{2}$ at 320 nm by monitoring the release of 4-nitrophenol. Table IV lists values of k_{obs} as a function of the copper(II) concentration at pH 4.48 and 30 °C. At copper(II) concentrations greater than $1 \times$ $10⁻²$ M, the reaction becomes independent of the real theories in th \mathbf{r} concentration with a limiting value of *k*, \mathbf{r} copper (1) concentration with a militing value of κ_{obs} of ca. $0.7 \wedge 10 = 5$, Fig. 2. The value in Table 111 $k = 0$ in the absence of contract of cont $\frac{1}{2}$ \wedge iv s at 50° C.

$$
Cu^{2+} + E \xleftarrow{K} CuE^{2+} \tag{4}
$$

TABLE IL The Temperature Dependence of the Rate Constants k_0 and k_{OH} for the Hydrolysis of E at $I = 0.1$ M $(KNO₃)$

Temperature $(^{\circ}C)$	$10^3 k_{o}$ (s^{-1})	$\frac{10^5 k_{\text{H}_2O}^2}{(M^{-1} s^{-1})}$	$10^{-1} k_{\text{OH}}$ (M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)
20	1.36	2.45	2.33
25	2.29	4.13	2.87
30	3.40	6.13	3.49

a b b c \mathbf{r} **d** \mathbf{r} **c** \mathbf{r} **d** \mathbf{r} $\prod_{i=1}^{101}$, $\prod_{i=2}^{10}$, $\prod_{i=1}^{101}$ 15.7×10^{-1} σ^{\pm} 26.1×10^{-1} $A + 13.6$ kcal mol- $, \frac{\mu_{0}}{298}$ = 20 cal K K_{tot} mol- tot rate constants $\frac{1}{2}H_{\text{tot}}$ K^{-1} mol⁻¹. The rate constants k_{H_2O} were derived from the expression $k_{H_2O} = k_o/55.5$, where 55.5 M is the molar concentration of water.

TABLE III. Base Hydrolysis of EH+ at various Temperatures and $I = 0.1$ M (KNO₃)

Temperature pH $(^{\circ}C)$		10^{9} [OH ⁻¹] (M)	$10^3 k_{\text{obs}}$ (s^{-1})	10^{-5} k_{OH}^{a} $(M^{-1} s^{-1})$
22	5.19	1.85	0.21	1.14
	5.48	3.57	0.41	1.15
	5.86	8.68	0.92	1.06
		5.96 10.82	1.18	1.09
		6.14 16.31	1.85	1.13
k_{OH} = 1.10 × 10 ⁵ M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹				
25	5.19	2.02	0.29	1.44
	5.48	3.94	0.58	1.47
	5.69	6.39	0.90	1.41
	5.84	9.02	1.31	1.45
	5.95	11.63	1.72	1.48
k_{OH} = 1.44 \times 10 ⁵ M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹				
30	5.19	2.96	0.49	1.66
	5.48	5.71	1.16	2.00
	5.67	8.86	1.68	1.90
	5.81	12.23	2.40	1.96
	5.92	15.72	3.05	1.94
k_{OH} = 1.93 \times 10 ⁵ M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹				

^aFrom the temperature dependence of k_{OH} , $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 11.8$ kcal mo Γ^{-1} and ΔS_{298}^{\dagger} = +4.3 cal K⁻¹ mol⁻¹.

$$
CuE^{2+} + OH^{-} \xrightarrow{k_{OH}} CuA^{+} + ArOH
$$
 (5)

where A^- is the anion of L-leucine and ArOH is 4nitrophenol. The first order dependence on $[OH^-]$ implied in eqn. (5) was confirmed by studies of the pH-dependence of the plateau value of k_{obs} , Table V. V_1 ₁ = $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ = $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ ([OH⁻¹] are constant with values of $N_{\text{O}}H = N_{\text{obs}}/[V_{\text{H}}]$ are constant with μ_{OH} = 1.20 \land 10 μ \rightarrow α at 50 °C. The temper ature dependence of the κ_{OH} values gives $\frac{1}{2}$. The data reported in Table V were all determined using a copper(II) concentration of 10.5×10^{-3} M.

ig. 2. Copper(II) dependence of the hydrolysis of 4-nitro-

TABLE IV. Copper, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}$ dependence of the Hydrolysis of the Hydrolys ABLE IV. Copper(II) Dependence of the Hydrolysis of 4-Nitrophenyl L-Leucinate at $I = 0.1$ M (KNO₃), pH 4.48
and 30 °C^a

10^3 [Cu ²⁺] (M)	10^3 k_{obs} (s^{-1})	10^3 [Cu ²⁺] (M)	$\frac{10^3 k_{\rm obs}}{(s^{-1})}$
0.525	1.69	7.00	7.15
0.70	2.39	8.75	7.00
1.225	3.40	10.50	6.82
1.75	3.98	14.00	6.87
2.80	4.99		
5.25	6.69		

aReactions monitored spectrophotometrically at 320 nm. Reactions monitored spectrophotometri

