## Mono and Bisacetylacetonato But-2-yne Complexes of Tungsten(II)

## ELAINE M. ARMSTRONG and PAUL K. BAKER\* Department of Chemistry, University College of North Wales, Bangor, Gwynedd LL57 2UW, U.K. (Received September 29, 1987)

An abundance of mono and bisalkyne complexes of molybdenum(II) and tungsten(II) containing anionic bidentate sulphur donor ligands such as  $[M(CO)(\eta^2 - RC_2 R')(S_2 CNR_2'')_2]$  and  $[M(\eta^2 - RC_2 R')_2 - RC_2 R')_2$  $(S_2CNR_2'')_2$ ] (M = Mo or W; R, R' = H, alkyl, aryl etc.; R'' = Me, Et, <sup>i</sup>Pr etc.) are known [1--6]. These complexes are generally prepared by reacting  $[M(CO)_n(S_2CNR_2'')_2]$  (M = Mo or W; n = 2 or 3) with either one or two equivalents of  $RC_2R'$ . Hitherto only one bidentate anionic oxygen alkyne complex of molybdenum(II) or tungsten(II) has been reported [7], mainly due to the lack of availability of the precursors  $[M(CO)_n(OO)_2]$  (M = Mo or W;  $\dot{OO}$  = bidentate anionic oxygen donor ligand). The complex [WCl(CO)<sub>2</sub>(acac)( $\eta^2$ -PhC<sub>2</sub>OH)] was prepared [7] from photolysis of  $[WCl(CO)_4(\eta^1-CC_6 H_4Me_p$  with acetylacetone (Hacac). The hydroxy alkyne ligand in the product must result from coupling of the original carbyne ligand with a carbonyl group followed by a proton abstraction to give  $[WCl(CO)_2(acac)(\eta^2-PhC_2OH)].$ 

We have been investigating the chemistry of the highly versatile complexes  $[WI_2(CO)(NCMe)(\eta^2 \cdot RC_2R)_2]$  (R = Me or Ph) [8]. In this communication we wish to report the reactions of  $[WI_2(CO)(NCMe)(\eta^2 \cdot MeC_2Me)_2]$  with one equivalent of Na[acac] to give the new bisalkyne complex  $[WI(CO)(acac)(\eta^2 \cdot MeC_2Me)_2]$  and an excess of Na[acac] to give the new monoalkyne complex  $[W(CO)(acac)_2(\eta^2 \cdot MeC_2Me)]$ .

### Experimental

The compound  $[WI_2(CO)(NCMe)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)_2]$ was prepared by the literature method [8]. All chemicals were purchased from commercial sources. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker WH-400 MHz spectrometer at the University of Warwick (all spectra were calibrated against tetramethylsilane). Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 197 infrared spectrophotometer as CHCl<sub>3</sub> films between NaCl plates. Elemental analyses for carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen were recorded on a Carlo Erba Elemental Analyser MOD 1106 (using helium as a carrier gas).

# $[WI(CO)(acac)(\eta^2 - MeC_2Me)_2] (1)$

To a suspension of NaH (60% by weight in mineral oil) (0.034 g, 0.850 mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (5 cm<sup>3</sup>) with continuous stirring under a stream of dry nitrogen was added MeCOCH<sub>2</sub>COMe (0.085 g, 0.850 mmol). After 5 min, the Et<sub>2</sub>O was removed *in vacuo* and the Na-[MeCOCHCOMe] resolvated in a 50%  $\nu/\nu$  MeOH/ CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> mixture (20 cm<sup>3</sup>), to which was added [WI<sub>2</sub>-(CO)(NCMe)( $\eta^2$ -MeC<sub>2</sub>Me)<sub>2</sub>] (0.523 g, 0.850 mmol). Filtration and removal of solvent *in vacuo* after 17 h gave a green oil, which was recrystallized from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/Et<sub>2</sub>O, affording a green powder. Yield 0.30 g, 65%.

Anal:  $C_{14}H_{19}IO_3W$ . Calc: C, 30.7; H, 3.5. Found: C, 30.8; H, 3.7%. IR:  $\nu$ (C=O) 2025(vs),  $\nu$ (C=O) 1818(br, s),  $\nu$ (C=C) 1740(vw) cm<sup>-1</sup>. NMR: <sup>1</sup>H-{(CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO, +25 °C}:  $\delta$ (ppm) = 5.53 (s, 1H, CH); 2.89 (s, 12H, MeC\_2); 2.45 (s, 6H, Me). <sup>13</sup>C{(CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO, +25 °C}:  $\delta$ (ppm) = 218.88 (s, C=O); 192.61 (s, C=O); 189.26 (d, C=O); 186.02 (s, C=C); 158.52 (s, C=C); 103.99 (s, CH); 28.78 (s, Me); 28.59 (s, Me); 26.43 (s, MeC\_2); 24.05 (s, C\_2Me).

# $[W(CO)(acac)_2(\eta^2 - MeC_2Me)] (2)$

To a suspension of NaH (0.095 g, 2.375 mmol) in  $Et_2O$  (5 cm<sup>3</sup>) with continuous stirring under a stream of dry nitrogen was added MeCOCH<sub>2</sub>COMe (0.243 g, 2.375 mmol). After 5 min the  $Et_2O$  was removed in *vacuo*, and the Na [MeCOCHCOMe] resolvated in 50%  $\nu/\nu$  MeOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> mixture (20 cm<sup>3</sup>) to which was added [WI<sub>2</sub>(CO)(NCMe)( $\eta^2$ -MeC<sub>2</sub>Me)<sub>2</sub>] (0.500 g, 0.813 mmol). Filtration and removal of solvent *in vacuo* after 17 h yielded an orange-yellow powder which was recrystallised from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. Yield 0.22 g, 58%.