 $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$. The various reactions, we can assume that $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$ **Kate constants at 25** C for the various reactions, with the appropriate activation parameters are summarised in Table VI. Base hydrolysis of the copper(II) complex CuE^{2+} is 3.8×10^5 times faster than that of E and 75 times faster than that of EH⁺ at 25 $^{\circ}$ C and $I = 0.1$ M. For base hydrolysis of EH⁺ and CuE²⁺, the values of ΔH^+ are identical within experimental error and the 75 fold rate increase for $CuE²⁺$ compared with EH⁺ arises solely due to a more positive value of ΔS_{298}^{*} for the metal complex. The base hydrolysis of an ester involves a bimolecular reaction with an associated substantial negative entropy of activation, as is clearly seen in the base hydrolysis of E where $\Delta S_{298}^{\dagger} = -30$ cal K⁻¹ mol⁻¹. For the base hydrolysis of positively charged ester species there is considerable charge neutralisation in the transition state with concomitant release of solvating water leading to more positive values of ΔS_{298}^{\dagger} .

A number of specific mechanisms can be considered for the substantial rate acceleration observed in the base hydrolysis of CuE^{2+} . The reaction could involve attack of 'external' hydroxide on the chelate ester species II , or there could be intramolecular attack by coordinated hydroxide on a monodentate ester species III .

TABLE V. pH-Dependence of the Copper(H) Promoted ABLE V. pH-Dependence of the Copper(II) Promoted Hydrolysis of 4-Nitrophenyl L-Leucinate at various Temperatures and $I = 0.1$ M (KNO₃)^a

Temperature $(^{\circ}C)$	pH	10^{10} [OH ⁻] 10^3 k_{obs} ^b 10^{-7} k_{OH} (M)	(s^{-1})	$(M^{-1} s^{-1})$
30	4.18	2.86	3.65(6)	1.28
	4.35	4.31	5.75(6)	1.33
	4.48	5.71	7.04(1)	1.23
	4.57	7.04	$8.42(6)$ 1.20	
	4.69	9.39	$12.26(3)$ 1.31	
	4.73	10.34	13.68(3)	1.32
k_{OH} = 1.29 \times 10 ⁷ M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹				
25	4.18	1.97	2.22(4)	1.13
	4.46	3.76	$3.95(5)$ 1.05	
	4.66	5.96	$6.65(6)$ 1.12	
		4.69 6.39	$6.73(6)$ 1.05	
	4.80	8.23	9.05(6)	1.10
k_{OH} = 1.02 × 10 ⁷ M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹				
22	4.27	2.24	1.45(5)	0.65
	4.46	3.42	2.87(6)	0.84
	4.66	5.41	4.14(3)	0.77
	4.86	8.68	6.23(6)	0.72
k_{OH} = 0.75 × 10 ⁷ M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹				

 $\overline{}$ and $\overline{}$ concentration of $\overline{}$ and $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ Using a copper(II) concentration of 10.5×10^{-5} M. bValues in parenthesis are the number of kinetic runs carried out. From the temperature dependence of k_{OH} , $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 11.9 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S_{298}^{\ddagger} = +13 \text{ cal K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$.

TABLE VI. Summary of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$. Summary of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ ABLE VI. Summary of Rate Constants at 25 °C and Activation Parameters for the Hydrolytic Reactions of 4-Nitro-
phenyl L-Leucinate

4.13×10^{-5} 15.7
-26
-30 6.6
$EH^+ + OH^-$ 1.45 $\times 10^5$ 11.8 $+4.3$
$CuE^{2+} + OH^-$ 1.09 \times 10 ⁷ 11.9 $+13$

 T promoted reaction displays a first displays a f ne copper(11) promoted reaction displays a first order dependence on the hydroxide ion concentration up to pH 4.80. The pK_a for the ionisation of the coordinated water molecule in the N -coordinated ester species, $Cu-OH_2 \rightarrow Cu-OH + H^+$ must be at least 6 for such a dependence to be observed. The pK values in copper(II) complexes normally fall in the range $5-7$ so that a mechanism involving III

cannot be immediately discarded. However, studies of the base hydrolysis of chelate ester species in kinetically inert cobalt(II1) complexes have shown [16] that the rate accelerations of 10^4-10^6 normally observed, arise solely due to ΔS^* . In the intramolecular reactions there is also a substantial contribution from ΔH^* . Using such criteria a mechanism involving the chelate ester species **II** seems most appropriate to the present system, as the rate enhancement of $\frac{3.8 \text{ m}}{25.8 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}}$ at $\frac{3.8 \text{ m}}{25.8 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}}$ and $\frac{3.8 \text{ m}}{25.8 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}}$ $p \wedge p$ into a $p \wedge p^*$. The value of $\mathbf{A} H^*$ for the base base of the b h_{reflex} of CuE2+ (11.9 kcal mol-¹) is considerably h_{max} than that for E where ΛH^{\ddagger} is 6.6 km at molhigher than that for E where ΔH^+ is 6.6 kcal mol⁻¹,
Table VI. Exactly analogous observations have been made in the palladium (II) promoted hydrolysis of a-amino acid esters where chelate ester species are ainitio actu coccio where cheface ester species are $\frac{1}{2}$ proported $\frac{1}{2}$ promoted hydrolysis of the methyl esternal esternal esternal external extended to the methyl esternal external extended to the methyl external extended to the methyl external extended to the of ethylenediamine-monoacetate [151 which also convictionamme-monoaceta involves a chelate ester species.
One interesting aspect of the system under study

is the very high reactivity of $EH⁺$ in base hydrolysis, where the ratio k_{EH} +/ k_{E} is 5.1 × 10³ at 25 °C. For methyl glycinate this ratio is only 22.1 [9, 131 while cthyl glychiate this fatto is only 22.1 [7, 15] while alkyl givenate it is 30.1 at 25 C [7], and for alkyl esters generally the ratio rarely exceeds 100.
It is possible that with the aryl esters there is some additional facilitation of the reaction by H-bonding catalysis (IV), which has been recognised to lead

$$
H_{2}^{\dagger} \longrightarrow CH(R)
$$

lv

to substantial rate accelerations in ester hydrolysis [17, 18]. Such catalysis has close similarities to the metal activated system **II,** a view supported by the close similarities of the activation parameters for the base hydrolysis of CuE^{2+} and EH^{+} .

We wish to thank the SERC for financial support we wish to thank the SEKC for miancial support $\frac{10}{5}$ iversity award

References

- 1 R. W. Hay and P. J. Morris, in H. Sigel (ed.), 'Metal Ions York, in press. in Biological Systems, Systems, In Biological Systems' Control of Systems' Press, 2016, 2016, p. 1976, p. 1976, in Biological Systems', Vol. 5, Dekker, Basle, 1976, p. 173; D. P. N. Satchell and R. S. Satchell, Annu. Rep., 75, *Chem., 38,* 2133 (1974); R. W. Hay, in P. S. Braterman $nem,$, 50, 2155 (1974); R. W. Hay, in P. S. Braterman (ed.), 'Reactions of Coordinated Ligands', Plenum, New H. Kroll,>.Am. *Chem. Sot., 74,203l* (1952).
- *3* M. M. Werber and Y. Shalitin. *Bioinora. Chem.. 2. 275*
- (1973) . 3 M. M. Werber and Y. Shalitin, Bioinorg. Chem., 2, 275 R. W. Hay and A. K. Basak, J. *Chem. Sot., Dalton*
- Trans., 39 (1986). *n.* 1987 **and** D. Ben-Ishai and A. Berger, J. Org. *Chem., 17, 1564*
- *6* M. Goodman and K. C. Stenben, J. *Am. Chem. Sot.,* . Ben_'
- 81, 3982 (1959). , Goodman and $(v, 3982(1939))$. \sim
- **4 eqn., Longman, Longon, 1978.** ogel's Textbook of Quantitative Inorgar
- *I* and Control, Chapman and Hall, London, 1974. 8 D. D. Perrin and B. Dempsey, 'Buffers for pH and Metal
- 19, 1197 (1966). 9 R. W. Hay, L. J. Porter and P. J. Morris, Aust. J. Chem., C. W. Davies,J. *Chem. Sot., 2093* (1936).
- \mathbf{r} $W.$ Davies, *J. Chem. Soc., 2093* (1936).
- $^{\circ}$ 11 H. S. Harned and R. A. Robinson, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, 36, 973 (1940). R. W. Hay and L. Main, *Aust. J. Chem., 22,109* (1969).
- $\frac{1}{2}$ R. W. Hay and L. Main, Aust. J. Chem., 22, 109 (1969).
- 14 R. W. Hay and A. K. Basak, J. *Chem. Sot., Dalton* 13 R. W. Hay and L. J. Porter, J. Chem. Soc. B, 1261 (1967).
- Trans., 1819 (1982). *w*. Hay and A. r. 1819 (1982).
- Chem., in press. *Chem., Tay, A. K.* $nem.$, in press.
- *Inorg. Chem., 18, 28* (1979), and refs. therein. *J.* Borenam, D. A. Bucking ham and r.
- 18 B. Hansen, *Sven. Kern. Tidskr., 75,* 10 (1963). 17 B. Hansen and A. Flormark, *Acta Chem. Scand.*, 17, 1481 (1963).
-