Anal:  $C_{15}H_{20}O_5W$ . Calc: C, 38.8; H, 4.3. Found: C, 38.9; H, 4.7%. IR:  $\nu$ (C=O) 1880(vs),  $\nu$ (C=O) 1826-(br, s),  $\nu$ (C=C) 1640(vw) cm<sup>-1</sup>. NMR: <sup>1</sup>H{(CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO, +25 °C}:  $\delta$ (ppm) = 5.57 (s, 1H, CH) 5.44 (s, 1H, CH); 3.15 (s, 6H, MeC<sub>2</sub>); 2.35 (s, 6H, Me); 2.15 (s, 6H, Me). <sup>13</sup>C{(CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO, +25 °C}:  $\delta$ (ppm) = 242.87 (s, C=C); 209.82 (s, C=O); 194.62 (s, C=O); 187.60 (m, C=O); 100.84 (m, CH); 28.18 (d, Me); 26.47 (s, MeC<sub>2</sub>).

## **Results and Discussion**

Equimolar quantities of  $[WI_2(CO)(NCMe)(\eta^2 - MeC_2Me)_2]$  and Na[acac] (generated *in situ* from acetylacetone and sodium hydride) react in a 50%  $\nu/\nu$  CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH mixture at room temperature to give

<sup>\*</sup>Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

a good yield of the new monoacetylacetonato complex  $[WI(CO)(acac)(\eta^2 - MeC_2Me)_2]$  (1). Reaction of  $[WI_2(CO)(NCMe)(\eta^2 - MeC_2Me)_2]$  with an excess of Na[acac] in a 50%  $\nu/\nu$  CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH mixture at room temperature afforded a good yield of the new  $[W(CO)(acac)_2(\eta^2 \cdot$ bisacetylacetonato compound MeC<sub>2</sub>Me)]. Both complexes have been fully characterised by elemental analysis (C, H and N), IR, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy (see 'Experimental'). The complexes are moderately stable in the solid state for a few hours at 0 °C under nitrogen. However, they decompose rapidly in solution when exposed to air. They are soluble in CHCl<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and insoluble in diethylether and hydrocarbon solvents.

In view of the X-ray crystal structures determined for both the complexes  $[WI_2(CO)(NCMe)(\eta^2 \cdot RC_2R)_2]$  (R = Me or Ph) [8] it is likely that the structure of  $[WI(CO)(acac)(\eta^2 \cdot MeC_2Me)_2]$  (1) has both alkyne ligands *cis* and parallel to each other with the acac ligand occupying the sites by the acetonitrile and an iodide ligand in  $[WI_2(CO)(NCMe)(\eta^2 \cdot MeC_2Me)_2]$ . Hence the proposed structure for 1 is given in Fig. 1. However, further studies are in progress to attempt to grow crystals of bidentate anionic oxygen complexes of the types  $[WI(CO)(OO)(\eta^2 \cdot RC_2R)_2]$  and  $[W(CO)(OO)_2(\eta^2 \cdot RC_2R)]$  (OO = anionic oxygen donor ligand; R = Me or Ph).



Fig. 1. Proposed structure for  $[WI(CO)(acac)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)_2]$ .

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of 1 and 2 showed the expected features for the complexes. Ward and Templeton [9] have correlated the values of <sup>13</sup>C alkyne contact carbon ( $C \equiv C$ ) chemical shifts with the average number of electrons donated to the metal. The  ${}^{13}C$  NMR spectrum of 1 has alkyne contact carbon resonances at  $\delta = 186.02$  and 158.52 ppm which indicates that both alkyne ligands in 1 are donating a total of six electrons to the tungsten. However, the but-2-yne ligand in 2 has an alkyne contact carbon resonance at  $\delta = 242.87$  ppm, which suggests that the but-2-yne ligand in 2 is donating four electrons to the tungsten. The number of electrons donated by the alkyne ligands in 1 and 2 discussed above allow both complexes to obey the effective atomic number rule.

#### Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Dr. O. W. Howarth for running the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra at the University of Warwick and we also thank the SERC for support.

#### References

- 1 J. W. McDonald, W. E. Newton, C. T. C. Creedy and
- J. L. Corbin, J. Organomet. Chem., 92, C25 (1975).
- 2 B. C. Ward and J. L. Templeton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102, 1532 (1980).
- 3 J. L. Templeton, R. S. Herrick and J. R. Morrow, Organometallics, 3, 535 (1984).
- 4 M. A. Bennett and I. W. Boyd, J. Organomet. Chem., 290, 165 (1985).
- 5 R. S. Herrick and J. L. Templeton, Organometallics, 1, 842 (1982).
- 6 J. R. Morrow, T. L. Tonker and J. L. Templeton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 107, 5004 (1985).
- 7 E. O. Fischer and P. Friedrich, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 18, 327 (1979).
- 8 E. M. Armstrong, P. K. Baker and M. G. B. Drew, Organometallics, 1988, in press.
- 9 B. C. Ward and J. L. Templeton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102, 3288 (1980).